

# MusAssist: A Domain Specific Language for Music Notation

Ilana Shapiro

Pomona College

issa2018@mymail.pomona.edu

## ABSTRACT

*MusAssist is an external, declarative domain specific language for music notation that bridges the abstraction gap between music theory and composition. Users can describe templates for all triads and seventh chords, all diatonic as well as chromatic and whole tone scales, the five primary cadences (including imperfect authentic), and the four primary harmonic sequences with desired length. Uniquely, the level of abstraction of a MusAssist template matches that of the theoretical musical structure it describes (e.g. users can specify a harmonic sequence without manually lowering the granularity to chords and notes). Thus, users write out specifications precisely at the conceptual levels of the musical theoretical structures they would organically conceive when composing by hand. In MusAssist, users can also change key signatures, start a new measure, and describe fundamental musical objects such as notes, rests, and chords comprised of custom collections of notes. A musical expression described by a higher level template is expanded (i.e. the level of abstraction is fully lowered to notes) by the Haskell-based MusAssist compiler and translated to MusicXML, a language accepted by most major music notation softwares, for further manual editing.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

When writing music, composers must manually transition from musical theoretical concepts to notes on a page. This process can be tedious and slow, requiring the composer to manually expand complex structures, such as cadences and sequences, to the notes they constitute. The level of abstraction of the musical theoretical structure is higher than what the composer actually writes.

Domain specific languages, or DSLs, are programming languages highly specialized for a specific application and thus characterized by limited expressiveness. An *external DSL* has custom syntax that is separated from the primary language of its application.

This paper presents MusAssist, an external, declarative DSL for music notation that bridges the divide between music theory and notation. Users describe a composition in MusAssist's straightforward, high-level syntax, modeled around the musical elements composers organically conceive when notating by hand, and the MusAssist compiler automates the expansion of these elements to their

constituent notes. MusAssist's declarative programming paradigm was chosen to further correspond with the declarative nature of handwritten music.

Fundamentally, MusAssist supports notes (including rests) and custom chords (i.e. any desired collection of notes) in the octave and key of choice, as well as commands to change the key signature or start a new measure. MusAssist is unique in that users can also write specifications for complex musical templates *at the same level of abstraction as the musical theoretical structures they describe*. MusAssist supports templates for **chords** and **arpeggios** (all triads and seventh chords in any inversion), **scales** (major, natural/harmonic/melodic minor, chromatic, and whole tone), **cadences** (perfect authentic, imperfect authentic, plagal, half, deceptive), and **harmonic sequences** (ascending fifths, descending fifths, ascending 5-6, descending 5-6) of a desired length. The musical expression described by a specification is expanded (i.e. the abstraction level is fully lowered to notes) by the Haskell-based MusAssist compiler.

The target language of the MusAssist compiler is MusicXML, itself a DSL that is an extension of XML (Extensible Markup Language). MusicXML is accepted by most major notation software programs (such as MuseScore). Thus, users can open the resulting MusicXML file of a compiled MusAssist composition in MuseScore or another program for further customization and editing, bypassing the need to write out complex musical templates by hand at a note or chord granularity. Beyond a professional music compositional aid, MusAssist may be particularly helpful to music theory students as an educational tool, enabling them to visualize the relationship between a theoretical musical structure and its expanded form, such as a cadence and the chords resulting from its expansion.

The paper is organized as follows. Section ?? begins by presenting related work in music notation DSLs. Section ?? then outlines features of the MusAssist languages, followed by a concrete example in Section ?. Section ?? outlines the MusAssist compiler structure, and Section ?? summarizes the central logic behind MusAssist's formalization of musical theoretical structures. Finally, conclusions and future work are discussed.

