

Certificate of Analysis

Standard Reference Material® 1953

Organic Contaminants in Non-Fortified Human Milk

This Standard Reference Material (SRM) is intended for use in evaluating analytical methods for the determination of selected polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners, chlorinated pesticides, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) congeners, and elements in human milk and similar matrices. Reference concentration values are provided for polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs), as well as some inorganic constituents. An information concentration value is provided for the PCB mixture Aroclor 1260. All of the constituents for which certified, reference, and information concentration values are provided in SRM 1953 are naturally present in the milk. A unit of SRM 1953 consists of five bottles of approximately 5 mL of frozen non-fortified human milk.

The development of SRM 1953 was a collaboration between the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and the Division of Laboratory Sciences, Organic Analytical Toxicology Branch, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Certified Concentration Values: Certified values for concentrations, expressed as mass fractions, for PCB congeners, chlorinated pesticides, and PBDE congeners along with a polybrominated biphenyl congener are provided in Tables 1, 2, and 3, respectively. A NIST certified value is a value for which NIST has the highest confidence in its accuracy in that all known or suspected sources of bias have been investigated or taken into account [1]. The certified values for the PCB congeners, chlorinated pesticides, and PBDE congeners are based on the agreement of results obtained at NIST using one or more analytical techniques and additional results from the CDC and from an interlaboratory study using different analytical techniques.

Reference Concentration Values: Reference concentration values, expressed as mass fractions, are provided in Table 4 for additional PCB congeners (some in combination), chlorinated pesticides, and PBDE congeners; in Table 5 for elements; and in Table 6 for PCDD and PCDF congeners. Reference values are noncertified values that are estimates of the true value; however, the values do not meet the NIST criteria for certification and are provided with associated uncertainties that may reflect only measurement precision, may not include all sources of uncertainty, or may reflect a lack of sufficient statistical agreement among multiple analytical methods [1].

Information Concentration Value: An information concentration value for Aroclor 1260 is provided in Table 7. An information value is considered to be a value that will be of use to the SRM user, but insufficient information is available to assess the uncertainty associated with the value or only a limited number of analyses were performed [1]. Information values cannot be used to establish metrological traceability.

Expiration of Certification: The certification of **SRM 1953** is valid, within the measurement uncertainty specified, until **31 August 2025**, provided the SRM is handled and stored in accordance with instructions given in this certificate (see "Instructions for Storage and Use"). The certification is nullified if the SRM is damaged, contaminated, or otherwise modified.

Maintenance of SRM Certification: NIST will monitor this SRM over the period of its certification. If substantive technical changes occur that affect the certification before the expiration of this certificate, NIST will notify the purchaser. Registration (see attached sheet or register online) will facilitate notification.

Overall direction and coordination of technical measurements leading to certification were performed by J.L. Reiner, L.C. Sander, S.A. Wise, and M.M. Schantz of the NIST Chemical Sciences Division.

Carlos A. Gonzalez, Chief Chemical Sciences Division

Steven J. Choquette, Director Office of Reference Materials

Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Certificate Issue Date: 06 January 2017 Certificate Revision History on Last Page

SRM 1953 Page 1 of 9

Partial support for the development of SRM 1953 was provided by the Division of Laboratory Sciences, Organic Analytical Toxicology Branch, CDC (Atlanta, GA) and the National Institutes of Health's Office of Dietary Supplements (Bethesda, MD).

Preparation of the milk was performed by Aalto Scientific, Ltd. (Carlsbad, CA).

Analytical measurements at NIST were performed by B.L. Kassim, J.M. Keller, S.E. Long, M.M. Schantz, S.S. Vander Pol, and L.J. Wood of the NIST Chemical Sciences Division. Analytical measurements at CDC were performed by D. Patterson, A. Sjödin, and W. Turner of the CDC Organic Analytical Toxicology Branch. Laboratories participating in an interlaboratory study included Institut national de santé publique du Québec (Québec, Canada), Stockholm University (Stockholm, Sweden), and University of Liege (Liege, Belgium).

Statistical consultation was provided by S.D. Leigh and N.A. Heckert of the NIST Statistical Engineering Division.

Support aspects involved in the issuance of this SRM were coordinated through the NIST Office of Reference Materials.

