Università degli studi di Torino

SCUOLA DI SCIENZE DELLA NATURA

Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Fisica



Tesi di Laurea Magistrale

TESTING OF THE TD26 TYPE CAVITY UNDER BEAM LOADING FOR THE CLIC PROJECT

Relatore: Prof. Martino Gagliardi

Controrelatore: Prof. ???

Candidato: Eugenio Senes

Anno Accademico 2015/2016

Considerate la vostra semenza: fatti non foste a viver come bruti, ma per seguir virtute e canoscenza

> Dante, La Divina Commedia Canto XXVI

Abstract

A new generation of colliders capable of reaching TeV energies is under development nowadays, and to succede in this task is necessary to show that the technology for such machine is available. The CLIC project is one of the most advanced design among the possible lepton colliders, and is formed by two normal conducting LINACs. To reach such high energies are necessary accelerating structures carrying gradient beyond 100MV/m and one of the biggest limitations is developing accelerating structures that present a sufficient low occurrence of vacuum arcs. This is pursued both with the design and the conditioning, which is the process of increasing the resilience to vacuum arcs of a structure using repetitive RF pulsing sessions.

The focus of this work is on the breakdown rate testing of the TD26 type cavity with and without beam presence inside. At CERN this test has been carried out on the cavity installed in the *dogleg* line in the CLIC-test-facility 3 (CTF3), and connected on the RF side to the X-band test stand 1 (Xbox1).

Other peculiar properties of the operation have been studied also, such has beam-induced RF generation into the cavity after the breakdowns, breakdown migration,

Italian abstract

(Translate once you have the ok to the english one)

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1				
	1.1	The CLIC poject and the CTF3 facility	4				
	1.2	Scope and outline of the thesis	4				
	1.3	Goals	4				
2	Theoretical background						
	2.1	Vacuum arcs	ļ				
		2.1.1 General background	ļ				
		2.1.2 Applications in particle accelerators	(
	2.2	Accelerating structures theory	(
	2.3	Signal processing techniques	(
		2.3.1 Interaction with the RF	-				
	2.4	Signal processing techniques	,				
3	Ext	perimental setup	8				
	3.1	Main beam accelerating structure	8				
	3.2	Linac and dogleg	8				
	3.3	RF power generation	8				
	3.4	DAQ system	Ç				
		3.4.1 Hardware					
		3.4.2 Online selection of the events	Ç				
	3.5	Other systems	ć				
4	Dat	a analysis tools	1(
	4.1	Offline selection of the events	1(
	4.2	Time and space positioning of the breakdowns	10				
	4.3	Migration of the breakdowns	10				
	4.4	Beam induced RF	10				
	4.5	Neural network based events selection	1(
5	Res	cults and future developments	11				
	5.1	Results	1				
	5.2	Further developments					
Bi	ibliog	graphy	14				

Introduction

Particle accelerators occupy a key role both in fundamental research and in all the applications and industrial processes that uses technology and processes developed initially for the physical research. E.g. at the moment there is a huge demand of high brilliance light sources, that are fundamental to inquire all the phenomena which take place at the nanoscale. In this perspective keep developing the accelerators for the physical research is a fundamental requirement to assure that the cutting-edge technology of today turns into the labware of tomorrow for all the other sciences, in addition of the contribution that pursuing the fundamental research can give to our understanding of the microscopic world.

On the particle physics side the observation of the Higgs Boson represents one of the milestones in the achievement of a fully predictive theory of the behaviour of the fundamental constituents of the matter, and was made possible by the construction of the *Large Hadron Collider* at CERN[1, 2]. However the full understanding of the physics at the particle scale still needs to be achieved. Partially this will be realised with the increase of the collision energy of the LHC, but also the International Committee for Future Accelerators (ICFA) consider that the results of LHC needs to be complemented by the results of a lepton collider in the TeV-range[3].

