



Universidad Católica  
**San Pablo**

Departamento de Ciencia  
de la Computación

**FGV**  
FUNDACÃO  
GETULIO VARGAS

# Deep Learning Techniques in Urban Security Perception Analysis

Felipe A. Moreno  
Advisor: Prof. Jorge Poco Medina

 **FONDECYT**  
FONDO NACIONAL DE DESARROLLO CIENTÍFICO,  
TECNOLÓGICO Y DE INNOVACIÓN TECNOLÓGICA


 **Pro CIENCIA**

 **CONCYTEC**  
CONSEJO NACIONAL DE CIENCIA,  
TECNOLOGÍA E INNOVACIÓN TECNOLÓGICA

# About me



**B.Sc. Felipe A. Moreno**  
[www.fmorenovr.com](http://www.fmorenovr.com)




# Content

- Context & Motivation
- Dataset
  - Place Pulse
- Methodology
  - Dataset Preparation
  - Exploratory Data Analysis
  - Dataset Limitations
- Urban Safety Perception
  - Data Pre-processing
  - Models Configurations
  - Experiments and Results
- Conclusions

# **Context & Motivation**

---

# Which one looks safer?



Bangú (RJ)



City Center (RJ)

# Motivation

By understanding how people perceive and experience cities, we can create more inclusive, attractive, and functional urban solutions that meet the needs and aspirations of their diverse populations.

# Context

Urban perception is shaped by a complex interplay of factors. Such as physical design, architectural styles, street layouts, landmarks, and the quality of infrastructure all contribute to the visual characteristics that define a city's identity.

## Key contributions

- We **propose a methodology** to analyze the **Place Pulse 2.0 dataset** since we thought that is better to focus on data first instead of model complexity.
- We **study** the **Place Pulse dataset limitations**, some of them based on how the dataset was built and others based on the pre-processing.
- We **evaluate and compare** semi-supervised models performance with supervised methods, showing that semi-supervised models fit the necessity of training this complex dataset with the limitations explained before.
- We **solved** the problem of imbalance, individual city identification, and lack of samples per city using a semi-supervised GAN model. In other words, we can fix 3 dataset limitations in Place Pulse.

# Place Pulse

---

# Place Pulse dataset

Which place looks livelier ? ▾



For this question: **362,708** clicks collected

Goal: **500,000** clicks

[SEE REAL-TIME RANKINGS](#)


RANK	CITY	CLICKS	TREND	RANK	CITY	CLICKS	TREND
1	Washington DC	6296	_____	54	Cape Town	16228	_____
2	London	17982	███████	55	Belo Horizonte	12728	█████
3	New York	22424	_____	56	Gaborone	4717	██████

<http://pulse.media.mit.edu/>

\* Comparisons were made using two random images from random cities.


# Place Pulse 1.0

- Release date: 2013
- 73 806 Comparisons
- 4 136 images
- 2 Countries
- 4 cities
- 3 categories



# Place Pulse 2.0

- Release date: 2016
- 1 223 649 Comparisons
- 111 390 images
- 32 countries
- 56 cities
- 6 categories



# Methodology

---

# Pipeline

## Data pre-processing


left	right	winner
		draw
		left
		right
⋮	⋮	⋮
		right
		left




- (, 8.35)
- (, 7.16)
- ...
- (, 5.01)
- ...
- (, 1.29)
- (, 0.55)

## Exploratory Data Analysis

Perceptual scores histograms




Imbalance of classes




## Model evaluations

Base line models



Generative models



# Pipeline

## Data pre-processing


left	right	winner
		draw
		left
		right
⋮	⋮	⋮
		right
		left




- (, 8.35)
- (, 7.16)
- ...
- (, 5.01)
- ...
- (, 1.29)
- (, 0.55)