NOTICE AND WARNING TO USERS

SRM 1953 IS INTENDED FOR RESEARCH USE. THIS IS A HUMAN SOURCE MATERIAL. HANDLE PRODUCT AS A BIOHAZARDOUS MATERIAL CAPABLE OF TRANSMITTING INFECTIOUS DISEASE. The milk was pasteurized prior to preparation of SRM 1953. However, no known test method can offer complete assurance that infectious agents are absent from this material. Accordingly, this human milk-based product should be handled at the Biosafety Level 2 or higher as recommended for any POTENTIALLY INFECTIOUS HUMAN SPECIMEN in the CDC/National Institutes of Health (NIH) Manual [2].

INSTRUCTIONS FOR STORAGE AND USE

Storage: The milk is frozen and should be stored in a freezer at -20 °C until use. It should not be exposed to sunlight or ultraviolet radiation. After thawing, the contents should be used immediately.

Use: Bring the vial to room temperature. Once the milk is thawed, mix contents by gently swirling. Do not shake vigorously because this will cause frothing. The recommended minimum sample size is 0.25 g.

PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS⁽¹⁾

Source of Material: Milk was acquired from six milk banks located around the U.S: Florida (4%), North Carolina (6%), Iowa (6%), Delaware (7%), California (12%), and Texas (65%).

Preparation of Material: The milk was pooled (approximately 100 L total) and was stored at 4 °C. The pool was split into two for production of SRM 1953 and SRM 1954 Organic Contaminants in Fortified Human Milk. Using a calibrated automatic pipetter, 5 mL aliquots of milk were dispensed into 10 mL amber glass vials.

Analytical Methods Used at NIST: For NIST Method 1, the frozen milk in each of ten bottles was thawed. A known amount of internal standard solution (containing selected ¹³C-labeled PCB congeners, selected ¹³C-labeled pesticides, ¹³C-labeled PBDE 209, fluorinated PBDE 47, PCB 103, and PCB 198) was added to each bottle, sonicated for 15 min, and allowed to equilibrate overnight under refrigeration. After samples were removed from refrigeration and allowed to reach ambient temperature, 10 mL of formic acid was added, as a denaturation agent, followed immediately by 10 mL of a 1+1 (volume fraction) mixture of *n*-hexane:methyl-*tert*-butyl ether for extraction. The samples were vortexed and left to stand for 0.5 h with occasional stirring. After centrifugation to obtain a sharp phase boundary, the upper organic phase was transferred to a concentration vessel. The extraction was repeated two more times with 10 mL of *n*-hexane each time. The combined organic layers were concentrated to approximately 4 mL using an automated evaporation system. Approximately 2 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid was added to the concentration vessel with swirling. Following phase separation, the organic phase was removed, and the sulfuric acid phase was washed twice using 4 mL portions of *n*-hexane. The combined hexane phases were concentrated to approximately 0.5 mL for silica solid-phase extraction (SPE) clean-up. The fraction of interest was eluted with 15 mL of 10 % (volume fraction) dichloromethane in hexane. The concentrated samples were analyzed using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-MS) operated in both the electron impact (EI) and

SRM 1953 Page 2 of 9

-

⁽¹⁾ Certain commercial equipment, instruments or materials are identified in this certificate to adequately specify the experimental procedure. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

negative ion chemical ionization (NICI) mode. A $0.25~\text{mm} \times 60~\text{m}$ fused silica capillary column containing a non-polar proprietary phase (DB-XLB, Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE), $0.25~\mu m$ film thickness, was used for the EI analysis (NIST Method 1a) while a $0.25~\text{mm} \times 60~\text{m}$ fused silica capillary column containing a 50~% (mole fraction) phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase (DB-17MS, Agilent Technologies), $0.25~\mu m$ film thickness, was used for the NICI analysis (NIST Method 1b). All injections were $1~\mu L$ using an on-column inlet.

For NIST Method 2, the frozen milk in each of six bottles was thawed. A known amount of internal standard solution (containing selected ¹³C-labeled PCB congeners, selected ¹³C-labeled pesticides, ¹³C-labeled PBDE 209, and selected fluorinated PBDE congeners) was added to a 2.5 g milk subsample from each bottle, vortexed, and allowed to equilibrate overnight under refrigeration. After samples were removed from refrigeration and allowed to reach ambient temperature, 2 2 mL of formic acid was added followed by 3 mL 20 % (volume fraction) dichloromethane in hexane. Samples were extracted using a focused microwave extraction. Following extraction, samples were centrifuged, the organic phase was removed, and another 3 mL of 20 % (volume fraction) dichloromethane in hexane was added. The extraction was repeated, and the organic phases were combined. Following concentration with a solvent exchange to isooctane, sulfuric acid-silica column clean-up was used, followed by alumina column (5 % deactivated) clean-up. The eluant from the clean-up columns was concentrated to 0.2 mL with a solvent change to iso-octane for analysis. The concentrated samples were analyzed using GC/MS in the EI mode (NIST Method 2a) with a 0.18 mm × 30 m fused silica capillary column containing a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase (DB-5MS, Agilent Technologies), 0.18 µm film thickness. injections were 20 µL using a programmable temperature vaporization (PTV) inlet. For NIST Method 2b, the same extracts were analyzed by GC/MS in the NICI mode using on-column injection into a 0.18 mm × 10 m fused silica capillary column containing a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase (DB-5MS, Agilent Technologies), 0.18 µm film thickness.

