


Prof.dr.ir. Martin van Sint Annaland
Dr.ir. Ivo Roghair



Where innovation starts



Content

- **How to solve:**

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ for arbitrary functions } f$$

“Root finding”

(i.e. move all terms to the left)

- **One dimensional case:** $f(x) = 0$

“Bracket or ‘trap’ a root between bracketing values, then hunt it down like a rabbit.”

- **Multi-dimensional case:** $f(x) = 0$

- N equations in N unknowns:

You can only *hope* to find a solution.

It may have no (real) solution, or more than one solution!

- Much more difficult!!

“You never know whether a root is near, unless you have found it”

Outline

- **One-dimensional case:**

- Direct iteration method
- Bisection method
- Secant and false position method
- Brent's method
- Newton-Raphson method

Do not use routines
as black boxes without
understanding them!!!

- **Multi-dimensional case:**

- Newton-Raphson method
- Broyden's method

- Introduction to underlying ideas and algorithms
- Exercises in how to program the methods in Excel and MATLAB.

General idea


- **Root finding proceeds by iteration:**
 - Start with a good initial guess (crucially important!!)
 - Use an algorithm to improve the solution until some predetermined convergence criterion is satisfied
- **Pitfalls:**
 - Convergence to the wrong root...
 - Fails to converge because there is no root...
 - Fails to converge because your initial estimate was not close enough...
- It never hurts to inspect your function graphically
- Pay attention to carefully select initial guesses

Hamming's motto:
the purpose of computing
is insight, not numbers!!

Direct iteration method/successive substitutions

- **Rewrite** $f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = g(x)$
 - Start with an initial guess: x_1
 - Calculate new estimate with: $x_2 = g(x_1)$
 - Continue iteration with: $x_{i+1} = g(x_i)$
 - Proceed until: $|x_{i+1} - x_i| < \epsilon$

When the process converges, taking a smaller value for ϵ results in a more accurate solution, however more iterations need to be performed.



Direct iteration method

**Exercise 1: Find the root of $x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$
with the direct iteration method**

- **Rewrite as:** $x = (3x^2 + 3x + 4)^{\frac{1}{3}}$
 - Solve in Excel
 - Solve in Matlab

- **Rewrite as:** $x = (x^3 - 3x^2 - 4)/3$
 - Solve in Excel
 - Solve in Matlab

Intermezzo: functions revisited

- In MATLAB you can define your own functions, allowing re-use of certain functionalities. We now define the mathematical function in a new file f.m:

$$f(x) = x^2 + \exp(x)$$

```
function y = f(x)
y = x.^2 + exp(x);
end
```

- The first line contains the function keyword
- y is defined as output, x is defined as input
- The computation can use x as a scalar as well as a vector
 - If x is a vector, y is also a vector.

Anonymous functions

- If you do not want to create a file, you can create an anonymous function

```
>> g = @(x) (x.^2 + exp(x))
```

- g: the name of the function
- @: indicator of a function handle
- x: the input argument

```
>> g(0:0.1:1)
```

- A function handle points to a function, but it behaves as a variable. You can pass a function handle as an argument!

Passing functions in Matlab

- For example: to solve $f(x) = x^2 - 4x + 2 = 0$ numerically, we can write a function that returns the value of f :

```
function f = MyFunc(x)                                (Note: case sensitive!!)
    f = x.^2 - 4*x + 2;
return
```

- The function handle can be used as an alias:

```
>> f = @MyFunc; a = 4; b = f(a)
```
- We can then call a solving routine (e.g. `fzero`):

```
>> ans = fzero(@MyFunc,5)
>> fzero(@(x) x.^2-4*x+2,5)
```

Passing functions in Matlab

- We can also make **our own** function, that takes the function handle as an input (save as draw_my_function.m):

```
function [] = draw_my_function(func)
% Draws a function in the range [0 10] using 20 data
% points. 'func' is a function handle that can point to
% any actual function.
x = linspace(0, 10, 20);
y = func(x);
plot(x,y,"-o");
end
```

- Now we can call the function with a function handle, which points to an anonymous function or a common function:

```
>> f = @(x) (x.^2 - 4*x + 2);
>> draw_my_function(f)
>> ezplot(f, [0 10])
```

Direct iteration method

**Exercise 1: Find the root of $x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$
with the direct iteration method**

- **Rewrite as:** $x = (3x^2 + 3x + 4)^{\frac{1}{3}}$
 - Solve in Excel
 - Solve in Matlab

- **Rewrite as:** $x = (x^3 - 3x^2 - 4)/3$
 - Solve in Excel
 - Solve in Matlab

Direct iteration method

**Exercise 1: Find the root of $x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$
with the direct iteration method in Excel**

$$x = (3x^2 + 3x + 4)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

1	2.5
2	3.11584
3	3.489024
4	3.68113
5	3.834375
6	3.906376
7	3.94719
8	3.970248
9	3.98325
10	3.990573

$= (3*x1^2 + 3*x1 + 4)^{(1/3)}$

Converges!

$$x = (x^3 - 3x^2 - 4)/3$$

1	2.5
2	-2.375
3	-11.4395
4	-631
5	-8.4E+22
6	-2E+23
7	-2.6E+69
8	-6E+207
9	#NUM!
10	#NUM!

$= (x1^3 - 3*x1^2 - 4)/3$

Diverges!

Direct iteration method

**Exercise 1: Find the root of $x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$
with the direct iteration method in Matlab**

With simple script:

```
x = 2.5;  
fprintf("i: %d, x: %e\n", 0, x);  
for i=1:20  
    x = (3*x^2+3*x+4)^(1/3);  
    fprintf("i = %d: x = %f\n", i, x);  
end
```

Not very flexible/reusable \Rightarrow use functions!

