Calculemus (Ejercicios de demostración con Isabelle/HOL y Lean)

José A. Alonso Jiménez

Grupo de Lógica Computacional Dpto. de Ciencias de la Computación e Inteligencia Artificial Universidad de Sevilla

Sevilla, 8 de agosto de 2021

Esta obra está bajo una licencia Reconocimiento-NoComercial-Compartirlgual 2.5 Spain de Creative Commons.

Se permite:

- copiar, distribuir y comunicar públicamente la obra
- hacer obras derivadas

Bajo las condiciones siguientes:



Reconocimiento. Debe reconocer los créditos de la obra de la manera especificada por el autor.



No comercial. No puede utilizar esta obra para fines comerciales.



Compartir bajo la misma licencia. Si altera o transforma esta obra, o genera una obra derivada, sólo puede distribuir la obra generada bajo una licencia idéntica a ésta.

- Al reutilizar o distribuir la obra, tiene que dejar bien claro los términos de la licencia de esta obra.
- Alguna de estas condiciones puede no aplicarse si se obtiene el permiso del titular de los derechos de autor.

Esto es un resumen del texto legal (la licencia completa). Para ver una copia de esta licencia, visite http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/2. 5/es/ o envie una carta a Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

1	Intro	ducción	7
2	Ejerci	cios de mayo de 2021	9
	2.1	Propiedad de monotonía de la intersección	. 9
	2.2	Propiedad semidistributiva de la intersección sobre la unión	
	2.3	Diferencia de diferencia de conjuntos	
	2.4	2ª propiedad semidistributiva de la intersección sobre la unión	. 21
	2.5	2ª diferencia de diferencia de conjuntos	. 24
	2.6	Conmutatividad de la intersección	. 29
	2.7	Intersección con su unión	. 35
	2.8	Unión con su intersección	. 39
	2.9	Unión con su diferencia	. 42
		Diferencia de unión e intersección	
	2.11	Unión de los conjuntos de los pares e impares	. 56
3	Ejerci	cios de junio de 2021	59
	3.1	Intersección de los primos y los mayores que dos	. 59
	3.2	Distributiva de la intersección respecto de la unión general	
	3.3	Intersección de intersecciones	
	3.4	Unión con intersección general	. 70
	3.5	Imagen inversa de la intersección	. 77
	3.6	Imagen de la unión	. 83
	3.7	Imagen inversa de la imagen	
	3.8	Subconjunto de la imagen inversa	
	3.9	Imagen inversa de la imagen de aplicaciones inyectivas	
		Imagen de la imagen inversa	
		Imagen de imagen inversa de aplicaciones suprayectivas	
		Monotonía de la imagen de conjuntos	
		Monotonía de la imagen inversa	
		Imagen inversa de la unión	
	3.15	Imagen de la intersección	. 120

	3.16	Imagen de la intersección de aplicaciones inyectivas	. 124
	3.17	Imagen de la diferencia de conjuntos	. 128
	3.18	Imagen inversa de la diferencia	. 132
	3.19	Intersección con la imagen	. 135
	3.20	Unión con la imagen	. 142
	3.21	Intersección con la imagen inversa	. 146
		Unión con la imagen inversa	
	3.23	Imagen de la unión general	. 153
		Imagen de la intersección general	
	3.25	Imagen de la intersección general mediante inyectiva	. 161
		Imagen inversa de la unión general	
		Imagen inversa de la intersección general	
		Teorema de Cantor	
	3.29	En los monoides, los inversos a la izquierda y a la derecha son	
		iguales	
	3.30	Producto_de_potencias_de_la_misma_base_en_monoides	. 181
1	Elovoi	isias da julia da 2021	187
+	4.1	i <mark>cios de julio de 2021</mark> Equivalencia de inversos iguales al neutro	
	4.2	Unicidad de inversos en monoides	
	4.3	Caracterización de producto igual al primer factor	
	4.4	Unicidad del elemento neutro en los grupos	
	4.5	Unicidad de los inversos en los grupos	
	4.6	Inverso del producto	
	4.7	Inverso del inverso en grupos	
	4.8	Propiedad cancelativa en grupos	
	4.9	Potencias de potencias en monoides	
		Los monoides booleanos son conmutativos	
		Límite de sucesiones constantes	
		Unicidad del límite de las sucesiones convergentes	
		Límite cuando se suma una constante	
		Límite de la suma de sucesiones convergentes	
		Límite multiplicado por una constante	
		El límite de u es a syss el de u-a es 0	
	4.17	Producto de sucesiones convergentes a cero	251
		Teorema del emparedado	
		La composición de crecientes es creciente	
		La composición de una función creciente y una decreciente es	
		decreciente	
	4.21	Una función creciente e involutiva es la identidad	. 268
	4.22	Si 'f $x \le f y \to x \le y$ ', entonces f es inyectiva	273

4.24 4.25 4.26 4.27 4.28 4.29 4.30	Los supremos de las sucesiones crecientes son sus límites Un número es par syss lo es su cuadrado Acotación de sucesiones convergente La paradoja del barbero Propiedad de la densidad de los reales Propiedad cancelativa del producto de números naturales Límite de sucesión menor que otra sucesión Las sucesiones acotadas por cero son nulas Producto de una sucesión acotada por otra convergente a cero	280 285 288 291 296 301 308		
5 Ejero 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.8	La congruencia módulo 2 es una relación de equivalencia Las funciones con inversa por la izquierda son inyectivas Las funciones inyectivas tienen inversa por la izquierda Una función tiene inversa por la izquierda si y solo si es inyectiva Las funciones con inversa por la derecha son suprayectivas Las funciones suprayectivas tienen inversa por la derecha Una función tiene inversa por la derecha si y solo si es suprayectiva Las funciones con inversa son biyectivas	323 326 330 333 337 341		
Indice alfabético				
Bibliografía				

Capítulo 1

Introducción

En el blog Calculemus se han ido proponiendo ejercicios de demostración de resultados matemáticos usando sistemas de demostración interactiva.

En este libro se hace una recopilación de las soluciones a dichos ejercicios usando Isabelle/HOL (versión de 2021) y Lean (versión 3.30.0). La ordenación de los ejercicios es simplemente temporal según su fecha de publicación en Calculemus. En futuras versiones del libro está previsto cambiar la ordenación por otra temática. De momento, se ha añadido al final un índice temático.

Por otra parte, este libro es una continuación del DAO (Demostración Asistida por Ordenador) con Lean con el que comparte el objetivo de usarse en las clases de la asignatura de Razonamiento automático del Máster Universitario en Lógica, Computación e Inteligencia Artificial de la Universidad de Sevilla. Por tanto, el único prerrequisito es, como en el Máster, cierta madurez matemática como la que deben tener los alumnos de los Grados de Matemática y de Informática.

En cada ejercicio, se exponen distintas soluciones ordenadas desde las más detalladas a las más automáticas. En primer lugar, se presentan las demostraciones con Isabelle (que al estar escritas con Isar su formato se aproxima a las de lenguaje natural) y a continuación se presentan las demostraciones con Lean (además, para facilitar su lectura, se proporciona un enlace que al pulsarlo abre las demostraciones en Lean Web (en una sesión del navegador) de forma que se puede navegar por las pruebas y editar otras alternativas),

Las soluciones del libro están en este repositorio de GitHub.

El libro se irá actualizando periódicamente con los nuevos ejercicios que se proponen diariamente en Calculemus.

Capítulo 2

Ejercicios de mayo de 2021

2.1. Propiedad de monotonía de la intersección

2.1.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
theory Propiedad_de_monotonia_de_la_interseccion
imports Main
begin
text <-- -----
-- Demostrar que si
-- s ⊆ t
-- entonces
-- s n u ⊆ t n u
(* 1º solución *)
  assumes "s ⊆ t"
  shows "s n u ⊆ t n u"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume hx: "x ∈ s ∩ u"
  have xs: "x ∈ s"
   using hx
   by (simp only: IntD1)
  then have xt: "x \in t"
   using assms
    by (simp only: subset_eq)
  have xu: "x ∈ u"
    using hx
```

```
by (simp only: IntD2)
  show "x \in t \cap u"
   using xt xu
   by (simp only: Int_iff)
qed
(* 2 solución *)
lemma
 assumes "s ⊆ t"
  shows "s n u ⊆ t n u"
proof
  fix x
  assume hx: "x ∈ s ∩ u"
  have xs: "x ∈ s"
   using hx
   by simp
 then have xt: "x ∈ t"
   using assms
   by auto
  have xu: "x ∈ u"
   using hx
   by simp
  show "x ∈ t ∩ u"
    using xt xu
   by simp
qed
(* 3ª solución *)
lemma
 assumes "s ⊆ t"
 shows "s n u ⊆ t n u"
using assms
by auto
(* 4ª solución *)
lemma
 "s⊆t∏snu⊆tnu"
by auto
end
```

2.1.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que si
-- s ⊆ t
-- entonces
-- s \cap u \subseteq t \cap u
import data.set.basic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variables s t u : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : s ⊑ t)
 : s n u ⊆ t n u :=
begin
  rw subset def,
  rw inter def,
  rw inter def,
  dsimp,
  intros x h,
  cases h with xs xu,
  split,
  { rw subset_def at h,
    apply h,
    assumption },
  { assumption },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h : s ⊆ t)
  : s n u ⊆ t n u :=
begin
  rw [subset_def, inter_def, inter_def],
  dsimp,
 rintros x (xs, xu),
```

```
rw subset_def at h,
 exact (h _ xs, xu),
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : s ⊑ t)
 : s n u ⊆ t n u :=
begin
 simp only [subset def, mem inter eq] at *,
 rintros x (xs, xu),
 exact (h _ xs, xu),
end
-- 4º demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : s ⊆ t)
: s n u ⊆ t n u :=
begin
 intros x xsu,
 exact (h xsu.1, xsu.2),
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h : s ⊆ t)
 : s n u ⊆ t n u :=
inter_subset_inter_left u h
```

2.2. Propiedad semidistributiva de la intersección sobre la unión

2.2.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cap (t \cup u) \subseteq (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)
theory Propiedad_semidistributiva_de_la_interseccion_sobre_la_union
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "s n (t \cup \cup \cup ) \subseteq (s n t) \cup (s n \cup )"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume hx : "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
  then have xs : "x \in s"
    by (simp only: IntD1)
  have xtu: "x \in t \cup u"
    using hx by (simp only: IntD2)
  then have "x \in t \ v \ x \in u"
    by (simp only: Un_iff)
  then show " x E s n t u s n u"
  proof (rule disjE)
    assume xt : "x \in t"
    have xst : "x ∈ s n t"
       using xs xt by (simp only: Int iff)
    then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
       by (simp only: UnI1)
  next
    assume xu : "x ∈ u"
    have xst : "x ∈ s n u"
       using xs xu by (simp only: Int_iff)
    then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
       by (simp only: UnI2)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "s n (t \cup u) \subseteq (s n t) \cup (s n u)"
```

```
proof
  fix x
  assume hx : "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
  then have xs : "x \in s"
    by simp
  have xtu: "x ∈ t ∪ u"
    using hx by simp
  then have "x \in t \ v \ x \in u"
    by simp
  then show " x E s n t u s n u"
  proof
    assume xt : "x \in t"
    have xst : "x ∈ s ∩ t"
      using xs xt by simp
    then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
      by simp
  next
    assume xu : "x ∈ u"
    have xst : "x ∈ s n u"
      using xs xu by simp
    then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
      by simp
  ged
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s n (t \cup \cup \cup ) \subseteq (s n t) \cup (s n \cup )"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume hx : "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
  then have xs : "x \in s"
    by (simp only: IntD1)
  have xtu: "x ∈ t ∪ u"
    using hx by (simp only: IntD2)
  then show " x E s n t U s n u"
  proof (rule UnE)
    assume xt : "x \in t"
    have xst : "x ∈ s n t"
       using xs xt by (simp only: Int_iff)
    then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
      by (simp only: UnI1)
  next
    assume xu : "x ∈ u"
    have xst : "x \in s \cap u"
      using xs xu by (simp only: Int iff)
```

```
then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
       by (simp only: UnI2)
  qed
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "s n (t \cup \cup) \subseteq (s n t) \cup (s n \cup)"
proof
  fix x
  assume hx : "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
  then have xs : "x \in s"
    by simp
  have xtu: "x ∈ t ∪ u"
    using hx by simp
  then show " x E s n t U s n u"
  proof (rule UnE)
    assume xt : "x \in t"
    have xst : "x \in s \cap t"
       using xs xt by simp
    then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
       by simp
  next
    assume xu : "x \in u"
    have xst : "x ∈ s ∩ u"
       using xs xu by simp
    then show "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
       by simp
  qed
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s \cap (t \cup \cup \cup (s \cap \cup )"
by (simp only: Int Un distrib)
(* 6<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s n (t \cup \overline{u}) \subseteq (s n t) \cup (s n u)"
by auto
end
```

2.2.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cap (t \cup u) \subseteq (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)
import data.set.basic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variables s t u : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example:
  begin
  intros x hx,
  have xs : x \in s := hx.1,
  have xtu : x \in t \cup u := hx.2,
  clear hx,
  cases xtu with xt xu,
  { left,
    show x \in s \cap t,
    exact (xs, xt) },
  { right,
    show x ∈ s ∩ u,
    exact (xs, xu) },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example :
  s \cap (t \cup u) \subseteq (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) :=
begin
  rintros x (xs, xt | xu),
  { left,
   exact (xs, xt) },
  { right,
    exact (xs, xu) },
end
```

2.3. Diferencia de diferencia de conjuntos

2.3.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* .....
-- Demostrar que
-- (s - t) - u ⊆ s - (t ∪ u)
theory Diferencia de diferencia de conjuntos
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) - u ⊆ s - (t ∪ u)"
proof (rule subsetI)
 fix x
 assume hx : "x \in (s - t) - u"
 then show "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
 proof (rule DiffE)
   assume xst : "x ∈ s - t"
   assume xnu : "x ∉ u"
 note xst
```

```
then show "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
    proof (rule DiffE)
      assume xs : "x \in s"
      assume xnt : "x ∉ t"
      have xntu : "x ∉ t ∪ u"
      proof (rule notI)
        assume xtu : "x ∈ t ∪ u"
        then show False
        proof (rule UnE)
          assume xt : "x \in t"
          with xnt show False
            by (rule notE)
        next
          assume xu : "x ∈ u"
          with xnu show False
            by (rule notE)
        qed
      ged
      show "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
        using xs xntu by (rule DiffI)
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) - u ⊆ s - (t ∪ u)"
proof
  fix x
  assume hx : "x \in (s - t) - u"
  then have xst : "x \in (s - t)"
    by simp
  then have xs : "x ∈ s"
    by simp
  have xnt : "x ∉ t"
    using xst by simp
  have xnu : "x ∉ u"
    using hx by simp
  have xntu : "x ∉ t ∪ u"
    using xnt xnu by simp
  then show "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
    using xs by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) - u ⊆ s - (t ∪ u)"
```

```
proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ (s - t) - u"
    then show "x ∈ s - (t ∪ u)"
        by simp

qed

(* 4² demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) - u ⊆ s - (t ∪ u)"
by auto

end
```

2.3.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- (s \mid t) \mid u \subseteq s \mid (t \cup u)
import data.set.basic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variables s t u : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (s \setminus t) \setminus u \subseteq s \setminus (t \cup u) :=
begin
  intros x xstu,
  have xs : x \in s := xstu.1.1,
  have xnt : x \notin t := xstu.1.2,
  have xnu : x ∉ u := xstu.2,
  split,
  { exact xs },
  { dsimp,
    intro xtu,
    cases xtu with xt xu,
    { show false, from xnt xt },
    { show false, from xnu xu }},
end
```

```
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \; : \; (\textbf{s} \; \bigvee \; \textbf{t}) \; \bigvee \; \textbf{u} \; \sqsubseteq \; \textbf{s} \; \bigvee \; (\textbf{t} \; \textbf{U} \; \textbf{u}) \; := \;
begin
   rintros x ((xs, xnt), xnu),
   use xs,
   rintros (xt | xu); contradiction
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example : (s \setminus t) \setminus u \subseteq s \setminus (t \cup u) :=
begin
  intros x xstu,
   simp at *,
  finish,
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
\textbf{example} \; : \; (\textbf{s} \; \diagdown \; \textbf{t}) \; \boxed{ } \; \textbf{u} \; \sqsubseteq \; \textbf{s} \; \boxed{ } \; (\textbf{t} \; \boxed{\textbf{u}} \; \textbf{u}) \; :=
   intros x xstu,
   finish,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (s \setminus t) \setminus u \subseteq s \setminus (t \cup u) :=
by rw diff_diff
```

2.4. 2º propiedad semidistributiva de la intersección sobre la unión

2.4.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
    (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) \subseteq s \cap (t \cup u)
theory Propiedad_semidistributiva_de_la_interseccion_sobre_la_union_2
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "(s n t) u (s n u) ⊆ s n (t u u)"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
  then show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
  proof (rule UnE)
    assume xst : "x ∈ s ∩ t"
    then have xs : "x \in s"
      by (simp only: IntD1)
    have xt : "x \in t"
      using xst by (simp only: IntD2)
    then have xtu : "x ∈ t ∪ u"
      by (simp only: UnI1)
    show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
      using xs xtu by (simp only: IntI)
    assume xsu : "x ∈ s ∩ u"
    then have xs : "x \in s"
      by (simp only: IntD1)
    have xt : "x ∈ u"
      using xsu by (simp only: IntD2)
    then have xtu : "x ∈ t ∪ u"
      by (simp only: UnI2)
    show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
      using xs xtu by (simp only: IntI)
  qed
qed
```

```
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "(s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) \subseteq s \cap (t \cup u)"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
  then show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
  proof
    assume xst : "x ∈ s ∩ t"
    then have xs : "x ∈ s"
       by simp
    have xt : "x \in t"
       using xst by simp
    then have xtu : "x ∈ t ∪ u"
       by simp
    show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
       using xs xtu by simp
     assume xsu : "x ∈ s ∩ u"
    then have xs : "x \in s"
       by (simp only: IntD1)
    have xt : "x \in u"
       using xsu by simp
    then have xtu : "x ∈ t ∪ u"
       by simp
    show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
       using xs xtu by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "(s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) \subseteq s \cap (t \cup u)"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x \in (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u)"
  then show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
  proof
    assume "x ∈ s ∩ t"
    then show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
       by simp
  next
    assume "x \in s \cap u"
    then show "x \in s \cap (t \cup u)"
       by simp
  qed
qed
```

```
(* 4² demostración *)
lemma "(s n t) ∪ (s n u) ⊆ s n (t ∪ u)"
proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ (s n t) ∪ (s n u)"
        then show "x ∈ s n (t ∪ u)"
        by auto
qed

(* 5² demostración *)
lemma "(s n t) ∪ (s n u) ⊆ s n (t ∪ u)"
by auto

(* 6² demostración *)
lemma "(s n t) ∪ (s n u) ⊆ s n (t ∪ u)"
by (simp only: distrib_inf_le)
end
```

2.4.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) \subseteq s \cap (t \cup u)
import data.set.basic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variables s t u : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) \subseteq s \cap (t \cup u) :=
begin
  intros x hx,
  cases hx with xst xsu,
  { split,
    { exact xst.1 },
    { left,
     exact xst.2 }},
```

```
{ split,
      { exact xsu.1 },
      { right,
         exact xsu.2 }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) \subseteq s \cap (t \cup u) :=
   rintros x ((xs, xt) | (xs, xu)),
   { use xs,
      left,
      exact xt },
   { use xs,
      right,
      exact xu },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example : (s \cap t) \cup (s \cap u) \subseteq s \cap (t \cup u) :=
by rw inter distrib left s t u
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
\textbf{example} \; : \; (s \; \begin{matrix} n \\ \end{matrix} \; t) \; \begin{matrix} U \\ \end{matrix} \; (s \; \begin{matrix} n \\ \end{matrix} \; u) \; \sqsubseteq \; s \; \begin{matrix} n \\ \end{matrix} \; (t \; \begin{matrix} U \\ \end{matrix} \; u) :=
begin
   intros x hx,
   finish
end
```

2.5. 2ª diferencia de diferencia de conjuntos

2.5.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s - (t \cup u) \subseteq (s - t) - u
theory Diferencia_de_diferencia_de_conjuntos_2
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "s - (t \cup \overline{u}) \subseteq (s - t) - u"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
  then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
  proof (rule DiffE)
    assume "x ∈ s"
    assume "x ∉ t ∪ u"
    have "x ∉ u"
    proof (rule notI)
      assume "x ∈ u"
      then have "x ∈ t ∪ u"
        by (simp only: UnI2)
      with ⟨x ∉ t ∪ u⟩ show False
        by (rule notE)
    qed
    have "x ∉ t"
    proof (rule notI)
      assume "x ∈ t"
      then have "x ∈ t ∪ u"
        by (simp only: UnI1)
      with ⟨x ∉ t ∪ u⟩ show False
        by (rule notE)
    ged
    with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s - t"
      by (rule DiffI)
    then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
      using ⟨x ∉ u⟩ by (rule DiffI)
  qed
ged
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "s - (t \cup \cup \cup \cup (s - t) - \cup"
proof
fix x
```