For all of the NIST methods, multi-point calibration response curves for the compounds of interest relative to the internal standards were determined by processing gravimetrically diluted solutions of SRM 2261, SRM 2262, SRM 2274, and SRM 2275 plus gravimetrically prepared solutions of the additional analytes of interest with the internal standards added.

Analytical Methods Used at CDC: Details of the analytical methods used at CDC can be found in Patterson and Turner [3] and Sjödin et al. [4]. In summary, the frozen milk was thawed and mixed. Sample extraction was performed using a C18 SPE method. After addition of the internal standard solution and formic acid, the sample was eluted through an SPE column using appropriate solvents. The eluant was then cleaned up using a Universal Prep system (Fluid Management Systems, Waltham, MA) containing an acid/neutral/base silica column, an alumina column, and a carbon column. Corresponding ¹³C-labeled compounds were used as internal standards for the majority of the analytes.

Gas chromatography/high-resolution mass spectrometry (GC/HRMS) with mass resolution of 10 000 was used for the determination of the PCBs, chlorinated pesticides, PBDEs, PCDDs, and PCDFs. The GC column was a 0.25 mm \times 30 m fused silica capillary column containing a 5 % (mole fraction) phenyl-substituted methylpolysiloxane phase (DB-5MS, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA), 0.25 μ m film thickness. All injections were splitless with helium as the carrier gas.

Interlaboratory Study: The three laboratories participating in the interlaboratory study used their usual methods for these analyses. Not every laboratory reported data for every analyte. When more than one laboratory did report data for a particular analyte, the mean of the concentrations was used for combination with other data to assign the certified and reference concentration values.

Non-Volatile Extractable Mass Determination: The percent of non-volatile extractable material (or total extractable organics, TEO) was determined gravimetrically for the data sets described above. Non-volatile extractable material is $3.25\% \pm 0.29\%$ (95% confidence interval for the mean).

Total Iodine: The concentration value for iodine was measured using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Test portions (1 g) were either (1) microwave digested or (2) diluted with water. To correct for matrix effects caused by differences between samples and calibrants, the method of standard additions was used for each test portion.

Total Mercury: The concentration value for mercury is derived from isotope dilution cold vapor inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry measurements performed at NIST [5–7].

Other Elements: The concentration values for calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, potassium, sodium, and zinc were measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Test

SRM 1953 Page 3 of 9

portions (10 g) were digested in nitric acid. To correct for matrix effects caused by differences between samples and calibrants, the method of standard additions was used. Results from the ICP-OES measurement were corrected for spike recoveries.

Homogeneity Assessment: The homogeneity of PCBs, pesticides, and PBDEs was assessed at NIST by using Method 1. An analysis of variance did not show inhomogeneity for a 5 g sample. Other analytes were treated as though they were homogeneously distributed in the material although homogeneity was not assessed.

