

Foundations of Algorithms

Instructor: Aaron Deever

Instructor Web page: <http://www.cs.rit.edu/~atd>

I will do some lecturing from slides and some examples on the board. I will always post the lecture slides on MyCourses, so you don't need to feel the need to copy those details, but the material on the board will not be published on-line.

Course Overview

This course provides an introduction to the design and analysis of algorithms.

We'll cover a large number of classical algorithms and their complexity.

Topics:

- Asymptotic Analysis
- Greedy Algorithms
- Divide and Conquer
- Dynamic Programming
- Graph Basics
- Network Flow
- Complexity

A First Problem: Stable Matching

Matching Residents to Hospitals

Goal. Given a set of preferences among hospitals and medical school students, design a **self-reinforcing** admissions process.

Unstable pair: applicant x and hospital y are **unstable** if:

- x prefers y to its assigned hospital.
- y prefers x to one of its admitted students.

Stable assignment. Assignment with no unstable pairs.

- Natural and desirable condition.
- Individual self-interest will prevent any applicant/hospital deal from being made.

Stable Matching Problem

Goal. Given n men and n women, find a "suitable" matching.

- Participants rate members of opposite sex.
- Each man lists women in order of preference from best to worst.
- Each woman lists men in order of preference from best to worst.

	favorite ↓ 1 st	2 nd	least favorite ↓ 3 rd
Xavier	Amy	Bertha	Clare
Yancey	Bertha	Amy	Clare
Zeus	Amy	Bertha	Clare

Men's Preference Profile

	favorite ↓ 1 st	2 nd	least favorite ↓ 3 rd
Amy	Yancey	Xavier	Zeus
Bertha	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus
Clare	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus

Women's Preference Profile

Stable Matching Problem

Perfect matching: everyone is matched monogamously.

- Each man gets exactly one woman.
- Each woman gets exactly one man.

Stability: no incentive for some pair of participants to undermine assignment by joint action.

- In matching M , an unmatched pair m - w is **unstable** if man m and woman w prefer each other to current partners.
- Unstable pair m - w could each improve by eloping.

Stable matching: perfect matching with no unstable pairs.

Stable matching problem. Given the preference lists of n men and n women, find a stable matching if one exists.

Stable Matching Problem

Q. Is assignment X-C, Y-B, Z-A stable?

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Xavier	Amy	Bertha	Clare
Yancey	Bertha	Amy	Clare
Zeus	Amy	Bertha	Clare

Men's Preference Profile

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Amy	Yancey	Xavier	Zeus
Bertha	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus
Clare	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus

Women's Preference Profile

Stable Matching Problem

Q. Is assignment X-C, Y-B, Z-A stable?

A. No. Bertha and Xavier will hook up.

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Xavier	Amy	Bertha	Clare
Yancey	Bertha	Amy	Clare
Zeus	Amy	Bertha	Clare

Men's Preference Profile

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Amy	Yancey	Xavier	Zeus
Bertha	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus
Clare	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus

Women's Preference Profile

Stable Matching Problem

Q. Is assignment X-A, Y-B, Z-C stable?

A. Yes.

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Xavier	Amy	Bertha	Clare
Yancey	Bertha	Amy	Clare
Zeus	Amy	Bertha	Clare

Men's Preference Profile

	favorite ↓		least favorite ↓
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Amy	Yancey	Xavier	Zeus
Bertha	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus
Clare	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus

Women's Preference Profile

Stable Roommate Problem

Q. Do stable matchings always exist?

A. Not obvious a priori.

Stable roommate problem.

- $2n$ people; each person ranks others from 1 to $2n-1$.
- Assign roommate pairs so that no unstable pairs.

	<i>1st</i>	<i>2nd</i>	<i>3rd</i>
<i>Adam</i>	B	C	D
<i>Bob</i>	C	A	D
<i>Chris</i>	A	B	D
<i>Doofus</i>	A	B	C

A-B, C-D \Rightarrow B-C unstable
A-C, B-D \Rightarrow A-B unstable
A-D, B-C \Rightarrow A-C unstable

Observation. Stable matchings do not always exist for stable roommate problem.

Propose-And-Reject Algorithm

Propose-and-reject algorithm. [Gale-Shapley 1962] Intuitive method that guarantees to find a stable matching.

```
Initialize each person to be free.
while (some man is free and hasn't proposed to every woman) {
    Choose such a man m
    w = 1st woman on m's list to whom m has not yet proposed
    if (w is free)
        assign m and w to be engaged
    else if (w prefers m to her fiancé m')
        assign m and w to be engaged, and m' to be free
    else
        w rejects m
}
```

Proof of Correctness: Termination

Observation 1. Men propose to women in decreasing order of preference.

Observation 2. Once a woman is matched, she never becomes unmatched; she only "trades up."

Claim. Algorithm terminates after at most n^2 iterations of while loop.

Pf. Each time through the while loop a man proposes to a new woman.

