

1 Main Wing Desing

Selection of the airfoil cross-section shape:

- Average chord length, \bar{c}
- Maximum thickness-to-chord, $(\frac{t}{c})_{\max}$
- Aspect ratio, $A = \frac{b^2}{s}$
- Taper ratio, $\lambda = c_t / c_r$
- Sweep angle, M

1.1 Airfoil cross-section shape

It should be based

- Low drag at design lift-coefficient
- High maximum lift coefficient
- Gentle stalling behaviour
- Low pitching moment coefficient
- For the inboard sections, low drag at high lift is desirable in case of deflected flaps.
- The outboard sections should manifest a high lift coefficient.
- Sufficient volume should be available to enable storage or undercarriage and fuel.
- Smaller low subsonic speed aircraft could use the NACA five digit series with $(t/c)_{\max} \sim 14\%$.
- High subsonic speed a/c ($M_{\text{cruise}} \simeq 0.8$) such as commercial jet transport would use NACA supercritical airfoils.

1.2 Taper ratio

- Key designer for the selection of the taper ratio: minimize the amount of lift-induced drag.
- Minimum drag is obtained with an elliptical wing planform.
- **Approximation:** trapezoidal wing with taper ratio of 0.4.

1.3 Sweep angle selection

- Primary reason to add sweep: to increase the section critical Mach number

$$M_{\text{effective}} = M_{\infty} \cos(\Lambda_{LE})$$

- However, it has disadvantages:

– Lowering the lift through a lower effective dynamic pressure:

$$q_{\text{effective}} = q_{\infty} \cos^2(\Lambda)$$

– And an increase in the wing weight:

$$W_{\text{wing}} \propto [\tan(\Lambda)]^2$$

– Large sweep angle results in **poorer** take-off and landing characteristics because lift-devices are **less effective**.

1.4 Wing Drag Estimation

Drag coefficient Can be written as a sum of a fixed and a variable contribution

$$C_D = C_{D_p}^- + \frac{f_{\text{fix}}}{S} + \underbrace{\frac{C_L^2}{\pi A \varphi}}$$

Similar to the Oswald factor but includes the influence of the fuselage

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{C_{D_p}^-}_{\text{profile}} &= \underbrace{(C_{D_p}^-)_W}_{\text{wing}} + \underbrace{(C_{D_p}^-)_h}_{\text{horizontal tail plane}} \frac{S_h}{S} \\ f_{\text{fix}} &= \underbrace{(C_{D_p}^- S)_f}_{\text{fuselage}} + \underbrace{(C_{D_p}^- S)_v}_{\text{vertical tailplane}} + \underbrace{(C_{D_p}^- S)_n}_{\text{nacelles}} \end{aligned}$$

1.5 Drag to Lift Ratio

$$\frac{C_D}{C_L} = \left(C_{D_p}^- + \frac{f_{\text{fix}}}{S} \right) \frac{1}{C_L} + \frac{C_L}{\pi A \varphi}$$

In a climb with constant equivalent speed

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \gamma p M^2$$

with p being climb gradient.

$$\frac{C_D}{C_L} = \left(C_{D_p}^- \frac{P_0 S}{W} + \frac{f_{\text{fix}} P_0}{W} \right) \frac{1}{2} \gamma \delta M^2 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \gamma \delta M^2 \pi A \varphi} \frac{W}{P_0 S}$$

where δ is pressure ratio.

The optimal drag-to-lift ratio is

$$\left(\frac{C_D}{C_L} \right)_{\min} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{C_{D_p}^-}{\pi}} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma P_0 \delta M^2 \frac{f_{\text{fix}}}{W}$$

and for the wing loading

$$\frac{W}{S} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma P_0 \delta M^2 \sqrt{C_{D_p}^- \pi A \varphi}$$

For typical values:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{D_p}^- &= 0.0095 \\ \varphi &= 0.95 \\ \underbrace{C_{L_{\text{des}}}}_{\text{design lift coefficient}} &= 0.17 \sqrt{A} \end{aligned}$$

1.6 Aspect ratio

- Choice of aspect ratio given by two criteria
 - Induced drag at the cruise condition
 - Take-off performance and second-segment climb gradient after engine failure
- Since it is difficult to choose a value based on performance requirements
 - Span loading is used: $W/b^2 = (W/S)/A$

Span loading good parameter to choose when the take-off field length criteria is critical, because **it is a direct measure for the induced drag per a/c weight**.

$$\frac{1}{W}C_{Di} = \frac{1}{W} \frac{C_L^2}{\pi A \rho} \Rightarrow \frac{D_i}{W} = \frac{C_L^2}{\pi W A \rho} \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 = \frac{1}{\pi \rho} \frac{\frac{W}{b^2}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2}$$

By Thorenbeek, we have

- High-subsonic jet propeller a/c

$$\frac{\frac{W}{b^2}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2} = 0.18 - 0.2$$

- Twin-engined transport a/c

$$\frac{\frac{W}{b^2}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2} < \left(1.45 \frac{T_{TO}}{W_{TO}} - 0.215 \right)$$

1.7 Planform Geometric Relations

Useful relations that apply to a trapezoidal shape, based on knowing:

- Wing area S
- Aspect ratio A
- Taper ratio λ
- Sweep angle M
- Wing span $b = \sqrt{SA}$
- Root chord

$$A = \frac{2b}{C_t + C_r} = \frac{2b}{C_r(\lambda + 1)} \Rightarrow C_r = \frac{2b}{A(1 + \lambda)}$$

- Tip chord $C_t = \lambda C_r$
- Mean aerodynamic chord length \bar{c}
- Normalized spanwise location of the mean aerodynamic chord from the center span of the wing

$$\frac{\bar{y}}{b} = \frac{1}{b} \frac{1 + 2\lambda}{1 + \lambda}$$

- Sweep angle at any x/c location of the wing

$$\Lambda_{x/c} = \arctan \left[\tan \Lambda_{\text{CE}} - \frac{x}{c} \frac{2C_r}{b} (1 - \lambda) \right]$$

- Sweep angle of the quarter-chord line

$$\Lambda_{c/4} = \arctan \left[\tan \Lambda_{\text{CE}} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{2C_r}{b} (1 - \lambda) \right]$$