```
assume "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
  then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
  proof
    assume "x ∈ s"
    assume "x ∉ t ∪ u"
    have "x ∉ u"
    proof
      assume "x ∈ u"
      then have "x ∈ t ∪ u"
        by simp
      with ⟨x ∉ t ∪ u⟩ show False
        by simp
    qed
    have "x ∉ t"
    proof
      assume "x ∈ t"
      then have "x ∈ t ∪ u"
        by simp
      with <x ∉ t ∪ u > show False
        by simp
    qed
    with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s - t"
      by simp
    then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
      using ⟨x ∉ u⟩ by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "s - (t \cup \overline{u}) \subseteq (s - t) - u"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
  then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
  proof
    assume "x \in s"
    assume "x ∉ t ∪ u"
    then have "x ∉ u"
      by simp
    have "x ∉ t"
      using ⟨x ∉ t ∪ u⟩ by simp
    with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s - t"
      by simp
    then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
      using ⟨x ∉ u > by simp
```

```
qed
qed
(* 4<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s - (t \cup \cup \cup ) \subseteq (s - t) - \cup"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
  then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
  proof
    assume "x ∈ s"
     assume "x ∉ t ∪ u"
    then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
       using ⟨x ∈ s⟩ by simp
  qed
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s - (t \cup \overline{u}) \subseteq (s - t) - u"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x \in s - (t \cup u)"
  then show "x \in (s - t) - u"
    by simp
qed
(* 6ª demostración *)
lemma "s - (t \cup \overline{u}) \subseteq (s - t) - u"
by auto
end
```

2.5.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que

-- s \ (t ∪ u) ⊆ (s \ t) \ u

import data.set.basic

open set

variable {α : Type}
```

```
variables s t u : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \; : \; \textbf{s} \; \big\backslash \; (\textbf{t} \; \textbf{U} \; \textbf{u}) \; \big \subseteq \; (\textbf{s} \; \big\backslash \; \textbf{t}) \; \big\backslash \; \textbf{u} \; := \\
begin
   intros x hx,
   split,
   { split,
      { exact hx.1, },
      { dsimp,
         intro xt,
         apply hx.2,
         left,
         exact xt, }},
   { dsimp,
      intro xu,
      apply hx.2,
      right,
      exact xu, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \setminus (t \cup u) \subseteq (s \setminus t) \setminus u :=
begin
   rintros x (xs, xntu),
   split,
   { split,
      { exact xs, },
      { intro xt,
         exact xntu (or.inl xt), }},
   { intro xu,
      exact xntu (or.inr xu), },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \; : \; \textbf{s} \; \bigvee \; (\textbf{t} \; \textbf{U} \; \textbf{u}) \; \sqsubseteq \; (\textbf{s} \; \bigvee \; \textbf{t}) \; \bigvee \; \textbf{u} \; := \;
begin
   rintros x (xs, xntu),
   use xs,
```

```
{ intro xt,
    exact xntu (or.inl xt) },
  { intro xu,
    exact xntu (or.inr xu) },
end
-- 4º demostración
-- ===========
example : s \setminus (t \cup u) \subseteq (s \setminus t) \setminus u :=
  rintros x (xs, xntu);
  finish,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \setminus (t \cup u) \subseteq (s \setminus t) \setminus u :=
by intro ; finish
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \setminus (t \cup u) \subseteq (s \setminus t) \setminus u :=
by rw diff_diff
```

2.6. Conmutatividad de la intersección

2.6.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Demostrar que
-- s n t = t n s
-- theory Conmutatividad_de_la_interseccion
imports Main
begin
```

```
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
proof (rule set eqI)
  fix x
  show "x \in s n t \leftrightarrow x \in t n s"
  proof (rule iffI)
    assume h : "x \in s \cap t"
    then have xs : "x \in s"
      by (simp only: IntD1)
    have xt : "x \in t"
      using h by (simp only: IntD2)
    then show "x \in t \cap s"
      using xs by (rule IntI)
  next
    assume h : "x \in t \cap s"
    then have xt : "x \in t"
      by (simp only: IntD1)
    have xs : "x \in s"
      using h by (simp only: IntD2)
    then show "x ∈ s ∩ t"
      using xt by (rule IntI)
  qed
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
proof (rule set_eqI)
  fix x
  show "x \in s n t \leftrightarrow x \in t n s"
  proof
    assume h : "x \in s \cap t"
    then have xs : "x ∈ s"
      by simp
    have xt : "x ∈ t"
      using h by simp
    then show "x ∈ t ∩ s"
      using xs by simp
  next
    assume h : "x ∈ t n s"
    then have xt : "x \in t"
      by simp
    have xs : "x \in s"
      using h by simp
    then show "x ∈ s ∩ t"
      using xt by simp
```

```
qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "s n t \subseteq t n s"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume h : "x \in s \cap t"
    then have xs : "x ∈ s"
      by (simp only: IntD1)
    have xt : "x \in t"
      using h by (simp only: IntD2)
    then show "x ∈ t ∩ s"
      using xs by (rule IntI)
  qed
next
  show "t n s \subseteq s n t"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume h : "x \in t \cap s"
    then have xt : "x \in t"
      by (simp only: IntD1)
    have xs : "x \in s"
      using h by (simp only: IntD2)
    then show "x ∈ s ∩ t"
      using xt by (rule IntI)
  qed
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
proof
  show "s n t ⊆ t n s"
  proof
    fix x
    assume h : "x \in s n t"
    then have xs : "x ∈ s"
      by simp
    have xt : "x \in t"
      using h by simp
    then show "x \in t \cap s"
      using xs by simp
  qed
```

```
next
  show "t n s \subseteq s n t"
  proof
    fix x
    assume h : "x \in t \cap s"
    then have xt : "x \in t"
      by simp
    have xs : "x \in s"
      using h by simp
    then show "x \in s \cap t"
      using xt by simp
  qed
qed
(* 5ª demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
proof
  show "s n t ⊆ t n s"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s n t"
    then show "x \in t \cap s"
      by simp
  qed
next
  show "t n s ⊆ s n t"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ t n s"
    then show "x ∈ s ∩ t"
      by simp
  qed
qed
(* 6<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
by (fact Int_commute)
(* 7º demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
by (fact inf commute)
(* 8<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s n t = t n s"
by auto
```

end

2.6.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cap t = t \cap s
import data.set.basic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variables s t u : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap t = t \cap s :=
begin
  ext x,
  simp only [mem_inter_eq],
  split,
  { intro h,
    split,
    { exact h.2, },
    { exact h.1, }},
  { intro h,
    split,
    { exact h.2, },
    { exact h.1, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap t = t \cap s :=
begin
  ext,
  simp only [mem_inter_eq],
  exact \langle \lambda h, \langle h.2, h.1 \rangle,
          \lambda h, \langleh.2, h.1\rangle\rangle,
end
```

```
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap t = t \cap s :=
begin
 ext,
  exact \langle \lambda h, \langle h.2, h.1 \rangle,
        \lambda h, \langleh.2, h.1\rangle\rangle,
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap t = t \cap s :=
begin
 ext x,
  simp only [mem_inter_eq],
  split,
  { rintros (xs, xt),
   exact (xt, xs) },
  { rintros (xt, xs),
    exact (xs, xt) },
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap t = t \cap s :=
begin
 ext x,
  exact and.comm,
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap t = t \cap s :=
ext (\lambda x, and.comm)
-- 7ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap t = t \cap s :=
by ext x; simp [and.comm]
```

2.7. Intersección con su unión

2.7.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Demostrar que
-- \qquad s \cap (s \cup t) = s
                           *)
theory Interseccion_con_su_union
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "s \cap (s \cup \overrightarrow{t}) = s"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "s \cap (s \cup t) \subseteq s"
  proof (rule subsetI)
   fix x
   assume "x ∈ s ∩ (s ∪ t)"
   then show "x ∈ s"
     by (simp only: IntD1)
  qed
next
  show "s \subseteq s \cap (s \cup t)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
   fix x
   assume "x \in s"
```

```
then have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
       by (simp only: UnI1)
    with \langle x \in s \rangle show "x \in s \cap (s \cup t)"
       by (rule IntI)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cap (s \cup \overline{t}) = s"
proof
  show "s n (s u t) \subseteq s"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s ∩ (s ∪ t)"
    then show "x ∈ s"
      by simp
  qed
next
  show "s \subseteq s \cap (s \cup t)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s"
    then have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
       by simp
    then show "x \in s \cap (s \cup t)"
       using ⟨x ∈ s⟩ by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cap (s \cup t) = s"
by (fact Un_Int_eq)
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cap (s \cup t) = s"
by auto
```

2.7.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s n (s U t) = s
```

```
import data.set.basic
open set
\textbf{variable}~\{\alpha~:~\textbf{Type}\}
variables s t : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap (s \cup t) = s :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intros h,
     dsimp at h,
     exact h.1, },
  { intro xs,
     dsimp,
     split,
     { exact xs, },
     { left,
        exact xs, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap (s \cup t) = s :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intros h,
     exact h.1, },
  { intro xs,
     split,
     { exact xs, },
     { left,
        exact xs, }},
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \ : \ \textbf{s} \ \ \overline{\textbf{n}} \ \ (\textbf{s} \ \ \overline{\textbf{U}} \ \ \textbf{t}) \ = \ \textbf{s} \ :=
```

```
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intros h,
    exact h.1, },
  { intro xs,
    split,
    { exact xs, },
    { exact (or.inl xs), }},
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap (s \cup t) = s :=
begin
 ext,
  exact (\lambda h, h.1,
         \lambda xs, \langle xs, or.inl xs \rangle \rangle,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap (s \cup t) = s :=
begin
 ext,
  exact (and.left,
         \lambda xs, (xs, or.inl xs)),
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap (s \cup t) = s :=
begin
 ext x,
  split,
 { rintros (xs, _),
   exact xs },
  { intro xs,
    use xs,
    left,
    exact xs },
end
```

2.8. Unión con su intersección

2.8.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- \qquad s \cup (s \cap t) = s
theory Union_con_su_interseccion
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "s \cup (s \cap t) = s"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "s \cup (s \cap t) \subseteq s"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in s \cup (s \cap t)"
    then show "x ∈ s"
    proof
      assume "x ∈ s"
      then show "x ∈ s"
         by this
    next
      assume "x ∈ s ∩ t"
      then show "x ∈ s"
         by (simp only: IntD1)
    qed
  qed
next
  show "s \subseteq s \cup (s \cap t)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
```

```
fix x
    assume "x \in s"
    then show "x \in s \cup (s \cap t)"
      by (simp only: UnI1)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cup (s \cap \overline{t}) = s"
proof
  show "s u s n t ⊆ s"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s ∪ (s ∩ t)"
    then show "x ∈ s"
    proof
      assume "x ∈ s"
      then show "x ∈ s"
         by this
    next
      assume "x ∈ s n t"
      then show "x \in s"
        by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  show "s \subseteq s \cup (s \cap t)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s"
    then show "x \in s \cup (s \cap t)"
      by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cup (s \cap \overline{t}) = s"
 by auto
end
```

2.8.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cup (s \cap t) = s
import data.set.basic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variables s t : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \mid u \mid (s \mid n \mid t) = s :=
begin
 ext x,
  split,
  { intro hx,
    cases hx with xs xst,
    { exact xs, },
    { exact xst.1, }},
  { intro xs,
    left,
    exact xs, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cup (s \cap t) = s :=
begin
 ext x,
  exact (λ hx, or.dcases_on hx id and.left,
         \lambda xs, or.inl xs\rangle,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- =========
example : s \cup (s \cap t) = s :=
begin
 ext x,
```

2.9. Unión con su diferencia

2.9.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- \qquad (s \mid t) \cup t = s \cup t
*)
theory Union_con_su_diferencia
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup t = s \cup t"
proof (rule equalityI)
 show "(s - t) ∪ t ⊆ s ∪ t"
 proof (rule subsetI)
   fix x
   assume "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
   then show "x ∈ s ∪ t"
   proof (rule UnE)
     assume "x \in s - t"
     then have "x ∈ s"
       by (simp only: DiffD1)
```

```
then show "x ∈ s ∪ t"
         by (simp only: UnI1)
    next
      assume "x ∈ t"
      then show "x ∈ s ∪ t"
         by (simp only: UnI2)
    qed
  qed
next
  show "s \cup t \subseteq (s - t) \cup t"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s ∪ t"
    then show "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
    proof (rule UnE)
      assume "x ∈ s"
      show "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
      proof (cases ⟨x ∈ t⟩)
         assume "x ∈ t"
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
           by (simp only: UnI2)
      next
         assume "x ∉ t"
         with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s - t"
           by (rule DiffI)
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
           by (simp only: UnI1)
      qed
    next
      assume "x ∈ t"
      then show "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
         by (simp only: UnI2)
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup t = s \cup t"
  show "(s - t) \cup t \subseteq s \cup t"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
    then show "x ∈ s ∪ t"
```

```
proof
      assume "x \in s - t"
      then have "x ∈ s"
        by simp
      then show "x ∈ s ∪ t"
        by simp
    next
      assume "x ∈ t"
      then show "x ∈ s ∪ t"
        by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  show "s \cup t \subseteq (s - t) \cup t"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s ∪ t"
    then show "x ∈ (s - t) ∪ t"
    proof
      assume "x \in s"
      show "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
      proof
        assume "x ∉ t"
        with ⟨x ∈ s⟩ show "x ∈ s - t"
          by simp
      qed
    next
      assume "x ∈ t"
      then show "x \in (s - t) \cup t"
        by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup t = s \cup t"
by (fact Un_Diff_cancel2)
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup t = s \cup t"
  by auto
end
```

2.9.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- \qquad (s \mid t) \cup t = s \cup t
import data.set.basic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
\textbf{variables} \text{ s t : set } \alpha
-- 1ª definición
-- ==========
example : (s \setminus t) \cup t = s \cup t :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intro hx,
    cases hx with xst xt,
    { left,
      exact xst.1, },
    { right,
      exact xt }},
  { by_cases h : x \in t,
    { intro _,
      right,
      exact h },
    { intro hx,
      cases hx with xs xt,
       { left,
         split,
         { exact xs, },
         { dsimp,
           exact h, }},
       { right,
         exact xt, }}},
end
-- 2ª definición
-- =========
example : (s \setminus t) \cup t = s \cup t :=
```

```
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { rintros ((xs, nxt) | xt),
    { left,
      exact xs},
    { right,
      exact xt }},
  { by_cases h : x \in t,
    { intro _,
      right,
      exact h },
    { rintros (xs | xt),
      { left,
        use [xs, h] },
      { right,
        use xt }}},
end
-- 3ª definición
-- =========
example : (s \setminus t) \cup t = s \cup t :=
begin
  rw ext_iff,
  intro,
  rw iff_def,
  finish,
end
-- 4ª definición
-- =========
example : (s \setminus t) \cup t = s \cup t :=
by finish [ext_iff, iff_def]
-- 5ª definición
-- =========
example : (s \setminus t) \cup t = s \cup t :=
diff_union_self
-- 6ª definición
-- =========
```

2.10. Diferencia de unión e intersección

2.10.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- (s - t) \cup (t - s) = (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)
theory Diferencia_de_union_e_interseccion
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup (t - s) = (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "(s - t) \cup (t - s) \subseteq (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
    then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
    proof (rule UnE)
      assume "x \in s - t"
      then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
      proof (rule DiffE)
         assume "x ∈ s"
         assume "x ∉ t"
```

```
have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
           using \langle x \in s \rangle by (simp only: UnI1)
        moreover
        have "x ∉ s n t"
        proof (rule notI)
           assume "x ∈ s ∩ t"
           then have "x ∈ t"
             by (simp only: IntD2)
           with ⟨x ∉ t⟩ show False
             by (rule notE)
        ultimately show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
           by (rule DiffI)
      qed
    next
      assume "x ∈ t - s"
      then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
      proof (rule DiffE)
        assume "x ∈ t"
        assume "x ∉ s"
        have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
           using \langle x \in t \rangle by (simp only: UnI2)
        moreover
        have "x ∉ s n t"
        proof (rule notI)
           assume "x ∈ s n t"
           then have "x ∈ s"
             by (simp only: IntD1)
           with ⟨x ∉ s⟩ show False
             by (rule notE)
        ultimately show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
           by (rule DiffI)
      qed
    ged
  qed
next
  show "(s \cup t) - (s \cap t) \subseteq (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
    then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
    proof (rule DiffE)
      assume "x ∈ s ∪ t"
      assume "x ∉ s ∩ t"
```

```
note ⟨x ∈ s u t⟩
       then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
       proof (rule UnE)
         assume "x ∈ s"
         have "x ∉ t"
         proof (rule notI)
           assume "x ∈ t"
           with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s \cap t"
             by (rule IntI)
           with ⟨x ∉ s ∩ t⟩ show False
             by (rule notE)
         qed
         with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s - t"
           by (rule DiffI)
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
           by (simp only: UnI1)
         assume "x ∈ t"
         have "x ∉ s"
         proof (rule notI)
           assume "x ∈ s"
           then have "x ∈ s ∩ t"
             using ⟨x ∈ t⟩ by (rule IntI)
           with ⟨x ∉ s ∩ t⟩ show False
             by (rule notE)
         qed
         with ⟨x ∈ t⟩ have "x ∈ t - s"
           by (rule DiffI)
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
           by (rule UnI2)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup (t - s) = (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
  show "(s - t) \cup (t - s) \subseteq (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
    then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
```

```
proof
      assume "x \in s - t"
      then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
      proof
        assume "x ∈ s"
        assume "x ∉ t"
        have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
          using ⟨x ∈ s⟩ by simp
        moreover
        have "x ∉ s ∩ t"
        proof
          assume "x ∈ s n t"
          then have "x \in t"
             by simp
          with ⟨x ∉ t⟩ show False
            by simp
        qed
        ultimately show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
          by simp
      qed
    next
      assume "x ∈ t - s"
      then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
      proof
        assume "x ∈ t"
        assume "x ∉ s"
        have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
          using ⟨x ∈ t⟩ by simp
        moreover
        have "x ∉ s ∩ t"
        proof
          assume "x ∈ s n t"
          then have "x ∈ s"
             by simp
          with ⟨x ∉ s⟩ show False
             by simp
        qed
        ultimately show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
          by simp
      qed
    qed
 qed
next
 show "(s \cup t) - (s \cap t) \subseteq (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
 proof
```

```
fix x
    assume "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
    then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
    proof
      assume "x ∈ s ∪ t"
      assume "x ∉ s ∩ t"
      note <x E s U t>
      then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
       proof
         assume "x \in s"
         have "x ∉ t"
         proof
           assume "x ∈ t"
           with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s \cap t"
              by simp
           with <x ∉ s ∩ t > show False
              by simp
         qed
         with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s - t"
           by simp
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
           by simp
      next
         assume "x ∈ t"
         have "x ∉ s"
         proof
           assume "x \in s"
           then have "x ∈ s ∩ t"
              using ⟨x ∈ t⟩ by simp
           with ⟨x ∉ s ∩ t⟩ show False
             by simp
         qed
         with \langle x \in t \rangle have "x \in t - s"
           by simp
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
           by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup (t - s) = (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
proof
```

```
show "(s - t) \cup (t - s) \subseteq (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
    then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
       assume "x ∈ s - t"
       then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)" by simp
       assume "x ∈ t - s"
       then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)" by simp
  qed
next
  show "(s \cup t) - (s \cap t) \subseteq (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
    then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
    proof
       assume "x ∈ s ∪ t"
       assume "x ∉ s n t"
       note ⟨x ∈ s U t⟩
       then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
       proof
         assume "x ∈ s"
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
           using ⟨x ∉ s ∩ t⟩ by simp
       next
         assume "x ∈ t"
         then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
           using ⟨x ∉ s ∩ t⟩ by simp
       qed
    qed
  ged
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup (t - s) = (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
  show "(s - t) \cup (t - s) \subseteq (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
```

```
then show "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)" by auto
  qed
next
  show "(s \cup t) - (s \cap t) \subseteq (s - t) \cup (t - s)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
    then show "x \in (s - t) \cup (t - s)" by auto
  qed
qed
(* 5ª demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup (t - s) = (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
proof
  show "(s - t) \cup (t - s) \subseteq (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)" by auto
  show "(s \cup t) - (s \cap t) \subseteq (s - t) \cup (t - s)" by auto
qed
(* 6<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "(s - t) \cup (t - s) = (s \cup t) - (s \cap t)"
  by auto
end
```

2.10.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
begin
 ext x,
  split,
  { rintros ((xs, xnt) | (xt, xns)),
    { split,
     { left,
        exact xs },
      { rintros (_, xt),
        contradiction }},
    { split ,
     { right,
        exact xt },
      { rintros (xs, _),
        contradiction }}},
  { rintros (xs | xt, nxst),
    { left,
     use xs,
     intro xt,
     apply nxst,
     split; assumption },
    { right,
     use xt,
      intro xs,
      apply nxst,
      split; assumption }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (s \setminus t) \cup (t \setminus s) = (s \cup t) \setminus (s \cap t) :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { rintros ((xs, xnt) | (xt, xns)),
    { finish, },
    { finish, }},
  { rintros (xs | xt, nxst),
    { finish, },
    { finish, }},
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
```

```
begin
 ext x,
 split,
 { rintros ((xs, xnt) | (xt, xns)); finish, },
 { rintros (xs | xt, nxst) ; finish, },
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
begin
 ext,
 split,
 { finish, },
 { finish, },
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
begin
 rw ext_iff,
 intro,
 rw iff def,
 finish,
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (s \mid t) \mid u \mid (t \mid s) = (s \mid u \mid t) \mid (s \mid n \mid t) :=
by finish [ext iff, iff def]
```