Direct iteration method

**Exercise 1: Find the root of $x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$
with the direct iteration method in Matlab**

First define the functions

```
function [y] = MyFnc1(x)
    y = (3*x^2 + 3*x + 4)^(1/3);
end
```

```
function [y] = MyFnc2(x)
    y = (x^3 - 3*x^2 - 4)/3;
end
```

Direct iteration method

**Exercise 1: Find the root of $x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$
with the direct iteration method in Matlab**

Make function to carry out Direct Iteration algorithm:

```
function [y,it] = DirectIterationMethod(g,x,eps)
%Solves x = g(x) with x as initial guess until the
%difference with the next iteration is smaller than eps
%or when the number of iterations exceeds itmax
itmax = 100;
it = 0;
y = g(x);
fprintf("it = %d: x = %f\n",it,y);
while ((abs(y-x)>eps) && (it<itmax))
    it = it + 1;
    x = y;
    y = g(x);
    fprintf("it = %d: x = %f\n",it,y);
end
```

Direct iteration method

**Exercise 1: Find the root of $x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$
with the direct iteration method in Matlab**

Call Direct Iteration function with:

```
>> DirectIterationMethod(@MyFnc1,2.5,1e-3);
```


```
>> DirectIterationMethod(@MyFnc2,2.5,1e-3);
```



**Why does it converge with MyFnc1
and diverge with MyFnc2?**

Direct iteration method

Exercise 1: Find the root of $f(x) = x^3 - 3x^2 - 3x - 4 = 0$ with the direct iteration method




**Method only works
when $|g'(x_i)| < 1$**

**And even then
not very fast ...**

$$\begin{aligned}x &= g(x) \square g(x_i) + g'(x_i)(x - x_i) \\g(x_{i+1}) &= g(x_i) + g'(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i) \\x_{i+2} &= x_{i+1} + g'(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i) \\|x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}| &= |g'(x_i)||x_{i+1} - x_i| \\ \text{Convergence} &\Rightarrow |g'(x_i)| \leq 1\end{aligned}$$


Bracketing

Bracketing a root = knowing that the function changes sign in an identified interval



A root is bracketed in the interval (a,b) , if $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ have opposite signs

\Rightarrow At least one root must lie in this interval, if the function is continuous




Intermediate Value Theorem

If $f(x)$ is *continuous* on $[a,b]$ and k is a constant that lies between $f(a)$ and $f(b)$, then there is a value $x \in [a,b]$ such that $f(x) = k$

Bracketing


Bracketing a root = knowing that the function changes sign in an identified interval




- **General best advise:**
 - Always bracket a root before trying to converge...
 - Never allow your iteration method to get outside the best bracketing bounds...

General idea


- Examples of pitfalls of bracketing...




No answer (no root)



Oops!! (two roots!!)



Nice case (one root)



Three roots (might work for a while!)

Bracketing

Exercise 2:

- Write a function in MATLAB to bracket a function given an initial guessed range x_1 and x_2 . (via expansion of the interval)
- Write a program to find out how many roots exist (at minimum) in the interval x_1 and x_2 .

Of course these functions can then be combined to create a function that returns bracketing intervals for different roots.

Bracketing

- **Exercise 2: Function to bracket a function**


If possible, first make a graph: for example via

```
>> x=0:0.1:5;  
>> y=x.^2-4*x+2;  
>> figure;  
>> plot(x,y,x,zeros(size(x)));  
>> axis tight; box on;
```

Makes immediately clear that there are two roots.

$$x_1 = 2 - \sqrt{2} \approx 0.59$$

$$x_2 = 2 + \sqrt{2} \approx 3.41$$



Bracketing

Exercise 2:

- Write a function in MATLAB to bracket a function given an initial guessed range x_1 and x_2 . (via expansion of the interval)
- Write a program to find out how many roots exist (at minimum) in the interval x_1 and x_2 .

Of course these functions can then be combined to create a function that returns bracketing intervals for different roots.

Bracketing

- Exercise 2: Function to bracket a function

```
1  function found = brac(func, x1, x2)
2  -   ntry = 50;
3  -   factor = 1.6;
4
5  -   found = false;
6  -   if (x1~=x2)
7  -       f1 = func(x1);
8  -       f2 = func(x2);
9  -   for i = 1:ntry
10 -       if (f1*f2<0)
11 -           found = true;
12 -           break;
13 -       end;
14 -       if (abs(f1)<abs(f2))
15 -           x1 = x1 + factor*(x1-x2);
16 -           f1 = func(x1);
17 -       else
18 -           x2 = x2 + factor*(x2-x1);
19 -           f2 = func(x2);
20 -       end;
21 -   end;
22 -   else
23 -       disp('Bad initial range!');
24 -   end;
25
26 -   if found
27 -       disp(sprintf('The bracketing interval = [%f, %f]\n', [x1,x2]));
28 -   else
29 -       disp('No bracketing interval found!');
30 -   end;
31 -   return
```

a function to expand the
interval (x_1, x_2)
maximally $2^{50} \sim 10^{15}$,
until a root is found

returns true when root is found
and false otherwise

displays results

Bracketing

- Exercise 2: Function to bracket a function

```
1 function nroot = brak(func, x1, x2, n);
2     nroot = 0;
3     dx = (x2 - x1)/n;
4     x = x1;
5     fp = func(x1);
6     for i = 0:n
7         x = x + dx;
8         fc = func(x);
9         if (fc*fp<=0)
10             nroot = nroot + 1;
11             xb1(nroot) = x - dx;
12             xb2(nroot) = x;
13         end;
14         fp = fc;
15     end;
16     if n>0
17         for i = 1:nroot
18             disp(sprintf('Root %d in bracketing interval [%f, %f]', [i,xb1(i),xb2(i)]));
19         end
20     else
21         disp('No roots found!');
22     end;
23
24     return;
```


a function to subdivide the interval (x_1, x_2) in n parts and examines whether there is at least one root

Returns the left and right boundaries of the intervals of the roots in xb1, xb2

Bisection method

- **Bisection algorithm:**

- Over some interval it is known that the function will pass through zero, because the function changes sign
- Evaluate function value at the interval's midpoint and examine its sign
- Use the midpoint to replace whichever limit has the same sign



It cannot fail,
but relatively
slow convergence!