## 2. RELATED WORK

The era of music DSLs began in 2008 with Ge Wang's ChucK audio processing language, which spans the application domains of "methods for sound synthesis, physical modeling of real-time world artifacts and spaces (e.g., musical instruments, environmental sounds), analysis and information retrieval of sound and music, to mapping and crafting of new controllers and interfaces (both software

and physical) for music, algorithmic/generative processes for automated or semi-automatic composition and accompaniment, [and] real-time music performance.” [?]. Since then, researchers have taken advantage of the increased flexibility afforded to DSLs via their limited expressiveness to create music DSLs tailored towards notation, algorithmic composition, signal processing, live coding with music performance, and more. In the notation domain, MusicXML, LilyPond, and PyTabs stand out.

Michael Good’s MusicXML is an Internet-friendly, XML-based, declarative DSL capable of representing Western music notation and sheet music since c. 1600. It acts as an “interchange format for applications in music notation, music analysis, music information retrieval, and musical performance,” thus supporting sharing between specialized applications. [?]

MusicXML attempts to emulate for online sheet music and music software what the popular MIDI format did for electronic instruments. It is derived from XML in order to help solve the music interchange problem: to create a standardized method to represent complex, structured data in order to support smooth interchange between “musical notation, performance, analysis, and retrieval applications.” XML has the desired qualities of “straightforward usability over the Internet, ease of creating documents, and human readability” that translate directly into the musical domain, and it is more powerful and expressive than MIDI. [?]

MusicXML is more expressive than MusAssist, but the abstraction level of all musical elements is extremely low (i.e. chords must be written out as individual notes) and its syntax is cumbersome and tedious to write by hand. However, its expressiveness makes MusicXML an excellent target compilation language for MusAssist’s user-friendly syntax and high-level musical theoretical templates.

LilyPond, an external declarative DSL created by Han-Wen Nienhuys and Jan Nieuwenhuizen, is similar to MusAssist. It features a “modular, extensible and programmable compiler” written in Scheme to generate Western music notation of excellent quality and supports the mixing of text and music elements. Text-based *musical expressions*, or fragments of music with set durations, are compiled to an aesthetically formatted score.[?]

LilyPond and MusAssist are both music notation DSLs tailored to non-programming audiences. However, they differ in two fundamental areas: (1) MusAssist supports complex music templates at the levels of abstraction of the musical structures they represent, whereas LilyPond only supports more granular, low level composition of individual notes and chords, and (2) the output of the MusAssist compiler is intentionally editable via notation software, unlike LilyPond’s compiler, which produces a static, printable PostScript or PDF file by taking in a file with a formal representation of the desired music. [?]

Simic et al.’s external, declarative DSL PyTabs similarly is geared toward music notation, but in a different domain than MusAssist. Specifically, the authors attempt to solve visual problem of tablature notation, and the lack of standardization of how to specify note duration in this format, by consolidating these issues into a formal language. Tablature notation is outside the scope of MusAssist’s focus on Western musical theoretical structures. [?].

### 3. LANGUAGE FEATURES

#### 3.1 Low-Level Fundamentals

On the most basic level, MusAssist supports individual rests and notes. Rests are given a duration from sixteenth to whole note, and notes are further defined by note name (A to G), accidental (double flat to double sharp), and octave (1 to 8, after the range of a piano). Just as in normal notation, the absence of an accidental indicates natural quality. Finally, users can also define “custom chords,” or user-defined lists of individual notes. These are not considered templates as the high-level description of the chord is not given, and the granularity is at the note level.

#### 3.2 High-Level Templates

MusAssist supports templates for chords, arpeggios, scales, cadences, and harmonic sequences, specified uniquely at the abstraction level of the musical theoretical structures they represent.

Precisely as in music theory, chords are specified by the root note (defined as the fundamental MusAssist note is), quality (major, minor, augmented, diminished, or half diminished), inversion (root, first, second, or third), and chord type (triad or seventh). Half diminished and third inversion options apply to seventh chords only. The root note cannot have a double accidental, as this can introduce triple accidentals in the chord, which MusAssist does not support.

Arpeggios are defined precisely as MusAssist chords are, as arpeggios are simply broken chords.

Similarly, diatonic scales are given by key and scale type (major, and harmonic/melodic/natural minor), while non-diatonic scales are simply specified by their type (chromatic or whole tone). A scale is either ascending or descending, must be given a length, and does not necessarily begin on the tonic – the start note must be supplied (defined just as the fundamental MusAssist note is). Following convention, chromatic scales are notated with sharps when ascending and flats when descending.