2.11. Unión de los conjuntos de los pares e impares

2.11.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Los conjuntos de los números naturales, de los pares y de los impares
-- se definen por
     def\ naturales : set\ \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid true\}
       def pares : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid \text{even } n\}
     def impares : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid \neg \text{ even } n\}
-- Demostrar que
-- pares ∪ impares = naturales
theory Union de pares e impares
imports Main
begin
definition naturales :: "nat set" where
  "naturales = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : True\}"
definition pares :: "nat set" where
  "pares = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{even } n\}"
definition impares :: "nat set" where
  "impares = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \neg \text{ even } n\}"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "pares u impares = naturales"
proof -
  have "\forall n \in \mathbb{N} . even n \vee ¬ even n \leftrightarrow True"
  then have "\{n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ even } n\} \cup \{n \in \mathbb{N}. \neg \text{ even } n\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ True}\}"
  then show "pares U impares = naturales"
     by (simp add: naturales_def pares_def impares_def)
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
```

```
lemma "pares u impares = naturales"
  unfolding naturales_def pares_def impares_def
  by auto
end
```

2.11.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Los conjuntos de los números naturales, de los pares y de los impares
-- se definen por
-- def naturales : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid true\}
     def pares : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid even n\}
    def impares : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid \neg \text{ even } n\}
-- Demostrar que
-- pares ∪ impares = naturales
import data.nat.parity
import data.set.basic
import tactic
open set
def naturales : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid true\}
def pares : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid \text{even } n\}
def impares : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid \neg \text{ even } n\}
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : pares U impares = naturales :=
begin
  unfold pares impares naturales,
 ext n,
  simp,
  apply classical.em,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
```

Capítulo 3

Ejercicios de junio de 2021

3.1. Intersección de los primos y los mayores que dos

3.1.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Los números primos, los mayores que 2 y los impares se definen por
-- def primos : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid prime n\}
    def mayoresQue2 : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid n > 2\}
-- def impares : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid \neg \text{ even } n\}
-- Demostrar que
-- primos ∩ mayoresQue2 ⊆ impares
theory Interseccion_de_los_primos_y_los_mayores_que_dos
imports Main "HOL-Number Theory.Number Theory"
begin
definition primos :: "nat set" where
  "primos = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : prime n\}"
definition mayoresQue2 :: "nat set" where
  "mayoresQue2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > 2\}"
definition impares :: "nat set" where
  "impares = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \neg \text{ even } n\}"
(* 1º demostración *)
```

```
lemma "primos n mayoresQue2 ⊆ impares"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x ∈ primos n mayoresQue2"
  then have "x \in \mathbb{N} \Lambda prime x \wedge 2 < x"
    by (simp add: primos_def mayoresQue2_def)
  then have "x \in \mathbb{N} \Lambda odd x"
    by (simp add: prime odd nat)
  then show "x ∈ impares"
    by (simp add: impares def)
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostraci<mark>ó</mark>n *)
lemma "primos n mayoresQue2 ⊆ impares"
  unfolding primos def mayoresQue2 def impares def
  by (simp add: Collect_mono_iff Int_def prime_odd_nat)
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "primos n mayoresQue2 ⊆ impares"
  unfolding primos def mayoresQue2 def impares def
  by (auto simp add: prime_odd_nat)
end
```

3.1.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Los números primos, los mayores que 2 y los impares se definen por
-- def primos : set N := {n | prime n}
-- def mayoresQue2 : set N := {n | n > 2}
-- def impares : set N := {n | ¬ even n}
-- Demostrar que
-- primos n mayoresQue2 ⊆ impares
-- import data.nat.parity
import data.nat.prime
import tactic

open nat
```

```
def primos : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid prime n\}
def mayoresQue2 : set \mathbb{N} := \{n \mid n > 2\}
def impares : set \mathbb{N} := \{ n \mid \neg \text{ even } n \}
example : primos n mayoresQue2 ⊆ impares :=
  unfold primos mayoresQue2 impares,
  intro n,
  simp,
  intro hn,
  cases prime.eq_two_or_odd hn with h h,
  { rw h,
    intro,
    linarith, },
  { rw even iff,
    rw h,
    norm_num },
end
```

3.2. Distributiva de la intersección respecto de la unión general

3.2.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Demostrar que
-- s n (U i, A i) = U i, (A i n s)
-- **

theory Distributiva_de_la_interseccion_respecto_de_la_union_general
imports Main
begin

(* 1a demostración *)

lemma "s n (U i ∈ I. A i) = (U i ∈ I. (A i n s))"
proof (rule equalityI)
show "s n (U i ∈ I. A i) ⊆ (U i ∈ I. (A i n s))"
proof (rule subsetI)
```

```
fix x
     assume "x \in s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
     then have "x ∈ s"
       by (simp only: IntD1)
     have "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
       using \langle x \in s \mid n \mid (\bigcup i \in I. A i) \rangle by (simp only: IntD2)
     then show "\overline{x} \in (\bigcup i \in I. (A i n s))"
     proof (rule UN_E)
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       assume "x \in A i"
       then have "x \in A i \cap s"
          using ⟨x ∈ s⟩ by (rule IntI)
       with \langle i \in I \rangle show "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. (A i \cap s))"
          by (rule UN I)
     ged
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcup i ∈ I. (A i ∩ s)) \subseteq s ∩ (\bigcup i ∈ I. A i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
     fix x
     assume "x \in (|| i \in I. A i \cap s)"
     then show "x \in s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
     proof (rule UN E)
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       assume "x ∈ A i ∩ s"
       then have "x \in A i"
          by (rule IntD1)
       have "x \in s"
          using \langle x \in A i \cap s \rangle by (rule IntD2)
       moreover
       have "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
          using ⟨i ∈ I⟩ ⟨x ∈ A i⟩ by (rule UN_I)
       ultimately show "x ∈ s n (U i ∈ I. A i)"
          by (rule IntI)
     qed
  qed
ged
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i) = (\bigcup i \in I. (A i \cap s))"
  show "s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. (A i \cap s))"
```

```
proof
     fix x
     assume "x \in s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
     then have "x \in s"
       by simp
     have "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
       using \langle x \in s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i) \rangle by simp
     then show "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. (A i \cap s))"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       assume "x ∈ A i"
       then have "x \in A i \cap s"
          using ⟨x ∈ s⟩ by simp
       with \langle i \in I \rangle show "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. (A i \cap s))"
          by (rule UN I)
     qed
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcup i \in I. (A i \cap s)) \subseteq s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i \cap s)"
     then show "x \in s \cap (|| i \in I. A i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       assume "x ∈ A i ∩ s"
       then have "x \in A i"
         by simp
       have "x ∈ s"
         using ⟨x ∈ A i ∩ s⟩ by simp
       moreover
       have "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
          using ⟨i ∈ I⟩ ⟨x ∈ A i⟩ by (rule UN_I)
       ultimately show "x ∈ s ∩ (U i ∈ I. A i)"
          by simp
     qed
  ged
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s \cap (\bigcup i \in I. A i) = (\bigcup i \in I. (A i \cap s))"
  by auto
```

end

3.2.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cap (\bigcup i, A i) = \bigcup i, (A i \cap s)
import data.set.basic
import data.set.lattice
import tactic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variable s : set \alpha
variable A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{set } \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap (U i, A i) = U i, (A i \cap s) :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intro h,
    rw mem Union,
    cases h with xs xUAi,
    rw mem Union at xUAi,
    cases xUAi with i xAi,
    use i,
    split,
    { exact xAi, },
    { exact xs, }},
  { intro h,
    rw mem_Union at h,
    cases h with i hi,
    cases hi with xAi xs,
    split,
    { exact xs, },
    { rw mem_Union,
      use i,
```

```
exact xAi, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
- - ===========
example : s \cap (U i, A i) = U i, (A i \cap s) :=
begin
 ext x,
 simp only [mem_inter_eq, mem_Union],
 split,
 { rintros (xs, (i, xAi)),
   exact (i, xAi, xs), },
  { rintros (i, xAi, xs),
    exact (xs, (i, xAi)) },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cap (U i, A i) = U i, (A i \cap s) :=
begin
 ext x,
 finish [mem inter eq, mem Union],
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- =========
example : s \cap (U i, A i) = U i, (A i \cap s) :=
by finish [mem_inter_eq, mem_Union, ext_iff]
```

3.3. Intersección de intersecciones

3.3.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
theory Interseccion de intersecciones
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "(\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i \cap B \ i) = (\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. \ B \ i)"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i \cap B \ i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. \ B \ i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
     fix x
     assume h1 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cap B i)"
     have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
     proof (rule INT I)
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       with h1 have "x ∈ A i ∩ B i"
          by (rule INT D)
       then show "x ∈ A i"
          by (rule IntD1)
     qed
     moreover
     have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
     proof (rule INT_I)
       fix i
       assume "i \in I"
       with h1 have "x ∈ A i ∩ B i"
          by (rule INT_D)
       then show "x ∈ B i"
          by (rule IntD2)
     qed
     ultimately show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
       by (rule IntI)
  qed
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. A i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. B i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cap B i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
     fix x
     assume h2 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
     show "x \in ( \cap i \in I. A i \cap B i)"
     proof (rule INT_I)
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       have "x ∈ A i"
```

```
proof -
          have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
            using h2 by (rule IntD1)
          then show "x ∈ A i"
            using <i ∈ I > by (rule INT_D)
       moreover
       have "x ∈ B i"
       proof -
          have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
            using h2 by (rule IntD2)
          then show "x \in B i"
            using ⟨i ∈ I⟩ by (rule INT_D)
       ultimately show "x ∈ A i ∩ B i"
          by (rule IntI)
     qed
  ged
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "(\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i \cap B \ i) = (\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. \ B \ i)"
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i \cap B \ i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. \ B \ i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume h1 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cap B i)"
     have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i \in I"
       then show "x ∈ A i"
          using h1 by simp
     qed
     moreover
     have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       then show "x ∈ B i"
          using h1 by simp
     qed
     ultimately show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
       by simp
```

```
qed
next
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. \ B \ i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. \ A \ i \cap B \ i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume h2 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
     show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cap B i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i \in I"
       then have "x ∈ A i"
          using h2 by simp
       moreover
       have "x ∈ B i"
          using ⟨i ∈ I⟩ h2 by simp
       ultimately show "x ∈ A i ∩ B i"
          by simp
     qed
qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "(\bigcap i \in I. A i \cap B i) = (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \cap (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
  by auto
end
```

3.3.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- (∩ i, A i n B i) = (∩ i, A i) n (∩ i, B i)

import data.set.basic
import tactic

open set

variable {α : Type}
variables A B : N → set α
```

```
-- 1º demostración
-- ==========
example : (\bigcap i, A i \bigcap B i) = (\bigcap i, A i) \bigcap (\bigcap i, B i) :=
begin
  ext x,
  simp only [mem_inter_eq, mem_Inter],
  split,
  { intro h,
    split,
    { intro i,
       exact (h i).1},
     { intro i,
       exact (h i).2 }},
  { intros h i,
    cases h with h1 h2,
    split,
     { exact h1 i },
     { exact h2 i }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : (\bigcap i, A i \bigcap B i) = (\bigcap i, A i) \bigcap (\bigcap i, B i) :=
begin
  ext x,
  simp only [mem_inter_eq, mem_Inter],
  exact (\lambda h, (\lambda i, (h i).1, \lambda i, (h i).2),
          \lambda \langle h1, h2 \rangle i, \langle h1 i, h2 i \rangle \rangle
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example : (\bigcap i, A i \bigcap B i) = (\bigcap i, A i) \bigcap (\bigcap i, B i) :=
begin
  ext,
  simp only [mem_inter_eq, mem_Inter],
  finish,
end
-- 4º demostración
-- ==========
```

3.4. Unión con intersección general

3.4.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cup (\bigcap i. A i) = (\bigcap i. A i \cup s)
theory Union con interseccion general
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) = (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
     fix x
     assume "x \in s \cup (   i \in I. A i)"
     then show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. \ A i \cup s)"
     proof (rule UnE)
       assume "x ∈ s"
       show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
       proof (rule INT I)
         fix i
          assume "i ∈ I"
```

```
show "x \in A i \cup s"
           using ⟨x ∈ s⟩ by (rule UnI2)
      qed
    next
       assume h1 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
       proof (rule INT I)
         fix i
         assume "i ∈ I"
         with h1 have "x ∈ A i"
           by (rule INT_D)
         then show "x \in A i \cup s"
           by (rule UnI1)
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s) \subseteq s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume h2 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
    show "x \in s \cup (   i \in I. A i )"
    proof (cases "x ∈ s")
       assume "x ∈ s"
      then show "x \in s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
         by (rule UnI1)
    next
       assume "x ∉ s"
      have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       proof (rule INT I)
         fix i
         assume "i \in I"
         with h2 have "x ∈ A i ∪ s"
           by (rule INT D)
         then show "x ∈ A i"
         proof (rule UnE)
           assume "x \in A i"
           then show "x ∈ A i"
              by this
         next
           assume "x ∈ s"
           with ⟨x ∉ s⟩ show "x ∈ A i"
              by (rule notE)
         qed
      qed
```

```
then show "x \in s \cup ( \cap i \in I. A i)"
          by (rule UnI2)
     qed
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) = (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
proof
  show "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume "x \in s \cup (   i \in I. A i)"
     then show "x \in ( \cap i \in I. A i \cup s )"
     proof
       assume "x \in s"
       show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
       proof
          fix i
          assume "i ∈ I"
          show "x \in A i \cup s"
            using ⟨x ∈ s⟩ by simp
       qed
     next
       assume h1 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
       proof
          fix i
          assume "i \in I"
          with h1 have "x ∈ A i"
            by simp
          then show "x ∈ A i ∪ s"
            by simp
       qed
     qed
  ged
next
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s) \subseteq s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume h2 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
     show "x \in s \cup (   i \in I. A i)"
     proof (cases "x ∈ s")
       assume "x ∈ s"
```

```
then show "x \in s \cup (   i \in I. A i)"
          by simp
     next
       assume "x ∉ s"
       have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       proof
          fix i
          assume "i ∈ I"
          with h2 have "x ∈ A i ∪ s"
            by (rule INT_D)
          then show "x ∈ A i"
          proof
            assume "x ∈ A i"
            then show "x ∈ A i"
               by this
          next
            assume "x \in s"
            with <x ∉ s> show "x ∈ A i"
               by simp
          qed
       then show "x \in s \cup ( \cap i \in I. A i)"
          by simp
     qed
  qed
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) = (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
  show "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume "x \in s \cup ( \cap i \in I. \land i)"
     then show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
     proof
       assume "x ∈ s"
       then show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
          by simp
     next
       assume "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       then show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
          by simp
     qed
```

```
qed
next
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s) \subseteq s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume h2 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
     show "x \in s \cup ( \cap i \in I. A i)"
     proof (cases "x ∈ s")
       assume "x ∈ s"
       then show "x \in s \cup ( \cap i \in I. A i)"
          by simp
     next
       assume "x ∉ s"
       then show "x \in s \cup ( \cap i \in I. A i)"
          using h2 by simp
     qed
  qed
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) = (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
proof
  show "s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume "x \in s \cup (   i \in I. A i)"
     then show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
       assume "x ∈ s"
       then show ? thesis by simp
       assume "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       then show ? thesis by simp
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s) \subseteq s \cup (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume h2 : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i \cup s)"
     show "x \in s \cup ( \cap i \in I. A i)"
     proof (cases "x ∈ s")
       case True
       then show ? thesis by simp
```

3.4.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
s \cup (\bigcap i, A i) = \bigcap i, (A i \cup s)
import data.set.basic
import tactic
open set
variable \{\alpha : Type\}
variable s : set α
variables A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{set } \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cup (\bigcap i, A i) = \bigcap i, (A i \cup s) :=
begin
  ext x,
  simp only [mem_union, mem_Inter],
  split,
  { intros h i,
    cases h with xs xAi,
    { right,
       exact xs },
```

```
{ left,
      exact xAi i, }},
  { intro h,
    by_cases xs : x ∈ s,
    { left,
      exact xs },
    { right,
      intro i,
      cases h i with xAi xs,
      { exact xAi, },
      { contradiction, }}},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cup (\bigcap i, A i) = \bigcap i, (A i \cup s) :=
begin
 ext x,
  simp only [mem union, mem Inter],
  split,
  { rintros (xs | xI) i,
    { right,
      exact xs },
    { left,
      exact xI i }},
  { intro h,
    by_cases xs : x ∈ s,
    { left,
      exact xs },
    { right,
      intro i,
      cases h i,
      { assumption },
      { contradiction }}},
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cup (\bigcap i, A i) = \bigcap i, (A i \cup s) :=
begin
 ext x,
  simp only [mem_union, mem_Inter],
  split,
```

```
{ finish, },
 { finish, },
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cup (\bigcap i, A i) = \bigcap i, (A i \cup s) :=
begin
 ext,
 simp only [mem_union, mem_Inter],
  split; finish,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cup (\bigcap i, A i) = \bigcap i, (A i \cup s) :=
begin
 ext,
  simp only [mem union, mem Inter],
  finish [iff def],
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- -----
example : s \cup (\bigcap i, A i) = \bigcap i, (A i \cup s) :=
by finish [ext_iff, mem_union, mem_Inter, iff_def]
```

3.5. Imagen inversa de la intersección

3.5.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
f^{-1}' (u \cap v) = f^{-1}' u \cap f^{-1}' v
theory Imagen inversa de la interseccion
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "f -\ (u \cap v) = f - u \cap f - v"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "f -\ (u \cap v) \subseteq f -\ u \cap f -\ v"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in f - (u \cap v)"
    then have h : "f x \in u \cap v"
      by (simp only: vimage eq)
    have "x \in f - u"
    proof -
      have "f x \in u"
        using h by (rule IntD1)
      then show "x ∈ f -` u"
        by (rule vimageI2)
    qed
    moreover
    have "x \in f - v"
    proof -
      have "f x \in v"
        using h by (rule IntD2)
      then show "x \in f - v"
        by (rule vimageI2)
    qed
    ultimately show "x ∈ f -` u ∩ f -` v"
      by (rule IntI)
  ged
next
  show "f -\ u n f -\ v \subseteq f -\ (u n v)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume h2 : "x \in f - `u \cap f - `v"
    have "f x \in u"
    proof -
      have "x \in f - u"
        using h2 by (rule IntD1)
      then show "f x \in u"
```

```
by (rule vimageD)
    qed
    moreover
    have "f x \in v"
    proof -
      have "x \in f - v"
        using h2 by (rule IntD2)
      then show "f x \in v"
        by (rule vimageD)
    qed
    ultimately have "f x \in u \cap v"
      by (rule IntI)
    then show "x \in f - (u \cap v)"
      by (rule vimageI2)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f -` (u \cap v) = f -` u \cap f -` v"
  show "f -\ (u \cap v) \subseteq f -\ u \cap f -\ v"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in f - (u \cap v)"
    then have h : "f x \in u \cap v"
      by simp
    have "x \in f - u"
    proof -
      have "f x ∈ u"
        using h by simp
      then show "x \in f - u"
        by simp
    qed
    moreover
    have "x \in f - v"
    proof -
      have "f x \in v"
        using h by simp
      then show "x ∈ f -` v"
        by simp
    ultimately show "x ∈ f -` u ∩ f -` v"
      by simp
  qed
```

```
next
  show "f -\ u n f -\ v \subseteq f -\ (u n v)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume h2 : "x \in f - `u \cap f - `v"
    have "f x \in u"
    proof -
      have "x \in f - u"
        using h2 by simp
      then show "f x \in u"
        by simp
    qed
    moreover
    have "f x \in v"
    proof -
      have "x \in f - v"
        using h2 by simp
      then show "f x \in v"
        by simp
    qed
    ultimately have "f x \in u \cap v"
      by simp
    then show "x \in f - (u \cap v)"
      by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "f -\ (u \cap v) = f - u \cap f - v"
  show "f -\ (u \cap v) \subseteq f - u \cap f - v"
  proof
    assume h1 : "x \in f - ` (u \cap v)"
    have "x ∈ f -` u" using h1 by simp
    moreover
    have "x ∈ f -` v" using h1 by simp
    ultimately show "x ∈ f - ` u ∩ f - ` v" by simp
  qed
next
  show "f -\ u n f -\ v \subseteq f -\ (u n v)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume h2 : "x \in f - `u \cap f - `v"
```

```
have "f x ∈ u" using h2 by simp
moreover
have "f x ∈ v" using h2 by simp
ultimately have "f x ∈ u n v" by simp
then show "x ∈ f -` (u n v)" by simp

qed
qed

(* 4a demostración *)

lemma "f -` (u n v) = f -` u n f -` v"
by (simp only: vimage_Int)