Exercise 3:

- **Write a function in Excel to find a root of a function using the bisection method**
 - Assume that an initial bracketing interval (x_1, x_2) is provided
 - Also the required tolerance is specified (which tolerance?)
 - Also output the required number of iterations
- **Do the same in MATLAB**

Bisection method

- Exercise 3: Bisection method in Excel

it	x1	x2	f1	f2	xmid	fmid	interval size
0	-2	2	14	-2	0	2	4
1	0	2	2	-2	1	-1	2
2	0	1	-1	-1	0.5	0.25	1
3	0.5	1	0.25	-1	0.75	-0.4375	0.5
4	0.5	0.75	0.25	-0.4375	0.625	-0.109375	0.25
5	0.5	0.625	0.25	-0.109375	0.5625	0.066406	0.125
6	0.5625	0.59375	0.066406	-0.02246	0.578125	0.021729	0.0625
7	0.5625	0.59375	0.066406	-0.02246	0.578125	0.021729	0.03125
8	0.578125	0.59375	0.021729	-0.02246	0.585938	0.010635	0.015625
9	0.578125	0.585938	0.021729	-0.00043	0.582031	0.010635	0.007813
10	0.582031	0.585938	0.010635	-0.00043	0.583984	0.00051	0.003906
11	0.583984	0.585938	0.00051	-0.00043	0.584961	0.0002336	0.001953
12	0.584961	0.585938	0.0002336	-0.00043	0.585449	0.0000954	0.000977
13	0.585449	0.585938	0.0000954	-0.00043	0.585693	0.000263	0.000488
14	0.585693	0.585938	0.000263	-0.00043	0.585815	-8.2E-05	0.000244
15	0.585693	0.585815	0.000263	-8.2E-05	0.585754	9.06E-05	0.000122
16	0.585754	0.585815	9.06E-05	-8.2E-05	0.585785	4.31E-06	6.1E-05
17	0.585785	0.585815	4.31E-06	-8.2E-05	0.5858	-3.9E-05	3.05E-05
18	0.585785	0.5858	4.31E-06	-3.9E-05	0.585793	-1.7E-05	1.53E-05
19	0.585785	0.585793	4.31E-06	-1.7E-05	0.585789	-6.5E-06	7.63E-06
20	0.585785	0.585789	4.31E-06	-6.5E-06	0.585787	-1.1E-06	3.81E-06
21	0.585785	0.585787	4.31E-06	-1.1E-06	0.585786	1.62E-06	1.91E-06
22	0.585786	0.585787	1.62E-06	-1.1E-06	0.585786	2.69E-07	9.54E-07
23	0.585786	0.585787	2.69E-07	-1.1E-06	0.585787	-4.1E-07	4.77E-07
24	0.585786	0.585787	2.69E-07	-4.1E-07	0.585786	-6.8E-08	2.38E-07
25	0.585786	0.585786	2.69E-07	-6.8E-08	0.585786	1E-07	1.19E-07
26	0.585786	0.585786	1E-07	-6.8E-08	0.585786	1.58E-08	5.96E-08

=IF(f1*fmid<0;x1;xmid)

=IF(f2*fmid<0;x2;xmid)

$x_{mid} = 0.5 * (x_1 + x_2)$
 $f_{mid} = f(x_{mid})$

Bisection method

• Exercise 3: Bisection method in MATLAB

```
1 function [p] = bisection(f, x1, x2, tol_step, tol_func)
2     f1 = f(x1);
3     f2 = f(x2);
4     fp = f2;
5     if (f1*f2>0)
6         error('Root must be bracketed!');
7     else
8         it = 1;
9         while ((abs(fp)>tol_func) && (abs(x2 - x1)>tol_step))
10             it = it + 1;
11             p = 0.5*(x1 + x2);
12             fp = f(p);
13             if (f1*fp<0)
14                 x2 = p;
15                 f2 = fp;
16             else
17                 x1 = p;
18                 f1 = fp;
19             end
20         end
21         disp(sprintf('Root found in %d iterations at x = %e\n (function value = %e)', [it,p,fp]));
22     end
23 end
```

Note1: We have used a criterion for the function value and the step size!

Note2: usually while loop needs protection for maximum number of iterations (but here bisection is sure to convergence...)

Root found in 25 iterations required.
Can we do better?

```
>> bisection(@(x) x^2-4*x+2,0,2,1e-7,1e-7);
```

Bisection method

- **Required number of iterations?**

- After each iteration the interval bounds containing the root decrease by a factor of 2:

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n \Rightarrow \boxed{n = \log_2 \frac{\epsilon_0}{tol}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \epsilon_0 = \text{initial bracketing interval} \\ tol = \text{desired tolerance} \end{array}$$

i.e. after 50 iterations the interval is decreased by factor $2^{50} = 10^{15}$!
(Mind machine accuracy when setting tolerance!)

- Order of convergence = 1

$$\boxed{\epsilon_{n+1} = K(\epsilon_n)^m} \quad \begin{array}{l} m = 1: \text{linear convergence} \\ m = 2: \text{quadratic convergence} \end{array}$$


- Must succeed:
 - More than one root \Rightarrow bisection will find one of them
 - No root, but singularity \Rightarrow bisection will find singularity

Secant and False position method


- **Secant/False position (= Regula Falsi) method**
 - Faster convergence (provided sufficiently smooth behaviour)
 - Difference with bisection method in choice of next point:
 - Bisection: mid-point of interval
 - Secant/False position: point where the approximating line crosses the axis
 - One of the boundary points is discarded in favor of the latest estimate of
 - Secant: retains the most recent of the prior estimates
 - False position: retains prior estimate with opposite sign, so that the points continue to bracket the root

Secant and False position method

Secant method



False position method



Secant: slightly faster convergence: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\epsilon_{n+1}| = K |\epsilon_n|^{1.618}$

False position: guaranteed convergence

Secant and False position method

Exercise 4:

- **Write a function in Excel and MATLAB to find a root of a function using the Secant and the False position methods**
 - Assume that an initial bracketing interval (x_1, x_2) is provided
 - Also the required tolerance is specified
 - Also output the required number of iterations
 - Compare the bisection, false position and secant methods

Secant and False position method

Exercise 4:

■ Determination of the abscissa of the approximating line:


- Determine the approximating line:

$$f(x) \approx f(a) + \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} (x - a)$$

- Determine abscissa:

$$f(x^*) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x^* &= a - \frac{f(a)(b - a)}{f(b) - f(a)} \\ &= \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{f(b) - f(a)} \end{aligned}$$



Secant and False position method

Exercise 4:

- **Write a function in Excel and MATLAB to find a root of a function using the Secant and the False position methods**
 - Assume that an initial bracketing interval (x_1, x_2) is provided
 - Also the required tolerance is specified
 - Also output the required number of iterations
 - Compare the bisection, false position and secant methods