Cadences are specified by cadence type (perfect or imperfect authentic, half, plagal, or deceptive) and key (defined by the fundamental MusAssist note and a quality, either major or minor). Currently, MusAssist only supports a single treble clef line. Thus, cadences are written out in the upper voices only, in keyboard voice leading style and incorporating principles of smooth voice leading.

Based on the principles of functional harmony, there are several ways to represent a cadence. In MusAssist, the following representations were chosen (Table ??). The major version is presented first, with the minor version following in parentheses.

Perfect Authentic	IV-V-I (iv-v-i)
Imperfect Authentic	IV-vii <sup>o</sup> <sub>4</sub> -I <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> (iv-vii <sup>o</sup> <sub>4</sub> -i <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> )
Plagal	IV <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> -I (iv <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> -I)
Deceptive	IV-V <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> -vi <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> (iv-V <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> -VI <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub> )
Half	IV-ii <sup>6</sup> -V (iv-ii <sup>o6</sup> -V)

Table 1: MusAssist Cadences Summary

All cadences except perfect authentic are built exclusively with triads. Perfect authentic cadences also double the root

in the final chord to simulate the 4-5-1 bass line as well as to preserve the requisite 2-1 downward step in the uppermost voice. This is demonstrated in Figure ??, produced with the MusAssist syntax

(Perfect Authentic Cadence, Eb5 minor, sixteenth)

compiled and loaded into MuseScore notation software.




Figure 1: Perfect Authentic Cadence in Eb minor

Finally, harmonic sequences are specified by harmonic sequence type (ascending fifths, descending fifths, ascending 5-6, descending 5-6), key (just as in cadences), duration of each chord, and length of the sequence. Since MusAssist does not yet support multi-line composition, harmonic sequences are written like cadences in keyboard-style voice leading.

In music theory, harmonic sequences can be implemented in several ways depending on desired inversion scheme. Though the upper-voice harmonization of a harmonic sequence need not follow the direction in the sequence's name, MusAssist chooses a chord inversion and voice leading pattern such that each sequence does align with the direction of its name (i.e. ascending-named sequences will ascend directionally) and also maximizes smooth voice leading. The chosen patterns for each MusAssist sequence are summarized in Table ?. All sequences are shown in major for the sake of example, but their inverse in minor is equally supported. Each consists of fourteen distinct chords before repeating in the subsequent octave.

Ascending Fifths	I <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	V	ii <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	vi	iii <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>
	vii <sup>0</sup>	IV <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	I	V <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	ii
	vi <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	iii	vii <sup>0</sup> <sub>4</sub>	IV	
Descending Fifths	I	IV <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	vii <sup>0</sup>	iii <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	vi
	ii <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	V	I <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	IV	vii <sup>0</sup> <sub>4</sub>
	iii	vi <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	ii	V <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	
Ascending 5-6	I	vi <sup>6</sup>	ii	vii <sup>0</sup> <sub>6</sub>	iii
	I <sup>6</sup>	IV	ii <sup>6</sup>	V	iii <sup>6</sup>
	vi	IV <sup>6</sup>	vii <sup>0</sup>	V <sup>6</sup>	
Descending 5-6	I <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	V	vi <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	iii	IV <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>
	I	ii <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	vi	vii <sup>0</sup> <sub>4</sub>	IV
	V <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	ii	iii <sup>6</sup> <sub>4</sub>	vii <sup>0</sup>	

Table 2: MusAssist Harmonic Sequences Summary

### 3.3 Additional Features

Beyond compositional elements, users can set the key signature at the beginning of any measure up to seven sharps or flats by specifying note name, accidental, and quality (sharp or flat). Users can also start a new measure or create a blank measure. Finally, users can assign MusAssist expressions to string labels and reuse them later in the program (the labels are syntactic sugar for the expressions). MusAssist comments are designated with //.