Table 1. Certified Concentration Values for PCB Congeners (a) in SRM 1953

		(n	g/kg	g)
28	(2,4,4'-Trichlorobiphenyl)(b,c,d)	63.1	\pm	$1.5^{(e)}$
66	(2,3',4,4'-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c)	32.8	\pm	$0.9^{(e)}$
74	(2,4,4',5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c)	149	\pm	2 ^(e)
99	(2,2',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,f,g)	138	\pm	4 ^(h)
105	(2,3,3',4,4'-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d,f,g)	45.7	\pm	4.5 ^(h)
110	(2,3,3',4',6-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f)	12.4	\pm	$0.6^{(e)}$
118	(2,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d,f,g)	213	\pm	3 ^(h)
138	$(2,2',3,4,4',5'$ -Hexachlorobiphenyl) $^{(b,d,g)}$	317	\pm	$28^{(e)}$
146	$(2,2',3,4',5,5'$ -Hexachlorobiphenyl) $^{(b,c,f,g)}$	55.6	\pm	$0.5^{(h)}$
153	(2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d)	480	\pm	11 ^(e)
156	$(2,3,3',4,4',5$ -Hexachlorobiphenyl) $^{(b,c,d,f,g)}$	59.7	\pm	2.6 ^(h)
157	(2,3,3',4,4',5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d)	14.6	\pm	$0.6^{(e)}$
167	(2,3',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d)	15.8	\pm	2.1 ^(e)
172	(2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f)	14.8	\pm	$0.6^{(e)}$
177	(2,2',3,3', 4',5,6-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f,g)	24.5	\pm	$2.8^{(e)}$
178	(2,2',3,3',5,5',6-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f)	22.2	\pm	$0.6^{(e)}$
180	$(2,2^{\shortmid},3,4,4^{\shortmid},5,5^{\prime}\text{-Heptachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d)}$	236	±	$17^{(e)}$
	66 74 99 105 110 118 138 146 153 156 157 167 172 177	66 (2,3',4,4'-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c) 74 (2,4,4',5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c) 99 (2,2',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,f,g) 105 (2,3,3',4,4'-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 110 (2,3,3',4',6-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 118 (2,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d,f,g) 138 (2,2',3,4,4',5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d,f,g) 146 (2,2',3,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,f,g) 153 (2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 156 (2,3,3',4,4',5-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 157 (2,3,3',4,4',5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 167 (2,3',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 172 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 173 (2,2',3,3',4',5,6-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f,g) 174 (2,2',3,3',5,5',6-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f,g)	(n) 28 (2,4,4'-Trichlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 63.1 66 (2,3',4,4'-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c) 32.8 74 (2,4,4',5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c) 149 99 (2,2',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,f,g) 138 105 (2,3,3',4,4'-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d,f,g) 45.7 110 (2,3,3',4',6-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 12.4 118 (2,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d,f,g) 213 138 (2,2',3,4,4',5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d,f,g) 317 146 (2,2',3,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,f,g) 55.6 153 (2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 480 156 (2,3,3',4,4',5-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 157 (2,3,3',4,4',5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 158 (2,2',3,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 167 (2,3',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,c,d) 170 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 171 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 172 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 173 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 174 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 175 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 176 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 177 (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 178 (2,2',3,3',5,5',6-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(c,f) 22.2	$\begin{array}{llll} 66 & (2,3',4,4'-\text{Tetrachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c)} & 32.8 \pm \\ 74 & (2,4,4',5-\text{Tetrachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c)} & 149 \pm \\ 99 & (2,2',4,4',5-\text{Pentachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,f,g)} & 138 \pm \\ 105 & (2,3,3',4,4'-\text{Pentachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d,f,g)} & 45.7 \pm \\ 110 & (2,3,3',4',6-\text{Pentachlorobiphenyl})^{(c,f)} & 12.4 \pm \\ 118 & (2,3',4,4',5-\text{Pentachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d,f,g)} & 213 \pm \\ 138 & (2,2',3,4,4',5'-\text{Hexachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d,f,g)} & 317 \pm \\ 146 & (2,2',3,4',5,5'-\text{Hexachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,f,g)} & 55.6 \pm \\ 153 & (2,2',4,4',5,5'-\text{Hexachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d)} & 480 \pm \\ 156 & (2,3,3',4,4',5-\text{Hexachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d)} & 59.7 \pm \\ 157 & (2,3,3',4,4',5'-\text{Hexachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d)} & 14.6 \pm \\ 167 & (2,3',4,4',5,5'-\text{Hexachlorobiphenyl})^{(b,c,d)} & 15.8 \pm \\ 172 & (2,2',3,3',4,5,5'-\text{Heptachlorobiphenyl})^{(c,f)} & 14.8 \pm \\ 177 & (2,2',3,3',4',5,6-\text{Heptachlorobiphenyl})^{(c,f,g)} & 24.5 \pm \\ 178 & (2,2',3,3',5,5',6-\text{Heptachlorobiphenyl})^{(c,f)} & 22.2 \pm \\ \end{array}$

⁽a) PCB congeners are numbered according to the scheme proposed by Ballschmiter and Zell [8] and later revised by Schulte and Malisch [9] to conform with IUPAC rules. For the specific congeners mentioned in this table, the Ballschmiter-Zell numbers correspond to those of Schulte and Malisch. When two or more congeners are known to coelute under the GC analysis conditions used, the PCB congener listed first is the major component and the additional congeners may be present as minor components. The quantitative results are based on the response of the congener listed first.