The reason of this decision is that according to the standard model the hadrons are particles composed by quarks, that are continously interacting exchanging gluons. This peculiarity cause the collision at high energy not to be between the hadrons themselves, but within the quarks that are composing them. In addition, there energy of the quarks are distributed statistically, so it's not possible to know in advance which will be the energy of the collision. On the other hand, the leptons are punctual particles, so the interaction is directly involving the two bullets themselves, and the number of possible processes that can take place is definitely smaller. This behaviour of particles of different kinds makes hadron colliders machines for discovery, because involve all the possible processes that can take place, and the lepton machines machines for precision, because the reduced number of possible processes guarantees the observation of the events of interest much easier.

In a collider the probability of observe a particular interaction process is given by

$$P = \mathcal{L}\sigma$$

where σ is the process cross-section, which depends by the physics, and \mathscr{L} is the luminosity, which depends entirely by the machine. Therefore the figure of merit when it comes to talk about accelerators is the luminosity, which is given by

$$\mathscr{L} = H_d \frac{N^2}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} n_b f_r$$

where H_d is a correction factor, N is the number of particles per bunch, σ_x and σ_y are the beam dimensions in the horizontal and vertical plane, n_b is the number of particle per bunch and f_r is the collision frequency of the bunches.

Then becomes obvious try to reach the highest luminosity possible since the events that are going to be studied are rare. This is realised using two kinds of machines:

- linear accelerators (LINACs): present a low repetition frequency, typically lower than hundred of Hz and the beam is passing just once to be accelerated through the machine.
- circular accelerators (typically synchrotrons): have a higher repetition frequency, up to tenth of KHz, and are keeping the particle beam in orbit for many turns, so can accelerate it over a long period of time

The key issue in the realisation of a lepton circular collider is the emission of synchrotron radiation, and is known that the power irradiated by a single particle in a circular machine scales according to the law

$$P \propto \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{E^4}{m_0^4}$$

where ρ is the bending radius of the machine, E is the particle energy and m_0 is its rest mass. As can be noted in the table 1.1, the energy loss per turn is a relevant fraction of the beam energy, e.g for the LEP machine over than 3 GeV were lost per turn, while the record energy per beam was 104.5 GeV.

To solve the issue the development of new lepton colliders is so focusing on two different solutions:

- 1. Use muons instead of electrons: this approach has to deal with the short life of muons, which is roughly $2\mu s$ in the laboratory frame
- 2. Limit the losses caused by synchrotron radiation, or increasing the bending radius or abandon the circular topology for the linear one

Also has to be noted that the former technology is rather new and needs to still be fully developed, while the latter profits of the progresses achieved in the last half century mainly in SLAC and KEK on the LINAC technology.

Parameter	LEP2	FCC-ee	CI	IC	ILC
Centre of mass energy $[GeV]$	209	350	500	3000	500
Peak luminosity $[10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$	0.012	1.3	2.3	5.9	1.8
Total lenght $[km]$	26.7	100	13	48.4	31
Loaded acc. gradient $[MV/m]$			80	100	31.5
Bunch population $[10^9]$	105	170	6.8	3.72	500
Bunch spacing $[ns]$		4000	0.5	0.5	554
Number of bunches	4				1312
Collision rate $[Hz]$			50	50	5
$\epsilon_x^* / \epsilon_y^* [\mu m] / [nm]$			2.4/25	0.66/20	10/35
$\sigma_x^* / \sigma_y^* [nm]$		3600/70	202/2.3	40/1	474/5.9
Energy loss per turn $[GeV]$	3.34	7.55	_	-	-
Power consumption $[MW]$	3.34	7.55			163

Table 1.1: Comparison of two circular machines, LEP[4] and FCC-ee[4, 5] and the two projects for linear machines, the first and last stage of the CLIC implementation [6] and the final stage of ILC[7]

In this perspective a number of project are under study at the moment, of wich the most ambitious are FCC-ee, Future Circular Collider, ILC, International Linear Collider, and CLIC, Compact Linear Collider. The first one consist in a circular collider which is supposed to be placed in a 80-100 km long tunnel before of the installation of the FCC-hh, the other are LINACs even if based on completely different technologies and solutions. A comparison of the features of these projects in the final stage is presented in the underlying table, and also precedent machines, LEP and SLAC, are presented for comparison

Furthermore a recent interest arose on more compact technologies, e.g. plasma acceleration techniques, but the reliability of such designs still need to be proven in the perspective of creating a fully functional machine that goes beyond the prototype.