## Exploratory Data Analysis

Perceptual scores histograms




Imbalance of classes




## Model evaluations

Base line models



Generative models



## Pipeline


## Data pre-processing

left	right	winner
		draw
		left
		right
.	.	.
		right
		left




# Exploratory Data Analysis

## Perceptual scores histograms




## Imbalance of classes




## Model evaluations

## Base line models



## Generative models



# Pipeline


## Data pre-processing

left	right	winner
		draw
		left
		right
⋮	⋮	⋮
		right
		left




## Exploratory Data Analysis

Perceptual scores histograms




Imbalance of classes




## Model evaluations

Base line models



Generative models



# Data Preparation

---

## Data samples

left-id	right-id	winner	left-lat	left-long	right-lat	right-long	category
513d7e23fdc9f	513d7ac3fdc9f	equal	40.744156	-73.93557	-33.52638	-70.591309	depressing
513f320cfdc9f	513cc3acfcd9f	left	52.551685	13.416548	29.76381	-95.394621	safety
513e5dc3fdc9f	5140d960fdc9f	right	48.878382	2.403116	53.32932	-6.231007	lively

## Perceptual Scores

$$W_i = \frac{w_i}{w_i + d_i + l_i}$$

$$L_i = \frac{l_i}{w_i + d_i + l_i}$$

$$q_{i,k} = \frac{10}{3}(W_{i,k} + \frac{1}{n_{i,k}^w}(\sum_{j_1} W_{j_1,k}) - \frac{1}{n_{i,k}^l}(\sum_{j_2} L_{j_2,k}) + 1)$$

\*Nassar et al, "The evaluative image of the city", 1990

Salesse et. al, "The Collaborative Image of The City: Mapping the Inequality of Urban Perception", 2013

## Rank Scores

$$\mu_x \leftarrow \mu_x + \frac{\sigma_x^2}{c} \cdot f\left(\frac{(\mu_x - \mu_y)}{c}, \frac{\varepsilon}{c}\right)$$

$$\mu_y \leftarrow \mu_y - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{c} \cdot f\left(\frac{(\mu_x - \mu_y)}{c}, \frac{\varepsilon}{c}\right)$$

$$\sigma_x^2 \leftarrow \sigma_x^2 \cdot \left[1 - \frac{\sigma_x^2}{c} \cdot g\left(\frac{(\mu_x - \mu_y)}{c}, \frac{\varepsilon}{c}\right)\right]$$

$$\sigma_y^2 \leftarrow \sigma_y^2 \cdot \left[1 - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{c} \cdot g\left(\frac{(\mu_x - \mu_y)}{c}, \frac{\varepsilon}{c}\right)\right]$$

$$c^2 = 2\beta^2 + \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2$$

$$q_{i,k} = \frac{10}{c_{max,k}}(c_{i,k})^{**}$$

\*\*Minka et al, "TrueSkill 2: An improved Bayesian skill rating system", 2018

Dubey et. al, "Deep Learning the City : Quantifying Urban Perception At A Global Scale", 2016

## Processed samples

Image	ID	Safety	Lively	Wealthy	Beauty	Boring	Depressive
	513d7e23fdc9f	7.42	8.58	6.5	7.3	2.64	1.23
	513f320cfdc9f	6.07	4.97	7.13	8.61	1.67	0.86

# Statistics

Place Pulse 1.0				
City	# images	<i>safe mean</i>	<i>wealth mean</i>	<i>unique mean</i>
Linz	650	4.85	5.01	4.83
Boston	1237	4.93	4.97	4.76
New York	1705	4.47	4.31	4.46
Salzburg	544	4.75	4.89	5.04
Total	4136			


Place Pulse 2.0			
Continent	#countries	#cities	#images
Europe	19	22	38,747
North America	3	17	37504
South America	2	5	12,524
Asia	5	7	11,417
Oceania	1	2	6,097
Africa	2	3	5,101
Total	32	56	111,390

Place Pulse 2.0			
Category	# comparisons	# images	<i>mean</i>
<i>Safety</i>	368,926	111,389	5.188
<i>Lively</i>	267,292	111,348	5.085
<i>Beautiful</i>	175,361	110,766	4.920
<i>Wealthy</i>	152,241	107,795	4.890
<i>Depressing</i>	132,467	105,495	4.816
<i>Boring</i>	127,362	106,363	4.810
Total	1,223,649		

# Exploratory Data Analysis


---

# Geographical city distribution




**Note:** Same color means same country.

# Number of images per continent



# Number of comparison frequency

Average of comparisons number : 9.088



# High scores images



# Low scores images



## **Geographical level vs evaluated images**

Place Pulse 2.0				
Category/Level	City	Country	Continent	Global
<i>safety</i>	20,143	45,640	85,890	111,390
<i>lively</i>	14,803	38,216	79,788	111,349
<i>Beautiful</i>	9,410	28,811	66,792	110,767
<i>Wealthy</i>	7,642	24,326	57,780	107,796
<i>Depressing</i>	6,556	21,171	52,504	105,496
<i>Boring</i>	6,148	20,931	52,031	106,364