SRM 1953 Page 4 of 9

⁽b) NIST Method 1a using liquid-liquid extraction followed by GC/MS in the EI mode.

⁽c) CDC method using GC/HRMS.

⁽d) Results from interlaboratory study.

⁽e) Certified values are unweighted means of the results from two or three analytical methods. The uncertainty listed with the value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2, calculated by combining a between-method variance [10] with a pooled, within-method variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurands are the total mass fractions of selected PCB congeners. The certified values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

⁽f) NIST Method 1b using liquid-liquid extraction followed by GC/MS in the NICI mode (same extracts as NIST Method 1a).

⁽g) NIST Method 2a using focused microwave extraction followed by GC/MS in the EI mode.

⁽h) Certified values are weighted means of the results from four or five analytical methods [12]. The uncertainty listed with each value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2 (approximately 95 % confidence) calculated by combining a between-method variance incorporating inter-method bias with a pooled within-source variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurands are the total mass fractions of selected PCB congeners. The certified values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

Table 2. Certified Concentration Values for Chlorinated Pesticides in SRM 1953

	Mass Fraction ^(a) (ng/kg)			
$Hexachlorobenzene^{(a,b,c,d)} \\$	261	±	26 ^(e)	
β -HCH $^{(a,c,d)}$	610	\pm	$17^{(f)}$	
Oxychlordane ^(b,c,d,g)	612	\pm	59 ^(f)	
cis-Nonachlor ^(d,g)	126	\pm	5 ^(f)	
trans-Nonachlor(c,d,g)	1240	\pm	$90^{(f)}$	
$4,4'$ -DDE $^{(a,b,c,d)}$	7430	\pm	360 ^(e)	
$4,4'$ -DDT $^{(a,b,c)}$	229	±	17 ^(f)	

⁽a) NIST Method 1a using liquid-liquid extraction followed by GC/MS in the EI mode.

Table 3. Certified Concentration Values for PBDE Congeners and for PBB 153(a) in SRM 1953

			Mass (n	Fra g/kg	
PBDE	47	(2,2',4,4'-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,c,d,e)	2200	±	110 ^(f)
PBDE	99	(2,2',4,4',5-Pentabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,c,d,e,g)	342	\pm	4 ^(h)
PBDE	100	(2,2',4,4',6-Pentabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,c,d,e,g)	839	\pm	$66^{(f)}$
PBDE	153	(2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,c,d,e,g)	984	\pm	$76^{(f)}$
PBDE	154	(2,2',4,4',5,6'-Hexabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,c,d)	42.8	\pm	$3.6^{(f)}$
PBB	153	$(2,2',4,4',5,5'$ -Hexabromobiphenyl) $^{(b,d)}$	36.6	\pm	1.3 ^(f)

⁽a) PBDE congeners and PBB 153 are numbered according to IUPAC rules.

SRM 1953 Page 5 of 9

⁽b) NIST Method 2a using focused microwave extraction followed by GC/MS in the EI mode.

⁽c) CDC method using GC/HRMS.

⁽d) Results from interlaboratory study.

⁽e) Certified values are weighted means of the results from four analytical method [12]. The uncertainty listed with each value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2 (approximately 95 % confidence) calculated by combining a between-method variance incorporating inter-method bias with a pooled within-source variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurands are the total mass fractions of selected chlorinated pesticides. The certified values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

⁽f) Certified values are unweighted means of the results from two or three analytical methods. The uncertainty listed with the value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2, calculated by combining a between-method variance [10] with a pooled, within-method variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurands are the total mass fractions of selected chlorinated pesticides. The certified values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

⁽g) NIST Method 1b using liquid-liquid extraction followed by GC/MS in the NICI mode (same extracts as NIST Method 1a).

⁽b) NIST Method 1b using liquid-liquid extraction followed by GC/MS in the NICI mode (same extracts as NIST Method 1a).

⁽c) NIST Method 2a using focused microwave extraction followed by GC/MS in the EI mode.

⁽d) CDC method using GC/HRMS.

⁽e) Results from interlaboratory study.

⁽f) Certified values are the unweighted means of the results from three to five analytical methods. The uncertainty listed with the value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2, calculated by combining a between-method variance [10] with a pooled, within-method variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurands are the total mass fractions of selected PBDE congeners and for PBB 153. The certified values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

⁽g) NIST Method 2b using focused microwave extraction followed by GC/MS in the NICI mode (same extracts as NIST Method 2a).

