TDT4205 Problem Set 2 Spring 2012

Answers are to be submitted to *itslearning*, by Wednesday, Feb. 15^{th} , 20:00.

All submitted source code MUST be able to compile and run on asti. Grading is **pass/fail**. Please read the assignment guidelines on itslearning before starting to work on the assignment. Requests for clarifications can be posted on the itslearning forum.

What to turn in

When turning in assignments, please turn in two files:

- (your_username)_answers.pdf : Answers to non-programming questions (Part 1)
- (your_username)_code.zip,tar.gz,tgz : All your code for this assignment, including makefiles and other necessary code (Part 2)

PART 1 - Theory

Task 1.1

A subset of Pascal expressions (ref. http://www.seas.gwu.edu/ hchoi/teaching/cs160d/pascal.pdf) can be abstracted into the grammar

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} &\rightarrow \mathbf{S} \mid \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{r} \ \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{S} &\rightarrow \mathbf{T} \mid \mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{T} \mid \mathbf{S} \ \mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} &\rightarrow \mathbf{F} \mid \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{m} \ \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{F} &\rightarrow \mathbf{i} \mid \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{I} &\rightarrow \mathbf{p} \mid \mathbf{m} \end{split}$$

Tabulate the FIRST and FOLLOW sets for each nonterminal in this grammar in a table like Tab. 1.

Table 1: FIRST and FOLLOW sets for nonterminals

NT	FIRST(NT)	FOLLOW(NT)
Ε		
S		
Τ		
F		
Ι		

Task 1.2

- 1. What makes a bottom-up parser more powerful than a top-down parser?
- 2. What kind of parser does GNU Bison generate?
- 3. Why do we even need a compiler generator? Why not just hand-code the compilers, like other programs?

Task 1.3

- 1. What characterizes a shift-reduce parser? How is parsing done in practice?
- 2. Some grammars may result in conflicts in shift-reduce parsers. Describe the difference between shift-reduce conflicts and reduce-reduce conflicts. Give a small example of both conflicts.

PART 2 - Programming: Lexer and parser

The directory in the code archive ps2_skeleton.tgz begins a compiler for a slightly modified version of VSL ("Very Simple Language"), defined by Bennett[1].

The lexical structure of VSL is defined as follows:

- Whitespace consists of the characters '\t', '\n' and '. It is ignored after lexical analysis.
- Comments begin with the sequence '//', and last until the next '\n' character. They are ignored after lexical analysis.
- Reserved words are FUNC, PRINT, RETURN, CONTINUE, IF, THEN, ELSE, FI, WHILE, DO, DONE and VAR.
- Operators are assignment (:=), and the basic arithmetic operators '+', '-', '*', '/' and '**' (where the last one represents the power of-operator).
- Numbers are sequences of one or more decimal digits ('0' through '9').
- Strings are sequences of arbitrary characters (except '\n'), enclosed in double quote characters ('"'). It is an error to break a string across multiple lines.
- *Identifiers* are sequences of at least one letter followed by an arbitrary sequence of letters and digits. Letters are defined as the upper- and lower-case english alphabet ('A' through 'Z' and 'a' through 'Z'), as well as underscore ('_'). Digits are the decimal digits, as above.

The syntactic structure is given in the context-free grammar in Fig. 1.

Building the program combines src/vslc.c, src/scanner.l and src/parser.y into a binary called bin/vslc, which runs the scanner/parser pair on input from stdin (or optionally, a file). The scanner can dump a text representation of tokens and lexemes on stderr as it finds them, and the parser can produce a similar representation of syntax trees.

In the subdirectory vsl_programs is an example program, along with files of tokens/parse tree generated by a correct scanner/parser pair.

The structure in the vslc directory will be similar throughout subsequent problem sets, as the compiler takes shape. See the slide set from the 3^{rd} recitation for an explanation of its construction, and notes on writing Lex/Yacc specifications.

```
program \rightarrow function\_list
function_list \rightarrow function | function_list function
statement\_list \rightarrow statement \mid statement\_list statement
print_list → print_item | print_list ', ' print_item
expression_list \rightarrow expression | expression_list ', ' expression
variable_list → variable | indexed_variable | variable_list ', ' variable |
variable_list ', ' indexed_variable
argument_list \rightarrow expression_list \mid \epsilon
parameter_list \rightarrow variable_list \mid \epsilon
declaration\_list \rightarrow declaration\_list declaration \mid \epsilon
function → FUNC variable '(' parameter_list ')' statement
statement \rightarrow assignment\_statement \mid return\_statement \mid print\_statement \mid null\_statement \mid
if_statement | while_statement | block
block \rightarrow '{' declaration_list statement_list '}'
assignment_statement \rightarrow variable ASSIGN expression
variable '[' expression ']' ASSIGN expression
return_statement \rightarrow RETURN expression
print\_statement \rightarrow PRINT print\_list
null\_statement \rightarrow CONTINUE
if_statement \rightarrow IF expression THEN statement FI
IF expression THEN statement ELSE statement FI
while_statement \rightarrow WHILE expression DO statement DONE
expression → expression '+' expression | expression '-' expression | expression '*' expression |
expression '/' expression | '-' expression | expression POWER expression |
'(' expression ')' | integer | variable | variable '(' argument list ')' | variable '[' expression ']'
declaration \rightarrow VAR variable\_list
variable \rightarrow IDENTIFIER
indexed\_variable \rightarrow variable '[' integer ']'
integer \rightarrow NUMBER
print_item \rightarrow expression \mid text
\text{text} \to \text{STRING}
```

Figure 1: Context Free Grammar of VSL

Task 2.1

Complete the Lex scanner specification in **src/scanner.1** using the lexical specification, so that it properly tokenizes VSL programs.

Task 2.2

A node_t structure is defined in src/tree.h. Complete the auxiliary functions node_init and node_finalize in src/tree.c, so that they can initialize/free node_t-sized memory areas passed to them by their first argument. The function destroy_subtree should recursively remove the subtree below a given root node, while node_finalize should only remove the memory associated with a single node.

Task 2.3

Complete src/parser.y to include the VSL grammar, with semantic actions to construct the program's parse tree using the functions defined in Task 3. The top-level production should assign the root node to the globally accessible node_t pointer 'root' (declared in src/parser.y).

References

[1] Bennett, J. P.: Introduction to Compiling Techniques, McGraw-Hill, 1990