(* 5a demostración *)

lemma "f -` (u n v) = f -` u n f -` v"
by auto
```

3.5.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intro h,
    split,
    { apply mem_preimage.mpr,
      rw mem_preimage at h,
      exact mem of mem inter left h, },
    { apply mem preimage.mpr,
      rw mem_preimage at h,
      exact mem_of_mem_inter_right h, }},
  { intro h,
    apply mem preimage.mpr,
    split,
    { apply mem_preimage.mp,
      exact mem_of_mem_inter_left h,},
    { apply mem_preimage.mp,
      exact mem_of_mem_inter_right h, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1} (u \cap v) = f^{-1} u \cap f^{-1} v :=
begin
  ext x,
  exact (λ h, (mem_preimage.mpr (mem_of_mem_inter_left h),
               mem preimage.mpr (mem of mem inter right h) >,
         λ h, (mem_preimage.mp (mem_of_mem_inter_left h),
               mem_preimage.mp (mem_of_mem_inter_right h) >> ,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1}(u \cap v) = f^{-1}(u \cap f^{-1})v :=
begin
  ext,
  refl,
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1}(u \cap v) = f^{-1}(u \cap f^{-1})v :=
```

3.6. Imagen de la unión

3.6.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* _____
-- En Isabelle, la imagen de un conjunto s por una función f se
-- representa por
f ` s = \{y \mid \exists x, x \in s \land f x = y\}
-- Demostrar que
f ` (s \cup t) = f ` s \cup f ` t
theory Imagen de la union
imports Main
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup t) = f ` s \cup f ` t"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "f ` (s \cup t) \subseteq f ` s \cup f ` t"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix y
    assume "y \in f ` (s \cup t)"
    then show "y ∈ f ` s u f ` t"
    proof (rule imageE)
```

```
fix x
      assume "y = f x"
      assume "x ∈ s ∪ t"
      then show "y E f ` s U f ` t"
      proof (rule UnE)
        assume "x ∈ s"
        with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f \ s"
          by (simp only: image eqI)
        then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ f ` t"
          by (rule UnI1)
      next
        assume "x ∈ t"
        with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f \ t"
          by (simp only: image_eqI)
        then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ f ` t"
          by (rule UnI2)
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  show "f ` s \cup f ` t \subseteq f ` (s \cup t)"
 proof (rule subsetI)
    fix y
    assume "y ∈ f ` s ∪ f ` t"
    then show "y ∈ f ` (s ∪ t)"
    proof (rule UnE)
      assume "y ∈ f` s"
      then show "y ∈ f ` (s ∪ t)"
      proof (rule imageE)
        fix x
        assume "y = f x"
        assume "x ∈ s"
        then have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
          by (rule UnI1)
        with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s U t)"
          by (simp only: image_eqI)
      ged
    next
      assume "y \in f \ t"
      then show "y ∈ f ` (s ∪ t)"
      proof (rule imageE)
        fix x
        assume "y = f x"
        assume "x ∈ t"
        then have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
```

```
by (rule UnI2)
         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s U t)"
           by (simp only: image eqI)
       qed
    qed
 qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup t) = f ` s \cup f ` t"
proof
  show "f ` (s \cup t) \subseteq f ` s \cup f ` t"
  proof
    fix y
    assume "y \in f ` (s \cup t)"
    then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ f ` t"
    proof
       fix x
       assume "y = f x"
       assume "x ∈ s ∪ t"
       then show "y \in f \hookrightarrow s \cup f \hookrightarrow t"
       proof
         assume "x ∈ s"
         with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f \ s"
           by simp
         then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ f ` t"
           by simp
       next
         assume "x ∈ t"
         with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f \ t"
           by simp
         then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ f ` t"
           by simp
       qed
    qed
  qed
next
  show "f ` s \cup f ` t \subseteq f ` (s \cup t)"
  proof
    fix y
    assume "y ∈ f ` s ∪ f ` t"
    then show "y \in f ` (s \cup t)"
    proof
       assume "y ∈ f ` s"
```

```
then show "y ∈ f ` (s ∪ t)"
      proof
        fix x
        assume "y = f x"
        assume "x ∈ s"
        then have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
           by simp
        with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s U t)"
           by simp
      qed
    next
      assume "y \in f `t"
      then show "y ∈ f ` (s ∪ t)"
      proof
        fix x
        assume "y = f x"
        assume "x ∈ t"
        then have "x ∈ s ∪ t"
           by simp
        with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s U t)"
           by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup t) = f ` s \cup f ` t"
  by (simp only: image Un)
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup t) = f ` s \cup f ` t"
  by auto
end
```

3.6.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, la imagen de un conjunto s por una función f se representa
-- por `f '' s`; es decir,
```

```
-- f'' s = \{y \mid \exists x, x \in s \land f x = y\}
-- Demostrar que
-- f''(s \cup t) = f''s \cup f''t
import data.set.basic
import tactic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables s t : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f '' (s \cup t) = f '' s \cup f '' t :=
begin
  ext y,
  split,
  { intro h1,
    cases h1 with x hx,
    cases hx with xst fxy,
    rw ← fxy,
    cases xst with xs xt,
    { left,
      apply mem_image_of_mem,
      exact xs, },
    { right,
      apply mem_image_of_mem,
      exact xt, }},
  { intro h2,
    cases h2 with yfs yft,
    { cases yfs with x hx,
      cases hx with xs fxy,
       rw ← fxy,
      apply mem_image_of_mem,
      left,
      exact xs, },
    { cases yft with x hx,
      cases hx with xt fxy,
       rw ← fxy,
      apply mem image of mem,
```

```
right,
      exact xt, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f '' (s U t) = f '' s U f '' t :=
begin
 ext y,
  split,
  { rintro (x, xst, fxy),
    rw ← fxy,
    cases xst with xs xt,
    { left,
      exact mem_image_of_mem f xs, },
    { right,
      exact mem_image_of_mem f xt, }},
  { rintros (yfs | yft),
    { rcases yfs with (x, xs, fxy),
      rw ← fxy,
      apply mem_image_of_mem,
      left,
      exact xs, },
    { rcases yft with (x, xt, fxy),
      rw ← fxy,
      apply mem_image_of_mem,
      right,
      exact xt, }},
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f'' (s v t) = f'' s v f'' t :=
begin
 ext y,
  split,
  { rintro (x, xst, rfl),
    cases xst with xs xt,
    { left,
      exact mem_image_of_mem f xs, },
    { right,
      exact mem_image_of_mem f xt, }},
  { rintros (yfs | yft),
```

```
{ rcases yfs with (x, xs, rfl),
      apply mem_image_of_mem,
      left,
      exact xs, },
    { rcases yft with (x, xt, rfl),
      apply mem_image_of_mem,
      right,
      exact xt, }},
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f \cdot (s \cup t) = f \cdot s \cup f \cdot t :=
begin
 ext y,
  split,
  { rintro (x, xst, rfl),
    cases xst with xs xt,
    { left,
      use [x, xs], },
    { right,
      use [x, xt], }},
  { rintros (yfs | yft),
    { rcases yfs with (x, xs, rfl),
      use [x, or.inl xs], },
    { rcases yft with (x, xt, rfl),
      use [x, or.inr xt], }},
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f '' (s \cup t) = f '' s \cup f '' t :=
begin
 ext y,
  split,
  { rintros (x, xs | xt, rfl),
    { left,
      use [x, xs] },
    { right,
      use [x, xt] }},
  { rintros ((x, xs, rfl) | (x, xt, rfl)),
    { use [x, or.inl xs] },
    { use [x, or.inr xt] }},
```

```
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f'' (s U t) = f'' s U f'' t :=
begin
  ext y,
  split,
  { rintros (x, xs | xt, rfl),
    { finish, },
    { finish, }},
  { rintros ((x, xs, rfl) | (x, xt, rfl)),
     { finish, },
     { finish, }},
end
-- 7º demostración
-- ===========
example : f'' (s U t) = f'' s U f'' t :=
begin
 ext y,
  split,
  { rintros (x, xs | xt, rfl) ; finish, },
  { rintros ((x, xs, rfl) | (x, xt, rfl)); finish, },
end
-- 8ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f '' (s U t) = f '' s U f '' t :=
begin
 ext y,
 split,
  { finish, },
 { finish, },
end
-- 9ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \; : \; \textbf{f} \; \overset{\textbf{!}}{ } \; (\textbf{s} \; \textbf{U} \; \textbf{t}) \; = \; \textbf{f} \; \overset{\textbf{!}}{ } \; \textbf{s} \; \textbf{U} \; \textbf{f} \; \overset{\textbf{!}}{ } \; \textbf{t} \; := \;
begin
  ext y,
```

3.7. Imagen inversa de la imagen

3.7.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
by (simp only: vimageI)
qed

(* 2a demostración *)

lemma "s ⊆ f -` (f ` s)"
proof
  fix x
   assume "x ∈ s"
   then have "f x ∈ f ` s" by simp
   then show "x ∈ f -` (f ` s)" by simp
qed

(* 3a demostración *)

lemma "s ⊆ f -` (f ` s)"
  by auto

end
```

3.7.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
apply mem_preimage.mpr,
  apply mem_image_of_mem,
  exact xs,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \subseteq f^{-1} (f ) (s) :=
begin
  intros x xs,
  apply mem_image_of_mem,
  exact xs,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \subseteq f^{-1} \cap (f \cap s) :=
\lambda x, mem image of mem f
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \subseteq f^{-1} (f ' s) :=
begin
  intros x xs,
  show f x ∈ f '' s,
  use [x, xs],
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
\textbf{example} \; : \; \textbf{S} \; \sqsubseteq \; \textbf{f}^{\; -1} \boxed{ } \; (\textbf{f} \; \boxed{ } \; \textbf{s} ) \; := \;
begin
  intros x xs,
  use [x, xs],
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- ============
example : s \subseteq f^{-1} \cap (f \cap s) :=
subset preimage image f s
```

3.8. Subconjunto de la imagen inversa

3.8.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f[s] \subseteq u \leftrightarrow s \subseteq f^{-1}[u]
theory Subconjunto_de_la_imagen_inversa
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
proof (rule iffI)
  assume "f ` s ⊆ u"
  show "s ⊆ f -` u"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x ∈ s"
    then have "f x \in f `s"
      by (simp only: imageI)
    then have "f x \in u"
      using <f ` s ⊆ u> by (rule set_rev_mp)
    then show "x \in f - u"
      by (simp only: vimageI)
  qed
next
  assume "s ⊆ f -` u"
  show "f ` s ⊆ u"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix y
    assume "y E f ` s"
    then show "y ∈ u"
    proof
      fix x
      assume "y = f x"
      assume "x ∈ s"
      then have "x \in f - u"
```

```
using ⟨s ⊆ f -` u⟩ by (rule set_rev_mp)
                         then have "f x \in u"
                                 by (rule vimageD)
                         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in u"
                                 by (rule ssubst)
                 qed
       qed
qed
 (* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f \ s \subseteq u \leftrightarrow s \subseteq f \ u"
 proof
       assume "f ` s ⊆ u"
        show "s \subseteq f - \ u"
        proof
               fix x
                assume "x ∈ s"
                then have "f x \in f `s"
                      by simp
                 then have "f x \in u"
                         using <f ` s ⊆ u> by (simp add: set_rev_mp)
                then show "x ∈ f - u"
                         by simp
       qed
 next
        assume "s ⊆ f -` u"
       show "f ` s \subseteq u"
        proof
                fix y
                 assume "y \in f \ s"
                 then show "y ∈ u"
                 proof
                         fix x
                         assume "y = f x"
                         assume "x ∈ s"
                         then have "x \in f - u"
                                 using \begin{cases} \begin
                         then have "f x ∈ u"
                                 by simp
                         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in u"
                                  by simp
                 qed
        qed
qed
```

```
(* 3a demostración *)

lemma "f ` s ⊆ u ↔ s ⊆ f -` u"
  by (simp only: image_subset_iff_subset_vimage)

(* 4a demostración *)

lemma "f ` s ⊆ u ↔ s ⊆ f -` u"
  by auto

end
```

3.8.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f[s] \subseteq u \leftrightarrow s \subseteq f^{-1}[u]
import data.set.basic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variable s : set \alpha
variable u : set β
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f '' s \subseteq u \leftrightarrow s \subseteq f^{-1} u :=
begin
  split,
  { intros h x xs,
     apply mem_preimage.mpr,
     apply h,
     apply mem_image_of_mem,
     exact xs, },
  { intros h y hy,
     rcases hy with (x, xs, fxy),
     rw ← fxy,
     exact h xs, },
```

```
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f '' s \subseteq u \leftrightarrow s \subseteq f^{-1} u :=
begin
  split,
  { intros h x xs,
    apply h,
    apply mem_image_of_mem,
     exact xs, },
  { rintros h y (x, xs, rfl),
     exact h xs, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f'' s \subseteq u \leftrightarrow s \subseteq f^{-1} u :=
image subset iff
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f '' s \subseteq u \leftrightarrow s \subseteq f^{-1} u :=
by simp
```

3.9. Imagen inversa de la imagen de aplicaciones inyectivas

3.9.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "f -\ (f \ s) \subseteq s"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume "x \in f - (f \cdot s)"
  then have "f x \in f `s"
    by (rule vimageD)
  then show "x \in s"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix y
    assume "f x = f y"
    assume "y ∈ s"
    have x = y
    using inj f \ \ \ f x = f y \ \ by (rule injD)
then show "x \in s"
       using ⟨y ∈ s⟩ by (rule ssubst)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "f -\ (f \ s) \subseteq s"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x \in f - (f \cdot s)"
  then have "f x \in f s"
    by simp
  then show "x ∈ s"
  proof
    fix y
    assume "f x = f y"
    assume "y \in s"
    have "x = y"
    using \langle inj f \rangle \langle f x = f y \rangle by (rule injD)
then show "x \in s"
       using ⟨y ∈ s⟩ by simp
  qed
qed
```

```
(* 3 demostracion *)

lemma
   assumes "inj f"
   shows "f - ` (f ` s) ⊆ s"
   using assms
   unfolding inj_def
   by auto

(* 4 demostracion *)

lemma
   assumes "inj f"
   shows "f - ` (f ` s) ⊆ s"
   using assms
   by (simp only: inj_vimage_image_eq)

end
```

3.9.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
rw mem_image_eq at hx,
  cases hx with y hy,
  cases hy with ys fyx,
  unfold injective at h,
  have h1 : y = x := h fyx,
  rw ← h1,
  exact ys,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (h : injective f)
  : f <sup>-1</sup> ' (f '' s) ⊆ s :=
begin
  intros x hx,
  rw mem_preimage at hx,
  rcases hx with \langle y, ys, fyx \rangle,
  rw ← h fyx,
 exact ys,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : injective f)
  : f <sup>-1</sup> (f '' s) ⊆ s :=
begin
  rintros x (y, ys, hy),
  rw ← h hy,
  exact ys,
```

3.10. Imagen de la imagen inversa

3.10.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f (f - u) \subseteq u
theory Imagen_de_la_imagen_inversa
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (f - u) \subseteq u"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (f - ` u)"
  then show "y ∈ u"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x \in f - u"
    then have "f x \in u"
      by (rule vimageD)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in u"
      by (rule ssubst)
  qed
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "f \hat{} (f -\hat{} u) \subseteq u"
proof
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (f -` u)"
  then show "y ∈ u"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x \in f - u"
    then have "f x \in u"
      by simp
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in u"
       by simp
  qed
qed
```

```
(* 3a demostración *)
lemma "f ` (f -` u) ⊆ u"
  by (simp only: image_vimage_subset)

(* 4a demostración *)
lemma "f ` (f -` u) ⊆ u"
  by auto
end
```

3.10.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f''(f^{-1}'u) \subseteq u
import data.set.basic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variable u : set β
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f \cdot (f^{-1} \cdot u) \subseteq u :=
begin
 intros y h,
 cases h with x h2,
  cases h2 with hx fxy,
 rw ← fxy,
  exact hx,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
begin
```

```
intros y h,
   rcases h with (x, hx, fxy),
   rw ← fxy,
   exact hx,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \; : \; \textbf{f} \overset{\textbf{I}}{ \ } \ (\textbf{f}^{-1}\overset{\textbf{I}}{ \ } \ \textbf{u}) \; \overset{\textbf{\subseteq}}{ \ } \; \textbf{u} \; := \;
   rintros y \langle x, hx, fxy \rangle,
  rw ← fxy,
  exact hx,
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f \cdot (f^{-1} \cdot u) \subseteq u :=
begin
  rintros y \langle x, hx, rfl \rangle,
  exact hx,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
image_preimage_subset f u
-- 6ª demostración
-- ==========
\textbf{example} \; : \; \textbf{f} \overset{\textbf{I}}{ \ } \ (\textbf{f}^{-1} \overset{\textbf{I}}{ \ } \ \textbf{u} ) \; \overset{\textbf{\subseteq}}{ \ } \ \textbf{u} \; := \;
by simp
```

3.11. Imagen de imagen inversa de aplicaciones suprayectivas

3.11.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que si f es suprayectiva, entonces
-- \qquad u \subseteq f \ ` \ (f - ` \ u)
theory Imagen_de_imagen_inversa_de_aplicaciones_suprayectivas
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "surj f"
  shows "u \subseteq f ` (f - u)"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix y
  assume "y ∈ u"
  have "\exists x. y = f x"
    using < surj f > by (rule surjD)
  then obtain x where "y = f x"
    by (rule exE)
  then have "f x \in u"
    using ⟨y ∈ u⟩ by (rule subst)
  then have "x ∈ f -` u"
    by (simp only: vimage eq)
  then have "f x \in f \ (f -\ u)"
    by (rule imageI)
  with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f ` (f - `u)"
    by (rule ssubst)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
  assumes "surj f"
  shows "u \subseteq f \ (f -\ u)"
proof
  fix y
```

```
assume "y ∈ u"
  have "\exists x. y = f x"
    using <surj f> by (rule surjD)
  then obtain x where "y = f x"
    by (rule exE)
  then have "f x \in u"
    using ⟨y ∈ u⟩ by simp
  then have "x ∈ f - u"
    by simp
  then have "f x \in f ` (f - u)"
    by simp
  with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f ` (f - `u)"
    by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
 assumes "surj f"
 shows "u \subseteq f \ (f -\ u)"
 using assms
 by (simp only: surj_image_vimage_eq)
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma
 assumes "surj f"
 shows "u \subseteq f ` (f -` u)"
  using assms
 unfolding surj_def
 by auto
(* 5ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "surj f"
  shows "u \subseteq f \ (f -\ u)"
 using assms
 by auto
end
```

3.11.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que si f es suprayectiva, entonces
-- \qquad u \subseteq f^{-1} \quad (f^{-1} \quad u)
import data.set.basic
open set function
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\}\ \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variable u : set β
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : surjective f)
  : u ⊆ f '' (f<sup>-1</sup>' u) :=
begin
  intros y yu,
  cases h y with x fxy,
  use x,
  split,
  { apply mem_preimage.mpr,
    rw fxy,
    exact yu },
  { exact fxy },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : surjective f)
  : u ⊆ f '' (f<sup>-1</sup>' u) :=
begin
  intros y yu,
  cases h y with x fxy,
  use x,
  split,
  \{ show f x \in u, 
    rw fxy,
    exact yu },
```

3.12. Monotonía de la imagen de conjuntos

3.12.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que si s \subseteq t, entonces
-- f s \subseteq f t
theory Monotonia_de_la_imagen_de_conjuntos
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "s ⊆ t"
  shows "f \hookrightarrow s \subseteq f \hookrightarrow t"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix y
  assume "y ∈ f ` s"
  then show "∨ ∈ f ` t"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s"
```

```
then have "x \in t"
    by (rule imageI)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f `t"
      by (rule ssubst)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "s ⊆ t"
  shows "f ` s ⊆ f ` t"
proof
 fix y
  assume "y \in f ` s"
  then show "y ∈ f ` t"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s"
    then have "x \in t"
      using <s \subseteq t> by (simp only: set_rev_mp)
    then have "f x E f ` t"
      by simp
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ t"
      by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
 assumes "s ⊆ t"
 shows "f \ s \subseteq f \ t"
 using assms
 by blast
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "s ⊆ t"
  shows "f \ s \subseteq f \ t"
  using assms
```

```
by (simp only: image_mono)
end
```

3.12.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que si s \subseteq t, entonces
-- f'' s \subseteq f'' t
import data.set.basic
import tactic
open set
\textbf{variables} \ \{\alpha \ : \ \textbf{Type*}\} \ \{\beta \ : \ \textbf{Type*}\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables s t : set \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : s ⊆ t)
  : f '' s 🛭 f '' t :=
begin
  intros y hy,
  rw mem_image at hy,
  cases hy with x hx,
  cases hx with xs fxy,
  use x,
  split,
 { exact h xs, },
  { exact fxy, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (h : s ⊑ t)
  : f '' s ⊆ f '' t :=
```

```
intros y hy,
  rcases hy with (x, xs, fxy),
 exact (h xs, fxy),
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : s ⊆ t)
  : f '' s ⊆ f '' t :=
begin
 rintros y \langle x, xs, fxy \rangle,
 use [x, h xs, fxy],
-- 4º demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h : s ⊆ t)
 : f '' s ⊆ f '' t :=
by finish [subset_def, mem_image_eq]
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : s ⊆ t)
 : f '' s ⊆ f '' t :=
image_subset f h
```