# **Dataset Limitations**

---

# Individual perception

Safe perception



Unsafe perception



New York\*




Tokyo\*\*

\*<https://www.nytimes.com/2019/08/08/nyregion/newyorktoday/times-square-panic-safety.html#:~:text=Actually%2C%20Times%20Square%20is%20one,23%2C000%20major%20crimes%20were%20recorded>

\*\*<https://www.iapantimes.co.jp/news/2019/10/04/national/media-national/rip-off-bars-japan-tourist-boom/>


# Lack of samples per city



Place Pulse 1.0 < 4 140 Images



Place Pulse 2.0 < 112 000 Images

# Imbalance of samples per city



# Imbalance of samples


Imbalance of samples per category in Chicago and Rio de Janeiro






\*Positive Samples: safe, beautiful, wealthy, lively, not depressing, not boring.

\*Negative Samples: not safe, not beautiful, not wealthy, not lively, depressing, boring.





# Non-reliable perceptual scores



# Blank/None/Faulty samples



# Different point of view (PoV)



# Panoramic vs angled samples

Angle: 90



Panoramic



# Samples changes over time

ID: 3936



ID: 1



2011

2013

2019

# **Urban Safety Perception**

---

# Street View Imagery



# Data Pre-processing

---

# Perceptual scores

left	right	winner
		draw
		left
		right
⋮	⋮	⋮
		right
		left

$$\hat{y}_{i,k} = q_{i,k}$$



Image, Perceptual Scores  
 , 8.35 )

 , 7.16 )

...

 , 5.01 )

...

$$l: (X, Y)$$

 , 1.29 )

 , 0.55 )

## Data labeling

We define a parameter  $\delta$  which will help to label our data.


$$\hat{y}_{i,k} = q_{i,k}$$




$$y_{i,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (q_{i,k}) \text{ in the top } \delta\% \\ -1 & \text{if } (q_{i,k}) \text{ in the bottom } \delta\% \end{cases}$$

# Perceptual label

left	right	winner
		draw
		left
		right
⋮	⋮	⋮
		right
		left




# Evaluating $\delta$ values



# **Models Configurations**


---

# Transfer learning & Fine-tuning




- VGG, ResNet, and Xception
- Input shape: 224x244

# Global Average Pooling



- VGG, ResNet, and Xception
- Input shape: 224x244

# Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)



Generator					
Layer	Input	Channels	Kernel size	Stride	Activation
Latent	100	-	-	-	-
Dense	4096	-	-	-	LeakyReLU
Re-shape	$4 \times 4 \times 256$	-	-	-	-
Deconv	$4 \times 4 \times 256$	256	$4 \times 4$	2	LeakyReLU
Deconv	$8 \times 8 \times 256$	128	$4 \times 4$	2	LeakyReLU
Deconv	$16 \times 16 \times 128$	64	$4 \times 4$	2	LeakyReLU
Conv	$32 \times 32 \times 64$	3	$3 \times 3$	1	Tanh
Total parameters	2 119 811				

Discriminator					
Layer	Input	Channels	Kernel size	Stride	Activation
Conv	$32 \times 32 \times 3$	32	$3 \times 3$	1	LeakyReLU
Conv	$32 \times 32 \times 32$	32	$3 \times 3$	2	LeakyReLU
DropOut (0.2)	$16 \times 16 \times 32$	-	-	-	-
Conv	$16 \times 16 \times 32$	64	$3 \times 3$	1	LeakyReLU
Conv	$16 \times 16 \times 64$	64	$3 \times 3$	2	LeakyReLU
DropOut (0.2)	$8 \times 8 \times 64$	-	-	-	-
Conv	$8 \times 8 \times 64$	128	$3 \times 3$	1	LeakyReLU
Conv	$8 \times 8 \times 128$	128	$3 \times 3$	2	LeakyReLU
DropOut (0.2)	$4 \times 4 \times 128$	-	-	-	-
Conv	$4 \times 4 \times 128$	256	$3 \times 3$	1	LeakyReLU
Flatten	$4 \times 4 \times 256$	-	-	-	-
Dense	128	-	-	-	-
DropOut (0.4)	128	-	-	-	-
Dense	3	-	-	-	Softmax
Total parameters	1 107 882				

