The Knapsack Problem - Dynamic Programming

Different Problem Solving Approaches

Greedy Algorithms

- Build up solutions in small steps
- Make local decisions
- Previous decisions are never reconsidered
- We will solve the Divisible Knapsack problem with a greedy approach

Dynamic Programming

- Solves larger problem by relating it to overlapping subproblems and then solves the subproblems
 - Important to store the results from subproblems so that they aren't computed repeatedly
- We will solve the Indivisible Knapsack problem with dynamic programming

Backtracking

Solve by brute force searching the solution space, pruning when possible

The Knapsack Problem

We are given:

- A collection of n items
- Each item has an associated non-negative weight, w_i
- Each item has an associated value (cost), c_i
- And we are given a knapsack that can hold total weight W

Our task is:

 Determine the set S of items of maximum total value (cost) that can be contained in the knapsack subject to the constraint that the total weight is no greater than W

The Knapsack Problem

A first version: the Divisible Knapsack Problem

- Items do not have to be included in their entirety
- Arbitrary fractions of an item can be included
- This problem can be solved with a GREEDY approach
- Complexity O(n log n) to sort, then O(n) to include, so O(n log n)

```
KNAPSACK-DIVISIBLE(n,c,w,W)
1. sort items in decreasing order of c<sub>i</sub>/w<sub>i</sub>
2. i = 1
3. currentW = 0
4. while (currentW + w<sub>i</sub> < W) {
5. take item of weight w<sub>i</sub> and cost c<sub>i</sub>
6. currentW += w<sub>i</sub>
7. i++
8. }
9. take W-currentW portion of item i
```

The Indivisible Knapsack Problem

We are given:

- A collection of n items
- Each item has an associated non-negative weight, w_i
- Each item has an associated value (cost), c_i
- And we are given a knapsack that can hold total weight W

Our task is:

- Determine the set S of items of maximum total value that can be contained in the knapsack subject to the constraint that the total weight is no greater than W
- Items must be included in their entirety or not at all

The Indivisible Knapsack Problem

Possible Solutions:

- Greedy approaches
 - Sort by cost, and include from highest on down until full
 - Sort by cost per unit weight, and include from highest on down until full
 - Sort by weight, and include from lightest upward until full
- No known greedy approach is optimal
 - For each greedy algorithm, we can design at least one case in which it fails to produce the optimal result
- Backtracking consider all possible solutions
 - How big is the solution space all possible subsets of n items
- Dynamic Programming

Dynamic Programming (Review)

General Idea:

- Solves larger problem by relating it to overlapping subproblems and then solves the subproblems
- It works through the exponential set of solutions, but doesn't examine them all explicitly
- Stores intermediate results so that they aren't recomputed

Dynamic Programming (Review)

For dynamic programming to be applicable:

- At most polynomial number of subproblems (else still exponentialtime solution)
- Solution to original problem is easily computed from the solutions to the subproblems
- There is a natural ordering on subproblems from "smallest" to "largest" and an easy to compute recurrence that allows solving a subproblem from smaller subproblems

Dynamic Programming (Review)

The three key pieces (the heart) of a dynamic programming solution:

- A matrix of overlapping subproblems. Need to be able to specify what each subproblem is (what each entry in the matrix stands for).
- A recurrence relation that ties the optimal solution of one subproblem to the optimal solutions of one or more smaller subproblems.
- A solution to the original problem as a function of the subproblem matrix.
 - Often the solution will just be the last element of the matrix, but not always!

How can we solve the Knapsack Problem using Dynamic Programming?

We are given:

- A collection of n items
- Each item has an associated non-negative weight, wi
- Each item has an associated value (cost), c_i
- And we are given a knapsack that can hold total weight W

How can we break the problem down so that the overall solution is related to overlapping subproblems

We need to do three things:

- Define what our subproblems are
- Define a recurrence relation that links the solution of a larger subproblem to the solution of smaller subproblems
- Express the overall solution in terms of our subproblems

How can we define subproblems?:

- Consider an optimal solution
- Consider the items: 1,2,3,...n
- Either item n is in the solution or not
 - If n is in solution: $Knapsack(n,W) = c_n + Knapsack(n-1, W-w_n)$
 - If n is not in solution: Knapsack(n,W) = Knapsack(n-1, W)

How do we ultimately decide if item n is in the optimal solution?

- Solve the subproblems first
- Then choose which option (include or not) works out better
- Knapsack(n,W) = $\max(c_n + \text{Knapsack}(n-1, W-w_n), \text{Knapsack}(n-1, W))$

A Recursive Algorithm Solution

```
KNAP-IND-REC(n,c,w,W)
1. if n ≤ 0
2.    return 0
3. if W < w<sub>n</sub>
4.    withLastItem = -1 // undefined
5. else
6.    withLastItem = c<sub>n</sub>+KNAP-IND-REC(n-1,c,w,W-w<sub>n</sub>)
7. withoutLastItem = KNAP-IND-REC(n-1,c,w,W)
8. return max{withLastItem, withoutLastItem}
```

NOTES:

- n is the number of items being considered (we're working our way backwards)
- c is the vector of costs associated with the items
- w is the vector of weights associated with the item (assume integer)
- W is the capacity of the knapsack

What do we need to store?

The solution to all of our subproblems

What are the subproblems?

- The solution considering every possible combination of remaining items and remaining weight
- Let S[k][v] := the solution to the subproblem corresponding to the first k items and available weight v
 - i.e. S[k][v] = the maximum cost of items that fit inside a knapsack of size (weight) v, choosing from the first k items
 - $S[k][v] = max(c_k + S[k-1][v-w_k], S[k-1][v])$

Note - we're only considering $S[k-1][v-w_k]$ if it can fit (i.e. $v \ge w_k$). If there isn't room for it, the answer is just S[k-1][v].

Converting to an Iterative Solution

- Build up an $(n+1) \times (W+1)$ array of subproblem solutions
- Computational Complexity: O(nW)
 - Referred to as pseudo-polynomial
 - The size of the problem grows exponentially with the size (number of digits) of W

```
KNAPSACK-INDIVISIBLE (n,c,w,W)
1. init S[0][v]=0 for every v=0,...,W
2. init S[k][0]=0 for every k=0,...,n
3. for v=1 to W do
4. for k=1 to n do
5. S[k][v] = S[k-1][v]
6. if (w_k \leq v) and
            (S[k-1][v-w_k]+c_k > S[k][v])
         then
            S[k][v] = S[k-1][v-w_k]+c_k
7.
8. RETURN S[n][W]
```

How do we Recover the list of Items actually included?

- Trace backwards through the matrix
- We know item n is included if:
 - $S[k-1][W-w_n] + c_n \ge S[k-1][W]$
- After determining the status of item n, continue working backwards through the remaining items, adjusting for what is already known

Knapsack Example

_____ W + 1 ____

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
n + 1	ф	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	{ 1 }	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	{ 1, 2 }	0	1	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	{ 1, 2, 3 }	0	1	6	7	7	18	19	24	25	25	25	25
	{1,2,3,4}	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	24	28	29	29	40
	{1,2,3,4,5}	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	28	29	34	35	40

OPT: { 4, 3 } value = 22 + 18 = 40

W = 11

Item	Value	Weight
1	1	1
2	6	2
3	18	5
4	22	6
5	28	7