

1 Thesis Design
2 Enhancing the NPO Start Recommendation System
3 with Metadata

4 Eileen Kapel

5 February 28, 2019

6 **Abstract**

7 NPO Start is a video-on-demand service from the NPO (Dutch Public
8 Service Media). It gives people the ability to watch series, movies and
9 documentaries as well as watch live television. At the moment there
10 are personalized recommendations available for registered users, which
11 is based on collaborative filtering. However, there is a lot of metadata
12 available about the offered content which has been unused until now. In
13 this thesis, the metadata of broadcasts will be utilized to determine if it
14 can enhance the current recommendation system.

15 **1 Personal details**

16 **My email** eileen.kapel@student.uva.nl

17 **My supervisors email (UvA)**

18 **My supervisors email (company)** arno.van.rijswijk@npo.nl

19 **The wiki on my github account** github.com/ekapel22/masterthesis

20 **2 Research question**

21 The main research question is *"Can content-based filtering using metadata en-*
22 *hance the current recommendation system of NPO Start which uses collaborative*
23 *filtering?"*. The research is split into two sub-questions:

- 24 1. What is the current collaborative filtering performance?
25 2. How much can the recommendation system be improved by using pre-
26 processing steps and different feature selection methods?

27 **3 Related literature**

28 Humans are tasked with making thousands of decisions daily which can range
29 from selecting which outfit to wear to which television show to watch. These
30 decisions can be made more efficient by recommendations. These recommenda-
31 tions are made by sorting through potentially relevant information and making

recommendations customized to the individual user [4]. These users may give their ratings explicitly or they may be inferred implicitly from the user's actions. The two main approaches for recommendations are content-based filtering and collaborative filtering. However, another possible approach is a hybrid recommender system which combines these two. These hybrid recommender systems try to overcome to shortcomings of both individual approaches and make use of more of the available information, with the consequence that the recommendations become more precise.

3.1 Similar research

There are two types of methods for a hybrid recommender system, one that combines the ratings of a separate content-based and collaborative filtering predictor, and one that incorporates a content-predictor into the collaborative filterig. These two hybrid approaches were researched by Melville, Mooney and Nagarajan in the domain of movie recommendations, and used ratings from the EachMovie dataset and content from the Internet Movie Database (IMDb) [3].

For the content-based prediction, a bag-of-words naive Bayesian text classifier was used that uses a vector of bag-of-words, where each bag corresponded to a movie feature. These features include the title, director, cast, genre, plot summary, plot keywords, user comments, external reviews, newsgroup reviews, and awards.

For the collaborative filtering, a neighborhood-based algorithm was used. The predictions were made by choosing a subset of users who are similar and using a weighted combination of their ratings.

The first hybrid method gets the ratings of the content-based and collaborative filtering predictor and outputs the average of them.

The second hybrid method designed by Melville, Mooney and Nagarajan where content was incorporated into a collaborative filtering approach is called Content-Boosted Collaborative Filtering (CBCF). First, a pseudo user-rating matrix was created using content-based prediction. This matrix was filled in with the actual rating when available, otherwise a predicted rating was used. Afterwards, the matrix was used for collaborative filtering.

The evaluation of the two methods was done by removing a subset of the ratings, and using the left over ratings for training the recommender systems. These recommender systems were then tested on the removed ratings. The accuracy of the methods was evaluated by two metrics: statistical accuracy metrics and decision-support metrics. The first metrics are evaluated by comparing the predicted values to the user-provided values, which is valued by the mean absolute error. The decision-support metrics evaluate if the predictions are good and bad, by looking at the ratings from 1 to 5 and if a rating of 4 or above is given the item is considered good, otherwise it is considered bad.

The result was that the CBCF method resulted in predictions with the best accuracy for both metrics.

3.2 Similar domain

The most popular video-on-demand service currently is Netflix. The recommendation algorithm for Netflix was developed by an open competition called the Netflix Prize, where the best algorithm to predict user ratings for films were

78 rewarded a grand prize. This was won by the "Bell-Kor's Pragmatic Chaos"
79 solution [2]. The data that was made available for the development of the algo-
80 rithm was one file of movie information, with an identifier, year of release and
81 title, and another file of ratings, with a customer identifier, rating and a date.
82 The winning algorithm used collaborative filtering by using the alternating least
83 square (ALS) algorithm which uses matrix factorization [1].

84 4 Methodology

85 Content will be used to enhance the current recommendation system which
86 uses collaborative filtering, which will result in a hybrid recommender system.
87 The current algorithm gives recommendations based on your viewing behavior,
88 insight into your viewing history, the ability to continue watching on different
89 devices and the ability to watch programs later or follow them.

90 The conditions for this method that fuses content into the recommendation
91 system to work is that good features should be chosen that correctly reflect the
92 content for recommending. It should be consistent and dividable into categories.