⁽h) Certified values are weighted means of the results from five analytical methods [12]. The uncertainty listed with each value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2 (approximately 95 % confidence) calculated by combining a between-method variance incorporating inter-method bias with a pooled within-source variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurand is the total mass fractions of selected PBDE congener. The certified value is metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

Table 4. Reference Concentration Values for PCB Congeners^(a), Chlorinated Pesticides, and PBDE Congeners^(a) in SRM 1953

					ection
			(ng/k	g)
PCB	52	(2,2',5,5'-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b)	7.65	\pm	0.23 ^(c)
PCB	77	(3,3',4,4'-Tetrchlorobiphenyl) ^(b,d)	0.673	\pm	$0.047^{(c)}$
PCB	81	(3,4,4',5-Tetrachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,d)	0.092	<u>±</u>	$0.006^{(c)}$
PCB	126	(3,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,d)	0.93	\pm	$0.37^{(e)}$
PCB	149	(2,2',3,4',5',6-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b)	7.40	\pm	$0.60^{(c)}$
PCB	169	(3,3',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,d)	0.215	±	$0.014^{(e)}$
PCB	170	(2,2',3,3',4,4',5-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,d,f,g)	100	\pm	16 ^(h)
PCB	183	(2,2',3,4,4',5',6-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,f,g)	39.0	\pm	$7.8^{(e)}$
PCB	187	(2,2',3,4',5,5'6-Heptachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,d,f,g)	99	\pm	$20^{(h)}$
PCB	194	(2,2',3,3',4,4',5,5'-Octachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,d,f,g)	50	\pm	14 ^(h)
PCB	195	(2,2',3,3',4,4',5,6-Octachlorobiphenyl) ^(b)	11.9	\pm	$1.6^{(c)}$
PCB	196	(2,2',3,3',4,4',5,6'-Octachlorobiphenyl) ^(b,g)	68	\pm	29 ^(e)
	203	(2,2',3,4,4',5,5',6-Octachlorobiphenyl)			
2,4'-DD			18.2	\pm	$1.2^{(c)}$
Mirex ^{(b,}	,f,g)		68	\pm	23 ^(e)
PBDE	17	(2,2',4-Tribromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,f)	17.0	\pm	$2.9^{(e)}$
PBDE	28	(2,4,4'-Tribromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,d)	136	\pm	12 ^(e)
PBDE	66	(2,3',4,4'-Tetrabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,d)	16.2	\pm	$2.0^{(e)}$
PBDE	85	(2,2',3,4,4'-Pentabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,d,f,i)	49.3	\pm	$7.1^{(h)}$
PBDE	183	(2,2',3,4,4',5',6-Heptabromodiphenyl ether) ^(b,d)	5.5	\pm	1.9 ^(e)

⁽a) PCB congeners are numbered according to the scheme proposed by Ballschmiter and Zell [8] and later revised by Schulte and Malisch [9] to conform with IUPAC rules. When two or more congeners are known to coelute under the GC analysis conditions used, the PCB congener listed first is the major component and the additional congeners may be present as minor components. The quantitative results are based on the response of the congener listed first. PBDE congeners are numbered according to IUPAC rules.

SRM 1953 Page 6 of 9

⁽b) CDC method using GC/HRMS.

⁽c) Reference values are the means of results using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty, U, is calculated as $U = ku_c$, where u_c is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor, k, is determined from the Student's t-distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom and 95 % confidence level for each analyte. The measurand is the mass fraction as determined by the indicated method. The reference values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

⁽d) Results from interlaboratory study.

⁽e) Reference values are unweighted means of the results from two or three analytical methods. The uncertainty listed with the value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2, calculated by combining a between-method variance [10] with a pooled, within-method variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11].

⁽f) NIST Method 1b using liquid-liquid extraction followed by GC/MS in the NICI mode (same extracts as NIST Method 1a).

⁽g) NIST Method 2a using using focused microwave extraction followed by GC/MS in the EI mode.

⁽h) Reference values are weighted means of the results from four analytical methods [12]. The uncertainty listed with each value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2 (approximately 95 % confidence) calculated by combining a between-method variance incorporating inter-method bias with a pooled within-source variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurand is the mass fraction as determined by the indicated method. The reference values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as nanograms per kilogram.

⁽i) NIST Method 2b using focused microwave extraction followed by GC/MS in the NICI mode (same extracts as NIST Method 2a).

