

1 Thesis Design  
2 Enhancing the NPO Start Recommendation System  
3 with Metadata

4 Eileen Kapel

5 March 15, 2019

6 **Abstract**

7 NPO Start is a video-on-demand service from the NPO (Dutch Public  
8 Service Media). It gives people the ability to watch series, movies and  
9 documentaries as well as watch live television. At the moment there  
10 are personalized recommendations available for registered users, which  
11 is based on collaborative filtering. However, there is a lot of metadata  
12 available about the offered content which has been unused until now. In  
13 this thesis, the metadata of broadcasts will be utilized to determine if it  
14 can enhance the current recommendation system.

15 **1 Personal details**

16 **My email** eileen.kapel@student.uva.nl

17 **My supervisors email (UvA)** maartenmarx@uva.nl

18 **My supervisors email (company)** arno.van.rijswijk@npo.nl

19 **The wiki on my github account** github.com/ekapel22/masterthesis/wiki

20 **2 Research question**

21 The main research question is *"Can content-based filtering using metadata en-*  
22 *hance the current recommendation system of NPO Start which uses collaborative*  
23 *filtering?"*. The research is split into four sub-questions:

- 24 1. What is the current collaborative filtering performance?
- 25 2. How much can the recommendation system be improved by using different  
26 content-based filtering methods?
- 27 3. Which metadata features improve the recommendation the most?
- 28 4. Can the recommendation system be improved by using pre-processing  
29 steps?

### 30 **3 Related literature**

31 Humans are tasked with making thousands of decisions daily which can range  
32 from selecting which outfit to wear to which television show to watch. These  
33 decisions can be made more efficient by recommendations. These recommenda-  
34 tions are made by sorting through potentially relevant information and making  
35 recommendations customized to the individual user [4]. These users may give  
36 their ratings explicitly or they may be inferred implicitly from the user's actions.  
37 The two main approaches for recommendations are content-based filtering and  
38 collaborative filtering. However, another possible approach is a hybrid recom-  
39 mender system which combines these two. These hybrid recommender systems  
40 try to overcome the shortcomings of both individual approaches and make use  
41 of more of the available information, with the consequence that the recommen-  
42 dations become more precise.

#### 43 **3.1 Similar research**

44 There are two types of methods for a hybrid recommender system, one that  
45 combines the ratings of a separate content-based and collaborative filtering pre-  
46 dictor, and one that incorporates a content-predictor into the collaborative fil-  
47 tering. These two hybrid approaches were researched by Melville, Mooney and  
48 Nagarajan in the domain of movie recommendations, and used ratings from the  
49 EachMovie dataset and content from the Internet Movie Database (IMDb) [3].

50 For the content-based prediction, a bag-of-words naive Bayesian text classi-  
51 fier was used that uses a vector of bag-of-words, where each bag corresponded  
52 to a movie feature. These features include the title, director, cast, genre, plot  
53 summary, plot keywords, user comments, external reviews, newsgroup reviews,  
54 and awards.

55 For the collaborative filtering, a neighborhood-based algorithm was used.  
56 The predictions were made by choosing a subset of users who are similar and  
57 using a weighted combination of their ratings.

58 The first hybrid method gets the ratings of the content-based and collabo-  
59 rative filtering predictor and outputs the average of them.

60 The second hybrid method designed by Melville, Mooney and Nagarajan  
61 where content was incorporated into a collaborative filtering approach is called  
62 Content-Boosted Collaborative Filtering (CBCF). First, a pseudo user-rating  
63 matrix was created using content-based prediction. This matrix was filled in  
64 with the actual rating when available, otherwise a predicted rating was used.  
65 Afterwards, the matrix was used for collaborative filtering.

66 The evaluation of the two methods was done by removing a subset of the  
67 ratings, and using the leftover ratings for training the recommender systems.  
68 These recommender systems were then tested on the removed ratings. The accu-  
69 racy of the methods was evaluated by two metrics: statistical accuracy metrics  
70 and decision-support metrics. The first metrics are evaluated by comparing the  
71 predicted values to the user-provided values, which is valued by the mean ab-  
72 solute error. The decision-support metrics evaluate if the predictions are good  
73 and bad, by looking at the ratings from 1 to 5 and if a rating of 4 or above is  
74 given the item is considered good, otherwise it is considered bad.

75 The result was that the CBCF method resulted in predictions with the best  
76 accuracy for both metrics.

## 77 3.2 Similar domain

78 The most popular video-on-demand service currently is Netflix. The recom-  
79 mendation algorithm for Netflix was developed by an open competition called  
80 the Netflix Prize, where the best algorithm to predict user ratings for films was  
81 rewarded a grand prize. This was won by the "Bell-Kor's Pragmatic Chaos"  
82 solution [2]. The data that was made available for the development of the algo-  
83 rithm was one file of movie information, with an identifier, year of release and  
84 title, and another file of ratings, with a customer identifier, rating and a date.  
85 The winning algorithm used collaborative filtering by using the alternating least  
86 square (ALS) algorithm which uses matrix factorization [1].

## 87 4 Methodology

88 Content will be used to enhance the current recommendation system which  
89 uses collaborative filtering, which will result in a hybrid recommender system.  
90 The current algorithm enhances the user experience by giving recommendations  
91 based on their viewing behavior, insight into their viewing history, the ability to  
92 continue watching on different devices and the ability to watch programs later  
93 or follow them.

