1. THE QUESTION: SCHWARZSCHILD OR LEDOUX?

The fundamental question behind this project is not, "If you look at an instantaneous profile of a star, which stability criterion should you use?" We know the answer to that question: Ledoux. The question here is, "is the Ledoux criterion fragile?" Or, given time, will a Ledoux-stable (but Schwarzschild-unstable) region which is adjacent to a convection zone remain stable over dynamical timescales?

2. THE QUASI-BOUSSINESQ EQUATIONS OF MOTION

2.1. Dimensional equations

We will solve the Boussinesq equations of motion in the incompressible limit. We will solve for the velocity (\mathbf{u}), the temperature (T), and the composition (μ). In this limit, density variations are ignored except in the buoyant term in the momentum equation, where they follow

$$\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = \alpha T + \beta \mu, \qquad \qquad \alpha \equiv \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial T} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta \equiv \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \mu}$$
 (1)

We will use values of $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha < 0$ (higher concentration \rightarrow denser, lower temperature \rightarrow denser). The Boussinesq momentum equation (in which ρ can only vary on the gravitational term) is,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nabla p}{\rho_0} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \mathbf{g} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}. \tag{2}$$

Removing ρ under the Boussinesq approximation, we retrieve our evolution equations.

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nabla p}{\rho_0} = (\alpha T + \beta \mu) \mathbf{g} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}$$
(3)

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla T - \nabla T_{\mathrm{ad}}) = \nabla \cdot [k_0 \nabla \overline{T}] + \chi \nabla^2 T' + Q \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_t \mu + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mu = \chi_{\mu,0} \nabla^2 \overline{\mu} + \chi_\mu \nabla^2 \mu'. \tag{5}$$

Here, k_0 and $\chi_{\mu,0}$ are diffusivities which act on the horizontally averaged mode while χ and χ_{μ} act on the fluctuations; ν is a viscosity which acts on all flows. Assuming that a vertical energy flux F is carried through the system, this allows us to define an adiabatic and radiative gradient,

$$\nabla \equiv -\nabla T, \qquad \nabla_{\rm ad} \equiv -\nabla T_{\rm ad}, \qquad \nabla_{\rm rad} \equiv \frac{F}{k_0}.$$
 (6)

Note that in this system, if you linearize and idealize and solve for the dispersion relation, the squared brunt frequencies (assuming $\mathbf{g} = -g\hat{z}$) are

$$N_{\text{therm}}^2 = \alpha g(\nabla - \nabla_{\text{ad}}), \qquad N_{\text{comp}}^2 = -\beta g \nabla \mu, \qquad N^2 = N_{\text{therm}}^2 + N_{\text{comp}}^2,$$
 (7)

and the stability criterion is to have $N^2 > 0$.

2.2. Nondimensional Equations

The nondimensional equations are then (with ϖ the dynamical pressure).

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \omega = (-T + R_d^{-1} Y)\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u},$$
 (8)

$$\partial_t T - \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (\nabla - \nabla_{ad}) = -\nabla \cdot [k_0 \overline{\nabla}] + \frac{1}{Pe} \nabla^2 T' + Q, \tag{9}$$

$$\partial_t \mu + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \mu = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}_{\mu,0}} \nabla^2 \overline{\mu} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}_{\mu}} \nabla^2 \mu'. \tag{10}$$

The nondimensional brunt frequencies are

$$N_{\rm therm}^2 = (\nabla_{\rm ad} - \nabla), \qquad N_{\rm comp}^2 = -R_{\rm d}^{-1} \nabla \mu, \equiv \nabla_{\mu} \qquad N^2 = N_{\rm therm}^2 + N_{\rm comp}^2 = (\nabla_{\mu} + \nabla_{\rm ad} - \nabla). \tag{11}$$

3. THREE-LAYER EXPERIMENT

We want to set up an experiment where there are three layers, characterized by:

- 1. (CZ) $\nabla = \nabla_{ad}$, $\nabla_{\mu} = 0$, $\nabla_{rad} > \nabla_{ad}$.
- 2. (semiconvection) $\nabla = \nabla_{\rm rad}$, $\nabla_{\rm rad} \nabla_{\mu} < \nabla_{\rm ad}$, $\nabla_{\rm rad} > \nabla_{\rm ad}$.
- 3. (RZ) $\nabla = \nabla_{\text{rad}}$, $\nabla_{\mu} = 0$, $\nabla_{\text{rad}} < \nabla_{\text{ad}}$.

As in our previous work, we will set the convective flux $F_{\text{conv}} = Q\delta_H = 0.2$ with Q = 1 and $\delta_H = 0.2$. We will set the flux entering the bottom of the domain, and thus we will set k_0 , so that $F_{\text{bot}} = k_0 \nabla_{\text{ad}} = \eta F_{\text{conv}}$. By our choice of Q and by setting the convective domain size to L = 1, we implicitly set $f_{\text{conv}} \sim 1$. To set the stiffness S, we set the value of $N^2 = \nabla_{\text{ad}} - \nabla_{\text{rad}} = S$ in the RZ. We have still not chosen a value of ∇_{ad} (just its relation to ∇_{rad} in the RZ and ∇_{rad} , or k_0 , in the CZ). So we will choose a value of the penetration parameter P that is either realistic of stellar values (1) or which has no penetration ($\ll 1$). This parameter is

$$\mathcal{P} = -\frac{k_{\rm CZ}(\nabla_{\rm rad} - \nabla_{\rm ad})_{\rm CZ}}{k_{\rm RZ}(\nabla_{\rm rad} - \nabla_{\rm ad})_{\rm RZ}}.$$
(12)

We furthermore want to set it so that $\Delta \mu = 1$ (that's the magnitude of the μ decrease over zone 2 above). We additionally want to ensure $N^2 > 0$ in zone 2, so R_d must be set so that $\nabla_{\mu} > \nabla_{\rm rad} - \nabla_{\rm ad}$ in the CZ. Under these constraints, we are free to choose whatever values of R_e , R_e , and P_e we want. We want to choose them, probably, so that the semiconvective layer is *not unstable* to any classical semiconvective instabilities. We should prove that it is stable to these instabilities using a 1-layer model which just encompasses zone (2) above. Once we know it's stable, then we should do the 3-layer model.