Secant and False position method

• Exercise 4: False position method in Excel

it	x1	x2	f1	f2		x absc	f absc		interval si
0	-2	2	14	-2		1.5	-1.75		4
1	-2	1.5	14	-1.75		1.111111	-1.20988		0.388889
2	-2	1.111111	14	-1.20988		0.863636	-0.70868		0.247475
3	-2	0.863636	14	-0.70868		0.725664	-0.37607		0.137973
4	-2	0.725664	14	-0.37607		0.654362	-0.18926		0.071301
5	-2	0.654362	14	-0.18926		0.618958	-0.09272		0.035404
6	-2	0.618958	14	-0.09272		0.601727	-0.04483		0.017231
7	-2	0.601727	14	-0.04483		0.593422	-0.02154		0.008305
8	-2	0.593422	14	-0.02154		0.589438	-0.01032		0.003984
9	-2	0.589438	14	-0.01032		0.587532	-0.00493		0.001907
10	-2	0.587532	14	-0.00493		0.586662	-0.00236		0.000911
11	-2	0.586662	14	-0.00236		0.586185	-0.00113		0.000436
12	-2	0.586185	14	-0.00113		0.585977	-0.00054		0.000208

=IF(f1*fabsc<0;
x1;xabsc)

=IF(f2*fabsc<0;
x2;xabsc)

$x_{absc} = x_1 - f_1 \cdot (x_2 - x_1) / (f_2 - f_1)$
 $f_{absc} = f(x_{absc})$

Secant and False position method

- Exercise 4: Secant method in Excel**

it	x1	x2	f1	f2		x absc	f absc		interval size
0	-2	2	14	-2		1.5	-1.75		4
1	-2	1.5	14	-1.75		1.111111	-1.20988		3.111111
2	1.111111	1.5	-1.20988	-1.75		0.24	1.0976		0.388889
3	0.24	1.111111	1.0976	-1.20988		0.654362	-0.18926		0.871111
4	0.24	0.654362	1.0976	-0.18926		0.593422	-0.02154		0.414362
5	0.593422	0.654362	-0.02154	-0.18926		0.585596	0.000538		0.060941
6	0.585596	0.593422	0.000538	-0.02154		0.585787	-1.5E-06		0.007826
7	0.585596	0.585787	0.000538	-1.5E-06		0.585786	-9.8E-11		0.000191
8	0.585786	0.585787	-9.8E-11	-1.5E-06		0.585786	0		5.15E-07
9	0.585786	0.585786	0	-9.8E-11		0.585786	0		3.46E-11

$$=\min(x_{it-2}, x_{it-1})$$

$$=\max(x_{it-2}, x_{it-1})$$

$$x \text{ absc} = x1 - f1 \cdot (x2 - x1) / (f2 - f1)$$

$$f \text{ absc} = f(x \text{ absc})$$

Secant and False position method

- Exercise 4: False position method in MATLAB

```
1 function [p] = falseposition(f, x1, x2, tol_step, tol_func)
2     f1 = f(x1);
3     f2 = f(x2);
4     fp = f2;
5     if (f1*f2>0)
6         error('Root must be bracketed!');
7     else
8         it = 1;
9         while ((abs(fp)>tol_func) && (abs(x2 - x1)>tol_step))
10             it = it + 1;
11             p = (x1*f2 - x2*f1)/(f2 - f1); ← The only difference with bisection!
12             fp = f(p);
13             if (f1*fp<0)
14                 x2 = p;
15                 f2 = fp;
16             else
17                 x1 = p;
18                 f1 = fp;
19             end
20         end
21         disp(sprintf('Root found in %d iterations at x = %e\n (function value = %e)', [it,p,fp]));
22     end
23 end
```

Root found in 12 iterations!
(Bisection needed 25 iterations)

```
>> falseposition(@(x) x^2-4*x+2,0,2,1e-7,1e-7);
```

Secant and False position method

- Exercise 4: Secant method in MATLAB

```
1 function [p] = secant(f, x1, x2, tol_step, tol_func)
2     f1 = f(x1);
3     f2 = f(x2);
4     fp = f2;
5     if (f1*f2>0)
6         error('Root must be bracketed!');
7     else
8         it = 1;
9         while ((abs(fp)>tol_func) && (abs(x2 - x1)>tol_step))
10             it = it + 1;
11             p = (x1*f2 - x2*f1)/(f2 - f1);
12             fp = f(p);
13             x1 = x2;
14             f1 = f2;
15             x2 = p;
16             f2 = fp;
17         end
18         disp(sprintf('Root found in %d iterations at x = %e\n (function value = %e)', [it,p,fp]));
19     end
20 end
```

← The only difference with False position method!

```
>> secant(@(x) x^2-4*x+2,0,2,1e-7,1e-7);
```

Secant method: 8 iterations
False position: 12 iterations
Bisection: 25 iterations

Secant and False position method

- Comparison of methods**

$$f(x) = x^2 - 4x + 2 = 0$$

tol_eps, tol_func = 1e-15, and $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 2)$

Method	Nr. iterations
Bisection	52
False position	22
Secant	9

Compare with:

```
>> fzero(@(x) x^2-4*x+2,2,optimset('TolX',1e-15,'Display','iter'))
```

Note the initial bracketing steps in fzero!

Brent's method

- **Superlinear convergence + sureness of bisection**

- Keep track of superlinear convergence, and if not, intersperse with bisection steps (assures at least linear convergence)
- Brent's method (is implemented in MATLAB's fzero):
root-bracketing + bisection/secant/inverse quadratic interpolation
- Inverse quadratic interpolation: uses 3 prior points to fit an inverse quadratic function (i.e. $x(y)$) with contingency plans, if root falls outside brackets:

$$x = b + P/Q \qquad R = f(b)/f(c)$$

$$P = S[T(R - T)(c - b) - (1 - R)(b - a)] \qquad S = f(b)/f(a)$$

$$Q = (T - 1)(R - 1)(S - 1) \qquad T = f(a)/f(c)$$

b = current best estimate

P/Q = ought to be a 'small' correction

- When P/Q does not land within the bounds or when bounds are not collapsing fast enough \Rightarrow take bisection step