1.1 The CLIC poject and the CTF3 facility

In lobortis augue porta dui venenatis sollicitudin. In sagittis quis ipsum non dictum. Sed tempus, quam non vehicula dictum, mauris nisl posuere metus, eu lobortis odio risus at dui. Nullam non ante vulputate nulla ultrices euismod eu a diam. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Nulla nec augue a risus viverra mattis. Ut tincidunt egestas nulla at semper. Fusce pretium, leo quis consectetur viverra, arcu lectus ornare leo, quis commodo ex risus sit amet velit. Nullam finibus lorem in mi tincidunt, sed feugiat lectus tincidunt. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Sed quis auctor odio, at sodales nunc. Donec vulputate massa sit amet dolor sollicitudin, vel pretium quam scelerisque. Nullam et massa eleifend, venenatis ante vitae, ornare libero. Suspendisse potenti. Nam ante lacus, porttitor vel turpis quis, pellentesque auctor velit.

1.2 Scope and outline of the thesis

Morbi eget elementum tellus. Sed varius lacus ac nulla maximus, et varius lacus varius. Nulla faucibus magna sit amet magna auctor, vitae placerat turpis imperdiet. Duis blandit bibendum tellus nec accumsan. Aliquam arcu nulla, efficitur vitae sodales eu, tincidunt ac tortor. Cras gravida vulputate porttitor. Etiam ornare est at efficitur convallis. Quisque pulvinar tellus pulvinar lacus tristique, bibendum dapibus velit ultricies. Suspendisse id faucibus dui. Sed quis convallis dui. Etiam aliquam suscipit eros id pellentesque. Aliquam a suscipit leo, sit amet convallis dui. Donec sed pretium quam. Mauris nec tincidunt mi, in feugiat quam.

1.3 Goals

Sed convallis pulvinar dui et ullamcorper. Maecenas facilisis, ante a tristique convallis, nunc ipsum fermentum odio, a auctor ligula risus ut nibh. Praesent sit amet tempus metus. Proin enim ipsum, mollis in nunc sed, tempus tempor magna. Nam ultricies lacus et porttitor bibendum. Suspendisse sit amet placerat nibh. Curabitur rutrum massa eu tortor sodales iaculis. Mauris sit amet odio eget velit tempus auctor. Pellentesque nec posuere neque. Nam in orci vehicula, ullamcorper sapien quis, pellentesque mauris. Sed eu porta ex.

Theoretical background

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet¹, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed dui sem, aliquam id ultricies sit amet, fermentum at magna. Aenean vitae rhoncus leo. Fusce gravida consequat lacus, a porta risus bibendum semper. Morbi eget auctor velit. Pellentesque eu lacinia nisi. Maecenas sed orci eu erat porta imperdiet ac non dui. Pellentesque a odio ac quam euismod tempor. Nulla in dapibus mauris, a sodales ex. In imperdiet enim sed ornare sollicitudin. Pellentesque² habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec vehicula metus eu nisi ornare euismod. Proin at ex non ex iaculis porta.

2.1 Vacuum arcs

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Sed dui sem, aliquam id ultricies sit amet, fermentum at magna. Aenean vitae rhoncus leo. Fusce gravida consequat lacus, a porta risus bibendum semper. Morbi eget auctor velit. Pellentesque eu lacinia nisi. Maecenas sed orci eu erat porta imperdiet ac non dui. Pellentesque a odio ac quam euismod tempor. Nulla in dapibus mauris, a sodales ex. In imperdiet enim sed ornare sollicitudin. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec vehicula metus eu nisi ornare euismod. Proin at ex non ex iaculis porta. Here I want to test[?] some[?] quote[?]

