

# Well-Founded Relations

An operator  $\succ$  is called a *partial order* on a set  $\mathcal{N}$  iff it satisfies the following two conditions:

**Irreflexivity**  $\forall n \in \mathcal{N} : \neg(n \succ n)$

**Transitivity**  $\forall m, n, p \in \mathcal{N} : (m \succ n) \wedge (n \succ p) \Rightarrow (m \succ p)$

A partial order  $\succ$  on  $\mathcal{N}$  is called a *total order* iff it also satisfies the condition:

**Completeness**  $\forall m, n \in \mathcal{N} : (m \succ n) \vee (n \succ m) \vee (m = n)$

A partial order  $\succ$  on a set  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be *well-founded* iff there is no infinite descending chain of the form:

$$n_1 \succ n_2 \succ n_3 \succ \dots$$

with all the  $n_i$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ . This condition can be expressed formally in terms of [functions](#)<sup>□</sup> as

$$\neg \exists f \in [Nat \rightarrow \mathcal{N}] : \forall i \in Nat : f[i] \succ f[i+1]$$

Any partial order on a finite set is obviously well-founded. The relation  $>$  is a well-founded total order on the set  $Nat$  of natural numbers. A well-founded partial (or total) order  $\succ$  on a set  $\mathcal{N}$  is also a well-founded partial (or total) order on any subset of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

A useful well-founded total order is the relation  $\succ_k$  on  $k$ -tuples of natural numbers, defined by letting

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \succ_k \langle b_1, \dots, b_k \rangle$$

iff there exists  $i$  in  $1..k$  such that  $a_i > b_i$  and  $a_j = b_j$  for all  $j$  in  $1..(i-1)$ . Since [a  \$k\$ -tuple of natural numbers is a function](#)<sup>□</sup> from  $1..k$  to  $Nat$ , this definition can be written formally as

$$\begin{aligned} a \succ_k b &\triangleq \wedge a \in [1..k \rightarrow Nat] \\ &\wedge b \in [1..k \rightarrow Nat] \\ &\wedge \exists i \in 1..k : \wedge a[i] > b[i] \\ &\wedge \forall j \in 1..(i-1) : a[j] > b[j] \end{aligned}$$

(This isn't a  $TLA^+$  definition because we can't write  $\succ_k$  in  $TLA^+$ ; we would have to define the operator for a particular value of  $n$ .)

We can generalize these relations  $\succ_k$  to the well-founded total order  $\succ$  on the set of all finite sequences of natural numbers by defining  $m \succ n$  to be true iff either (i) sequence  $m$  is longer than sequence  $n$  or (ii) they both have length  $k$  and  $m \succ_k n$ . The  $TLA^+$  definition of  $\succ$  is easily written using the operators *Seq* and *Len* defined in the [standard Sequences module](#)<sup>□</sup>.