Brent's method

```
1 function [root] = brent(f, x1, x2, tol)
2     ITMAX = 100;
3     EPS = 3e-8;
4     a = x1; b = x2; c = x2;
5     fa = f(a);
6     fb = f(b);
7     fc = fb;
8     if (fa*fb>0)
9         error('Root must be bracketed!');
10    else
11        for iter=1:ITMAX
12            if (fb*fc>0)
13                c = a; fc = fa;      % Rename a, b, c and
14                d = b - a; e = d;    % adjust bounding interval d
15            end;
16            if (abs(fc)<abs(fb))
17                a = b; fa = fb;
18                b = c; fb = fc;
19                c = a; fc = fa;
20            end;
21            toll = 2.0*EPS*abs(b) + 0.5*tol; % Convergence check.
22            xm = 0.5*(c - b);
23            if ((abs(xm)<=toll) || (fb == 0)) |
24                root = b;
25                disp(sprintf('\nRoot found in %d iterations at x = %e (f(x) = %e)', [iter,b,fb]));
26                break;
27            end;
28            if ((abs(e)>=toll) && (abs(fa)>abs(fb)))
29                % Attempt inverse quadratic interpolation.
30                s = fb/fa;
31                if (a==c)
32                    p = 2.0*xm*s;
33                    q = 1.0 - s;
34                else
35                    q = fa/fc;
36                    r = fb/fc;
37                    p = s*(2.0*xm*q*(q - r) - (b - a)*(r - 1.0));
38                    q = (q - 1.0)*(r - 1.0)*(s - 1.0);
39                end;
```

Brent's method

```
40 -         if (p>0.0)
41 -             q = -q; % Check whether in bounds.
42 -         end;
43 -         p = abs(p);
44 -         min1 = 3.0*xm*q - abs(toll1*q);
45 -         min2 = abs(e*q);
46 -         if (2.0*p<min(min1,min2))
47 -             e = d; % Accept interpolation.
48 -             d = p/q;
49 -         else
50 -             d = xm; % Interpolation failed, use bisection.
51 -             e = d;
52 -         end;
53 -     else
54 -         d = xm; % Bounds decreasing too slowly, use bisection.
55 -         e = d;
56 -     end;
57 -     a = b; % Move last best guess to a.
58 -     fa = fb;
59 -     if (abs(d)>toll1) % Evaluate new trial root.
60 -         b = b + d;
61 -     else
62 -         if (xm<0)
63 -             b = b - toll1;
64 -         else
65 -             b = b + toll1;
66 -         end;
67 -     end;
68 -     fb = f(b);
69 -     if (d == xm)
70 -         disp(sprintf('Iteration: %d => x = %e, f(x) = %e (bisection)', [iter,b,fb]));
71 -     else
72 -         disp(sprintf('Iteration: %d => x = %e, f(x) = %e (inverse quadratic interpolation)', [iter,b,fb]));
73 -     end;
74 - end;
75 - if (iter==ITMAX)
76 -     disp('Maximum number of iterations exceeded in brent!');
77 - end;
78 - end;
79 - end
```

Non-linear equation solving in Excel

- Excel comes with a goal-seek and solver function. Some prerequisites have to be installed. For Excel 2010:
 - Install via Excel → File → Options → Add-Ins → Go (at the bottom) → Select solver add-in. You can now call the solver screen on the 'data' menu ('Oplosser' in Dutch).
- The procedure to solve is then:
 - Select the goal-cell, and whether you want to minimize, maximize or set a certain value
 - Enter the variable cells; Excel is going to change the values in these cells to get to the desired solution
 - Specify the boundary conditions (e.g. to keep certain cells above zero)
 - Click 'solve' (possibly after setting the advanced options).

Excel: goal-seek example

- Goal-Seek can be used to set the goal-cell to a specified value (e.g. zero) by changing another cell:
 - Open Excel and type the following:

	A	B
1	x	3
2	f(x)	$=-3*B1^2-5*B1+2$
3		

- Go to tab Data → What-if Analysis → Goal Seek
 - Set cell: B2
 - To Value: 0
 - By changing cell: B1
- OK: You'll find a solution of 0.3333...

Excel: solver example

- The solver is used to change the value in a goal-cell, by changing the values in 1 or more other cells while keeping boundary conditions:
 - Use the following sheet:

	A	B	C
1		x	f(x)
2	x1	3	=2*B2*B3-B3+2
3	x2	4	=2*B3-4*B2-4

- Go to tab Data → Solver
 - Goalfunction: C2 (value of: 0)
 - Add boundary condition: C3 = 0
 - By changing cells: \$B\$2:\$B\$3 (you can just select the cells)
- Solve. You will find B2=0 and B3=2.

Non-linear equation solver in Matlab (1 var)

- Use `fzero` for single variable non-linear zero finding

```
>> fzero(@ (x) -3*x^2-5*x+2, 3)
```

Or with

```
function [F] = TestFuncFZero(x)
    F = -3*x^2 - 5*x + 2;
end
>> fzero(@TestFuncFZero, 3)
```

```
>> fzero(@ (x) -3*x^2-5*x+2, 3, optimset('Display', 'iter'))
```

Search for an interval around 3 containing a sign change:

Func-count	a	f(a)	b	f(b)
1	3	-40	3	-40
3	2.91515	-38.07	3.08485	-41.9732
5	2.88	-37.2832	3.12	-42.8032
7	2.83029	-36.1832	3.16971	-43.9896
9	2.76	-34.6500	3.24	-45.6928
			3.33941	-48.1521

Note the initial bracketing steps in `fzero`!

Non-linear equation solver in Matlab (≥ 2 var)

- Use **fsolve** for systems of non-linear equations with multiple variables

```
function [F] = TestFuncFSolve(x)
    F = [ 2*x(1)*x(2) - x(2) + 2
          2*x(2) - 4*x(1) - 4];
end
```

```
>> fsolve(@TestFuncFSolve, [2,2])
```


Newton-Raphson method

- Requires the evaluation of the function $f(x)$ and the derivative $f'(x)$ at arbitrary points

- Algorithm:

- Extend tangent line at current point x_i till it crosses zero
- Set next guess x_{i+1} to the abscissa of that zero crossing

$$f(x + \delta) \approx f(x) + f'(x)\delta + \frac{1}{2}f''\delta^2 + \dots \quad (\text{Taylor series at } x)$$


For small enough values of δ and for well-behaved functions, the non-linear terms become unimportant

$$\Rightarrow \delta = -\frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$$

- Can be extended to higher dimensions
- Requires an initial guess sufficiently close to the root! (otherwise even failure!!)