2.1.1 General background

Nulla interdum molestie bibendum. Quisque condimentum justo quis lectus pretium, eget porttitor odio elementum. In dignissim sed justo et congue. In pulvinar feugiat odio eu vehicula. In ut malesuada est, sit amet porttitor dolor. Donec ullamcorper libero eros, vitae blandit nibh pellentesque quis. Aliquam aliquet ex id sapien lobortis, at molestie sem commodo. Donec quis accumsan lectus. Sed eget turpis id mi iaculis accumsan. Maecenas eget rutrum leo. Nam eu purus vitae lorem semper vestibulum. Phasellus mattis euismod faucibus.

¹first foot note

²another foot note

Vestibulum ornare sem a mattis placerat. Donec interdum blandit erat, eu iaculis risus cursus sed. Donec magna sem, finibus nec scelerisque nec, auctor in turpis.

2.1.2 Applications in particle accelerators

Morbi eget elementum tellus. Sed varius lacus ac nulla maximus, et varius lacus varius. Nulla faucibus magna sit amet magna auctor, vitae placerat turpis imperdiet. Duis blandit bibendum tellus nec accumsan. Aliquam arcu nulla, efficitur vitae sodales eu, tincidunt ac tortor. Cras gravida vulputate porttitor. Etiam ornare est at efficitur convallis. Quisque pulvinar tellus pulvinar lacus tristique, bibendum dapibus velit ultricies. Suspendisse id faucibus dui. Sed quis convallis dui. Etiam aliquam suscipit eros id pellentesque. Aliquam a suscipit leo, sit amet convallis dui. Donec sed pretium quam. Mauris nec tincidunt mi, in feugiat quam.

2.2 Accelerating structures theory

2.3 Signal processing techniques

In lobortis augue porta dui venenatis sollicitudin. In sagittis quis ipsum non dictum. Sed tempus, quam non vehicula dictum, mauris nisl posuere metus, eu lobortis odio risus at dui. Nullam non ante vulputate nulla ultrices euismod eu a diam. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Nulla nec augue a risus viverra mattis. Ut tincidunt egestas nulla at semper. Fusce pretium, leo quis consectetur viverra, arcu lectus ornare leo, quis commodo ex risus sit amet velit. Nullam finibus lorem in mi tincidunt, sed feugiat lectus tincidunt. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Sed quis auctor odio, at sodales nunc. Donec vulputate massa sit amet dolor sollicitudin, vel pretium quam scelerisque. Nullam et massa eleifend, venenatis ante vitae, ornare libero. Suspendisse potenti. Nam ante lacus, porttitor vel turpis quis, pellentesque auctor velit.

Sed convallis pulvinar dui et ullamcorper. Maecenas facilisis, ante a tristique convallis, nunc ipsum fermentum odio, a auctor ligula risus ut nibh. Praesent sit amet tempus metus. Proin enim ipsum, mollis in nunc sed, tempus tempor magna. Nam ultricies lacus et porttitor bibendum. Suspendisse sit amet placerat nibh. Curabitur rutrum massa eu tortor sodales iaculis. Mauris sit amet odio eget velit tempus auctor. Pellentesque nec posuere neque. Nam in orci vehicula, ullamcorper sapien quis, pellentesque mauris. Sed eu porta ex.

2.3.1 Interaction with the RF

2.4 Signal processing techniques

In lobortis augue porta dui venenatis sollicitudin. In sagittis quis ipsum non dictum. Sed tempus, quam non vehicula dictum, mauris nisl posuere metus, eu lobortis odio risus at dui. Nullam non ante vulputate nulla ultrices euismod eu a diam. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Nulla nec augue a risus viverra mattis. Ut tincidunt egestas nulla at semper. Fusce pretium, leo quis consectetur viverra, arcu lectus ornare leo, quis commodo ex risus sit amet velit. Nullam finibus lorem in mi tincidunt, sed feugiat lectus tincidunt. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Sed quis auctor odio, at sodales nunc. Donec vulputate massa sit amet dolor sollicitudin, vel pretium quam scelerisque. Nullam et massa eleifend, venenatis ante vitae, ornare libero. Suspendisse potenti. Nam ante lacus, porttitor vel turpis quis, pellentesque auctor velit.

