

### CS 443 Parallel DB

Winter 2013

3

Adapted from Suciu & Balazinska

## . . . . .

## Horizontal Data Partitioning

- □ Have a large table R(K, A, B, C)
  - Need to partition on a shared-nothing architecture into P chunks R<sub>1</sub>, ..., R<sub>P</sub>, stored at the P nodes
- □ Block Partition:  $size(R_1) \approx ... \approx size(R_P)$
- ☐ Hash partitioned on attribute A:
  - □ Tuple t goes to chunk i, where  $i = h(t.A) \mod P + I$
- □ Range partitioned on attribute A:
  - Partition the range of A into  $-\infty = v_0 < v_1 < ... < v_P = \infty$
  - Equiwidth or equidepth
  - Tuple t goes to chunk i, if  $v_{i-1} \le t.A \le v_i$

UofT:DB Group

11/16/11

### Parallel DBMS

2

- □ Inter-query parallelism
  - Each query runs on one processor
  - □ Only for OLTP queries
- □ Inter-operator parallelism
  - A query runs on multiple processors
  - □ An operator runs on one processor
  - □ For both OLTP and Decision Support
- □ Intra-operator parallelism
  - An operator runs on multiple processors
  - □ For both OLTP and Decision Support
  - Main parallelism used in parallal DBMS since 1980's

### Parallel GroupBy

4

- $\square$  R(K,A,B,C), how could we compute these GroupBy's, for each of the partitions
- γA,sum(C)(R)
- □ If R is partitioned on A, then each node computes the group-by locally
- □ Otherwise, hash-partition R(K,A,B,C) on A, then compute group-by locally



# Performance Metric: Parallel DBMS

- □ P = the number of nodes (processors, computers)
- Speedup:
- More nodes, same data leads to higher speed
- Scaleup:
- More nodes, more data leads to same speed
- OLTP: "Speed" = transactions per second (TPS)
- Decision Support: "Speed" = query time

11/16/11

11/16/11



## Speedup and Scaleup

□ The runtime is dominated by the time to read the chunks from disk, i.e. size(R<sub>i</sub>)

□ If we double the number of nodes P, what is the new running time of yA,sum(C)(R)?

□ If we double both P and the size of the relation R, what is the new running time?

11/16/11



### Uniform Data v.s. Skewed Data

□ Uniform partition:

7

- size(R<sub>1</sub>) ≈ ... ≈ size(R<sub>P</sub>) ≈ size(R) / P
- Linear speedup, constant scaleup
- Skewed partition:
- For some i, size(Ri) >> size(R) / P
- Speedup and scaleup will suffer

UOFT: DB GROUP

#### Uniform Data v.s. Skewed Data □ Let R(K,A,B,C); which of the following partition methods may result in skewed partitions? Block partition Hash-partition Uniform Assuming perfect uniform hash - On the key K On the attribute A May be skewed Range-partition - On the key K On the attribute A May be skewed Difficult to maintain perfect range-partitioning 11/16/11

## Parallel Join?

 $\Box$  R(A,B) join on B with S(B,C)

11/16/11

