### Enhancing an attack to DSA schemes

M.Adamoudis, K.A. Draziotis and D. Poulakis

8th International Conference on Algebraic Informatics

June 30 – July 4, 2019

Niš, Serbia

presented by M.Adamoudis



Introduction

 Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) is a public-key signature scheme developed by NSA (the U.S. National Security Agency). It was proposed by NIST (the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology) back in 1991 and has become a FIPS 186 (U.S.Federal Information Processing Standard) called DSS (Digital Signature Standard).

- Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) is a public-key signature scheme developed by NSA (the U.S. National Security Agency). It was proposed by NIST (the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology) back in 1991 and has become a FIPS 186 (U.S.Federal Information Processing Standard) called DSS (Digital Signature Standard).
- In 1998, an elliptic curve analogue called Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) was proposed and standarized.

• Discrete Logarithm Problem for a group G

Let  $G = \langle g \rangle$  be a cyclic (multiplicative) group of order a prime p. Then the Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP) is defined as follows: given  $(G, p, g, g^x)$  for a uniform random  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ , find out x.

Introduction

• Discrete Logarithm Problem for a group G

Let  $G = \langle g \rangle$  be a cyclic (multiplicative) group of order a prime p. Then the Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP) is defined as follows: given  $(G, p, g, g^x)$  for a uniform random  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ , find out x.

ullet For DSA we use  $G=\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and for the Elliptic Curve DSA we use the group  $G = E(\mathbf{F})$  for some elliptic curve E defined over a finite group F.

- PARAMETERS OF DSA.
  - 1. (p,q) primes in  $\{1024, 2048, 3072\} \times \{160, 224, 256\}$  with q|p-1.
  - 2. g: a generator of the unique prime order g subgroup G of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_{n}^{*}$ .
  - 3.  $a \leftarrow \{1, \ldots, q-1\}.$
  - 4.  $R = g^a \mod p$ .
  - 5. Public key : (p, q, g, R).
  - 6. Private key: a.

**Experimental Results** 

Signing

To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ , a user perform following these steps

- 1. Publishes a hash function  $h:\{0,1\}^* \to \{0,\dots,q-1\}$
- 2.  $k \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q-1\}$  which is the ephemeral key
- 3. Computes  $r = (g^k \mod p) \mod q$  and

$$s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \mod q$$

4. The signature of m is the pair (r, s).

VERIFICATION
 The signature is valid if and only if we have:

$$r = ((g^{s^{-1}h(m)\bmod q}R^{s^{-1}r \bmod q}) \bmod p) \bmod q.$$

• Parameters of ECDSA

**Backgound on Lattices** 

- 1. Let E be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$
- 2.  $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with order a prime q of size at least 160 bits and with a|p-1.
- 3.  $a \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{1, \dots, q-1\}.$
- 4. Q = aP.
- 5. Public key : (E, p, q, P, Q).
- 6. Private key: a.

- SIGNING To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ , follow these steps:
- 1. Publish a hash function  $h: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,\ldots,q-1\}$ .

**Experimental Results** 

**Experimental Results** 

Introduction

- SIGNING
  To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ , follow these steps:
- 1. Publish a hash function  $h:\{0,1\}^* \to \{0,\dots,q-1\}$ .
- 2.  $k \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q-1\}$  which is the ephemeral key.

- SIGNING
  To sign a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$ , follow these steps:
- 1. Publish a hash function  $h:\{0,1\}^* \to \{0,\dots,q-1\}$ .
- 2.  $k \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q-1\}$  which is the ephemeral key.
- 3. Compute kP = (x, y) (where x and y are regarded as integers between 0 and p 1).

- SIGNING To sign a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , follow these steps:
- 1. Publish a hash function  $h: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,\dots,q-1\}$ .
- 2.  $k \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{1, \dots, q-1\}$  which is the ephemeral key.
- 3. Compute kP = (x, y) (where x and y are regarded as integers between 0 and p 1).
- 4. Compute  $r = x \mod q$  and

$$s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \bmod q$$

The signature of m is (r, s).