Sed convallis pulvinar dui et ullamcorper. Maecenas facilisis, ante a tristique convallis, nunc ipsum fermentum odio, a auctor ligula risus ut nibh. Praesent sit amet tempus metus. Proin enim ipsum, mollis in nunc sed, tempus tempor magna. Nam ultricies lacus et porttitor bibendum. Suspendisse sit amet placerat nibh. Curabitur rutrum massa eu tortor sodales iaculis. Mauris sit amet odio eget velit tempus auctor. Pellentesque nec posuere neque. Nam in orci vehicula, ullamcorper sapien quis, pellentesque mauris. Sed eu porta ex.

Experimental setup

3.1 The TD26 accelerating structure

3.2 The LINAC and the Dogleg

Bullet list example

- first point
- second point
- third point

3.3 RF power generation

Enumeration example

- 1. first point
- 2. second point
- 3. third point

Description example

first descr first point

second descr second point

third descr third point

3.4 DAQ system

3.4.1 Hardware

3.4.2 Online selection of the events

describe the online, but then the offline is in the next chapter ... but you can also build nested lists

- first point
 - first point
 - second point
- second point
- third point

3.5 Other systems

mention here thermal systems for the structure and something else???

Data analysis tools

4.1 Offline selection of the events

A tabular example

Tit1	Tit2
el1	el2
el1	el2
el1	el2

but tabulars cannot be captioned! (are in text elements)

Using the table environment, the caption works! BUT BECOMES FLOAT-ING OBJECTS (in fact is on the bottom of the page due to no more text inserted afterwards).

Same thing for the figure environment

- 4.2 Time and space positioning of the breakdowns
- 4.3 Migration of the breakdowns
- 4.4 Beam induced RF
- 4.5 Neural network based events selection

1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9

Table 4.1: A simple table

Results and future developments

5.1 Results

A figure example, with text in line (NO CAPTION)



A figure example, with floating object and caption

5.2 Further developments



Figure 5.1: the logo of UniTo

List of Figures

5.1 the logo of UniTo			1
-----------------------	--	--	---

List of Tables

1.1	Comparison of two circular machines, LEP[4] and FCC-ee[4, 5]				
	and the two projects for linear machines, the fist and last stage				
	of the CLIC implementation [6] and the final stage of $ILC[7]$	3			
4.1	A simple table	10			

Bibliography

- [1] S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC," *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B716, pp. 30–61, 2012.
- [2] O. S. Bruening, P. Collier, P. Lebrun, S. Myers, R. Ostojic, J. Poole, and P. Proudlock, *LHC Design Report*. Geneva: CERN, 2004.
- [3] "Icfa statement on linear colliders." http://icfa.fnal.gov/statements/icfa_lcstatement/.
- [4] J. W. et al., "Future Circular Collider Study Lepton Collider Parameters," Tech. Rep. FCC-1401201640-DSC, CERN, Geneva, Jun 2016.
- [5] F. Zimmermann, M. Benedikt, K. Oide, A. Bogomyagkov, E. Levichev, M. Migliorati, and U. Wienands, "Status and Challenges for FCC-ee," Tech. Rep. CERN-ACC-2015-0111, CERN, Geneva, Aug 2015.
- [6] M. Aicheler, P. Burrows, M. Draper, T. Garvey, P. Lebrun, K. Peach, N. Phinney, H. Schmickler, D. Schulte, and N. Toge, "A Multi-TeV Linear Collider Based on CLIC Technology: CLIC Conceptual Design Report," Tech. Rep. CERN-2012-007. SLAC-R-985. KEK-Report-2012-1. PSI-12-01. JAI-2012-001, Geneva, 2012.
- [7] T. Behnke, J. E. Brau, B. Foster, J. Fuster, M. Harrison, J. M. Paterson, M. Peskin, M. Stanitzki, N. Walker, and H. Yamamoto, "The International Linear Collider - Volume 1: Executive Summary," Tech. Rep. CERN-ATS-2013-037. IHEP-AC-ILC-2013-001. ILC-REPORT-2013-040. KEK-Report-2013-1., Geneva, Jun 2013.