3.13. Monotonía de la imagen inversa

3.13.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- f - u \subseteq f - v
theory Monotonia_de_la_imagen_inversa
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "u ⊆ v"
  shows "f -\ u \subseteq f -\ v"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume "x \in f - u"
  then have "f x \in u"
    by (rule vimageD)
  then have "f x \in v"
    using <u ⊆ v> by (rule set_rev_mp)
  then show "\overline{x} \in f - v"
    by (simp only: vimage_eq)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
 assumes "u ⊆ v"
  shows "f -\ u \subseteq f -\ v"
proof
 fix x
  assume "x \in f - u"
  then have "f x \in u"
   by simp
  then have "f x \in v"
    using ⟨u ⊆ v⟩ by (rule set_rev_mp)
  then show "X E f - \ v"
    by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "u ⊆ v"
  shows "f -\ u \subseteq f - v"
  using assms
```

```
by (simp only: vimage_mono)

(* 4a demostracion *)

lemma
  assumes "u ⊆ v"
  shows "f - ` u ⊆ f - ` v"
  using assms
  by blast

end
```

3.13.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que si u ⊆ v, entonces
-- \qquad f^{-1} \quad u \subseteq f^{-1} \quad v
import data.set.basic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\}\ \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables u v : set β
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : u ⊆ v)
  : f -1 u G f -1 v :=
begin
  intros x hx,
  apply mem_preimage.mpr,
  apply h,
  apply mem_preimage.mp,
  exact hx,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
```

```
example
(h : u ⊆ v)
 : f -1 u ⊆ f -1 v :=
begin
 intros x hx,
 apply h,
 exact hx,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : u ⊆ v)
 : f -1 u G f -1 v :=
begin
 intros x hx,
 exact h hx,
end
-- 4º demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : u ⊆ v)
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h : u ⊑ v)
 : f -¹ u ⊆ f -¹ v :=
by intro x; apply h
-- 6ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h : u ⊆ v)
 : f -1 u G f -1 v :=
preimage_mono h
```

3.14. Imagen inversa de la unión

3.14.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Demostrar que
-- f - `(u \cup v) = f - `u \cup f - `v
theory Imagen inversa de la union
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "f -\ (u \cup v) = f - u \cup f - v"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "f -\ (u \cup v) \subseteq f - \ u \cup f - \ v"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in f - (u \cup v)"
    then have "f x \in u \cup v"
      by (rule vimageD)
    then show "x \in f - u \cup f - v"
    proof (rule UnE)
      assume "f x \in u"
      then have "x ∈ f -` u"
        by (rule vimageI2)
      then show "x ∈ f -` u ∪ f -` v"
        by (rule UnI1)
      assume "f x \in v"
```

```
then have "x \in f - v"
        by (rule vimageI2)
      then show "x ∈ f -` u ∪ f -` v"
        by (rule UnI2)
    qed
  qed
next
  show "f - ` u \cup f - ` v \subseteq f - ` (u \cup v)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in f - u \cup f - v"
    then show "x \in f - (u \cup v)"
    proof (rule UnE)
      assume "x \in f - u"
      then have "f x \in u"
        by (rule vimageD)
      then have "f x \in u \cup v"
        by (rule UnI1)
      then show "x \in f - (u \cup v)"
        by (rule vimageI2)
    next
      assume "x \in f - v"
      then have "f x \in v"
        by (rule vimageD)
      then have "f x \in u \cup v"
        by (rule UnI2)
      then show "x \in f - (u \cup v)"
        by (rule vimageI2)
    qed
  ged
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f -\ (u \cup v) = f - u \cup f - v"
proof
  show "f -\ (u \cup v) \subseteq f - u \cup f - v"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in f - (u \cup v)"
    then have "f x \in u \cup v" by simp
    then show "x ∈ f -` u ∪ f -` v"
    proof
      assume "f x \in u"
      then have "x ∈ f -` u" by simp
```

```
then show "x \in f - u \cup f - v" by simp
    next
      assume "f x \in v"
      then have "x \in f - v" by simp
      then show "x ∈ f -` u ∪ f -` v" by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  show "f - ` u \cup f - ` v \subseteq f - ` (u \cup v)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "x \in f - u \cup f - v"
    then show "x \in f - (u \cup v)"
    proof
      assume "x \in f - u"
      then have "f x ∈ u" by simp
      then have "f x \in u \cup v" by simp
      then show "x \in f - (u \cup v)" by simp
    next
      assume "x \in f - v"
      then have "f x \in v" by simp
      then have "f x \in u \cup v" by simp
      then show "x \in f - (u \cup v)" by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "f -\ (u \cup v) = f - \ u \cup f - \ v"
  by (simp only: vimage_Un)
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "f - ` (u ∪ v) = f - ` u ∪ f - ` v"
 by auto
end
```

3.14.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f^{-1} (u \cup v) = f^{-1} u \cup f^{-1} v
import data.set.basic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables u v : set β
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f^{-1} (u \ v) = f^{-1} u \ v :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intros h,
    rw mem_preimage at h,
    cases h with fxu fxv,
    { left,
      apply mem_preimage.mpr,
      exact fxu, },
    { right,
      apply mem preimage.mpr,
      exact fxv, }},
  { intro h,
    rw mem_preimage,
    cases h with xfu xfv,
    { rw mem preimage at xfu,
      left,
      exact xfu, },
    { rw mem_preimage at xfv,
      right,
      exact xfv, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f ^{-1} (u \ v) = f ^{-1} u \ v = 
begin
```

```
ext x,
           split,
           { intros h,
                        cases h with fxu fxv,
                        { left,
                                    exact fxu, },
                         { right,
                                    exact fxv, }},
            { intro h,
                        cases h with xfu xfv,
                        { left,
                                    exact xfu, },
                         { right,
                                    exact xfv, }},
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f^{-1} (u \ v) = f^{-1} u \ v :=
begin
           ext x,
           split,
           { rintro (fxu | fxv),
                     { exact or.inl fxu, },
                     { exact or.inr fxv, }},
           { rintro (xfu | xfv),
                       { exact or.inl xfu, },
                         { exact or.inr xfv, }},
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f ^{-1} (u ^{\phantom{0}} ^
begin
         ext x,
          split,
          { finish, },
        { finish, } ,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
```

```
example : f^{-1} (u \ v) = f^{-1} u \ v :=
begin
 ext x,
 finish,
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f ^{-1}  (u \ U \ v) = f \,^{-1}  u \ U \ f \,^{-1}  v :=
by ext; finish
-- 7º demostración
-- ===========
example : f^{-1} (u \ v) = f^{-1} u \ v :=
by ext; refl
-- 8ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1} (u \ v) = f^{-1} u \ v :=
rfl
-- 9ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f ^{-1} (u U v) = f ^{-1} u U f ^{-1} v :=
preimage_union
-- 10ª demostración
example : f ^{-1} (u \ v) = f ^{-1} u \ v :=
by simp
```

3.15. Imagen de la intersección

3.15.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f`(s n t) \subseteq f`s n f`t
theory Imagen de la interseccion
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s n t) \subseteq f ` s n f ` t"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (s \cap t)"
  then have "y ∈ f ` s"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s ∩ t"
    have "x ∈ s"
       using \langle x \in s \cap t \rangle by (rule IntD1)
    then have "f x \in f s"
       by (rule imageI)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ s"
       by (rule ssubst)
  qed
  moreover
  note \langle y \in f \ (s \cap t) \rangle
then have "y \in f \ t"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s n t"
    have "x ∈ t"
       using \langle x \in s \cap t \rangle by (rule IntD2)
    then have "f x E f t"
       by (rule imageI)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ t"
       by (rule ssubst)
```

```
qed
  ultimately show "y ∈ f ` s ∩ f ` t"
    by (rule IntI)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s n t) \subseteq f ` s n f ` t"
proof
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (s \cap t)"
  then have "y ∈ f `s"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s n t"
    have "x ∈ s"
      using ⟨x ∈ s ∩ t⟩ by simp
    then have "f x \in f s"
      by simp
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ s"
      by simp
  qed
  moreover
  note \langle y \in f \ (s \cap t) \rangle
  then have "y ∈ f `t"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s n t"
    have "x ∈ t"
      using ⟨x ∈ s ∩ t⟩ by simp
    then have "f x E f t"
      by simp
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ t"
      by simp
  ultimately show "y ∈ f ` s ∩ f ` t"
    by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cap t) \subseteq f ` s \cap f ` t"
proof
```

```
fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (s \cap t)"
  then obtain x where hx : "y = f x \wedge x \in s \cap t" by auto
  then have "y = f x" by simp
  have "x ∈ s" using hx by simp
  have "x ∈ t" using hx by simp
  have "y \in f \searrow using \swarrowy = f \searrow \swarrowx \in s\searrow by simp
  moreover
  have "y \in f ` t" using \langle y = f x \rangle \langle x \in t \rangle by simp ultimately show "y \in f ` s n f ` t"
     by simp
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s n t) \subseteq f ` s n f ` t"
  by (simp only: image Int subset)
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s n t) \subseteq f ` s n f ` t"
  by auto
end
```

3.15.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que

-- f '' (s \cap t) \subseteq f '' s \cap f '' t

-- import data.set.basic

import tactic

open set

variables \{\alpha : \mathsf{Type*}\}\ \{\beta : \mathsf{Type*}\}\

variable f: \alpha \to \beta

variables s \in S the set s \in S the set
```

```
example : f '' (s \cap t) \subseteq f '' s \cap f '' t :=
begin
  intros y hy,
  cases hy with x hx,
  cases hx with xst fxy,
  split,
  { use x,
   split,
    { exact xst.1, },
    { exact fxy, }},
  { use x,
    split,
    { exact xst.2, },
    { exact fxy, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f '' (s \cap t) \subseteq f '' s \cap f '' t :=
begin
  intros y hy,
  rcases hy with (x, (xs, xt), fxy),
  split,
  { use x,
    exact (xs, fxy), },
  { use x,
    exact (xt, fxy), },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- =========
example : f '' (s \cap t) \subseteq f '' s \cap f '' t :=
  rintros y (x, (xs, xt), fxy),
 split,
 { use [x, xs, fxy], },
 { use [x, xt, fxy], },
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
```

3.16. Imagen de la intersección de aplicaciones inyectivas

3.16.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que si f es inyectiva, entonces
-- f`snf`t \subseteq f`(snt)
theory Imagen de la interseccion de aplicaciones inyectivas
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "f ` s n f ` t \subseteq f ` (s n t)"
proof (rule subsetI)
 fix y
  assume "y ∈ f ` s n f ` t"
  then have "y ∈ f ` s"
    by (rule IntD1)
  then show "y ∈ f ` (s n t)"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x \in s"
    have "x ∈ t"
    proof -
```

```
have "y ∈ f ` t"
         using \langle y \in f \ s \cap f \ t \rangle by (rule IntD2)
       then show "x ∈ t"
       proof (rule imageE)
         fix z
         assume "y = f z"
         assume "z ∈ t"
         have "f x = f z"
            using \langle y = f x \rangle \langle y = f z \rangle by (rule subst)
         with <inj f> have "x = z"
            by (simp only: inj eq)
         then show "x \in t"
            using ⟨z ∈ t⟩ by (rule ssubst)
       qed
    qed
    with ⟨x ∈ s⟩ have "x ∈ s ∩ t"
       by (rule IntI)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f ` (s \(\text{s} \) \(\text{t}\)"
       by (rule image eqI)
  qed
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "f ` s n f ` t \subseteq f ` (s n t)"
proof
  fix y
  assume "y ∈ f ` s n f ` t"
  then have "y ∈ f ` s" by simp
  then show "y \in f \ (s \cap t)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s"
    have "x ∈ t"
    proof -
       have "y \in f \ t" using \langley \in f \ s \cap f \ t> by simp
       then show "x ∈ t"
       proof
         fix z
         assume "y = f z"
         assume "z ∈ t"
         have "f x = f z" using \langle y = f x \rangle \langle y = f z \rangle by simp
```

```
with \langle inj | f \rangle have "x = z" by (simp only: inj_eq)
         then show "x ∈ t" using ⟨z ∈ t⟩ by simp
       ged
    qed
    with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s \cap t" by simp
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s \cap t)" by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "f ` s n f ` t \subseteq f ` (s n t)"
  using assms
  by (simp only: image_Int)
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "f ` s n f ` t \subseteq f ` (s n t)"
  using assms
  unfolding inj_def
  by auto
end
```

3.16.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que si f es inyectiva, entonces

-- f '' s n f '' t ⊆ f '' (s n t)

import data.set.basic

open set function

variables {α : Type*} {β : Type*}

variable f : α → β

variables s t : set α
```

```
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (h : injective f)
  : f '' s n f '' t ⊆ f '' (s n t) :=
begin
  intros y hy,
  cases hy with hy1 hy2,
  cases hyl with x1 hx1,
  cases hx1 with x1s fx1y,
  cases hy2 with x2 hx2,
  cases hx2 with x2t fx2y,
  use x1,
  split,
  { split,
    { exact x1s, },
    { convert x2t,
      apply h,
      rw ← fx2y at fx1y,
      exact fx1y, }},
  { exact fx1y, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (h : injective f)
  : f '' s n f '' t \sqrt{f '' (s n t) :=
  rintros y (\langle x1, x1s, fx1y \rangle, \langle x2, x2t, fx2y \rangle),
  use x1,
  split,
  { split,
    { exact x1s, },
    { convert x2t,
      apply h,
      rw ← fx2y at fx1y,
      exact fx1y, }},
  { exact fx1y, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
```

3.17. Imagen de la diferencia de conjuntos

3.17.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
note ⟨y ∈ f ` s⟩
    then show "y \in f \ (s - t)"
    proof (rule imageE)
       fix x
       assume "y = f x"
       \textbf{assume} \ \text{"} \textbf{x} \ \in \ \textbf{s"}
      have ⟨x ∉ t⟩
       proof (rule notI)
         assume "x ∈ t"
         then have "f x \in f ` t"
           by (rule imageI)
         with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f \ t"
           by (rule ssubst)
      with <y ∉ f ` t > show False
         by (rule notE)
    qed
    with ⟨x ∈ s⟩ have "x ∈ s - t"
       by (rule DiffI)
    then have "f x \in f \( (s - t)"
      by (rule imageI)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s - t)"
      by (rule ssubst)
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` s - f ` t \subseteq f ` (s - t)"
proof
  fix y
  assume hy : "y \in f ` s - f ` t"
  then show "y \in f ` (s - t)"
    assume "y \in f \ s"
    assume "y ∉ f ` t"
    note ⟨y ∈ f `s⟩
    then show "y \in f \ (s - t)"
    proof
      fix x
      assume "y = f x"
      assume "x \in s"
      have ⟨x ∉ t⟩
      proof
         assume "x ∈ t"
```

```
then have "f x \in f 't" by simp
         with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f ` t" by simp
       with ⟨y ∉ f ` t > show False by simp
    qed
    with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s - t" by simp
    then have "f x \in f \( (s - t)" by simp
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s - t)" by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "f ` s - f ` t \subseteq f ` (s - t)"
  by (simp only: image_diff_subset)
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` s - f ` t \subseteq f ` (s - t)"
  by auto
end
```

3.17.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
begin
  intros y hy,
  cases hy with yfs ynft,
  cases yfs with x hx,
  cases hx with xs fxy,
  use x,
  split,
  { split,
     { exact xs, },
     { dsimp,
        intro xt,
        apply ynft,
        rw ← fxy,
        apply mem image of mem,
        exact xt, }},
  { exact fxy, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
begin
  rintros y (\langle x, xs, fxy \rangle, ynft),
  use x,
  split,
  { split,
     { exact xs, },
     { intro xt,
        apply ynft,
        use [x, xt, fxy], \},
  { exact fxy, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \;:\; \textbf{f} \; \overset{\textbf{'}}{\;\;} \; \textbf{s} \; \overset{\textbf{}}{\;\;} \; \textbf{f} \; \overset{\textbf{'}}{\;\;} \; \textbf{t} \; \overset{\textbf{}}{\;\;} \; \textbf{f} \; \overset{\textbf{'}}{\;\;} \; (\textbf{s} \; \overset{\textbf{}}{\;\;} \; \textbf{t}) \; := \;
   rintros y ((x, xs, fxy), ynft),
  use x,
  finish,
end
-- 4ª demostración
```

```
example : f '' s \ f '' t \subset_image_diff f s t
```

3.18. Imagen inversa de la diferencia

3.18.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f - u - f - v \subseteq f - (u - v)
theory Imagen_inversa_de_la_diferencia
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "f -` u - f -` v \subseteq f -` (u - v)"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume "x \in f - u - f - v"
  then have "f x \in u - v"
  proof (rule DiffE)
    assume "x \in f - u"
    assume "x ∉ f -` v"
    have "f x ∈ u"
      using ⟨x ∈ f -` u⟩ by (rule vimageD)
    moreover
    have "f x ∉ v"
    proof (rule notI)
      assume "f x \in v"
      then have "x \in f - v"
        by (rule vimageI2)
      with ⟨x ∉ f -` v⟩ show False
        by (rule notE)
    qed
    ultimately show "f x ∈ u - v"
      by (rule DiffI)
```

```
qed
  then show "x \in f - (u - v)"
   by (rule vimageI2)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f -` u - f -` v \subseteq f -` (u - v)"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x \in f - u - f - v"
  then have "f x \in u - v"
  proof
    assume "x \in f - u"
    assume "x ∉ f -` v"
    have "f x \in u" using \langle x \in f - u \rangle by simp
    moreover
    have "f x ∉ v"
    proof
      assume "f x \in v"
      then have "x ∈ f -` v" by simp
      with ⟨x ∉ f -` v⟩ show False by simp
    ultimately show "f x \in u - v" by simp
  then show "x \in f - (u - v)" by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostraci<mark>ó</mark>n *)
lemma "f -` u - f -` v \subseteq f -` (u - v)"
  by (simp only: vimage_Diff)
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma "f -` u - f -` v \subseteq f -` (u - v)"
  by auto
end
```

3.18.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f^{-1} u \setminus f^{-1} v \subseteq f^{-1} (u \setminus v)
import data.set.basic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables u v : set β
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f ^{-1} u \ f ^{-1} v \ f ^{-1} (u \ v) :=
begin
  intros x hx,
  rw mem preimage,
  split,
  { rw ← mem_preimage,
    exact hx.1, },
  { dsimp,
    rw ← mem_preimage,
    exact hx.2, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f ^{-1} u \ f ^{-1} v \ f ^{-1} (u \ v) :=
begin
  intros x hx,
  split,
  { exact hx.1, },
  { exact hx.2, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f ^{-1} u \ f ^{-1} v \ f ^{-1} (u \ v) :=
```

```
begin
 intros x hx,
 exact (hx.1, hx.2),
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f ^{-1} u \ f ^{-1} v \ f ^{-1} (u \ v) :=
begin
 rintros x (h1, h2),
 exact (h1, h2),
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f ^{-1} u \ f ^{-1} v \ f ^{-1} (u \ v) :=
subset.rfl
-- 6ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f ^{-1} u \ f ^{-1} v \ f ^{-1} (u \ v) :=
by finish
```

3.19. Intersección con la imagen

3.19.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Demostrar que
-- (f ` s) n v = f ` (s n f - ` v)
-- *

theory Interseccion_con_la_imagen
imports Main
begin

(* 1@ demostración *)
```

```
lemma "(f ` s) \cap v = f ` (s \cap f - `v)"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "(f ` s) \cap v \subseteq f ` (s \cap f - `v)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix y
    assume "y \in (f ` s) \cap v"
    then show "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
    proof (rule IntE)
      assume "y ∈ v"
      assume "y \in f \ s"
      then show "y \in f \ (s \cap f - v)"
      proof (rule imageE)
         fix x
         assume "x ∈ s"
         assume "y = f x"
         then have "f x \in v"
           using ⟨y ∈ v⟩ by (rule subst)
         then have "x ∈ f -` v"
           by (rule vimageI2)
         with ⟨x ∈ s⟩ have "x ∈ s ∩ f -` v"
           by (rule IntI)
         then have "f x \in f \( (s \ n \ f \ - \) \v)"
           by (rule imageI)
         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f ` (s n f - ` v)"
           by (rule ssubst)
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  show "f ` (s \cap f - v) \subseteq (f \cdot s) \cap v"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix y
    assume "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
    then show "y \in (f \ \ s) \cap v"
    proof (rule imageE)
      fix x
      assume "y = f x"
      assume hx : "x \in s \cap f - v"
      have "y E f ` s"
      proof -
         have "x ∈ s"
           using hx by (rule IntD1)
         then have "f x \in f `s"
           by (rule imageI)
```