Newton-Raphson method

- **Example:**





Newton-Raphson method:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

Enormously fast convergence,
....when it works

WHEN IT DOES NOT WORK...



Sometimes
underrelaxation
can help...

$$x_{n+1} := (1 - \omega)x_n + \omega x_{n+1}$$

Newton-Raphson method

- **Basic algorithm:**

Given initial x , required tolerance $\varepsilon > 0$

Repeat

1. Compute $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$.
2. If $|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$, return x
3. $x := x - f(x)/f'(x)$

until maximum number of iterations is exceeded

Newton-Raphson method

- Exercise 5: Newton-Raphson method in Excel

it	x	f	df/dx	dx
0	0	2	-4	0.5
1	0.5	0.25	-3	0.083333
2	0.5833333333333333	0.00694444	-2.83333	0.002451
3	0.585784313725490	6.0073E-06	-2.82843	2.12E-06
4	0.585786437625310	4.5108E-12	-2.82843	1.59E-12
5	0.585786437626905	0	-2.82843	0
analytical	0.585786437626905			

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \delta x_n$$

$$\delta x_n = \frac{-f_n}{\frac{df}{dx_n}}$$

Newton-Raphson method

- **Why is Newton-Raphson so powerful?**
⇒ High rate of convergence

Newton-Raphson method:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

Subtracting the solution x^* :

$$x_{n+1} - x^* = x_n - x^* - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

Defining the error $\epsilon_n = x_n - x^*$:

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_n - \frac{f(x^*) + f'(x^*)\epsilon_n + \frac{1}{2}f''(x^*)\epsilon_n^2 + \dots}{f'(x^*) + \dots}$$

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_n - \epsilon_n - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(x^*)}{f'(x^*)} \epsilon_n^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\epsilon_{n+1} \sim K \epsilon_n^2$$

Quadratic convergence!!

Newton-Raphson method

- Order of convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\epsilon_{n+1}|}{|\epsilon_n|^m} = K \quad \begin{array}{l} m = \text{order of convergence} \\ K = \text{asymptotic error constant} \end{array}$$

$$\epsilon_n = x_n - x^* \quad \text{with } x^* \text{ the solution}$$

When the solution is not known a priori: $\epsilon_{n+1} \approx x_{n+1} - x_n$

$$\frac{|\epsilon_{n+1}|}{|\epsilon_n|} = \frac{K|\epsilon_n|^m}{K|\epsilon_{n-1}|^m} \Rightarrow \frac{|\epsilon_{n+1}|}{|\epsilon_n|} = \left(\frac{|\epsilon_n|}{|\epsilon_{n-1}|} \right)^m$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln \left(\frac{|\epsilon_{n+1}|}{|\epsilon_n|} \right) = m \ln \left(\frac{|\epsilon_n|}{|\epsilon_{n-1}|} \right)$$

$$m = \frac{\ln \left(\frac{|\epsilon_{n+1}|}{|\epsilon_n|} \right)}{\ln \left(\frac{|\epsilon_n|}{|\epsilon_{n-1}|} \right)}$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$

Newton-Raphson method

- Exercise 5: Newton-Raphson method in Excel

it	x	f	df/dx	dx		eps	m
0	0	2	-4	0.5		0.585786438	
1	0.5	0.25	-3	0.083333		0.085786438	
2	0.5833333333333333	0.00694444	-2.83333	0.002451		0.002453104	1.850
3	0.585784313725490	6.0073E-06	-2.82843	2.12E-06		2.1239E-06	1.984
4	0.585786437625310	4.5108E-12	-2.82843	1.59E-12		1.59472E-12	2.000
5	0.585786437626905	0	-2.82843	0		1.11022E-16	
analytical	0.585786437626905						

$$= x^*$$

$$\epsilon_n = x_n - x^*$$

$$m = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{|\epsilon_{n+1}|}{|\epsilon_n|}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{|\epsilon_n|}{|\epsilon_{n-1}|}\right)}$$

Newton-Raphson method

Exercise 6:

- **Write a function in MATLAB to find a root of a function using the Newton-Raphson method**
 - Assume that an initial guess x_0 is provided
 - Also the required tolerance is given
 - Output the results for every iteration
 - Verify that at every iteration the number of significant digits doubles, and compute the order of convergence

Newton-Raphson method

Exercise 6: Newton-Raphson in MATLAB

```
1 function [p] = newton1D(func, grad, x, tol_x, tol_f)
2     ITMAX = 100;
3     error = 2*tol_f;
4     it = 0;
5     f = func(x);
6     while ((error>tol_f) || (dx>tol_x)) && (it<ITMAX)
7         it = it + 1;
8         g = grad(x);
9         dx = -f/g;
10        x = x + dx;
11        f = func(x);
12        error = abs(f);
13    end;
14    if it<=ITMAX
15        disp(sprintf('Root found in %d iterations at x = %e\n (function value = %e)', [it,x,f]));
16    else
17        disp(sprintf('No root found after %d iterations!', [it]));
18    end;
19 end
```

>> newton1D(@(x) x^2-4*x+2, @(x) 2*x-4,1,1e-12,1e-12)

Convergence in 6 iterations.

Why does it not work with an initial guess of $x_0 = 2$??

Newton-Raphson method

- **Modifications to the basic algorithm**

- If the first derivative $f'(x)$ is not known or cumbersome to compute/program, we can use the local num. approximation:

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x + dx) - f(x)}{dx} \quad (dx \sim 10^{-8})$$

dx should be small (otherwise the method reduces to first order)
But not too small (otherwise you will be wiped out by roundoff!)

- Unless you know that the initial guess is close to the solution, the Newton-Raphson method should be combined with:
 - a bracketing method, to reject the solution if it wanders outside of the bounds;
 - Reduced Newton step method (= relaxation) for more robustness. Don't take the entire step if the error does not decrease (enough)
 - More sophisticated step size control: Local line searches and backtracking using cubic interpolation (for global convergence)

Newton-Raphson method

Exercise 6: Newton-Raphson in MATLAB

```
function [p] = newton1Dnum(func, x, tol_x, tol_f)
    ITMAX = 100;
    h = 1e-8;
    error = 2*tol_f;
    it = 0;
    f = func(x);
    while ((error>tol_f) || (dx>tol_x)) && (it<ITMAX)
        it = it + 1;
        g = (func(x+h) - func(x))/h; ← Numerical differentiation
        dx = -f/g;
        x = x + dx;
        f = func(x);
        error = abs(f);
    end;
    if it<=ITMAX
        disp(sprintf('Root found in %d iterations at x = %e\n (function value = %e)', [it,x,f]));
    else
        disp(sprintf('No root found after %d iterations!', [it]));
    end;
end
```

```
>> newton1Dnum(@(x) x^2-4*x+2,1,1e-12,1e-12)
```

Convergence also in 6 iterations!