# Model hyperparameters

Summary of model parameters							
Name	Model hyperparameters						Data
Method	Input	Batch	Opt	LR	Ep/It	CV	Geo. level
TL_VGG	4096	-	lbfgs	-	1000	5	Global/City
TL_VGG_GAP	512	-	lbfgs	-	1000	5	Global//city
FT_VGG	$224 \times 224 \times 3$	128	Adam	$1e^{-3}$	100	5	Global/City
FT_VGG_GAP	$224 \times 224 \times 3$	128	Adam	$1e^{-3}$	100	5	Global/City
SSL_GAN_Dis	$32 \times 32 \times 3$	128	Adam	$1e^{-3}$	100	5	Global
SSL_GAN_Gen	100	128	Adam	$1e^{-3}$	100	5	Global


\* Parameters were found using GridSearchCV.

\* Trained on GPU NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1070, 8 Gb VRAM.

\* EarlyStop in 30 epochs and DecayLR every 8 epochs.

# Data split

- Oversampling method to balance classes and split data into 75% and 25%, respectively
- Hyperparameters tuning: Grid search using Stratified 5 Cross-Validation



# Metrics

- Accuracy — What percent of the data were predicted correct?
- Precision — What percent of your predictions were correct?
- Recall — What percent of the positive cases did you catch?
- F1 score — What percent of positive predictions were correct?

$$Accuracy = \frac{T_P + T_N}{T_P + T_N + F_P + F_N}$$

$$Recall = \frac{T_P}{T_P + F_N} \quad Precision = \frac{T_P}{T_P + F_P}$$

$$F1_{score} = 2 \cdot \frac{Precision * Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$







# Experiments and Results

---

# Publications

- **Quantifying Urban Safety Perception on Street View Images**  
Felipe Moreno-Vera, Bahram Lavi, and Jorge Poco. *In IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence (WI-IAT)*, 2021.
- **Urban Perception: Can We Understand Why a Street Is Safe?**  
Felipe Moreno-Vera, Bahram Lavi, and Jorge Poco. *In Mexican International Conference on Artificial Intelligence (MICAI)*, 2021.
- **Understanding Safety based on Urban Perception**  
Felipe Moreno-Vera. *In International Conference on Intelligent Computing (ICIC)*, 2021.

# Transfer learning



\* Results of testing using different values of  $\delta$ .

# Transfer learning

Model	Method	<i>auc</i>		<i>accuracy</i>		<i>f1 score</i>	
		train	eval	train	eval	entrena	eval
<i>VGG</i>	<i>LinearSVC</i>	63.62	56.50	68.85	65.22	54.78	<b>49.41</b>
	<i>Logistic</i>	60.63	<b>57.52</b>	67.25	<b>65.72</b>	51.42	49.07
	<i>Ridge Classifier</i>	64.72	54.75	69.44	64.38	56.50	49.34
	<i>RBF SVC</i>	45.14	42.42	52.13	52.37	46.93	46.59
<i>VGG_GAP</i>	<i>LinearSVC</i>	59.01	<b>57.93</b>	66.51	<b>66.09</b>	49.52	49.06
	<i>Logistic</i>	58.07	57.57	65.95	65.59	46.06	45.61
	<i>Ridge Classifier</i>	59.20	57.93	66.59	65.89	50.27	<b>49.76</b>
	<i>RBF SVC</i>	42.93	41.70	50.25	50.35	47.16	46.75
<i>VGG_Places</i>	<i>LinearSVC</i>	64.44	57.14	69.48	65.79	56.39	51.20
	<i>Logistic</i>	61.74	<b>58.35</b>	68.16	<b>66.44</b>	53.77	<b>51.28</b>
	<i>Ridge Classifier</i>	65.20	55.76	69.84	64.86	57.56	50.67
	<i>RBF SVC</i>	47.32	45.25	56.56	55.69	44.78	44.21