```
with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ s"
            by (rule ssubst)
       qed
       moreover
       have "y ∈ v"
       proof -
         have "x \in f - v"
            using hx by (rule IntD2)
         then have "f x \in v"
            by (rule vimageD)
         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in v"
            by (rule ssubst)
       qed
       ultimately show "y \in (f \ s) \cap v"
         by (rule IntI)
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "(f ` s) \cap v = f ` (s \cap f - `v)"
proof
  show "(f ` s) n v \subseteq f ` (s n f - `v)"
  proof
     fix y
    assume "y \in (f ` s) \cap v"
    then show "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
    proof
       assume "y ∈ v"
       assume "y \in f ` s"
       then show "y \in f \ (s \cap f - v)"
       proof
         fix x
         assume "x \in s"
         assume "y = f x"
         then have "f x \in v" using \langle y \in v \rangle by simp
         then have "x \in f - v" by simp
         with \langle x \in s \rangle have "x \in s n f -` v" by simp
         then have "f x \in f \( (s \( n \) f \( - \) \( v \) " by simp
         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ (s \cap f - \cdot v)" by simp
       qed
    qed
  qed
next
```

```
show "f \ (s n f -\ v) \subseteq (f \ s) n v"
  proof
    fix y
    assume "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
    then show "y \in (f \ s) \cap v"
    proof
       fix x
       assume "y = f x"
       assume hx : "x \in s \cap f - v"
       have "y E f ` s"
       proof -
         have "x ∈ s" using hx by simp
         then have "f x \in f 's" by simp
         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \ s" by simp
       qed
       moreover
       have "y ∈ v"
       proof -
         have "x \in f -` v" using hx by simp
         then have "f x \in v" by simp
         with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in v" by simp
       ultimately show "y \in (f \ s) \cap v" by simp
    qed
  ged
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "(f \ \ s) \cap \ v = f \ \ (s \cap f - \ v)"
  show "(f ` s) \cap v \subseteq f ` (s \cap f -` v)"
  proof
    fix y
    assume "y \in (f \ s) \cap v"
    then show "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
    proof
       assume "y \in v"
       assume "y ∈ f ` s"
       then show "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
       proof
         fix x
         assume "x ∈ s"
         assume "y = f x"
         then show "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
```

```
using \langle x \in s \rangle \langle y \in v \rangle by simp
       qed
     qed
  qed
next
  show "f \dot{} (s n f -\dot{} v) \subseteq (f \dot{} s) n v"
  proof
     fix y
     assume "y \in f ` (s \cap f - v)"
     then show "y ∈ (f `s) n v"
     proof
       fix x
       assume "y = f x"
       assume hx : "x \in s \cap f - v"
       then have "y \in f `s" using \langle y = f x \rangle by simp
       moreover
       have "y \in v" using hx \langle y = f x \rangle by simp
       ultimately show "y \in (f \ s) \cap v" by simp
     qed
  qed
qed
(* 4<sup>a</sup> demostraci<mark>ó</mark>n *)
lemma "(f \ \ s) n \ v = f \ \ (s \ n \ f - \ v)"
  by auto
end
```

3.19.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que

-- (f '' s) \cap v = f '' (s \cap f ^{-1} v)

import data.set.basic

import tactic

open set

variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\}

variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
```

```
variable s : set \alpha
variable v : set β
-- 1º demostración
-- ==========
example : (f \mid \cdot \mid s) \mid n \mid v = f \mid \cdot \mid (s \mid n \mid f \mid -1 \mid v) :=
begin
 ext y,
  split,
  { intro hy,
    cases hy with hyfs yv,
    cases hyfs with x hx,
    cases hx with xs fxy,
    use x,
    split,
    { split,
     { exact xs, },
      { rw mem_preimage,
        rw fxy,
        exact yv, }},
    { exact fxy, }},
  { intro hy,
    cases hy with x hx,
    split,
    { use x,
      split,
      { exact hx.1.1, },
      { exact hx.2, }},
    { cases hx with hx1 fxy,
      rw ← fxy,
      rw ← mem preimage,
      exact hx1.2, }},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
begin
 ext y,
  split,
  { rintros ((x, xs, fxy), yv),
    use x,
    split,
```

```
{ split,
     { exact xs, },
     { rw mem preimage,
       rw fxy,
       exact yv, }},
   { exact fxy, }},
 { rintros (x, (xs, xv), fxy),
   split,
   { use [x, xs, fxy], },
   { rw ← fxy,
     rw ← mem_preimage,
     exact xv, }},
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
begin
 ext y,
 split,
 { rintros ((x, xs, fxy), yv),
   finish, },
 { rintros (x, (xs, xv), fxy),
   finish, },
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (f | v | s) \cap v = f | v | (s \cap f^{-1} | v) :=
by ext; split; finish
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
by finish [ext_iff, iff_def]
-- 6ª demostración
-- ==========
example : (f \circ s) \cap v = f \circ (s \cap f^{-1} \circ v) :=
(image_inter_preimage f s v).symm
```

3.20. Unión con la imagen

3.20.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Demostrar que
-- f (s \cup f - v) \subseteq f s \cup v
theory Union_con_la_imagen
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup f -` \vee) \subseteq f ` s \cup \vee"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (s \cup f -` v)"
  then show "y \in f ` s \cup v"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x E s U f -` v"
    then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
    proof (rule UnE)
      assume "x ∈ s"
      then have "f x \in f `s"
         by (rule imageI)
      with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f \ s"
         by (rule ssubst)
      then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
         by (rule UnI1)
    next
       assume "x \in f - v"
       then have "f x \in v"
         by (rule vimageD)
      with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in v"
         by (rule ssubst)
      then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
         by (rule UnI2)
```

```
qed
 qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup f - ` v) \subseteq f ` s \cup v"
proof
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (s \cup f - v)"
  then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x \in s \cup f - v"
    then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
    proof
      assume "x ∈ s"
      then have "f x \in f `s" by simp
      with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in f s" by simp
      then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v" by simp
    next
      assume "x \in f - v"
      then have "f x \in v" by simp
      with \langle y = f x \rangle have "y \in v" by simp
      then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v" by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup f -` \vee) \subseteq f ` s \cup \vee"
proof
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (s \cup f - `v)"
  then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ s ∪ f -` v"
    then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
    proof
      assume "x \in s"
      then show "y \in f `s \cup v" by (simp add: \langle y = f x \rangle)
```

```
next
       assume "x \in f - v"
       then show "y \in f \ s \ \cup v" by (simp add: \langle y = f x \rangle)
  qed
qed
(* 4<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup f -` \vee) \subseteq f ` s \cup \vee"
proof
  fix y
  assume "y \in f ` (s \cup f - v)"
  then show "y ∈ f ` s ∪ v"
  proof
    fix x
     assume "y = f x"
     assume "x \in s \cup f - v"
    then show "y \in f ` s \cup v" using \langle y = f x \rangle by blast
  qed
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "f ` (s \cup f - ` u) \subseteq f ` s \cup u"
  by auto
end
```

3.20.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que

-- f '' (s \cup f^{-1} \vee v) \subseteq f '' s \cup v

-- import data.set.basic

import tactic

open set

variables \{\alpha : \mathsf{Type}^*\} \{\beta : \mathsf{Type}^*\}

variable f: \alpha \to \beta
```

```
variable s : set \alpha
variable v : set β
-- 1º demostración
-- ==========
begin
  intros y hy,
  cases hy with x hx,
  cases hx with hx1 fxy,
  cases hx1 with xs xv,
  { left,
    use x,
    split,
    { exact xs, },
    { exact fxy, }},
  { right,
    rw ← fxy,
    exact xv, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \;:\; f \ \ ^{\  \  \, ' \  \  } \ (s \ \ ^{\  \  \, ' \  \  } \ v) \ \ \sqsubseteq \ f \ \ ^{\  \  \, ' \  \  } \ s \ \ U \ \ v \ :=
begin
  rintros y \langle x, xs \mid xv, fxy \rangle,
  { left,
   use [x, xs, fxy], },
  { right,
   rw ← fxy,
    exact xv, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
rintros y (x, xs | xv, fxy);
  finish,
end
```

3.21. Intersección con la imagen inversa

3.21.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cap f -' v \subseteq f -` (f ` s \cap v)
theory Interseccion con la imagen inversa
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "s \cap f - v \subseteq f - (f \setminus s \cap v)"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume "x E s n f -` v"
  have "f x E f ` s"
  proof -
    have "x ∈ s"
    using \langle x \in S \cap f - v \rangle by (rule IntD1) then show "f x \in f \sim S"
       by (rule imageI)
  ged
  moreover
  have "f x \in v"
  proof -
    have "x \in f - v"
       using \langle x \in s \cap f - v \rangle by (rule IntD2)
    then show "f x \in v"
       by (rule vimageD)
  ultimately have "f x \in f `s n v"
    by (rule IntI)
  then show "x \in f - (f \cdot s \cap v)"
    by (rule vimageI2)
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s n f - ` v \subseteq f - ` (f ` s n v)"
proof (rule subsetI)
```

```
fix x
  assume "x ∈ s n f -` v"
  have "f x \in f `s"
  proof -
    have "x \in s" using \langle x \in s \cap f - \rangle by simp
then show "f x \in f \rangle s" by simp
  qed
  moreover
  have "f x \in v"
  proof -
    have "x \in f - v" using \langle x \in s \cap f - v \rangle by simp
    then show "f x \in v" by simp
  ultimately have "f x ∈ f ` s ∩ v" by simp
  then show "x \in f - (f \cdot s \cap v)" by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "s n f - \lor v \subseteq f - \lq (f \lq s n v)"
 by auto
end
```

3.21.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
example : s \bigcap f ^{-1} \bigvee \subseteq f ^{-1} \bigvee (f \bigvee s \bigcap v) :=
begin
  intros x hx,
  rw mem_preimage,
  split,
  { apply mem_image_of_mem,
     exact hx.1, },
  { rw ← mem_preimage,
     exact hx.2, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap f^{-1} \lor v \subseteq f^{-1} \lor (f^{-1} \lor s \cap v) :=
begin
  rintros x (xs, xv),
  split,
  { exact mem image of mem f xs, },
  { exact xv, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
\textbf{example} \; : \; s \; \bigcap \; f \; {}^{-1} \boxed{\;} \; v \; \sqsubseteq \; f \; {}^{-1} \boxed{\;} \; (f \; \boxed{\;} \; s \; \bigcap \; v) \; := \;
  rintros x (xs, xv),
  exact (mem image of mem f xs, xv),
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cap f^{-1} \lor v \subseteq f^{-1} \lor (f \lor s \cap v) :=
begin
  rintros x (xs, xv),
  show f \times \in f '' s \cap v,
  split,
  { use [x, xs, rfl] },
  { exact xv },
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
```

```
example : s \cap f^{-1} \vee G f^{-1} \wedge (f^{\prime} \otimes v) := inter_preimage_subset s v f
```

3.22. Unión con la imagen inversa

3.22.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- s \cup f - `v \subseteq f - `(f `s \cup v)
theory Union_con_la_imagen_inversa
imports Main
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s \cup f - v \subseteq f - (f \setminus s \cup v)"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix x
  assume "x E s U f -` v"
  then have "f x \in f ` s \cup v"
  proof (rule UnE)
    assume "x ∈ s"
    then have "f x \in f \ s"
      by (rule imageI)
    then show "f x \in f `s \cup v"
      by (rule UnI1)
  next
    assume "x \in f - v"
    then have "f x \in v"
      by (rule vimageD)
    then show "f x \in f `s \cup v"
      by (rule UnI2)
  then show "x \in f - (f \cdot s \cup v)"
    by (rule vimageI2)
qed
```

```
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostraci<mark>ó</mark>n *)
lemma "s U f - \vee C f - \vee (f \vee s U V)"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x E s U f -` v"
  then have "f x \in f 's \cup v"
  proof
    assume "x ∈ s"
    then have "f x \in f s" by simp
    then show "f x \in f 's \cup v" by simp
     assume "x \in f - v"
    then have "f x \in v" by simp
    then show "f x \in f ` s \cup v" by simp
  then show "x \in f - (f \cdot s \cup v)" by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s \cup f -` \vee \subseteq f -` (f ` s \cup \vee)"
proof
  fix x
  assume "x E s U f -` v"
  then have "f x \in f ` s \cup v"
    assume "x ∈ s"
    then show "f x \in f 's \cup v" by simp
     assume "x \in f - v"
    then show "f x \in f `s \cup v" by simp
  then show "x ∈ f -` (f ` s ∪ v)" by simp
(* 4<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "s \cup f - \vee \subseteq f - (f \cdot s \cup v)"
  by auto
end
```

3.22.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
                        S \cup f^{-1} \ v \subseteq f^{-1} \ (f'' S \cup v)
import data.set.basic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variable s : set \alpha
 variable v : set β
 -- 1ª demostración
 -- ===========
example : s \cup f^{-1} \cup v \subseteq f^{-1} \cup (f^{-1} \cup s \cup v) :=
 begin
             intros x hx,
               rw mem_preimage,
              cases hx with xs xv,
              { apply mem_union_left,
                           apply mem_image_of_mem,
                            exact xs, },
              { apply mem_union_right,
                            rw ← mem_preimage,
                            exact xv, },
 end
 -- 2ª demostración
 -- ===========
\textbf{example} \; : \; \textbf{S} \; \, \textbf{U} \; \; \textbf{f} \; \, ^{-1} \, \textbf{I} \; \; \textbf{v} \; \, \textbf{G} \; \; \textbf{f} \; \, ^{-1} \, \textbf{I} \; \; (\textbf{f} \; \, \textbf{I} \; \, \textbf{s} \; \, \textbf{U} \; \, \textbf{v}) \; := \; \, \textbf{I} \;
 begin
            intros x hx,
              cases hx with xs xv,
              { apply mem_union_left,
                            apply mem image of mem,
                            exact xs, },
              { apply mem_union_right,
                            exact xv, },
 end
```

```
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s U f ^{-1} V \subseteq f ^{-1} ( f ^{!} S U V ) :=
begin
  rintros x (xs | xv),
  { left,
    exact mem image of mem f xs, },
  { right,
     exact xv, },
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example : s \cup f^{-1} \cup v \subseteq f^{-1} \cup (f \cup s \cup v) :=
begin
  rintros x (xs | xv),
  { exact or.inl (mem image of mem f xs), },
 { exact or.inr xv, },
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \cup f^{-1} \cup v \subseteq f^{-1} \cup (f^{-1} \cup s \cup v) :=
begin
  intros x h,
  exact or.elim h (λ xs, or.inl (mem_image_of_mem f xs)) or.inr,
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example : s \overline{U} f ^{-1}\overline{\phantom{U}} v \subseteq f ^{-1}\overline{\phantom{U}} (f \overline{\phantom{U}} s \overline{U} v) :=
\lambda x h, or.elim h (\lambda xs, or.inl (mem_image_of_mem f xs)) or.inr
-- 7º demostración
-- ===========
example : s U f ^{-1} V \subseteq f ^{-1} ( f ^{!} ^{!} s U v) :=
begin
  rintros x (xs | xv),
  \{ show f x \in f '' s U v, \}
```

3.23. Imagen de la unión general

3.23.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Demostrar que
f \cdot (\bigcup i \in I. \ A \ i) = (\bigcup i \in I. \ f \cdot A \ i)
theory Imagen de la union general
imports Main
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i) = (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix y
    assume "y \in f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
    then show "y \in (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
    proof (rule imageE)
       fix x
       assume "y = f x"
       assume "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
       then have "f x \in (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
       proof (rule UN E)
```

```
fix i
          \text{assume "i} \in I"
           assume "x ∈ A i"
           then have "f x \in f ` A i"
             by (rule imageI)
          with |\cdot| i \in I\rangle show "f x \in (U i \in I. f ` A i)"
             by (rule UN I)
        ged
        with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in (\bigcup i \in I. f \land A i)"
          by (rule ssubst)
     qed
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i) \subseteq f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
     fix y
     assume "y \in (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
     then show "y \in f \ (|| i \in I. A i)"
     proof (rule UN E)
        fix i
        assume "i ∈ I"
        assume "y \in f ` A i"
        then show "y \in f ` (|| i \in I. A i)"
        proof (rule imageE)
          fix x
          assume "y = f x"
          assume "x ∈ A i"
          with \langle i \in I \rangle have "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
             by (rule UN I)
          then have "f x \in f \( (|| i \in I. A i)"
             by (rule imageI)
          with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f \( \( \begin{array}{c} \times I \\ A \times \begin{array}{c} A \times I \\ A \times I \end{array} \]
             by (rule ssubst)
        qed
     qed
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i) = (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
  show "f \dot{} (\bigcup i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. f \dot{} A i)"
  proof
     fix y
```

```
assume "y \in f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
     then show "y \in (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
     proof
       fix x
       assume "y = f x"
       assume "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
       then have "f x \in (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
       proof
          fix i
          assume "i ∈ I"
          assume "x ∈ A i"
          then have "f x \in f ` A i" by simp
          with \langle i \in I \rangle show "f x \in (\bigcup i \in I. f `Ai)" by (rule UN_I)
       with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in (\bigcup i \in I. f `Ai)" by simp
     qed
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i) \subseteq f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i)"
  proof
     fix y
     assume "y \in (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
     then show "y \in f ` (|| i \in I. A i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       assume "y ∈ f ` A i"
       then show "y \in f ` (|| i \in I. A i)"
       proof
          fix x
          assume "y = f x"
          \textbf{assume "} \textbf{x} \ \in \ \textbf{A i"}
          with \langle i \in I \rangle have "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. A i)" by (rule UN_I)
          then have "f x \in f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i)" by simp
          with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i)" by simp
       qed
     qed
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (\bigcup i \in I. A i) = (\bigcup i \in I. f ` A i)"
  by (simp only: image_UN)
```

```
(* 4a demostración *)
lemma "f ` (U i ∈ I. A i) = (U i ∈ I. f ` A i)"
  by auto
end
```

3.23.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f''(||i, Ai|) = ||i, f''Ai|
import data.set.basic
import tactic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\} \{I : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{set } \alpha
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f (U i, A i) = U i, f (A i) = U
begin
  ext y,
  split,
  { intro hy,
    rw mem_image at hy,
    cases hy with x hx,
    cases hx with xUA fxy,
    rw mem Union at xUA,
    cases xUA with i xAi,
    rw mem_Union,
    use i,
    rw ← fxy,
    apply mem_image_of_mem,
    exact xAi, },
  { intro hy,
    rw mem Union at hy,
```

```
cases hy with i yAi,
                                 cases yAi with x hx,
                                 cases hx with xAi fxy,
                                   rw ← fxy,
                                 apply mem_image_of_mem,
                                   rw mem_Union,
                                 use i,
                                 exact xAi, },
 end
  -- 2ª demostración
  -- ===========
 example : f \cdot (U i, A i) = U i, f \cdot A i :=
  begin
               ext y,
               simp,
               split,
                { rintros (x, (i, xAi), fxy),
                         use [i, x, xAi, fxy] },
                { rintros (i, x, xAi, fxy),
                                 exact (x, (i, xAi), fxy)},
  end
  -- 3ª demostración
  -- ===========
example : f'' (\bigcup i, A i) = \bigcup i, f'' A i :=
 by tidy
  -- 4ª demostración
  -- ===========
\textbf{example} \;:\; \textbf{f} \; \fbox{ } ( \cent{$\overline{\textbf{U}}$} \; \textbf{i}, \; \textbf{A} \; \textbf{i} ) \; = \; \cent{$\overline{\textbf{U}}$} \; \textbf{i}, \; \textbf{f} \; \cent{$\overline{\textbf{U}}$} \; \textbf{A} \; \textbf{i} \; := \; \cent{$\overline{\textbf{U}}$} \; \textbf{A} \; \textbf
 image Union
```

3.24. Imagen de la intersección general

3.24.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f ` ( \bigcap i, A i ) \subseteq \bigcap i, f ` A i
theory Imagen de la interseccion general
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. f ` A i)"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix y
  then show "y \in ( \cap i \in I. f \land A i)"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume y = f x
    assume xIA : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
    have "f x \in (\bigcap i \in I. f \setminus A i)"
    proof (rule INT I)
      fix i
      assume "i ∈ I"
      with xIA have "x ∈ A i"
         by (rule INT_D)
      then show "f x \in f ` A i"
         by (rule imageI)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in (\bigcap i \in I. f \land A i)"
      by (rule ssubst)
  qed
ged
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. f ` A i)"
proof
```

```
then show "y \in (\bigcap i \in I. f ` A i)"
  proof
    fix x
     assume "y = f x"
     assume xIA : "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
    have "f x \in (\bigcap i \in I. f ` A i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       with xIA have "x ∈ A i" by simp
       then show "f x \in f \ A i" by simp
     with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in (\bigcap i \in I. f ` A i)" by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. f ` A i)"
  by auto
end
```

3.24.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
begin
  intros y h,
  apply mem Inter of mem,
  intro i,
  cases h with x hx,
  cases hx with xIA fxy,
  rw ← fxy,
  apply mem image of mem,
  exact mem Inter.mp xIA i,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
begin
 intros y h,
 apply mem_Inter_of_mem,
  intro i,
  rcases h with (x, xIA, rfl),
  exact mem_image_of_mem f (mem_Inter.mp xIA i),
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f \ ' \ ( \bigcap \ i, \ A \ i) \subseteq \bigcap \ i, \ f \ ' \ A \ i :=
begin
 intro y,
 simp,
 intros x xIA fxy i,
 use [x, xIA i, fxy],
end
-- 4º demostración
-- ===========
example : f ( \bigcap i, A i) \subseteq \bigcap i, f ( A i :=
by tidy
```