Newton-Raphson method

- **How to solve:**

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ for arbitrary functions } f$$

“Root finding”

(i.e. move all terms to the left)

- **One dimensional case:** $f(x) = 0$

“Bracket or ‘trap’ a root between bracketing values, then hunt it down like a rabbit.”

- **Multi-dimensional case:** $f(x) = 0$

- N equations in N unknowns:

You can only *hope* to find a solution.

It may have no (real) solution, or more than one solution!

- Much more difficult!!

“You never know whether a root is near, unless you have found it”

Newton-Raphson method

- Extensions to multi-dimensional case:**

Let's first consider the two-dimensional case:

$$f(x, y) = 0$$

$$g(x, y) = 0$$

Multi-variate Taylor series expansion:

$$f(x + \delta x, y + \delta y) \approx f(x, y) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \delta y + O(\delta x^2, \delta y^2) = 0$$

$$g(x + \delta x, y + \delta y) \approx g(x, y) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \delta y + O(\delta x^2, \delta y^2) = 0$$

Neglecting higher order terms:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \delta y = -f(x, y)$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \delta y = -g(x, y)$$

\Rightarrow Two linear equations in the two unknowns δx and δy .

Newton-Raphson method

- Extensions to multi-dimensional case:**

Newton-Raphson method:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \delta y &= -f(x, y) \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \delta y &= -g(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

Or in matrix notation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \delta x \\ \delta y \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} f(x, y) \\ g(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$



Jacobian matrix

Solution via Cramer's rule:

$$\delta x = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -f & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ -g & \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{-f \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} + g \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}}$$

$$\delta y = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & -f \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & -g \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{-g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}}$$


Newton-Raphson method

- Extensions to multi-dimensional case:**

Example: intersection of circle with parabola:

$$x^2 + y^2 = 4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{In matrix form:}$$

$$y = x^2 + 1 = 0 \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4 \\ x_1^2 - x_2 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 & 2x_2 \\ 2x_1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$




	$\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$	$\mathbf{f}^{(i)}$	$\mathbf{J}^{(i)}$	$\delta \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$
$i = 1:$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -0.1 \\ -0.2 \end{bmatrix}$
$i = 2:$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.9 \\ 1.8 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.05 \\ 0.01 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.8 & 3.6 \\ 1.8 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -0.01039 \\ -0.0087 \end{bmatrix}$
$i = 3:$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.889614 \\ 1.791304 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.000183 \\ 0.0000108 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.7792 & 3.5826 \\ 1.7792 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -6.99 \cdot 10^{-5} \\ -1.65 \cdot 10^{-5} \end{bmatrix}$
$i = 4:$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.8895436 \\ 1.7912878 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 5.16 \cdot 10^{-9} \\ 4.89 \cdot 10^{-9} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.779087 & 3.582576 \\ 1.779087 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2.78 \cdot 10^{-9} \\ -5.94 \cdot 10^{-11} \end{bmatrix}$

Newton-Raphson method

- Extensions to multi-dimensional case:**

Example: intersection of circle with parabola:



Check order of convergence:

it	x1	x2	eps1	eps2	m1	m2
1	1.0000000000000000	2.0000000000000000				
2	0.9000000000000000	1.8000000000000000	0.1000000000000000	0.2000000000000000		
3	0.8896135265700480	1.7913043478260900	0.0103864734299518	0.0086956521739132	1.983532	2.948192
4	0.8895436203043770	1.7912878475373300	0.0000699062656710	0.0000165002887549	2.094992	2.32082
5	0.8895436175241320	1.7912878474779200	0.0000000027802448	0.000000000594120	2.058946	2.138235

Quadratic convergence!
= doubling number of significant
digits every iteration

$$\epsilon_{n+1} \approx x_{n+1} - x_n$$

$$m = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{|\epsilon_{n+1}|}{|\epsilon_n|}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{|\epsilon_n|}{|\epsilon_{n-1}|}\right)}$$

Newton-Raphson method

- Extensions to multi-dimensional case:**

Generalization to the N -dimensional case:

$$f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

Define: $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ and $\mathbf{f} = [f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N] \Rightarrow \boxed{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}}$

Multi-variate Taylor series expansion:

$$f_i(\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{x}) = f_i(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \delta x_j + O(\delta\mathbf{x}^2)$$

Define
Jacobian
matrix: $\boxed{J_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{J} \cdot \delta\mathbf{x} + O(\delta\mathbf{x}^2)$

Neglect higher order terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J} \cdot \delta\mathbf{x} &= -\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{x}_{new} &= \mathbf{x}_{old} + \delta\mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

Newton-Raphson method

Multi-variate Newton-Raphson in MATLAB

```
1 function [f] = MyFunc(x)
2     f(1) = x(1)^2 + x(2)^2 - 4;
3     f(2) = x(1)^2 - x(2) + 1;
4     f = f';
5 end
```

```
1 function [jac] = MyJac(x)
2     jac(1,1) = 2*x(1);
3     jac(1,2) = 2*x(2);
4     jac(2,1) = 2*x(1);
5     jac(2,2) = -1;
6 end
```

```
1 function [p] = newton(func, jac, x, tol_x, tol_f)
2     ITMAX = 100;
3     error = 2*tol_f;
4     it = 0;
5     f = feval(func,x);
6     while ((error>tol_f) || (max(abs(dx))>tol_x) && (it<ITMAX))
7         it = it + 1;
8         j = feval(jac,x);
9         dx = j\(-f);
10        x = x + dx;
11        f = func(x);
12        error = max(abs(f));
13        disp(sprintf('iteration %d: x[1] = %e, x[2] = %e with f[1] = %e, f[2] = %e', [it,x(1),x(2),f(1),f(2)]));
14    end;
15    if it<=ITMAX
16        disp(sprintf('\nRoot found in %d iterations at x[1] = %e, x[2] = %e with f[1] = %e; f[2] = %e\n', [it,x(1),x(2),f(1),f(2)]));
17    else
18        disp(sprintf('\nNo root found after %d iterations!\n', [it]));
19    end;
20 end
```

```
>> newton(@MyFunc,@MyJac,[1;2],1e-12,1e-12)
```


⇒ Only 5 iterations
needed!