Table 5. Reference Concentration Values for Elements in SRM 1953

	Mass (mg	Frac g/kg)	
Calcium ^(b)	257	±	2
Copper ^(b)	0.268	\pm	0.003
Iron ^(b)	0.194	\pm	0.007
Magnesium ^(b)	32.4	\pm	0.2
Manganese ^(b)	0.040	\pm	0.002
Phosphorus ^(b)	135	\pm	2
Potassium ^(b)	462	\pm	7
Sodium ^(b)	127	\pm	3
	Mass (µ	Frac g/kg	
Iodine ^(c)	193	\pm	$2^{(d)}$
Mercury ^(e)	0.101	±	0.033 ^(a)

⁽a) The reference value is the mean of results obtained using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty, U, is calculated as $U = ku_c$, where u_c is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor, k, is determined from the Student's t-distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom and 95 % confidence level for each analyte. The measurand is the mass fraction as determined by the indicated method. The reference values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as milligrams per kilogram or micrograms per kilogram.

SRM 1953 Page 7 of 9

⁽b) Results from ICP-OES measurements performed at NIST.

⁽c) Results from ICP-MS measurements performed at NIST.

⁽d) Reference values are weighted means of the results from two different extractions followed by the ICP-MS analytical method [13]. The uncertainty listed with each value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor, k = 2, calculated by combining a between-method variance incorporating inter-method bias with a pooled within-source variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The measurand is the mass fraction as determined by the indicated method. The reference values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as micrograms per kilogram.

⁽e) Results from isotope dilution cold vapor inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry measurements performed at NIST.

Table 6. Reference Concentration Values for Dibenzo-p-Dioxin and Dibenzofuran Congeners in SRM 1953

	Mass Fraction (pg/kg) ^(a)			
$2,3,7,8$ -Tetrachlorodibenzo- p -dioxin $^{(b,c)}$	54.4	±	4.9	
1,2,3,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin ^(b,c)	124	\pm	9	
1,2,3,4,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin ^(b,c)	78.5	\pm	8.2	
1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin ^(b,c)	503	\pm	57	
1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin ^(b,c)	101	\pm	7	
$1,2,3,4,6,7,8$ -Heptachlorodibenzo- p -dioxin $^{(b,c)}$	480	\pm	97	
Octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin ^(b,c)	2240	\pm	230	
2,3,7,8-Tetachlorodibenzofuran ^(b,c)	17.7	\pm	4.9	
2,3,4,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzofuran ^(b,c)	129	\pm	8	
$1,2,3,4,7,8$ -Hexachlorodibenzofuran $^{(b,c)}$	71.8	\pm	6.4	
1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran ^(b,c)	66.3	\pm	2.9	
2,3,4,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran ^(b,c)	32.3	\pm	4.9	
$1,2,3,4,6,7,8$ -Heptachlorodibenzofuran $^{(b,c)}$	93	\pm	19	
Octachlorodibenzofuran ^(b)	11.6	±	5.0	

⁽a) The reference value is the unweighted mean of the results from two analytical methods except for octachlorodibenzofuran. The uncertainty listed with the value is an expanded uncertainty about the mean, with coverage factor 2, calculated by combining a between-method variance [10] with a pooled, within-method variance following the ISO/JCGM Guide [11]. The reference value for octachlorodibenzofuran is the mean of results using one analytical technique. The expanded uncertainty, U, is calculated as $U = ku_c$, where u_c is one standard deviation of the analyte mean, and the coverage factor, k, is determined from the Student's t-distribution corresponding to the associated degrees of freedom and 95 % confidence level for each analyte. The measurand is the mass fraction as determined by the indicated method. The reference values are metrologically traceable to the SI unit for mass, expressed as picograms per kilogram.

Table 7. Information Mass Fraction Value for Aroclor 1260 in SRM 1953^(a)

	Mass Fraction
	(ng/kg)
Aroclor 1260	3650

⁽a) Concentrations determined by Institut national de santé publique du Québec (Québec, Canada).

SRM 1953 Page 8 of 9

⁽b) CDC method using GC/HRMS.

⁽c) Results from interlaboratory study.

