



# CS 443

## Parallel DB

**Winter 2013**

**Adapted from Suciu & Balazinska**

# Parallel DBMS

2

- Inter-query parallelism
  - ▣ Each query runs on one processor
  - ▣ Only for OLTP queries
- Inter-operator parallelism
  - ▣ A query runs on multiple processors
  - ▣ An operator runs on one processor
  - ▣ For both OLTP and Decision Support
- Intra-operator parallelism
  - ▣ An operator runs on multiple processors
  - ▣ For both OLTP and Decision Support
  - ▣ Main parallelism used in parallel DBMS since 1980's



# Horizontal Data Partitioning

3

- Have a large table  $R(K, A, B, C)$ 
  - ▣ Need to partition on a shared-nothing architecture into  $P$  chunks  $R_1, \dots, R_P$ , stored at the  $P$  nodes
- Block Partition:  $\text{size}(R_1) \approx \dots \approx \text{size}(R_P)$
- Hash partitioned on attribute  $A$ :
  - ▣ Tuple  $t$  goes to chunk  $i$ , where  $i = h(t.A) \bmod P + 1$
- Range partitioned on attribute  $A$ :
  - ▣ Partition the range of  $A$  into  $-\infty = v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_P = \infty$
  - ▣ Equiwidth or equidepth
  - ▣ Tuple  $t$  goes to chunk  $i$ , if  $v_{i-1} < t.A < v_i$

11/16/11

# Parallel GroupBy

4

- $R(\underline{K}, A, B, C)$ , how could we compute these GroupBy's, for each of the partitions
  - $\gamma_{A, \text{sum}(C)}(R)$
- If  $R$  is partitioned on  $A$ , then each node computes the group-by locally
- Otherwise, hash-partition  $R(\underline{K}, A, B, C)$  on  $A$ , then compute group-by locally

# Performance Metric: Parallel DBMS

5

- $P$  = the number of nodes (processors, computers)
  - Speedup:
    - More nodes, same data leads to higher speed
  - Scaleup:
    - More nodes, more data leads to same speed
- OLTP: “Speed” = transactions per second (TPS)
- Decision Support: “Speed” = query time

11/16/11

# Speedup and Scaleup

6

- The runtime is dominated by the time to read the chunks from disk, i.e.  $\text{size}(R_i)$
- If we double the number of nodes  $P$ , what is the new running time of  $\gamma_{A, \text{sum}(C)}(R)$ ?
- If we double both  $P$  and the size of the relation  $R$ , what is the new running time?

# Uniform Data v.s. Skewed Data

7

- Uniform partition:

- $\text{size}(R_1) \approx \dots \approx \text{size}(R_P) \approx \text{size}(R) / P$
- Linear speedup, constant scaleup

- Skewed partition:

- For some  $i$ ,  $\text{size}(R_i) \gg \text{size}(R) / P$
- Speedup and scaleup will suffer

11/16/11

# Uniform Data v.s. Skewed Data

8

□ Let  $R(K,A,B,C)$ ; which of the following partition methods may result in skewed partitions?

- Block partition

Uniform

- Hash-partition

- On the key K
- On the attribute A

Uniform  
Text

Assuming perfect uniform hash

May be skewed

- Range-partition

- On the key K
- On the attribute A

May be skewed

Difficult to maintain perfect range-partitioning

11/16/11



# Parallel Join?

9

- $R(A,B)$  join on  $B$  with  $S(B,C)$

11/16/11