## (EC)DSA background

 Verification For the verification procedure we calculate,

$$u_1 = s^{-1}h(m) \mod q$$
,  $u_2 = s^{-1}r \mod q$ ,  $u_1P + u_2Q = (x_0, y_0)$ .

We accept the signature if and only if  $r = x_0 \mod q$ .

- (EC)DSA ATTACKS IN DISCRETE LOGARITHM
  - 1. For classic DSA we have subexponential algorithm (Index Calculus method).
  - 2. For ECDSA we have only exponential algorithms (e.g. Pollard Rho, Shank's Algorithm).

• (EC)DSA ATTACKS ON SIGNING EQUATION

$$s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \mod q.$$

• (EC)DSA ATTACKS ON SIGNING EQUATION

$$s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \bmod q.$$

These attacks work for both classic DSA and ECDSA.



• (EC)DSA ATTACKS ON SIGNING EQUATION

$$s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \bmod q.$$

- These attacks work for both classic DSA and ECDSA.
- Attacks on signing equation are based on lattice theory and the goal is
  to solve a linear system of congruences where unknown variables are
  the private key a and the ephemeral keys (or some multiples of them).

• (EC)DSA ATTACKS ON SIGNING EQUATION

$$s = k^{-1}(h(m) + ar) \bmod q.$$

- These attacks work for both classic DSA and ECDSA.
- Attacks on signing equation are based on lattice theory and the goal is to solve a linear system of congruences where unknown variables are the private key a and the ephemeral keys (or some multiples of them).
- To apply these attacks we need some (polynomial) number of signatures  $(r_i, s_i)$ .



There are many papers that apply attacks to signing equation using lattice based methods.

- 1. 2001, Howgrave-Graham and Smart, Lattice Attacks on Digital Signature Schemes
- 2. 2002, Blake and Garefalakis, On the security of the digital signature algorithm.
- 3. 2003, Nguyen and Shparlinski, The Insecurity of the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm with Partially Known Nonces.
- 4. 2013, Liu and Nguyen, Solving BDD by Enumeration: An Update.
- 5. 2013, Draziotis and Poulakis, Lattice attacks on DSA schemes based on Lagrange's algorithm.
- 6. 2014, Faugere, Goyet and Renault, Attacking (EC)DSA Given Only an Implicit Hint, Selected Area of Cryptography.
- 7. 2016. Poulakis. New lattice attacks on DSA schemes.



We shall generalize the results of the following paper, 7. 2016, Poulakis, New lattice attacks on DSA schemes.



#### Lattices

• Lattices Let  $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$  linearly independent vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The set

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \mathbf{b}_{j} : \alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq n \right\}$$

is called a *lattice* and the set  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$  a basis of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

#### Lattices

Introduction

#### Approximate Closest Vector Problem

We define the approximate Closest Vector Problem ( $CVP_{\gamma_n}(L)$ ) as follows: Given a lattice  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$  of rank n and a vector  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , find a vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that, for every  $\mathbf{u}' \in \mathcal{L}$  we have:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{t}\| \le \gamma_n \|\mathbf{u}' - \mathbf{t}\|$$
 (for some real number  $\gamma_n \ge 1$ ).

#### Lattices

Introduction

### Approximate Closest Vector Problem

We define the approximate Closest Vector Problem ( $CVP_{\gamma_n}(L)$ ) as follows: Given a lattice  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{Z}^m$  of rank n and a vector  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , find a vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that, for every  $\mathbf{u}' \in \mathcal{L}$  we have:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{t}\| \le \gamma_n \|\mathbf{u}' - \mathbf{t}\|$$
 (for some real number  $\gamma_n \ge 1$ ).

 We say that we have a CVP oracle, if we have an efficient probabilistic algorithm that solves  $\text{CVP}_{\gamma_n}$  for  $\gamma_n = 1$ .

### Babai's Algorithm

• Is a polynomial bit-operations algorithm that given a lattice and a target vector not in lattice, provides a lattice vector that is *close* to the target vector.