# Transfer learning

Model	Method	auc		accuracy		<i>f1 score</i>	
		train	eval	train	eval	entrena	eval
<i>VGG_GAP_Places</i>	<i>LinearSVC</i>	60.26	<b>59.76</b>	67.38	<b>66.96</b>	51.65	51.04
	<i>Logistic</i>	59.40	58.97	66.81	66.62	49.16	48.90
	<i>Ridge Classifier</i>	60.45	59.15	67.45	66.94	52.23	<b>51.53</b>
	<i>RBF SVC</i>	44.40	42.47	52.59	52.54	43.39	45.05
<i>ResNet50</i>	<i>Linear SVC</i>	61.62	59.10	68.10	<b>66.42</b>	53.63	50.80
	<i>Logistic</i>	60.04	<b>59.15</b>	67.25	66.37	51.47	49.70
	<i>Ridge Classifier</i>	62.11	58.38	68.36	66.08	54.59	<b>51.00</b>
	<i>RBF SVC</i>	45.36	44.07	53.46	53.57	44.99	44.98
<i>Xception</i>	<i>LinearSVC</i>	55.29	<b>53.25</b>	64.43	<b>63.33</b>	41.66	39.69
	<i>Logistic Regression</i>	53.48	52.75	63.56	63.14	36.72	35.87
	<i>Ridge Classifier</i>	57.23	52.22	65.22	63.04	45.63	42.11
	<i>RBF SVC</i>	45.575	44.99	49.12	49.12	55.01	<b>55.05</b>


## Fine-tuning

Models “FT”	<i>auc</i>		<i>accuracy</i>		<i>f1 score</i>	
	train	eval	train	eval	train	eval
<i>VGG</i>	77.83	<b>77.42</b>	74.01	64.71	74.01	64.69
<i>VGG_GAP</i>	76.145	75.59	69.40	66.88	69.41	66.87
<i>VGG_Places</i>	77.98	77.35	70.52	<b>67.28</b>	70.52	<b>67.28</b>
<i>VGG_GAP_Places</i>	74.95	74.75	68.71	67.26	68.71	67.27
<i>ResNet50</i>	76.362	72.71	70.36	65.64	67.35	64.98


# GAN

		<i>auc</i>		<i>accuracy</i>		<i>f1 score</i>	
CV		train	eval	train	eval	train	eval
Model 32x32x3 SSL-GAN	0	80.95	80.97	90.26	59.06	90.26	59.04
	1	81.43	81.45	89.42	61.50	89.42	61.48
	2	81.43	<b>81.45</b>	89.56	<b>62.58</b>	89.56	<b>62.57</b>
	3	80.59	80.66	90.01	61.52	90.01	61.54
	4	80.61	80.63	89.38	61.14	89.38	61.13



cv 4



cv 1



			<i>auc</i>		<i>accuracy</i>		<i>f1 score</i>		
CV			iteration	train	eval	train	eval	train	eval
Model 32x32x3 SSL-GAN	0	23788	73.89	73.89	78.90	78.12	78.90	78.12	
	1	58550	80.21	<b>80.22</b>	92.18	<b>81.25</b>	92.18	<b>81.25</b>	
	2	21951	73.60	73.60	81.25	79.68	81.25	79.68	
	3	23180	73.53	73.53	76.56	78.90	76.56	78.90	
	4	8602	69.84	69.84	74.21	78.90	74.21	78.90	



# GAN: generated images



# Website



## Training time

Training time for each model		
Method	Data	Average Time
SSL_GAN	Global	1 and a half week
FT_VGG	Global	8 hours
FT_VGG	56 Cities	6 hours
FT_VGG_GAP	Global	7 hours
FT_VGG_GAP	56 Cities	5 hours
TL_VGG	Global	15 minutes
TL_VGG	56 Cities	10 minutes
TL_VGG_GAP	Global	9 minutes
TL_VGG_GAP	56 Cities	6 minutes

# Conclusions

---

# Conclusions

- We were able to analyze the safety perception using the **Place Pulse dataset**
- It is feasible to **train** a classifier to **infer** the **safe perception** in **street view images**.
- **Black-box CNN models** help to classify images.
  - It performs better than decision trees, SVM, ridge regression, booster models, etc.
  - We won't be able to use complex architectures, such as transformers, due to limited computational resources.
- It has **high performance** in distinguishing safe perceptions between images, it is possible to improve results using generative models such as semi-supervised GAN.

**Thanks! Any questions?**  
[felipe.moreno@ucsp.edu.pe](mailto:felipe.moreno@ucsp.edu.pe)

# THANKS!

Any Questions?