The tempo for all MusAssist programs is set at  $\text{♩} = 80\text{bpm}$  and cannot currently be changed. This also applies to the

time signature, which is set at  $\frac{4}{4}$ .

All compiled MusAssist programs adhere to standard notation conventions. Notes and rests are broken over barlines as well as over the strong beat (beat three) of the measure. They are divided greedily into valid rhythmic units (i.e. from sixteenth to whole note) ordered either least to greatest, or greatest to least in the case of spillage over the barline into the following measure.

## 4. SAMPLE PROGRAM

The full breadth of MusAssist's syntax is demonstrated in Figure ??, and Figure ?? is the result of opening the compiled MusicXML code in MuseScore.

```
SET_KEY A major
SET_KEY A major
SET_KEY G major
(D4 whole) (F#4 quarter) (Ab4 dotted_quarter) (G#4 eighth) (rest sixteenth)
// note without b or # is natural
notes1 = (D4 whole) (F#4 quarter) (Ab4 quarter) (G#4 eighth) (rest whole)
chords1 = ([Bbb5, Db5, C5] half) ([C#5, E5] half)
chord = (D6 minor arpeggio, root inversion, eighth)
(F#4 half diminished seventh chord, second inversion, eighth)
(D4 whole) (F#4 dotted_quarter) (Ab4 quarter) (G#4 eighth) (rest sixteenth)
NEW_MEASURE
NEW_MEASURE
([Bbb5, Db5, C5] half)
([C#5, E5] half) (C6 minor triad, first inversion, dotted_eighth)
(F#4 half diminished seventh chord, second inversion, eighth)
(D#4 diminished seventh arpeggio, root inversion, quarter)
SET_KEY D minor
SET_KEY C# major
(C harmonic minor descending scale, startNote = Eb4, quarter, length=10)
(Descending Fifths Sequence, G5 minor, quarter, length=15)
(Perfect Authentic Cadence, D#5 major, half)
notes1 chords1 (Ascending Fifths Sequence, G#3 minor, quarter, length=5)
chord (Deceptive Cadence, Eb5 minor, sixteenth) chords1
```

Figure 2: MusAssist Syntax



Figure 3: Compiled MusAssist Program in MuseScore

Notice the following in Figure ??:

- The key signature can be changed consecutively arbitrarily many times; only the last will take effect (as seen m. 1 and m. 12).
- Note durations are broken both on the strong beat and on the barline (such as in mm. 2-4).
- Labeled phrases are not notated until the label is referenced, rather than defined.

## 5. COMPILER STRUCTURE

The MusAssist compiler is written in Haskell. Its high-level structure is as follows:

1. MusAssist concrete syntax is parsed into abstract syntax, represented as Haskell algebraic data types (ADTs). Parser combinators were chosen for their flexibility and easy customization. Parsec, an industrial strength parser library, is used, and Parsec’s helper module Token handles lexing. The parse preserves the abstraction level of all templates.
2. All templates, now represented as ADTs, are expanded using the logic in Section ?? until the granularity reaches the note level. The result of this intermediate stage is abstract syntax whose abstraction level matches that of the target language MusicXML.
3. The low-level abstract syntax resulting from the fully expanded templates is translated to MusicXML. This step contains the temporal logic that subdivides notes and rests across barlines and strong beats.

The resulting MusicXML file can then be opened in music notation software for viewing and further editing.

## 6. TEMPLATE EXPANSION LOGIC

MusAssist’s most powerful feature is its ability to formalize musical theoretical templates to automate their expansion so the user can specify them at the elevated level of abstraction of the musical theoretical structures they describe. This section summarizes the logic underlying the expansions.

### 6.1 Backbone Logic

#### 6.1.1 Generating Notes in a Diatonic Scale

Most MusAssist templates are built upon the diatonic scale. In order to formalize their expansions, we must first implement logic to generate a note in a diatonic scale of specified quality (major or minor), given a positive interval within one octave of the specified tonic. To generate the note, we need to determine the note name, octave, and accidental.