### Babai's Algorithm

Introduction

- Is a polynomial bit-operations algorithm that given a lattice and a target vector not in lattice, provides a lattice vector that is *close* to the target vector.
- On input a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  and a vector  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  the algorithms provides a lattice vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that

$$||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{t}|| \le 2^{n/2} dist(L, \mathbf{t}).$$



• Say we have n messages  $m_i$  (i = 1, ..., n) signed with (EC)DSA system and  $(r_i, s_i)$  their signatures. So we have the *n* signing equations:

$$s_i = k_i^{-1}(h(m_i) + ar_i) \bmod q$$

where  $k_i$  are the ephemeral keys and a is the secret key.

**Experimental Results** 

We choose integers

$$A_i \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \Big(\frac{q^{\frac{i}{n+1}+f_q(n)}}{2}, \frac{q^{\frac{i}{n+1}+f_q(n)}}{1.5}\Big),$$

for a suitable sequence  $f_q(n) < 1$  and we choose  $C_i = -r_i s_i^{-1} \mod q$ , and

$$B_i = -A_i C_i^{-1} s_i^{-1} h(m_i) \mod q.$$

We choose integers

$$A_i \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \Big(\frac{q^{\frac{i}{n+1}+f_q(n)}}{2}, \frac{q^{\frac{i}{n+1}+f_q(n)}}{1.5}\Big),$$

for a suitable sequence  $f_q(n) < 1$  and we choose  $C_i = -r_i s_i^{-1} \mod q$ , and

$$B_i = -A_i C_i^{-1} s_i^{-1} h(m_i) \mod q.$$

Further we set

$$\mathbf{s}=(a,k_1',\ldots,k_n'),$$

where  $k_i' = A_1 C_1^{-1} k_i \mod q$  and we call them *derivative ephemeral* keys (these are multiples of the unknown ephemeral keys).

We choose integers

$$A_i \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \Big(\frac{q^{\frac{i}{n+1}+f_q(n)}}{2}, \frac{q^{\frac{i}{n+1}+f_q(n)}}{1.5}\Big),$$

for a suitable sequence  $f_q(n) < 1$  and we choose  $C_i = -r_i s_i^{-1} \mod q$ , and

$$B_i = -A_i C_i^{-1} s_i^{-1} h(m_i) \mod q.$$

Further we set

$$\mathbf{s}=(a,k_1',\ldots,k_n'),$$

where  $k'_i = A_1 C_1^{-1} k_i \mod q$  and we call them derivative ephemeral keys (these are multiples of the unknown ephemeral keys).

• After simple manipulations we get that **s** satisfies the  $n \times (n+1)$ linear system

$$y_i + A_i x + B_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(i \equiv 1, \dots, n)$ 

#### Attack

**Input**: A public key (p, q, g, R) of a DSA scheme or a public key (E, p, q, P, Q) of a ECDSA scheme. Further, n signed messages are given.

**Output**: The secret key a or Fail.



#### Attack

Introduction

• 1. construct the system

$$y_i + A_i x + B_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ .

and set 
$$\mathbf{b} = (0, B_1, \dots, B_n)$$
.

• 1. construct the system

$$y_i + A_i x + B_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$
  $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ .

and set  $\mathbf{b} = (0, B_1, \dots, B_n)$ .

2. Construct the lattice generated by the rows of the DSA matrix

$$A = egin{bmatrix} -1 & A_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_n \ 0 & q & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & q & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & q \ \end{bmatrix}$$

• 3. Apply LLL on the rows of A,  $B \leftarrow LLL(A)$ .

- 3. Apply LLL on the rows of A,  $B \leftarrow LLL(A)$ .
- 4.  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \leftarrow Babai(B, \mathbf{b}).$

- 3. Apply LLL on the rows of A,  $B \leftarrow LLL(A)$ .
- 4.  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \leftarrow Babai(B, \mathbf{b}).$
- 5. If  $g^{s_1} = R$  (respectively  $Q = s_1 P$ ) return  $s_1$  else return fail.

## Attack

• The previous attack is based on the following Theorem.