94 The conditions for this method, that fuses content into the recommendation  
95 system, to work is that good features should be chosen that correctly reflect the  
96 content for recommending. It should be consistent and dividable into categories.

97 Shortcomings of content-based recommendations is that it only recommends  
98 content that it has seen before, meaning that something that hasn't been en-  
99 countered will not be recommended. A big shortcoming of collaborative filter-  
100 ing is that it has a cold-start problem, meaning that in the start less appealing  
101 recommendations are given to an user because less is known about that user.  
102 Sparsity is another shortcoming for collaborative filtering, which is caused by a  
103 very small amount of items being rated making training more difficult.

104 Advantages of a hybrid recommender system is that it utilizes the available  
105 information more, which consequently leads to more precise recommendations.  
106 The hybrid system overcomes the cold-start problem, since similar content can  
107 be used for recommendation when less is known about an user. Sparsity is also  
108 overcome since metadata can help in making more reliable predictions for users  
109 which can then be used in the collaborative filtering, meaning that more ratings  
110 can be used in the system.

111 The recommendations that come out of hybrid recommender system can be  
112 determined in two ways: by incorporating content into the collaborative filtering  
113 algorithm, or by combining the results of the two algorithms in the end. In this  
114 thesis, the content will be incorporated in the existing collaborative filtering  
115 algorithm. The exact way how this is done will be investigated during the  
116 thesis.

### 117 4.1 Data

118 The data comes from the POMS (Publieke Omroep Media Service) of the NPO  
119 and consists out of all the content that is offered on the NPO Start platform,  
120 but in this project we will focus on the broadcasts. These broadcasts are about  
121 30% of the total content and they consist out of seasons and episodes which

122 increase every single day. Metadata is saved for each broadcast, which consists  
123 out of the id, season number, episode number, date, time, title, description,  
124 subjects, subtitles, entities, etc. The metadata is quite inconsistent with quite  
125 a lot of NA's values, which are most common in the features subtitle and im-  
126 age information. The mean length of a title is about 14 words, about 113 for  
127 the short description and about 209 for the description. The determination of  
128 exactly which features of the data will be used in the method is going to be  
129 investigated.

130 The infrastructure of the company is mainly Google Cloud based and projects  
131 are almost exclusively executed in Python and Spark.

## 132 4.2 Evaluation

133 The beforementioned method(s) will be evaluated using online evaluations or  
134 so-called A/B tests. The company has experience with these tests and mainly  
135 compares these two versions using the CTR (Click-Through Rate). The amount  
136 of minutes that a user watches is also used for the evaluation. A higher CTR and  
137 amount of watch minutes using the researched method will result in a positive  
138 evaluation.

## 139 5 Risk assessment

### 140 5.1 Inconsistent metadata

141 The overall data is clean and has been preprocessed by the company, however it  
142 is quite inconsistent. Program makers are tasked with filling out the description  
143 and other necessary information for a broadcast, which is done differently in  
144 each broadcasting department and by each person. If the current variables are  
145 not consistent or rich enough, steps could be taken to utilize the subtitles of a  
146 broadcast as a feature by extracting important topics out of them.

### 147 5.2 Evaluation risks

148 The wished evaluation method to be used is A/B testing. Since this is an online  
149 evaluation method that is tested on customers, it needs to be ensured that the  
150 developed recommender system does not create latency or errors that might  
151 badly influence the image of NPO Start. The back-up for the A/B testing  
152 is an offline evaluation that uses the ratings of a subset of broadcasts. From  
153 this subset a percentage will be removed, on which the current and developed  
154 recommender system will make predictions based on the ratings that were not  
155 removed. The results of these systems will then be compared using their root-  
156 mean-square error.

## 157 6 Project plan

158 The project plan consists out of a total of 12 weeks and is shown in Table 1.

Week		Achievements
1	01/04 - 05/04	Introduction done. Data cleaned. Data richness investigated per broadcaster. Key topics extracted from subtitles.
2	08/04 - 12/04	Literature done. Pre-processed and described data. Investigated and described current performance.
3	15/04 - 19/04	Method section done. Features investigated and selected. First content-based filtering method was set up.
4	22/04 - 26/04	Worked out results for the first method. Second content-based filtering method was set up. Worked out results for the second method.
5	29/04 - 03/05	Compared performance of content-based filtering methods. Investigated integrating content-based filtering and collaborative filtering.
6	06/05 - 10/05	Mid-presentation: preliminary results evaluated. Hybrid recommender system was set up.
7	13/05 - 17/05	A/B testing was set up.
8	20/05 - 24/05	Checked results of A/B testing. Experimented and tuned hyperparameters.
9	27/05 - 31/05	Checked results of A/B testing. Experimented and tuned hyperparameters.
10	03/06 - 07/06	Results done.
11	10/06 - 14/06	Conclusion & discussion done.
12	17/06 - 21/06	Thesis done.

Table 1: Project plan

## References

- [1] Robert Bell, Yehuda Koren, and Chris Volinsky. Modeling relationships at multiple scales to improve accuracy of large recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 95–104. ACM, 2007.
- [2] Yehuda Koren. The bellkor solution to the netflix grand prize. *Netflix prize documentation*, 81:1–10, 2009.
- [3] Prem Melville, Raymond J Mooney, and Ramadass Nagarajan. Content-boosted collaborative filtering for improved recommendations. *Aaai/iaai*, 23:187–192, 2002.
- [4] Michael J Pazzani. A framework for collaborative, content-based and demographic filtering. *Artificial intelligence review*, 13(5-6):393–408, 1999.