93 Shortcomings of content-based recommendations is that it only recommends
94 content that it has seen before, meaning that something that hasn't been en-
95 countered will not be recommended. A big shortcoming of collaborative filter-
96 ing is that it has a cold-start problem, meaning that in the start less appealing
97 recommendations is given to an user because less is known about that user.
98 Sparsity is another shortcoming for collaborative filtering, which is caused by a
99 very small amount of items being rated making training more difficult.

100 Advantages of a hybrid recommender system is that it utilizes the available
101 information more, which consequently leads to more precise recommendations.
102 The hybrid system overcomes the cold-start problem, since similar content can
103 be used for recommendation when less is known about an user. Sparsity is also
104 overcome since metadata can help in making more reliable predictions for users
105 which can then be used in the collaborative filtering, meaning that more ratings
106 can be used in the system.

107 The recommendations that come out of hybrid recommender system can be
108 determined in two ways: by incorporating content into the collaborative filtering
109 algorithm, or by combining the results of the two algorithms in the end. In this
110 thesis, the content will be incorporated in the existing collaborative filtering
111 algorithm. The exact way how this is done will be investigated during the
112 thesis.

113 4.1 Data

114 The data comes from the POMS (Publieke Omroep Media Service) of the NPO
115 and consists out of all the content that is offered on the NPO Start platform,
116 but in this project we will focus on the broadcasts. These broadcasts consist
117 out of seasons and episodes which increase every single day. For each broadcast
118 some metadata is saved, which consists out of the id, season number, episode
119 number, date, time, title, description, subjects, subtitles, entities, etc. The
120 determination of exactly which features of the data will be used in the method
121 will be investigated.

122 The infrastructure of the company is mainly Google Cloud based and projects
123 are almost exclusively executed in Python and Spark.

124 4.2 Evaluation

125 The beforementioned method(s) will be evaluated using online evaluations or
126 so-called A/B tests. The company has experience with these tests and mainly
127 compares these two versions using the CLR (Click-Through Rate). The amount
128 of minutes that a user watches is also used for the evaluation. A higher CLR and
129 amount of watch minutes using the researched method will result in a positive
130 evaluation.

131 5 Risk assessment

132 5.1 Inconsistent metadata

133 The overall data is clean and has been preprocessed by the company, however it
134 is quite inconsistent. Program makers are tasked with filling out the description
135 and other necessary information for a broadcast, which is done differently in
136 each broadcasting department and by each person. Steps could be taken to
137 utilize the subtitles of a broadcast as a feature, if it turns out they are not
138 consistent or rich enough, by extracting important topics out of them.

139 5.2 Evaluation risks

140 The wished evaluation method to be used is A/B testing. Since this is an online
141 evaluation method that is tested on customers, it needs to be ensured that the
142 developed recommender system does not create latency or errors that might
143 badly influence the image of NPO Start. The back-up for the A/B testing
144 is an offline evaluation that uses the ratings of a subset of broadcasts. From
145 this subset a percentage will be removed, on which the current and developed
146 recommender system will make predictions based on the ratings that were not
147 removed. The results of these systems will then be compared using root-mean-
148 square error.

149 6 Project plan

150 The project plan consists out of a total of 12 weeks and is shown in Table 1.

151 References

- 152 [1] Robert Bell, Yehuda Koren, and Chris Volinsky. Modeling relationships at
153 multiple scales to improve accuracy of large recommender systems. In *Pro-
154 ceedings of the 13th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge
155 discovery and data mining*, pages 95–104. ACM, 2007.
- 156 [2] Yehuda Koren. The bellkor solution to the netflix grand prize. *Netflix prize
157 documentation*, 81:1–10, 2009.

Week		Achievements
1	01/04 - 05/04	Data cleaned.
2	08/04 - 12/04	Literature done.
3	15/04 - 19/04	Introduction done. Investigated current performance.
4	22/04 - 26/04	Pre-processing data.
5	29/04 - 03/05	Feature selection.
6	06/05 - 10/05	Mid-presentation: preliminary results evaluated.
7	13/05 - 17/05	Method section done. Set up A/B testing.
8	20/05 - 24/05	Experiments.
9	27/05 - 31/05	Experiments.
10	03/06 - 07/06	Experiments.
11	10/06 - 14/06	Results, conclusion & discussion done.
12	17/06 - 21/06	Thesis done.

Table 1: Project plan

- 158 [3] Prem Melville, Raymond J Mooney, and Ramadass Nagarajan. Content-
159 boosted collaborative filtering for improved recommendations. *Aaai/iaai*,
160 23:187–192, 2002.
- 161 [4] Michael J Pazzani. A framework for collaborative, content-based and demo-
162 graphic filtering. *Artificial intelligence review*, 13(5-6):393–408, 1999.