Consistency of the MLE for Poisson distribution

Objective: The primary purpose is to explore the consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator $(\hat{\lambda}_n)$ for the Poisson distribution as the sample size (n) increases.



Motivation: A data scientist has conducted research which suggests the number of calls in an answering service approaches a Poisson distribution and the telephonist, on the average, handles six calls every two minutes $\left(\lambda^* = 6 \frac{calls}{two\ minutes}\right)$.

Task: Follow the subsequent steps to examine the consistency of $\hat{\lambda}_n$.

- 1. Assume that the number of calls addressed by the telephone operator indeed resembles a Poisson distribution.
- 2. Compute the average number of calls (λ) answered in a minute from the given information, use the three-simple rule to obtain λ .
- 3. Open the Shiny app given in the URL https://tinyurl.com/shinymle.
- 4. Using the Shiny app, select the Poisson distribution, the real rate $\left(\lambda = 3 \; \frac{calls}{minute}\right)$ and $\delta = 0.07$.
- 5. Fix the sample size at n = 50, 100, 200, 560, 1000, 2400, 4700 and fill the gaps in Table 1 by using the results from the Shiny app.

Table 1. Number of samples and proportions when the maximum likelihood estimator is within or outside the interval $(\lambda \pm \delta)$.

Sample size n	Number of samples on which $\lambda - \delta < \widehat{\lambda}_n < \lambda + \delta$	Number of samples on which $\widehat{\lambda}_n \leq \lambda - \delta \text{ or } \widehat{\lambda}_n \geq \lambda + \delta$	$P(\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda < \delta)$	$P(\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda \ge \delta)$
50	2301		0.23	
100				
200		5839		0.584
560				
1000				
2400				
4700				

6.	According to the data gathered:			
	•	What can one infer with regard to the pattern observed?		
	•	Can one affirm that $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is close to $\lambda = 3$ with high probability (when n is large)?		
7.	-	cate numerals 4 and 5 with higher values for δ . What can one conclude about the rgence quickness of $\hat{\lambda}_n$ as δ rises?		

Consistency of the MLE for Geometric distribution

Objective: The primary purpose is to explore the consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator $(\hat{\pi}_n)$ for the Geometric distribution as the sample size (n) increases.



Motivation: From grandparents' expertise, when a loaded dice is thrown repeatedly on a board game, the Geometric distribution might be used for modeling the number of failures until the first time a "6" appears with success probability equals 0.3.

Task: Follow the subsequent steps to examine the consistency of $\hat{\pi}_n$.

- 1. Assume that the number of failures until the first time a "6" appears truly resembles a Geometric distribution.
- 2. Open the Shiny app given in the URL https://tinyurl.com/shinymle.
- 3. Using the Shiny app, select the Geometric distribution, the real probability ($\pi=0.3$) and $\delta=0.07$
- 4. Fix the sample size at n = 50, 100, 200, 560, 1000, 2400, 4700 and fill the gaps in Table 2 by using the results from the Shiny app.

Table 2. Number of samples and proportions when the maximum likelihood estimator is within or outside the interval $(\pi \pm \delta)$.

Sample size n	Number of samples on which $\pi - \delta < \hat{\pi}_n < \pi + \delta$	Number of samples on which $\hat{\pi}_n \leq \pi - \delta \text{ or } \hat{\pi}_n \geq \\ \pi + \delta$	$P(\hat{\pi}_n - \pi < \delta)$	$P(\hat{\pi}_n - \pi \ge \delta)$
50	9437		0.944	
100				
200		1		0
560				
1000				
2400				
4700				

5.	Accor	ding to the data gathered:
	•	What can one infer with regard to the pattern observed?
	•	Can one affirm that $\hat{\pi}_n$ is close to $\pi=0.3$ with high probability (when n is large)?
		
6.	-	cate numerals 3 and 4 with higher values for δ . What can one conclude about the regence quickness of $\hat{\pi}_n$ as δ rises?

Consistency of the MLE for Exponential distribution

Objective: The primary purpose is to explore the consistency of the maximum likelihood estimator $(\widehat{\phi}_n)$ for the Exponential distribution as the sample size (n) increases.



Motivation: An experimental physicist claims that the lapse of time between detections of an unusual particle by a Geiger counter has an Exponential distribution with mean time equals 0.25 hours.

Task: Follow the subsequent steps to examine the consistency of $\widehat{\phi}_n$.

- 1. Assume that the amount of time between detections of an unusual particle, in effect, has an Exponential distribution.
- 2. Compute the rate (ϕ) from the given information.
- 3. Open the Shiny app given in the URL https://tinyurl.com/shinymle.
- 4. Using the Shiny app, select the Exponential distribution, the real rate $\left(\phi = \frac{1}{0.25} = 4 \frac{detections}{hour}\right)$ and $\delta = 0.07$.
- 5. Fix the sample size at n = 50, 100, 200, 560, 1000, 2400, 4700 and fill the gaps in Table 3 by using the results from the Shiny app.

Table 3. Number of samples and proportions when the maximum likelihood estimator is within or outside the interval $(\phi \pm \delta)$.

Sample size n	Number of samples on which $\phi - \delta < \widehat{\phi}_n < \phi + \delta$	Number of samples on which $\widehat{\phi}_n \leq \phi - \delta \text{ or } \widehat{\phi}_n \geq \phi + \delta$	$P(\hat{\phi}_n - \phi < \delta)$	$P(\hat{\phi}_n - \phi \ge \delta)$
50	948		0.095	
100				
200		8094		0.809
560				
1000				
2400				
4700				

6.	Accor	ding to the data gathered:
	•	What can one infer with regard to the pattern observed?
	•	Can one affirm that $\widehat{\phi}_n$ is close to $\phi=4$ with high probability (when n is large)?
		cate numerals 4 and 5 with higher values for δ . What can one conclude about the ergence quickness of $\widehat{\phi}_n$ as δ rises?

To conclude, infer curves which illustrate the convergence swiftness of the MLEs of the parameters regarded throughout this questionnaire from acquired information in Tables 1, 2 and 3 and color them according to the imposed colors for the distributions.




Figure 1. Template to illustrate the convergence swiftness of the MLEs.