**Experimental Results** 

### Attack

- The previous attack is based on the following Theorem.
- Theorem.

lf

$$\|\mathbf{s}\| < \frac{1}{4} q^{\frac{n}{n+1} + f_q(n)}.$$

then,  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{w} = CVP(B, \mathbf{b})$ .

#### **Attack**

- The previous attack is based on the following Theorem.
- Theorem.

lf

$$\|\mathbf{s}\| < \frac{1}{4} q^{\frac{n}{n+1} + f_q(n)}.$$

then,  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{w} = CVP(B, \mathbf{b})$ .

 In our attack we used Babai, which behaves as a CVP oracle for moderate dimension.

• We implemented the previous attack.

- We implemented the previous attack.
- Since Babai does not always provide the closest vector and also the integers A<sub>i</sub> are chosen randomly, we get a probabilistic attack.



- We implemented the previous attack.
- Since Babai does not always provide the closest vector and also the integers A<sub>i</sub> are chosen randomly, we get a probabilistic attack.
- Further, we tested our attack for solutions that does not satisfy the theorem (i.e. for larger keys).



• We consider n = 14 signed messages, secret key with 147— bits (i.e. small enough, comparing with its original length which is 160— bits) and derivative ephemeral keys with binary length 145 bits.

- We consider n = 14 signed messages, secret key with 147 bits (i.e. small enough, comparing with its original length which is 160 bits) and derivative ephemeral keys with binary length 145 bits.
- Then, we managed to find always the secret key.



- We consider n=14 signed messages, secret key with 147— bits (i.e. small enough, comparing with its original length which is 160— bits) and derivative ephemeral keys with binary length 145 bits.
- Then, we managed to find always the secret key.

•	bits:(Skey, Der.Ep.keys)	suc.rate	
	(147, 145)	100%	ĺ

Introduction

• We consider n = 206 signed messages. We generated 100 random DSA systems. For preprocessing (i.e. before we apply Babai) we used BKZ with blocksize 70.

• We consider n = 206 signed messages. We generated 100 random DSA systems. For preprocessing (i.e. before we apply Babai) we used BKZ with blocksize 70.

•	bits:(Skey, Der.Ep.keys)	suc.rate
	(158, 157)	17%
	(158, 155)	100%
	(157, 157)	23.3%
	(157, 156)	100%

# Heuristic Improvement of the previous attack

 We can further improve the previous results. The idea is to use another target vector instead of  $\mathbf{b} = (0, B_1, \dots, B_n)$ . We consider the following vector

$$\mathbf{b}=(\varepsilon,\varepsilon+B_1,\ldots,\varepsilon+B_n),$$

where  $\varepsilon = 2^{159} - 2^{157}$ .

**Experimental Results** 

• We consider n = 206 messages. We generated 100 random DSA systems, with secret key 160 bits and derivative ephemeral keys with 159 bits.

- We consider n = 206 messages. We generated 100 random DSA systems, with secret key 160 bits and derivative ephemeral keys with 159 bits.
- For preprocessing we used BKZ with blocksize 85. The time execution per example was about 2 minutes in an I3 Intel CPU.



- We consider n = 206 messages. We generated 100 random DSA systems, with secret key 160 bits and derivative ephemeral keys with 159 bits.
- For preprocessing we used BKZ with blocksize 85. The time execution per example was about 2 minutes in an I3 Intel CPU.

•	bits:(Skey, Der.Ep.keys)	suc.rate	
	(160, 159)	62%	ĺ

# Heuristic Improvement

- We consider n = 206 messages. We generated 100 random DSA systems, with secret key 160 bits and derivative ephemeral keys with 159 bits.
- For preprocessing we used BKZ with blocksize 85. The time execution per example was about 2 minutes in an I3 Intel CPU.

•	bits:(Skey, Der.Ep.keys)	suc.rate
	(160, 159)	62%

 This result improves, in some sense, the result of Liu and Nguyen, where with 100 signatures and knowing 2 least significant bits of the ephemeral keys, they computed the secret key with success rate 23% and in 4185 seconds on average per instance