3.25. Imagen de la intersección general mediante inyectiva

3.25.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que si f es inyectiva, entonces
-- (\bigcap i \in I. f `Ai) \subseteq f `(\bigcap i \in I. Ai)
theory Imagen_de_la_interseccion_general_mediante_inyectiva
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "i ∈ I"
          "inj f"
  shows "(\bigcap i \in I. f \setminus A i) \subseteq f \setminus (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix v
  then have "y ∈ f ` A i"
    using ⟨i ∈ I⟩ by (rule INT_D)
  then show "y \in f \ (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
  proof (rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x ∈ A i"
    have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
    proof (rule INT_I)
      fix j
      assume "j ∈ I"
      show "x ∈ A j"
      proof -
        have "y \in f \ A j"
          using ⟨y ∈ (∏i∈I. f ` A i)⟩ ⟨j ∈ I⟩ by (rule INT_D)
        then show "x ∈ A j"
        proof (rule imageE)
          fix z
          assume "y = f z"
          assume "z ∈ A j"
```

```
have "f z = f x"
              using \langle y = f z \rangle \langle y = f x \rangle by (rule subst)
            with  with  inj f> have "z = x"
              by (rule injD)
            then show "x ∈ A j"
              using ⟨z ∈ A j⟩ by (rule subst)
         qed
       qed
    qed
    then have "f x \in f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       by (rule imageI)
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
       by (rule ssubst)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "i ∈ I"
          "inj f"
  shows "(\bigcap i \in I. f ` A i) \subseteq f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
proof
  fix y
  then have "y ∈ f ` A i" using ⟨i ∈ I⟩ by simp
  then show "y \in f \ ( \cap i \in I. A i)"
  proof
    fix x
    assume "y = f x"
    assume "x \in A i"
    have "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
    proof
       fix j
       assume "j \in I"
       show "x ∈ A j"
       proof -
         have "y ∈ f ` A j"
            using \langle y \in (\bigcap i \in I. f \land A i) \rangle \langle j \in I \rangle by simp
         then show "x ∈ A j"
         proof
            fix z
            assume "y = f z"
            assume "z ∈ A j"
            have "f z = f x" using \langle y = f z \rangle \langle y = f x \rangle by simp
```

```
with \langle inj f \rangle have "z = x" by (rule injD)
            then show "x ∈ A j" using ⟨z ∈ A j⟩ by simp
          qed
       qed
     qed
     then have "f x \in f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i)" by simp
    with \langle y = f x \rangle show "y \in f ` (\bigcap i \in I. A i)" by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "i ∈ I"
     "inj f"
  shows "(\bigcap i \in I. f \setminus A i) \subseteq f \setminus (\bigcap i \in I. A i)"
  using assms
  by (simp add: image_INT)
end
```

3.25.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
: (Ŋ i, f '' A i) ⊆ f '' (Ŋ i, A i) :=
begin
 intros y hy,
  rw mem_Inter at hy,
 rcases hy i with (x, xAi, fxy),
 use x,
 split,
 { apply mem_Inter_of_mem,
   intro j,
   rcases hy j with (z, zAj, fzy),
   convert zAj,
   apply injf,
   rw fxy,
   rw ← fzy, },
 { exact fxy, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (i : I)
  (injf : injective f)
 begin
 intro y,
 simp,
 intro h,
 rcases h i with (x, xAi, fxy),
 use x,
 split,
 { intro j,
   rcases h j with (z, zAi, fzy),
   have : f x = f z, by rw [fxy, fzy],
   have : x = z, from injf this,
   rw this,
   exact zAi, },
  { exact fxy, },
end
```

3.26. Imagen inversa de la unión general

3.26.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que
f - (\bigcup i \in I. B i) = (\bigcup i \in I. f - B i)
theory Imagen inversa de la union general
imports Main
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "f -` (\bigcup i \in I. B i) = (\bigcup i \in I. f -` B i)"
proof (rule equalityI)
  show "f -\ (\bigcup i \in I. B i) \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. f - B i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    assume "x \in f - (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
    then have "f x \in (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
       by (rule vimageD)
    then show "x \in (|| i \in I. f - `B i)"
    proof (rule UN E)
      fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
      assume "f x \in B i"
      then have "x ∈ f -` B i"
         by (rule vimageI2)
      with | ⟨i | ∈ I | > | show "x ∈ (U i ∈ I. f - ` B i)"
         by (rule UN I)
    qed
  qed
  show "(\bigcup i \in I. f -` B i) \subseteq f -` (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. f - Bi)"
    then show "x \in f - (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
    proof (rule UN E)
      fix i
      assume "i ∈ I"
      assume "x ∈ f -` B i"
```

```
then have "f x \in B i"
         by (rule vimageD)
       with \langle i \in I \rangle have "f x \in (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
         by (rule UN I)
       then show "x \in f - (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
         by (rule vimageI2)
     qed
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f - `(|| i \in I. B i) = (|| i \in I. f - `B i)"
  show "f -\ (\bigcup i \in I. B i) \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. f - B i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume "x \in f - (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
     then have "f x \in (\bigcup i \in I. B i)" by simp
     then show "x \in (|| i \in I. f - `B i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       assume "f x \in B i"
       then have "x ∈ f -` B i" by simp
       with \langle i \in I \rangle show "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. f - B i)" by (rule UN_I)
     qed
  qed
next
  show "(|| i \in I. f - B i) \subseteq f - (|| i \in I. B i)"
  proof
     fix x
     assume "x \in (\bigcup i \in I. f - `B i)"
     then show "x \in f - (\bigcup i \in I. B i)"
     proof
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       assume "x ∈ f -` B i"
       then have "f x \in B i" by simp
       with \langle i \in I \rangle have "f x \in (\bigcup i \in I. B i)" by (rule UN_I)
       then show "x \in f - (\bigcup i \in I. B i)" by simp
     qed
  qed
qed
```

```
(* 3a demostración *)

lemma "f -` (U i ∈ I. B i) = (U i ∈ I. f -` B i)"
   by (simp only: vimage_UN)

(* 4a demostración *)

lemma "f -` (U i ∈ I. B i) = (U i ∈ I. f -` B i)"
   by auto
end
```

3.26.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que
-- f^{-1} (\bigcup i, B i) = \bigcup i, f^{-1} (B i)
import data.set.basic
import tactic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\} \{I : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables B : I → set β
-- 1º demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1}(Ui, Bi) = Ui, f^{-1}(Bi) :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intro hx,
    rw mem preimage at hx,
    rw mem_Union at hx,
    cases hx with i fxBi,
    rw mem_Union,
    use i,
    apply mem_preimage.mpr,
    exact fxBi, },
```

```
{ intro hx,
    rw mem_preimage,
   rw mem_Union,
   rw mem Union at hx,
   cases hx with i xBi,
   use i,
    rw mem preimage at xBi,
   exact xBi, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1}(Ui, Bi) = Ui, f^{-1}(Bi) :=
preimage_Union
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : f^{-1}(Ui, Bi) = Ui, f^{-1}(Bi) :=
by simp
```

3.27. Imagen inversa de la intersección general

3.27.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Demostrar que
-- f -` (\(\beta\) i ∈ I. B i) = (\(\beta\) i ∈ I. f -` B i)
-- *)

theory Imagen_inversa_de_la_interseccion_general
imports Main
begin

(* 1a demostración *)

lemma "f -` (\(\beta\) i ∈ I. B i) = (\(\beta\) i ∈ I. f -` B i)"
proof (rule equalityI)
```

```
show "f -\ (\bigcap i \in I. B i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. f -\ B i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in f - (f : I : B : )"
    show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. f - Bi)"
    proof (rule INT I)
       fix i
       assume "i ∈ I"
       have "f x \in (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
         using \langle x \in f - \rangle (\bigcap i \in I. B i) by (rule vimageD)
       then have "\overline{f} \times \in B \overline{i}"
         using ⟨i ∈ I⟩ by (rule INT_D)
       then show "x ∈ f - ` B i"
         by (rule vimageI2)
    qed
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. f - `Bi) \subseteq f - `(\bigcap i \in I. Bi)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x
    assume "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. f - Bi)"
    have "f x \in (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
    proof (rule INT_I)
       fix i
       assume "i \in I"
       with \langle x \in (\bigcap i \in I. f - Bi) \rangle have "x \in f - Bi"
         by (rule INT D)
       then show "f x \in B i"
         by (rule vimageD)
    qed
     then show "x \in f - (f \in I. Bi)"
       by (rule vimageI2)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "f -\ (\bigcap i \in I. B i) = (\bigcap i \in I. f - B i)"
  show "f -\ (\bigcap i \in I. B i) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. f -\ B i)"
  proof (rule subsetI)
     show "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. f - Bi)"
     proof
```

```
fix i
      \text{assume "i} \in I"
      have "f x \in (\bigcap i \in I. B i)" using hx by simp
      then have "f x \in B i" using \langle i \in I \rangle by simp
      then show "x ∈ f -` B i" by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  show "(\bigcap i \in I. f - Bi) \subseteq f - (\bigcap i \in I. Bi)"
  proof
    assume "x \in (\bigcap i \in I. f - `B i)"
    have "f x \in (\bigcap i \in I. B i)"
    proof
      fix i
      assume "i ∈ I"
      then show "f x \in B i" by simp
    then show "x \in f - (   i \in I. B i)" by simp
  qed
qed
(* 3 demostración *)
lemma "f -` (\bigcap i \in I. B i) = (\bigcap i \in I. f -` B i)"
  by (simp only: vimage_INT)
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "f -\` (\bigcap i \in I. B i) = (\bigcap i \in I. f - B i)"
 by auto
end
```

3.27.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que

-- f^{-1}' (\bigcap i, B i) = \bigcap i, f^{-1}' (B i)

import data.set.basic
```

```
import tactic
open set
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} \{\beta : Type^*\} \{I : Type^*\}
variable f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta
variables B : I → set β
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1}(n) ((n) i, (n) i) = (n) i, (n) i :=
begin
  ext x,
  split,
  { intro hx,
    apply mem_Inter_of_mem,
    intro i,
    rw mem_preimage,
    rw mem preimage at hx,
    rw mem_Inter at hx,
    exact hx i, },
  { intro hx,
    rw mem preimage,
    rw mem Inter,
    intro i,
    rw ← mem_preimage,
    rw mem Inter at hx,
    exact hx i, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : f^{-1}(\bigcap i, B i) = \bigcap i, f^{-1}(B i) :=
begin
  ext x,
  calc (x \in f^{-1} \cap (i : I), B i)
  ... \leftrightarrow x \in \cap (i : I), f ^{-1} B i : mem_Inter.symm,
end
```

3.28. Teorema de Cantor

3.28.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* ______
-- Demostrar el teorema de Cantor:
-- \forall f : \alpha \rightarrow set \alpha, \neg surjective f
theory Teorema_de_Cantor
imports Main
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
theorem
  fixes f :: "'\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ set"}
  shows "¬ surj f"
proof (rule notI)
  assume "surj f"
  let ?S = "{i. i ∉ f i}"
  have "\exists j. ?S = f j"
   using < surj f> by (simp only: surjD)
  then obtain j where "?S = f j"
    by (rule exE)
```

```
show False
  proof (cases "j ∈ ?S")
    assume "j ∈ ?S"
    then have "j ∉ f j"
      by (rule CollectD)
    moreover
    have "j ∈ f j"
      using \langle ? S = f j \rangle \langle j \in ? S \rangle by (rule subst)
    ultimately show False
      by (rule notE)
  next
    assume "j ∉ ?S"
    with <?S = f j > have "j ∉ f j"
      by (rule subst)
    then have "j ∈ ?S"
      by (rule CollectI)
    with <j ∉ ?S> show False
      by (rule notE)
  qed
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
theorem
  fixes f :: "'\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha set"
  shows "¬ surj f"
proof (rule notI)
  assume "surj f"
  let ?S = "{i. i ∉ f i}"
  have "\exists j. ?S = f j"
    using <surj f> by (simp only: surjD)
  then obtain j where "?S = f j"
    by (rule exE)
  have "j ∉ ?S"
  proof (rule notI)
    assume "j ∈ ?S"
    then have "j ∉ f j"
      by (rule CollectD)
    with <?S = f j > have "j ∉ ?S"
      by (rule ssubst)
    then show False
      using ⟨j ∈ ?S⟩ by (rule notE)
  qed
  moreover
  have "j ∈ ?S"
```

```
proof (rule CollectI)
    show "j ∉ f j"
    proof (rule notI)
      assume "j ∈ f j"
      with \langle ?S = f j \rangle have "j \in ?S"
        by (rule ssubst)
      then have "j ∉ f j"
        by (rule CollectD)
      then show False
        using ⟨j ∈ f j⟩ by (rule notE)
    qed
  qed
  ultimately show False
    by (rule notE)
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
theorem
  fixes f :: "'\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ set"}
  shows "¬ surj f"
proof
  assume "surj f"
  let |?|S = "{i. i ∉ f i}"
  have "\exists j. ?S = f j" using surj f by (simp only: surjD)
  then obtain j where "?S = f j" by (rule exE)
  have "j ∉ ?S"
  proof
    assume "j ∈ ?S"
    then have "j ∉ f j" by simp
    with \langle ?|S = f j \rangle have "j \notin ?S" by simp
    then show False using ⟨j ∈ ?S⟩ by simp
  qed
  moreover
  have "j ∈ ?S"
  proof
    show "j ∉ f j"
    proof
      assume "j \in f j"
      with \langle ? | S = f j \rangle have "j \in ? S" by simp
      then have "j ∉ f j" by simp
      then show False using ⟨j ∈ f j⟩ by simp
    qed
  qed
```

```
ultimately show False by simp
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
theorem
  fixes f :: "'\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ set"}
  shows "¬ surj f"
proof (rule notI)
  assume "surj f"
  let |?|S = "{i. i ∉ f i}"
  have "\exists j. ?S = f j"
    using <surj f> by (simp only: surjD)
  then obtain j where "?S = f j"
    by (rule exE)
  have "j \in ?S = (j \notin f j)"
    by (rule mem Collect eq)
  also have "... = (j ∉ ?S)"
     by (simp only: \langle ?S = f j \rangle)
  finally show False
    by (simp only: simp thms(10))
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
theorem
  fixes f :: "'\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ set"}
  shows "¬ surj f"
proof
  assume "surj f"
  let ?S = "\{i. i \notin f i\}"
  have "∃ j. ?S = f j" using surj f by (simp only: surjD)
  then obtain j where "?S = f j" by (rule exE)
  have "j \in ?S = (j \notin f j)" by simp
  also have "... = (j ∉ ?S)" using ⟨?S = f j⟩ by simp
  finally show False by simp
qed
(* 6<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
theorem
  fixes f :: "'\alpha \Rightarrow '\alpha \text{ set"}
  shows "¬ surj f"
  unfolding surj_def
  by best
```

end

3.28.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar el teorema de Cantor:
-- \forall f: \alpha \rightarrow set \alpha, \neg surjective f
import data.set.basic
open function
variables \{\alpha : Type\}
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example : \forall f : \alpha \rightarrow set \alpha, \neg surjective f :=
begin
  intros f surjf,
  let S := {i | i ∉ f i},
  unfold surjective at surjf,
  cases surjf S with j fjS,
  by_cases j ∈ S,
  { apply absurd _ h,
    rw fjS,
    exact h, },
  { apply h,
    rw ← fjS at h,
    exact h, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : \forall f : \alpha \rightarrow set \alpha, \neg surjective f :=
begin
  intros f surjf,
  let S := {i | i ∉ f i},
  cases surjf S with j fjS,
  by_cases j ∈ S,
  { apply absurd _ h,
    rwa fjS, },
```

```
{ apply h,
    rwa ← fjS at h, },
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : \forall f : \alpha \rightarrow set \alpha, \neg surjective f :=
begin
 intros f surjf,
 let S := {i | i ∉ f i},
  cases surjf S with j fjS,
  have h : (j ∈ S) = (j ∉ S), from
    calc (j ∈ S)
        = (j ∉ f j) : set.mem_set_of_eq
    ... = (j ∉ S) : congr arg not (congr arg (has mem.mem j) fjS),
  exact false of a eq not a h,
end
-- 4ª demostración
- - ===========
example: \forall f: \alpha \rightarrow set \alpha, \neg surjective f:=
cantor_surjective
```

3.29. En los monoides, los inversos a la izquierda y a la derecha son iguales

3.29.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- neutro.
-- En Lean, está definida la clase de los monoides (como `monoid`) y sus
-- propiedades características son
    assoc : (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)
     left neutral : 1 * a = a
    right_neutral : a * 1 = a
-- Demostrar que si M es un monide, a ∈ M, b es un inverso de a por la
-- izquierda y c es un inverso de a por la derecha, entonce b = c.
theory En_los_monoides_los_inversos_a_la_izquierda_y_a_la_derecha_son_iguales
imports Main
begin
context monoid
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "b |* a = |1"
          "a |* c = |1"
         "b = c"
  shows
proof -
          "b = b |* |1"
  have
                                 by (simp only: right_neutral)
  also have "... = b |* (a |* c)" by (simp only: \langle a | | * c = | 1 \rangle)
  also have "... = (b | * a \rangle | * c" by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = |1| |* c" by (simp only: |1| |* a = |1|1>)
  also have "... = c"
                             by (simp only: left_neutral)
 finally show "b = c"
                               by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "b |* a = |1"
          "a |* c = |1"
        "b = c"
  shows
proof -
  have
         "b = b |* |1"
                                 by simp
  also have "... = b |* (a |* c)" using \langle a | | * c = | 1 \rangle by simp
  also have "... = (b |* a) |* c" by (simp add: assoc)
                            using \langle b | I \rangle^* = \langle I | 1 \rangle by simp
  also have "... = |1 |* c"
```

3.29.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En los monoides los inversos a la izquierda y a la derecha son iguales.lean
-- En los monoides, los inversos a la izquierda y a la derecha son iguales.
-- José A. Alonso Jiménez
-- Sevilla, 29 de junio de 2021
-- Un [monoide](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monoid) es un conjunto
-- junto con una operación binaria que es asociativa y tiene elemento
-- neutro.
-- En Lean, está definida la clase de los monoides (como `monoid`) y sus
-- propiedades características son
-- mul\ assoc: (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)
   one mul: 1 * a = a
     mul one : a * 1 = a
-- Demostrar que si M es un monide, a ∈ M, b es un inverso de a por la
-- izquierda y c es un inverso de a por la derecha, entonce b = c.
import algebra.group.defs
variables {M : Type} [monoid M]
variables {a b c : M}
```

```
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (hba : b * a = 1)
 (hac : a * c = 1)
 : b = c :=
begin
 rw ←one_mul c,
 rw ←hba,
 rw mul assoc,
 rw hac,
 rw mul_one b,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (hba : b * a = 1)
 (hac : a * c = 1)
 : b = c :=
by rw [←one_mul c, ←hba, mul_assoc, hac, mul_one b]
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (hba : b * a = 1)
  (hac : a * c = 1)
 : b = c :=
calc b = b * 1 : (mul_one b).symm
    \dots = b * (a * c) : congr_arg (\lambda x, b * x) hac.symm
     \dots = (b * a) * c : (mul_assoc b a c).symm
     \dots = 1 * c : congr_arg (\lambda x, x * c) hba \dots = c : one_mul c
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (hba : b * a = 1)
  (hac : a * c = 1)
 : b = c :=
calc b = b * 1 : by finish
```

3.30. Producto_de_potencias_de_la_misma_base_en_r

3.30.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
have "x ^ (0 + n) = x ^ n"
                                                 by (simp only: add 0)
  also have "... = 1 * x ^ n"
                                                by (simp only: mult_1_left)
  also have "... = x ^ 0 * x ^ n"
                                                by (simp only: power 0)
  finally show "x ^{\circ} (0 + n) = x ^{\circ} 0 * x ^{\circ} n"
    by this
next
  fix m
  assume HI : "x ^ (m + n) = x ^ m * x ^ n"
  have "x ^{\circ} (Suc m + n) = x ^{\circ} Suc (m + n)" by (simp only: add Suc)
  also have "... = x * x ^ (m + n)"
                                                 by (simp only: power_Suc)
  also have "... = x * (x ^ m * x ^ n)"
                                               by (simp only: HI)
  also have "... = (x * x ^ m) * x ^ m"
                                               by (simp only: mult assoc)
  also have "... = x ^ Suc m * x ^ n"
                                               by (simp only: power Suc)
  finally show "x ^{\circ} (Suc m + n) = x ^{\circ} Suc m * x ^{\circ} n"
    by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "x ^ (m + n) = x ^ m * x ^ n"
proof (induct m)
  have "x ^{(0 + n)} = x ^{n}"
                                                  by simp
  also have "... = 1 * x ^ n"
                                                 by simp
  also have "... = \times ^ 0 * \times ^ n"
                                                 by simp
  finally show "x ^{\circ} (0 + n) = x ^{\circ} 0 * x ^{\circ} n"
    by this
next
  fix m
  assume HI : "x ^ (m + n) = x ^ m * x ^ n"
  have "x ^ (Suc m + n) = x ^ Suc (m + n)"
                                                by simp
 also have "... = \times * \times ^ (m + n)"
                                                 by simp
  also have "... = x * (x ^ m * x ^ n)"
                                               using HI by simp
  also have "... = (x * x ^ m) * x ^ n"
                                               by (simp add: mult assoc)
  also have "... = x ^ Suc m * x ^ n"
                                                by simp
  finally show "x ^{\circ} (Suc m + n) = x ^{\circ} Suc m * x ^{\circ} n"
    by this
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "x ^ (m + n) = x ^ m * x ^ n"
proof (induct m)
  case 0
  then show ? case
    by simp
```