To determine note name, we simply transverse up the order of note names (ordered as the C major scale is) from the tonic to the target interval.

The desired octave is either the same as the tonic’s, or one greater than the tonic’s if the desired note name comes before the tonic note name in the C major scale. For instance, as seen in Figure ??, the red note names D, E, and F come before G in the C major scale, and the octave number of each is one higher than the tonic in a G major scale.




Figure 4: Octave Analysis of G Major Scale

In any key, a perfect interval will have the same accidental as the tonic. To work out the logic behind the accidental of a desired imperfect interval, consider Figure ?. Here, we consider all single-accidental key signature names (even invalid ones that contain double sharps or flats, in order to establish the pattern). Key signature names are grouped

under the accidental of the note that is the desired interval from the tonic. For instance, in the key of A $\flat$ , the major second interval from the tonic is B $\flat$ . The accidental of B $\flat$  is  $\flat$ , so A $\flat$  falls under the  $\flat$  column in Figure ?.

Major Seconds			
$\flat$	$\natural$	$\sharp$	$\times$
F $\flat$	E $\flat$	E	E $\sharp$
C $\flat$	B $\flat$	B	B $\sharp$
G $\flat$	F	F $\sharp$	
D $\flat$	C	C $\sharp$	
A $\flat$	G	G $\sharp$	
	D	D $\sharp$	
	A	A $\sharp$	

Figure 5: Accidental of Major Second from Tonic per Key

From Figure ?? we see that given any key, the major second above the tonic has the same accidental as the tonic, except for the any key with E or B in its name. Here, the accidental is “lifted” (i.e.  $\flat \rightarrow \natural$ ,  $\natural \rightarrow \sharp$ , and  $\sharp \rightarrow \times$ ).

A similar pattern emerges for minor thirds, major sixths, and minor sevenths. Using the result of this analysis, we can determine the accidentals of the inverse qualities (i.e. major  $\leftrightarrow$  minor) of the imperfect intervals by either lowering the computed accidental when going from major to minor, or lifting it otherwise.

#### 6.1.2 Generating Triads in a Diatonic Scale

Generating triads in a diatonic scale becomes relevant for the highest level templates that are conceptualized in music theory with chords rather than notes (namely, cadences and harmonic sequences). Note that these generated triads are still themselves chord templates, albeit at one abstraction level lower than the original musical theoretical structure, and thus require further expansion at a later step. The goal here is simply to automate the generation of chord templates for triads in a diatonic scale given a specified tonic tone and quality (major or minor), inversion, and positive interval within one octave of the tonic for the chordal root.

In order to complete the chord template definition from the supplied information, we simply need to determine the desired chord quality. Music theory dictates that the major diatonic scale contains the triads I-ii-iii-IV-V-vi-vii $^{\circ}$ , and the minor diatonic scale contains the triads i-ii $^{\circ}$ -III-iv-v-VI-VII. Using this, we can thus compute the triad quality from the tonic quality and the supplied interval between tonic and desired chordal root.

## 6.2 Template Expansions

### 6.2.1 Scales

The expansion of all major and natural/harmonic/melodic minor scales is derived from the logic in Section ?. The scale is thus generated in relation to the tonic, rather than the specified start note. The tonic is always set below the start note so that the initial interval between tonic and start note is positive, with the interval then increasing for ascending scales and descending otherwise until the desired scale length is reached. If the tonic is reached in the scale generation, we reset the tonic to be one octave higher or lower (depending on the scale direction), so that the interval between the next note in the scale and the current tonic is always positive and within a single octave. Finally, consider that all minor scales are treated as natural when



generating their notes; if needed, the note is modified afterwards in order to appropriately raise the sixth and/or seventh scale degree for harmonic and melodic minor scales.

For the nondiatonic scales (chromatic and whole tone), C is set as the “tonic” for the purposes of determining the octave. Just like diatonic scales, the tonic octave is shifted appropriately if we pass it during the scale generation.