REFERENCES

- [1] May, W.; Parris, R.; Beck II, C.; Fassett, J.; Greenberg, R.; Guenther, F.; Kramer, G.; Wise, S.; Gills, T.; Colbert, J.; Gettings, R.; MacDonald, B.; *Definitions of Terms and Modes Used at NIST for Value-Assignment of Reference Materials for Chemical Measurements*; NIST Special Publication 260-136; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC (2000); available at: http://www.nist.gov/srm/publications.cfm (accessed Jan 2017).
- [2] CDC/NIH; *Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories*, *5th ed.*; Richardson, J.; Barkley, W.E.; Richmond, J.; McKinney, R.W., Eds.; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC and National Institutes of Health; US Government Printing Office: Washington, D.C. (2007); available at http://www.cdc.gov/OD/OHS/biosfty/bmbl5/BMBL_5th_Edition.pdf. (accessed Jan 2017).
- [3] Patterson, D.G. Jr; Turner, W.E.; Analysis of Serum, Adipose Tissue, and Breast Milk for PCDDs, PCDFs, cPCBs, PCB Congeners, Chlorinated Pesticides by High Resolution Gas Chromatography Isotope-Dilution High Resolution Mass Spectrometry; CLIA Document, Environmental Health Laboratory, Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, pp. 1–253 (1997).
- [4] Sjödin, A.; Jones, R.S.; Lapeza, C.R.; Focant, J.-F.; McGahee, E.E. III; Patterson, D.G. Jr; Semiautomated High-Throughput Extraction and Cleanup Method for the Measurement of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers, Polybominated Biphenyls, and Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Human Serum; Anal. Chem.; Vol. 76, pp. 1921–1927 (2004).
- [5] Christopher, S.J.; Long, S.E.; Rearick, M.S.; Fassett, J.D.; Development of High Accuracy Vapor Generation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry and its Application to the Certification of Mercury in Standard Reference Materials; Anal. Chem.; Vol. 73, pp. 2190–2199 (2001).
- [6] Long, S.E.; Kelly, W.R.; Accurate Determination of Mercury in Coal by Isotope Dilution Cold-Vapor Generation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry; Anal. Chem.; Vol. 74, pp. 1477–1483 (2002).
- [7] Long, S.E.; Davis, W.C.; Day, R.; Christopher, S.J.; Mann, J.L.; Kelly, W.R.; *Improved Certified Values for Total Mercury and Speciated Mercury in NIST Standard Reference Materials Using Isotope Dilution ICP-MS*; Am. Lab; Vol. 39, pp. 26–27 (2007).
- [8] Ballschmiter, K.; Zell, M.; Analysis of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) by Glass Capillary Gas Chromatography Composition of Technical Aroclor- and Clophen-PCB Mixtures; Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem., Vol. 302, pp. 20–31 (1980).
- [9] Schulte, E.; Malisch, R.; Calculation of the Real PCB Content in Environmental Samples. I. Investigation of the Composition of Two Technical PCB Mixtures; Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem., Vol. 314, pp. 545–551 (1983).
- [10] Levenson, M.S.; Banks, D.L.; Eberhardt, K.R.; Gill, L.M.; Guthrie, W.F.; Liu, H.-k.; Vangel, M.G.; Yen, J.H.; Zhang, N.F.; *An Approach to Combining Results from Multiple Methods Motivated by the ISO GUM*; J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol., Vol. 105, pp. 571–579 (2000).
- [11] JCGM 100:2008; Evaluation of Measurement Data Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement; (GUM 1995 with Minor Corrections), Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology (JCGM) (2008); available at http://www.bipm.org/utils/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM_100_2008_E.pdf (accessed Jan 2017); see also Taylor, B.N.; Kuyatt, C.E.; Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results; NIST Technical Note 1297, U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC (1994); available at http://www.nist.gov/pml/pubs/index.cfm (accessed Jan 2017).
- [12] Ruhkin, A.L.; Vangel, M.G.; *Estimation of a Common Mean and Weighted Means Statistics*; J. Am. Statist. Assoc.; Vol. 93, pp. 303–308 (1998).
- [13] Dersimonian, R.; Laird, N.; Meta-Analysis in Clinical Trials; Control Clin. Trials, Vol. 7, pp. 177–188 (1986).

Certificate Revision History: 06 January 2017 (Addition of iodine reference value; editorial changes); 29 January 2016 (Editorial changes); 26 May 2015 (Change of expiration date; editorial changes); 04 June 2009 (Original certificate date).

Users of this SRM should ensure that the Certificate of Analysis in their possession is current. This can be accomplished by contacting the SRM Program: telephone (301) 975-2200; fax (301) 948-3730; e-mail srminfo@nist.gov; or via the Internet at http://www.nist.gov/srm.

SRM 1953 Page 9 of 9