

# Human Language Technologies

Federico Matteoni

A.A. 2021/22

# Index

0.1	Introduction . . . . .	2
0.2	State of the Art . . . . .	2
0.3	Language Modeling . . . . .	3

## 0.1 Introduction

Prof. Giuseppe Attardi

Prerequisites are: proficiency in Python, basic probability and statistics, calculus and linear algebra and notions of machine learning.

**What will we learn** Understanding of and ability to use effective modern methods for **Natural Language Processing**. From traditional methods to current advanced ones like RNN, Attentions...

Understanding the difficulties in dealing with NL and the capabilities of current technologies, with experience with **modern tools** and aiming towards the ability to build systems for some major NLP tasks: word similarities, parsing, machine translation, entity recognition, question answering, sentiment analysis, dialogue system...

**Books** Speech and Language Processing (Jurafsky, Martin), Deep Learning (Goodfellow, Bengio, Courville), Natural Language Processing in Python (Bird, Klein, Loper)

**Exam** Project (alone or team of 2-3 people) with the aim to experiment with techniques in a realistic setting using data from competitions (Kaggle, CoNLL, SemEval, Evalita...). The topic will be proposed by the team or chosen from a list of suggestions.

### Experimental Approach

1. Formulate hypothesis
2. Implement technique
3. Train and test
4. Apply evaluation metric
5. If not improved:
  - Perform error analysis
  - Revise hypothesis
6. Repeat!

**Motivations** Language is the most distinctive feature of human intelligence, **it shapes thought**. Emulating language capabilities is a scientific challenge, a **keystone for intelligent systems** (see: Turing test)

**Structured vs unstructured data** The largest amount of information shared with each other is unstructured, primarily text. Information is mostly communicated by e-mails, reports, articles, conversations, media... and attempts to turn text to structured (HTML) or microformat only scratched the surface.

Problems: requires universal agreed **ontologies** and additional effort. Entity linking attempts to provide a bridge.

## 0.2 State of the Art

**Early History** During 1950s, up until AI winter.

**Resurgence in the 1990s** Thanks to statistical methods, novelty, to study language. Challenges arise: NIST, Netflix, DARPA Grand Challenge...

During 2010s: deep learning, neural machine translation...

**Statistical Machine Learning** Supervised training with **annotated** documents.

The paradigm is composed of the following:

Training set  $\{x_i, y_i\}$

Representation: choose a set of features to represent data  $x \mapsto \phi(x) \in R^D$

Model: choose an hypothesis function to compute  $f(x) = F_{\Theta}(\phi(x))$

Evaluation: define the cost function on error with respect to examples  $J(\Theta) = \sum_i (f(x_i) - y_i)^2$

Optimization: find parameters  $\Theta$  that minimize  $J(\Theta)$

It's a generic method, applicable to any problem.

**Traditional Supervised Learning Approach** Freed us from devising algorithms and rules, requiring the creation of annotated training sets and imposing the tyranny of feature engineering.

Standard approach for each new problem:

Gather as much labeled data as one can

Throw a bunch of models at it

Pick the best

Spend hours hand engineering some features or doing feature selection/dimensionality reduction

Rinse and repeat

**Technological Breakthroughs** Improved ML techniques but also large annotated datasets and more computing power, provided by GPUs and dedicated ML processors (like the TPU by Google).

ML exploits parallelism: stochastic gradient descent can be parallelized (asynchronous stochastic gradient descent). No need to protect shared memory access, and low (half, single) precision is enough.

**Deep Learning Approach** Was a big breakthrough.

Design a model architecture

Define a loss function

Run the network letting the parameters and the data representations **self-organize** as to minimize the loss

End-to-end learning: no intermediate stages nor representation

**Feature representation** Use a vector with each entry representing a feature of the domain element

Deep Learning represents data as vectors. Images are vectors (matrices), but words? **Word Embeddings**: transform a word into a vector of hundreds of dimensions capturing many subtle aspects of its meaning. Computed by the means of **language model**.

From a discrete to distributed representation. Words meaning are dense vectors of weights in a high dimensional space, with algebraic properties.

Background: philosophy, linguistics and statistics ML (feature vectors).

**Language Model** Statistical model which tells the probability that a word comes after a given word in a sentence.

**Dealing with Sentences** A sentence is a sequence of words: build a representation of a sequence from those of its words (compositional hypothesis). Sequence to sequence models.

Is there more structure in a sentence than a sequence of words? In many cases, tools forgets information when translating sentences into sequences of words, discarding much of the structure.

## 0.3 Language Modeling

**Probabilistic Language Model** The goal is to assign a probability to a sentence.

**Machine Translation**:  $P(\text{high winds tonight}) > P(\text{large winds tonight})$

**Spell Correction**:  $P(\text{about fifteen minutes from}) > P(\text{about fifteen minuets from})$

**Speech Recognition**:  $P(\text{I saw a van}) > P(\text{eye saw a van})$

**Language Identification**:  $s$  from unknown language (italian or english) and  $Lita$ ,  $Leng$  language models for italian and english  $\Rightarrow Lita(s) > Leng(s)$

Summarization, question answering...

We want to compute

$P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  the probability of a sequence

$P(w_4 | w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)$  the probability of a word given some previous words

The model that computes that is called the **language model**

**Markov Model and N-Grams** Simplify the assumption: the probability of a word given all the previous is the same of the probability of that word given just few (one, two...) previous words. So  $P(w_i | w_i, \dots, w_1) = P(w_i | w_{i-1})$  (First order Markov chain).

With a **N-gram**:  $P(w_n | w_1^{n-1}) \simeq P(w_n | w_{n-N+1}^{n-1})$

In general it's insufficient: language has **long distance dependencies**, but we can often get away with  $N$ -gram models. For example:

"The **man** next to the large oak tree near the grocery store on the corner **is** tall."

"The **men** next to the large oak tree near the grocery store on the corner **are** tall."

Or even semantic dependencies:

"The **bird** next to the large oak tree near the grocery store on the corner **flies** rapidly."

"The **man** next to the large oak tree near the grocery store on the corner **talks** rapidly."

So more complex models are needed to handle such dependencies.

**Maximum likelihood estimate**

$$P(w_n | w_{n-N+1}^{n-1}) = \frac{\text{count}(w_{n-N+1}^{n-1}, w_n)}{\text{count}(w_{n-N+1}^{n-1})}$$

Maximum because it's the one that maximize  $P(\text{Training set} | \text{Model})$

**Shannon Visualization Method** Generate random sentences:

Choose a random bigram  $(\langle s \rangle, w)$  according to its probability

Choose a random bigram  $(w, x)$  according to its probability

Repeat until we pick  $\langle /s \rangle$