As seen in Figure ??, chromatic scales always “double” the note name, with the directional accidental (sharp for ascending, flat for descending) falling on the second occurrence. There are two exceptions for each direction, marked in red in Figure ??: E and B are “single” notes in the ascending version, as are C and F for the descending version.



Figure 6: Chromatic Scales

If we are in a single note, we simply move up the scale. Otherwise, we repeat it and insert an accidental on the second occurrence.

Whole tone scales form a similar paradigm. As seen in Figure ??, the ascending whole tone scale is missing the note B, while the descending is missing the note C.



Figure 7: Whole Tone Scales

To determine the next note name, we thus simply traverse up or down the C major scale, excluding the appropriate “skip” note. The accidental changes for both scales are bounded on one end by their respective “skip” notes; the other ends are bounded by E in the ascending scale and F in the descending scale. To determine the next accidental, we thus appropriately lift or lower the current accidental at these boundaries, otherwise leaving it unchanged.

### 6.2.2 Chords and Arpeggios

Each note in the chord or arpeggio is generated with the logic from Section ?? based on their respective intervals from the tonic (i.e. the chordal root). Chordal thirds, fifths, and sevenths have respective intervals of 2, 4, and 6 from the tonic.

The imperfect chordal intervals are set to major for major and augmented chords, and minor for minor, half diminished, and fully diminished chords. Thus, for augmented chords, the chordal fifth accidental must be lifted, and the seventh must be lowered. For diminished chords, the fifth and seventh must be lowered, and for half diminished chords, the fifth alone must be lowered.

To handle inversions, recall that the chord (whether triad or seventh) starts out in root position. Let  $n$  be the desired inversion value (0 for root, 1 for first inversion, 2 for second, and 3 or third). By incrementing the octaves of the first  $n$  tones of the chord in root position, we obtain the correct inversion.

If the chord is an arpeggio, we simply return a series of individual notes, rather than a simultaneous cluster.

### 6.2.3 Cadences

A cadence is expanded to the lists of chords it comprises. Thus, two levels of expansion occur here: an intermediate step from cadence to chord templates, and the final step from chord templates to notes.

Recall that the chords in each cadence are defined in Section ??, which reveal the interval of each chordal root from the tonic. Using this, for each chord in the cadence, we first employ the logic from Section ?? to generate the root note of each chord. Then, we use the logic in Section ?? to generate a template for each chord, which undergo a second expansion in Section ??.

The scale quality given to Section ?? to generate the chord template is generally that of the cadence. However, there are exceptions. All V chord templates are generated within a major scale, no matter the cadence quality, since cadences always have major V chords. Similarly, we want the seventh triad in the imperfect authentic cadence to be diminished – i.e. built on the major seventh – no matter the local key quality (since we raise the leading tone in minor keys when moving towards the tonic), which means this chord template must also be generated within a major scale in Section ??.

The tonic octave supplied for the chord template generation in Section ?? is also usually that of the cadence. However, in order for the cadence to follow smooth voice leading, the tonic octave given for generating all second inversion triads, which appear in all cadences except perfect authentic, must be lowered once in relation to the specified cadence octave. This is demonstrated in the B major deceptive cadence in Figure ??. After converting to root position for clarity, notice how the chordal root in the cadence octave is in blue, and the roots an octave below (i.e. those of the second inversion triads) are in red.




Figure 8: Deceptive Cadence Octave Analysis

### 6.2.4 Harmonic Sequences

Similar to cadences, harmonic sequences are initially expanded to the chord templates they comprise, which then undergo a second expansion to notes. Recall from Figure ?? that each sequence consists of 14 chords, after which it cycles an octave above or below, depending on the direction of the sequence.

In order to generate chord templates for a sequence, we need to determine

1. the interval between each pair of chordal roots, which dictates how to proceed from one chord to the next in the sequence
2. the inversion of each chord
3. the octave number of each chord (given by the chordal root octave) relative to the tonic

To determine (1) and (2), consider the analysis in Figure ??, which determines the interval pattern for the chordal roots of the descending 5-6 sequence (as defined in Figure ??), given the zero-based sequence index of each chord.