Newton-Raphson method

Multi-variate Newton-Raphson in MATLAB

Plotting the functions:


```
>> mphandle = mupad
```



Newton-Raphson method

Multi-variate Newton-Raphson in MATLAB


Plotting the norm of the function:



Newton-Raphson method

Multi-variate Newton-Raphson in MATLAB

Plotting contours of the norm of the function:



Broyden's method

- **Multi-dimensional secant method ('quasi-Newton'):**

Disadvantage of the Newton-Raphson method:

It requires the Jacobian matrix

- In many problems no analytical Jacobian available
- If the function evaluation is expensive, the numerical approximation using finite differences can be prohibitive!

⇒ use cheap approximation of the Jacobian!

(= secant, or 'quasi-Newton' method)

Newton-Raphson:

$$\mathbf{J}^n \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n = -\mathbf{f}^n(\mathbf{x}^n)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \mathbf{x}^n + \delta \mathbf{x}^n$$

Secant method:

$$\mathbf{B}^n \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n = -\mathbf{f}^n(\mathbf{x}^n) \quad \mathbf{B}^n = \text{approximation of the Jacobian}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \mathbf{x}^n + \delta \mathbf{x}^n$$

Broyden's method

- **Multi-dimensional secant method ('quasi-Newton'):**

Secant equation (generalization of 1D case):

$$\mathbf{B}^{n+1} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n = \delta f^n \quad \delta \mathbf{x}^n = \mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n \quad \delta f^n = f^{n+1} - f^n$$

Underdetermined (i.e. not unique: n equations with n^2 unknowns)
 \Rightarrow we need another condition to pin down \mathbf{B}^{n+1}

Broyden's method: determine \mathbf{B}^{n+1} by making the least change to \mathbf{B}^n that is consistent with the secant condition

Updating formula:

$$\mathbf{B}^{n+1} = \mathbf{B}^n + \frac{(\delta f^n - \mathbf{B}^n \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n)}{\delta \mathbf{x}^n \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n} \otimes \delta \mathbf{x}^n$$

(Note: sometimes \mathbf{B}^{-1} is updated directly)

$$(a \otimes b = ab^T)$$

Broyden's method

- Multi-dimensional secant method ('quasi-Newton'):

Background of Broyden's method:

Secant equation: $\mathbf{B}^{n+1} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n = \delta f^n$

Broyden's method: Since there is no update on derivative info, why would \mathbf{B}^n change in a direction \mathbf{w} orthogonal to $\delta \mathbf{x}^n$

$$\Rightarrow (\delta \mathbf{x}^n)^T \mathbf{w} = 0$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{B}^{n+1} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{B}^n \cdot \mathbf{w} \\ \mathbf{B}^{n+1} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n = \delta f^n \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mathbf{B}^{n+1} = \mathbf{B}^n + \frac{(\delta f^n - \mathbf{B}^n \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n)}{\delta \mathbf{x}^n \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}^n} \otimes \delta \mathbf{x}^n}$$

Initialize \mathbf{B}^0 with identity matrix (or with finite difference approx.)

Broyden's method

- Same example as before but now with Broyden's method

```
function [p] = broyden(func, x, tol_x, tol_f)
    ITMAX = 100;
    error = 2*tol_f;
    it = 0;
    f = feval(func,x);
    b = eye(2); % create identity matrix
    while ((error>tol_f) || (max(abs(dx))>tol_x) && (it<ITMAX))
        it = it + 1;
        dx = b\(-f);
        x = x + dx;
        f0 = f;
        f = func(x);
        df = f - f0;
        b = b + ((df - b*dx)*dx.)/(dx.*dx); % Broyden's updating
        error = max(abs(f));
        disp(sprintf('iteration %d: x[1] = %e, x[2] = %e with f[1] = %e, f[2] = %e', [it,x(1),x(2),f(1),f(2)]));
    end;
    if it<=ITMAX
        disp(sprintf('\nRoot found in %d iterations at x[1] = %e, x[2] = %e with f[1] = %e; f[2] = %e\n', [it,x(1),x(2),f(1),f(2)]));
    else
        disp(sprintf('\nNo root found after %d iterations!\n', [it]));
    end;
end
```

Slower convergence with Broyden's method should be offset by improved efficiency of each iteration!

```
>> broyden(@MyFunc,[1;2],1e-12,1e-12)
```

Requires 11 iterations (compare with Newton: 5 iterations)

But much fewer function evaluations per iteration!

Broyden's method

- **Same example as before but now with Broyden's method**

Note how the approximate Jacobian (**B**) is updated over subsequent iterations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1.0225 & 4.9685 \\ 0.9437 & -0.9212 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2.0881 & 4.6442 \\ 1.7312 & -1.1608 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \\ & \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1.7932 & 4.6321 \\ 1.8420 & -1.1563 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2.0222 & 3.6454 \\ 1.8043 & -0.9940 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2.0032 & 3.5423 \\ 1.8024 & -1.0043 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1.9295 & 3.4553 \\ 1.7948 & -1.0133 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1.9284 & 3.4539 \\ 1.7945 & -1.0136 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Compare with exact Jacobian: $\begin{bmatrix} 1.779087 & 3.582576 \\ 1.779087 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

⇒ Note that the approximate Jacobian (**B**) is not exact even when the solution has already been found!

Conclusions

- **Recommendations for root finding:**
 - **One-dimensional cases:**
 - If it is not easy/cheap to compute the function's derivative
⇒ use Brent's algorithm
 - If derivative information is available
⇒ use Newton-Raphson's method + bookkeeping on bounds provided you can supply a good enough initial guess!!
 - There are specialized routines for (multiple) root finding of polynomials (but not covered in this course)
 - **Multi-dimensional cases:**
 - Use Newton-Raphson method, but make sure that you provide an initial guess close enough to achieve convergence
 - In case derivative information is expensive
⇒ use Broyden's method (but slower convergence!)