There are only n^2 possible proposals. ■

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
Victor	A	B	C	D	E
Wyatt	B	C	D	A	E
Xavier	C	D	A	B	E
Yancey	D	A	B	C	E
Zeus	A	B	C	D	E

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
Amy	W	X	Y	Z	V
Bertha	X	Y	Z	V	W
Clare	Y	Z	V	W	X
Diane	Z	V	W	X	Y
Erika	V	W	X	Y	Z

$n(n-1) + 1$ proposals required

Proof of Correctness: Perfection

Claim. All men and women get matched.

Pf. (by contradiction)

- Suppose, for sake of contradiction, that Zeus is not matched upon termination of algorithm.
- Then some woman, say Amy, is not matched upon termination.
- By Observation 2, Amy was never proposed to.
- But, Zeus proposes to everyone, since he ends up unmatched. ▪

Proof of Correctness: Stability

Claim. No unstable pairs.

Pf. (by contradiction)

- Suppose A - Z is an unstable pair: each prefers each other to partner in Gale-Shapley matching S^* .

- Case 1: Z never proposed to A .
 - $\Rightarrow Z$ prefers his GS partner to A .
 - $\Rightarrow A$ - Z is stable.

men propose in decreasing
order of preference

S^*

Amy-Yancey

Bertha-Zeus

...

- Case 2: Z proposed to A .
 - $\Rightarrow A$ rejected Z (right away or later)
 - $\Rightarrow A$ prefers her GS partner to Z . \leftarrow women only trade up
 - $\Rightarrow A$ - Z is stable.

- In either case A - Z is stable, a contradiction. ▪

Summary

Stable matching problem. Given n men and n women, and their preferences, find a stable matching if one exists.

Gale-Shapley algorithm. Guarantees to find a stable matching for **any** problem instance.

Q. How efficiently can we implement the GS algorithm?

We'll revisit this in a little bit

Q. If there are multiple stable matchings, which one does GS find?

Understanding the Solution

Q. For a given problem instance, there may be several stable matchings. Do all executions of *Gale-Shapley* yield the same stable matching? If so, which one?

An instance with two stable matchings.

- A-X, B-Y, C-Z.
- A-Y, B-X, C-Z.

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Xavier	A	B	C
Yancey	B	A	C
Zeus	A	B	C

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Amy	Y	X	Z
Bertha	X	Y	Z
Clare	X	Y	Z

Understanding the Solution

Q. For a given problem instance, there may be several stable matchings. Do all executions of Gale-Shapley yield the same stable matching? If so, which one?

Def. Man m is a **valid partner** of woman w if there exists some stable matching in which they are matched.

Man-optimal assignment. Each man receives best valid partner.

Claim. All executions of GS yield **man-optimal** assignment, which is a stable matching!

- No reason a priori to believe that man-optimal assignment is perfect, let alone stable.
- Simultaneously best for each and every man.

Man Optimality

Claim. GS matching S^* is man-optimal.

Pf. (by contradiction)

- Suppose some man is paired with someone other than best partner. Men propose in decreasing order of preference \Rightarrow some man is rejected by valid partner.
- Let Y be **first** such man, and let A be **first** valid woman that rejects him.
- Let S be a stable matching where A and Y are matched.
- When Y is rejected, A forms (or reaffirms) engagement with a man, say Z , whom she prefers to Y .
- Let B be Z 's partner in S .
- Z not rejected by any valid partner at the point when Y is rejected by A . Thus, Z prefers A to B .
- But A prefers Z to Y .
- Thus A - Z is unstable in S . ▪

S	
	Amy-Yancey
	Bertha-Zeus
	...

↑
since this is first rejection
by a valid partner

Woman Pessimality

Q. Does man-optimality come at the expense of the women?

Woman-pessimal assignment. Each woman receives worst valid partner.

Claim. GS finds woman-pessimal stable matching S^* .

Pf. (by contradiction)

- Suppose A - Z matched in S^* , but Z is not worst valid partner for A .
- There exists stable matching S in which A is paired with a man, say Y , whom she likes less than Z .
- Let B be Z 's partner in S .
- Z prefers A to B . \leftarrow man-optimality
- Thus, A - Z is an unstable in S . ■

S	
Amy	Yancey
Bertha	Zeus
...	

Algorithm Design

The Stable Matching problem gives a good example of the algorithm design process

1. Formulate the problem with mathematical precision
 2. Design an algorithm to solve the problem
 3. Prove the algorithm is correct
 4. Evaluate complexity by bounding the running time
1. We'll do this shortly for Stable Matching


Representative Problems

Interval Scheduling

Input. Set of jobs with start times and finish times.

Goal. Find **maximum cardinality** subset of mutually compatible jobs.


↑
jobs don't overlap



Weighted Interval Scheduling

Input. Set of jobs with start times, finish times, and weights.


Goal. Find **maximum weight** subset of mutually compatible jobs.



Bipartite Matching

Input. Bipartite graph.

Goal. Find **maximum cardinality** matching.




Independent Set

Input. Graph.

Goal. Find **maximum cardinality** independent set.

↑
subset of nodes such that no two
joined by an edge



Area of a Polygon

Input. Ordered vertices representing a polygon.

Goal. Find **area** enclosed by the described polygon.