Figure 9: Descending 5-6 Interval Analysis

The top row is the chord index, the second row is the chord progression from Table ??, the third row is the interval between each chordal root and the tonic, and the bottom row is the interval between each chordal root and the previous (modulo 7). A clear pattern for inversions (in the second row) and interval changes (in the fourth row) emerges based on the parity of the index. Identical analyses are applied to the remaining sequences to formalize their interval and inversion patterns.

Finally, we need to determine (3), the octave number of each chordal root relative to the tonic, or the root of the first chord in the sequence. Consider Figure ??, which presents an octave analysis for the descending 5-6 sequence.



Figure 10: Descending 5-6 Octave Analysis

All chords are converted to root position in order to visualize the octave numbers of their roots in relation to the tonic. The chordal roots in blue are an octave above the tonic, the roots in yellow are an octave below, and the root in red is two octaves below. This same analysis is applied to determine the octave numbers of the chords in the remaining sequences.

Importantly, the interval analysis in Figure ?? holds for any representation of a harmonic sequence, as this is what defines the musical theoretical structure. However, the inversion and octave analyses in Figures ?? and ?? hold only for MusAssist’s chosen representation of the sequences in Table ??, as a different inversion scheme would alter their outcomes.

## 7. CONCLUSION

This paper presents MusAssist, an external, declarative DSL for music notation that closes the abstraction gap between music theory and written composition. Users can uniquely write specifications in MusAssist’s simple and high-level syntax for scales, chords, arpeggios, cadences, and harmonic sequences at the precisely levels of abstraction of the musical theoretical structures they describe. Fundamental musical elements such as notes, rests, custom note collections, new measures, and key signatures are also supported, along the ability to reuse labeled expressions and indicate comments. MusAssist programs are translated by its Haskell-based compiler to MusicXML so that the composition can be loaded into notation software for further manual editing.

Optimally, in the future MusAssist would support templates for additional non-diatonic structures like octatonic and pentatonic scales, as well as all flavors of suspended, ninth, eleventh, and thirteen chords (often seen in jazz). Templates for key modulations (i.e. specifications for generating a sequence of chords that successfully modulates from one key to another) are also planned. Furthermore, MusAssist would ideally increase the customizability of existing templates that are not fully expressive. The current MusAssist template expansions for cadences and harmonic sequences are limited to the representation schemes outlined in Tables ?? and ?. In order for MusAssist to fully close the abstraction gap between the musical theoretical structures it formalizes and their low-level notational forms, all theoretical variations of the structures within the principles of functional harmony should be supported. Support for two-clef composition (as opposed to the current single-clef system) would allow for cadences and harmonic sequences, as well as future complex templates like key modulations, to better align with their musical theoretical definitions by including the essential baseline. Finally, user studies of MusAssist would give insight into potential improvements for language design.

## Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Professor Ben Wiedermann of Harvey Mudd College for his invaluable mentorship throughout this project.

## 8. REFERENCES

- [1] G. Wang, “The Chuck Audio Programming Language: “A Strongly-timed and On-the-fly Environ/mentality”,” 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cs.princeton.edu/~gewang/thesis.html>
- [2] M. Good, “MusicXML: Introduction,” Apr 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://www.musicxml.com/publications/makemusic-recordare/notation-and-analysis/introduction/>
- [3] —, *MusicXML: An Internet-Friendly Format for Sheet Music*, Dec 2001. [Online]. Available: <https://michaelgood.info/publications/music/musicxml-an-internet-friendly-format-for-sheet-music/>
- [4] H.-W. Nienhuys and J. Nieuwenhuizen, *Lily-Pond, A System for Automated Music Engraving*, May 2003. [Online]. Available: <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.100.6160&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- [5] M. Simić, Z. Bal, I. Dejanovic, and R. Vaderna, “PyTabs: A DSL for simplified music notation,” *ResearchGate*, 2015. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312607043\\_PyTabs\\_A\\_DSL\\_for\\_simplified\\_music\\_notation](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312607043_PyTabs_A_DSL_for_simplified_music_notation)