```
next
  case (Suc m)
  then show ?case
  by (simp add: algebra_simps)

qed

(* 4a demostración *)

lemma "x ^ (m + n) = x ^ m * x ^ n"
  by (induct m) (simp_all add: algebra_simps)

(* 5a demostración *)

lemma "x ^ (m + n) = x ^ m * x ^ n"
  by (simp only: power_add)

end

end
```

3.30.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En los [monoides](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monoid) se define la
-- potencia con exponentes naturales. En Lean la potencia x^n se
-- se caracteriza por los siguientes lemas:
-- pow_zero : x^0 = 1
-- pow_succ : x^(succ n) = x * x^n
--
-- Demostrar que
-- x^(m + n) = x^m * x^n

import algebra.group_power.basic
open monoid nat

variables {M : Type} [monoid M]
variable x : M
variables (m n : N)

-- Para que no use la notación con puntos
set_option pp.structure_projections false
```

```
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example:
  x^{n}(m + n) = x^{n} * x^{n} :=
  induction m with m HI,
  { calc x^{(0 + n)}
     { calc x^{\circ}(succ m + n)
         = x^succ (m + n) : congr_arg ((^s) x) (succ_add m n)
     \dots = x * x^{(m+n)} : pow_succ x (m+n)
     \dots = x * (x^m * x^n) : congr_arg ((*) x) HI
     \dots = (x * x^n) * x^n : (monoid.mul_assoc x (x^n) (x^n)).symm
     \dots = x^s \text{succ m} * x^n : \text{congr\_arg} (* x^n) (\text{pow\_succ x m}).\text{symm}, \},
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example :
  x \land (m + n) = x \land m * x \land n :=
begin
  induction m with m HI,
     = x\n : by simp only [nat.zero_add]
... = 1 * x\n : by simp only [monoid.one_mul]
... = x\n 0 * x\n : by simp [now zero]

alough
  { calc x^{(0 + n)}
  { \operatorname{calc} x^{\wedge}(\operatorname{succ} m + n)
          = x^s succ (m + n) : by simp only [succ_add]
     \dots = x * x^{(m+n)} : by simp only [pow_succ]
     \dots = x * (x^m * x^n) : by simp only [HI]
     \dots = (x * x^n) * x^n : (monoid.mul_assoc x (x^n) (x^n)).symm
      \dots = x^s = x^s = x^n : by simp only [pow_succ], },
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example:
```

```
x \land (m + n) = x \land m * x \land n :=
begin
  induction m with m HI,
  { calc x^{(0 + n)}
      = x\hat{n} : by simp [nat.zero_add]
... = 1 * x\hat{n} : by simp
... = x\hat{n} : by simp, },
  { \operatorname{calc} x^{\wedge}(\operatorname{succ} m + n)
           = x^succ (m + n) : by simp [succ_add]
      \dots = x * x^{(m+n)} : by simp [pow_succ]
      \dots = x * (x^m * x^n) : by simp [HI]
      \dots = (x * x^m) * x^n : (monoid.mul_assoc x (x^m) (x^n)).symm
      \dots = x^s \operatorname{succ} m * x^n : by \operatorname{simp} [pow_succ], \},
end
-- 4º demostración
-- ===========
example :
  x \cap (m + n) = x \cap m * x \cap n :=
begin
  induction m with m HI,
  { show x^{(0} + n) = x^{(0)} * x^{(n)},
       by simp [nat.zero_add] },
  { show x^{\land}(succ m + n) = x^{\land}succ m * x^{\land}n,
       by finish [succ add,
                      monoid.mul_assoc,
                      pow_succ], },
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example :
  x \land (m + n) = x \land m * x \land n :=
pow add x m n
```

Capítulo 4

Ejercicios de julio de 2021

4.1. Equivalencia de inversos iguales al neutro

4.1.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Sea M un monoide y a, b \in M tales que a * b = 1. Demostrar que a = 1
-- si y sólo si b = 1.
theory Equivalencia de inversos iguales al neutro
imports Main
begin
context monoid
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
 assumes "a |*b| = |1"
  shows "a = |1 \leftrightarrow b| = |1|"
proof (rule iffI)
 assume "a = |1"
 have "b = |1 |* b" by (simp only: left neutral)
  also have "... = a |*| b" by (simp only: \langle a = ||1|\rangle)
 also have "... = |1|" by (simp only: \langle a | | * b = |1| \rangle)
  finally show "b = |1" by this
next
  assume "b = |1"
 have "a = a |* |1" by (simp only: right_neutral)
```

```
also have "... = a | * b" by (simp only: \langle b = | 1 \rangle)
  also have "... = |1|" by (simp only: \langle a | | * b = |1| \rangle)
  finally show "a = |1" by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |*| b = |1|"
  shows "a = |1 \leftrightarrow b| = |1|"
proof
  assume "a = |1"
  have "b = |1 |* b" by simp
  also have "... = a | * b" using | \langle a = | | 1 \rangle | by simp
  also have "... = |1|" using \langle a | 1|* b = |1|$\forall by simp
  finally show "b = |1".
next
  assume "b = 11"
  have "a = a |* |1"
                              by simp
  also have "... = a | * b" using | < b = | 1 > b by simp
  also have "... = |1"
                             using \langle a | I^* b = | I 1 \rangle by simp
  finally show "a = |1"
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |*| b = |1|"
  shows "a = |1 \leftrightarrow b| = |1|"
  by (metis assms left neutral right neutral)
end
end
```

4.1.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sea M un monoide y a, b \in M tales que a * b = 1. Demostrar que a = 1 -- si y sólo si b = 1.
```

```
import algebra.group.basic
variables {M : Type} [monoid M]
variables {a b : M}
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
  : a = 1 \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
begin
  split,
  { intro a1,
   rw al at h,
    rw one_mul at h,
    exact h, },
  { intro b1,
    rw b1 at h,
    rw mul one at h,
    exact h, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : a * b = 1)
  : a = 1 \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
begin
  split,
  { intro al,
    calc b = 1 * b : (one_mul b).symm
       \dots = a * b : congr_arg (* b) al.symm
       \dots = 1 : h, \},
  { intro b1,
    calc a = a * 1 : (mul one a).symm
       \dots = a * b : congr_arg ((*) a) b1.symm
       \dots = 1 : h, \},
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
```

```
(h : a * b = 1)
  : a = 1 \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
begin
  split,
  { rintro rfl,
   simpa using h, },
  { rintro rfl,
    simpa using h, },
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
 : a = 1 \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
by split ; { rintro rfl, simpa using h }
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
 : a = 1 \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
by split; finish
-- 6ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (h : a * b = 1)
  : a = 1 \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
by finish [iff def]
-- 7ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
  : a = 1 \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
eq_one_iff_eq_one_of_mul_eq_one h
```

4.2. Unicidad de inversos en monoides

4.2.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Demostrar que en los monoides conmutativos, si un elemento tiene un
-- inverso por la derecha, dicho inverso es único.
theory Unicidad de inversos en monoides
imports Main
beain
context comm monoid
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "x |* y = |1"
    "x | * z = | 1"
  shows "y = z"
proof -
  have "y = |1| * y"
                                    by (simp only: left neutral)
  also have "... = (x \mid * z) \mid * y" by (simp only: \langle x \mid * z = | 1 \rangle)
  also have "... = (z | * x) | * y" by (simp only: commute)
  also have "... = z \mid * (x \mid * y)" by (simp only: assoc)
 also have "... = z \mid * \mid 1" by (simp only: x \mid * \mid * \mid 1) also have "... = z" by (simp only: right_neutral)
  finally show "y = z"
                                 by this
ged
(* 2º demostración *)
  assumes "x |* y = |1"
    "x | * z = |1"
  shows "y = z"
proof -
  have "y = |1| * y"
                                    by simp
  also have "... = (x \mid * z) \mid * y" using assms(2) by simp
  also have "... = (z | * x) | * y" by simp
  also have "... = z | * (x | * y)" by simp
  also have "... = z \mid * \mid 1" using assms(1) by simp
```

4.2.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que en los monoides conmutativos, si un elemento tiene un
-- inverso por la derecha, dicho inverso es único.
import algebra.group.basic
import tactic
variables {M : Type} [comm_monoid M]
variables {x y z : M}
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (hy : x * y = 1)
  (hz : x * z = 1)
  : y = z :=
calc y = 1 * y : (one_mul y).symm
   \dots = (x * z) * y : congr_arg (* y) hz.symm
   \dots = (z * x) * y : congr_arg (* y) (mul_comm x z)
   \dots = z * (x * y) : mul\_assoc z x y
   \dots = z * 1 : congr_arg ((*) z) hy
   \dots = z : mul_one z
```

```
-- 2ª demostración
- - ============
example
 (hy : x * y = 1)
 (hz : x * z = 1)
 : y = z :=
calc y = 1 * y : by simp only [one_mul]
   \dots = (x * z) * y : \mathbf{by} \text{ simp only } [hz]
   \dots = (z * x) * y : by simp only [mul_comm]
   \dots = z * (x * y) : by simp only [mul_assoc]
   \dots = z * 1 : by simp only [hy]
   ... = Z
                   : by simp only [mul_one]
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (hy : x * y = 1)
 (hz : x * z = 1)
 : y = z :=
calc y = 1 * y : by simp
   \dots = (x * z) * y : \mathbf{by} simp [hz]
   \dots = (z * x) * y : by simp [mul\_comm]
   \dots = z * (x * y) : by simp [mul_assoc]
   \dots = z * 1 : by simp [hy]
                   : by simp
   ... = Z
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (hy : x * y = 1)
 (hz : x * z = 1)
 : y = z :=
begin
 apply left_inv_eq_right_inv _ hz,
 rw mul_comm,
 exact hy,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
```

4.3. Caracterización de producto igual al primer factor

4.3.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
context cancel comm monoid add
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "a + b = a \leftrightarrow b = 0"
proof (rule iffI)
  assume "a + b = a"
  then have "a + b = a + 0"
then show "b = 0"
by (simp only: add_0_right)
by (simp only: add left can
  then show "b = 0"
                                        by (simp only: add_left_cancel)
  assume "b = 0"
  have "a + 0 = a"
                                      by (simp only: add 0 right)
 with \langle b = 0 \rangle show "a + b = a" by (rule ssubst)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "a + b = a \leftrightarrow b = 0"
proof
  assume "a + b = a"
  then have "a + b = a + \theta" by simp
  then show "b = 0" by simp
next
  assume "b = 0"
 have "a + \theta = a"
  have "a + 0 = a" by simp
then show "a + b = a" using \langle b = 0 \rangle by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "a + b = a \leftrightarrow b = 0"
proof -
 have "(a + b = a) \leftrightarrow (a + b = a + 0)" by (simp only: add_0_right)
 also have "... \leftrightarrow (b = 0)" by (simp only: add_left_cancel) finally show "a + b = a \leftrightarrow b = 0" by this
ged
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "a + b = a \leftrightarrow b = 0"
proof -
  have "(a + b = a) \leftrightarrow (a + b = a + 0)" by simp
  also have "... \leftrightarrow (b = 0)"
                                              by simp
  finally show "a + b = a \leftrightarrow b = 0"
```

```
qed

(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostracion *)

lemma "a + b = a ↔ b = 0"
    by (simp only: add_cancel_left_right)

(* 6<sup>a</sup> demostracion *)

lemma "a + b = a ↔ b = 0"
    by auto

end
end
```

4.3.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Un monoide cancelativo por la izquierda es un monoide
-- https://bit.ly/3h4notA M que cumple la propiedad cancelativa por la
-- izquierda; es decir, para todo a, b ∈ M
-- a * b = a * c \leftrightarrow b = c.
-- En Lean la clase de los monoides cancelativos por la izquierda es
-- left cancel monoid y la propiedad cancelativa por la izquierda es
    mul left cancel iff : a * b = a * c \leftrightarrow b = c
-- Demostrar que si M es un monoide cancelativo por la izquierda y
-- a, b \in M, entonces
-- a * b = a \leftrightarrow b = 1
import algebra.group.basic
universe u
variables {M : Type u} [left_cancel_monoid M]
variables {a b : M}
-- ?ª demostración
-- ===========
example : a * b = a \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
```

```
begin
  split,
  { intro h,
     rw ← @mul_left_cancel_iff _ _ a b 1,
    rw mul_one,
    exact h, },
  { intro h,
    rw h,
     exact mul one a, },
end
-- ?ª demostración
-- ===========
example : a * b = a \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
calc a * b = a \leftrightarrow a * b = a * 1 : by rw mul_one ... \leftrightarrow b = 1 : mul_left_cancel_iff
-- ?ª demostración
-- ==========
example : a * b = a \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
mul_right_eq_self
-- ?ª demostración
-- ===========
example : a * b = a \leftrightarrow b = 1 :=
by finish
```

4.4. Unicidad del elemento neutro en los grupos

4.4.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
theory Unicidad de inversos en monoides
imports Main
begin
context comm monoid
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "x |*y = |1"
   "x \mid * z = |1"
  shows "y = z"
proof -
  have "y = |1 |* y"
                                      by (simp only: left_neutral)
  also have "... = (x \mid * z) \mid * y" by (simp only: \langle x \mid * z = | 1 | 1 \rangle)
  also have "... = (z | * x) | * y" by (simp only: commute)
  also have "... = z \mid * (x \mid * y)" by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = z \mid * \mid 1" by (simp only: (x \mid 1)* y = |11>)) also have "... = z" by (simp only: right_neutral)
                                 by this
  finally show "y = z"
ged
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "x | * y = | 1"
   "x \mid * z = |1"
  shows "y = z"
proof -
  have "y = |1| |* y"
                                      by simp
  also have "... = (x \mid * z) \mid * y" using assms(2) by simp
  also have "... = (z \mid * x) \mid * y" by simp
  also have "... = z \mid * (x \mid * y)" by simp
 also have "... = z \mid * \mid 1" using assms(1) by simp also have "... = z" by simp finally show "y = z" by this
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "x | * y = | 1"
    "x |* z = |1"
  shows "y = z"
```

```
using assms
by auto
end
end
```

4.4.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que un grupo sólo posee un elemento neutro.
import algebra.group.basic
universe u
variables {G : Type u} [group G]
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (e : G)
 (h : \forall x, x * e = x)
 : e = 1 :=
calc e = 1 * e : (one_mul e).symm
   \dots = 1 : h 1
-- 2ª demostración
example
 (e : G)
 (h : \forall x, x * e = x)
  : e = 1 :=
self_eq_mul_left.mp (congr_arg _ (congr_arg _ (eq.symm (h e))))
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (e : G)
 (h : \forall x, x * e = x)
```

```
: e = 1 :=
by finish

-- Referencia
-- =========

-- Propiedad 3.17 del libro "Abstract algebra: Theory and applications"
-- de Thomas W. Judson.
-- http://abstract.ups.edu/download/aata-20200730.pdf#page=49
```

4.5. Unicidad de los inversos en los grupos

4.5.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Demostrar que si a es un elemento de un grupo G, entonces a tiene un
-- único inverso; es decir, si b es un elemento de G tal que a * b = 1,
-- entonces b es inverso de a.
theory Unicidad de los inversos en los grupos
imports Main
begin
context group
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
 assumes "a |*| b = |1"
 shows "inverse a = b"
 have "inverse a = inverse a |* |1" by (simp only: right neutral)
 also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* b)" by (simp only: assms(1))
 also have "... = (inverse a |* a) |* b" by (simp only: assoc [symmetric])
 also have "... = |1 |* b"
                                   by (simp only: left inverse)
 also have "... = b"
                                   by (simp only: left neutral)
 finally show "inverse a = b"
                                  by this
ged
```

```
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
 assumes "a |*| b = |1"
 shows "inverse a = b"
proof -
 have "inverse a = inverse a |* |1"
                                         by simp
  also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* b)" using assms by simp
  also have "... = (inverse a |* a) |* b" by (simp add: assoc [symmetric])
 also have "... = |1 |* b"
                                        by simp
 also have "... = b"
                                       by simp
 finally show "inverse a = b"
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |*| b = |1"
  shows "inverse a = b"
proof -
  from assms have "inverse a |* (a |* b) = inverse a"
  then show "inverse a = b"
    by (simp add: assoc [symmetric])
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |*| b = |1"
 shows "inverse a = b"
 using assms
 by (simp only: inverse_unique)
end
end
-- Referencia
-- ========
-- Propiedad 3.18 del libro "Abstract algebra: Theory and applications"
-- de Thomas W. Judson.
```

```
-- http://abstract.ups.edu/download/aata-20200730.pdf#page=49
*)
```

4.5.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que si a es un elemento de un grupo G, entonces a tiene un
-- único inverso; es decir, si b es un elemento de G tal que a * b = 1,
-- entonces a^{-1} = b.
import algebra.group.basic
universe u
variables {G : Type u} [group G]
variables {a b : G}
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
 : a^{-1} = b :=
calc a^{-1} = a^{-1} * 1 : (mul_one a^{-1}).symm
    ... = a^{-1} * (a * b) : congr_arg ((*) a^{-1}) h.symm
     ... = (a^{-1} * a) * b : (mul_assoc a^{-1} a b).symm
    \dots = 1 * b : congr_arg (* b) (inv_mul_self a)
    ... = b
                       : one mul b
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
 : a^{-1} = b :=
calc a^{-1} = a^{-1} * 1 : by simp only [mul_one]
     ... = a^{-1} * (a * b) : by simp only [h]
     \dots = (a^{-1} * a) * b : by simp only [mul_assoc]
    ... = 1 * b : by simp only [inv_mul_self]
                       : by simp only [one mul]
     \dots = b
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
```

```
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
 : a^{-1} = b :=
calc a^{-1} = a^{-1} * 1 : by simp
    ... = a^{-1} * (a * b) : by simp [h]
    ... = (a^{-1} * a) * b : by simp
    \dots = 1 * b : by simp
    \dots = b
                       : by simp
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : a * b = 1)
 : a^{-1} = b :=
calc a^{-1} = a^{-1} * (a * b) : by simp [h]
               : by simp
    \dots = b
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h : b * a = 1)
  : b = a^{-1} :=
eq_inv_of_mul_eq_one h
-- Referencia
-- ========
-- Propiedad 3.18 del libro "Abstract algebra: Theory and applications"
-- de Thomas W. Judson.
-- http://abstract.ups.edu/download/aata-20200730.pdf#page=49
```

4.6. Inverso del producto

4.6.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
theory Inverso_del_producto
imports Main
begin
context group
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma "inverse (a |* b) = inverse b |* inverse a"
proof (rule inverse unique)
 have "(a |* b) |* (inverse b |* inverse a) =
        ((a | * b) | * inverse b) | * inverse a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
 also have "... = (a |* (b |* inverse b)) |* inverse a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
 also have "... = (a |* |1) |* inverse a"
    by (simp only: right inverse)
 also have "... = a |* inverse a"
    by (simp only: right neutral)
 also have "... = |1"
    by (simp only: right_inverse)
 finally show "a |* b |* (inverse b |* inverse a) = |1"
    by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "inverse (a |* b) = inverse b |* inverse a"
proof (rule inverse_unique)
 have "(a |* b) |* (inverse b |* inverse a) =
        ((a |* b) |* inverse b) |* inverse a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
 also have "... = (a |* (b |* inverse b)) |* inverse a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
 also have "... = (a |* |1) |* inverse a"
    by simp
 also have "... = a |* inverse a"
    by simp
 also have "... = |1"
    by simp
 finally show "a |* b |* (inverse b |* inverse a) = |1"
```

```
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "inverse (a |* b) = inverse b |* inverse a"
proof (rule inverse unique)
  have "a |* b |* (inverse b |* inverse a) =
        a |* (b |* inverse b) |* inverse a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = |1"
    by simp
  finally show "a |* b |* (inverse b |* inverse a) = |1" .
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "inverse (a |* b) = inverse b |* inverse a"
 by (simp only: inverse distrib swap)
end
end
(*
-- Referencia
-- ========
-- Propiedad 3.19 del libro "Abstract algebra: Theory and applications"
-- de Thomas W. Judson.
-- http://abstract.ups.edu/download/aata-20200730.pdf#page=49
```

4.6.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sea G un grupo y a, b ∈ G. Entonces,

-- (a * b)<sup>-1</sup> = b<sup>-1</sup> * a<sup>-1</sup>

import algebra.group.basic

universe u
```

```
variables {G : Type u} [group G]
variables {a b : G}
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (a * b)^{-1} = b^{-1} * a^{-1} :=
begin
  apply inv eq of mul eq one,
  calc a * b * (b^{-1} * a^{-1})
      = ((a * b) * b^{-1}) * a^{-1} : (mul_assoc _ _ _ _).symm
   \dots = (a * (b * b^{-1})) * a^{-1} : congr_arg (* a^{-1}) (mul_assoc a _ _)
   \ldots = (\texttt{a} * \texttt{1}) * \texttt{a}^{-1} \qquad \qquad : \texttt{congr\_arg2} \ \_ \ (\texttt{congr\_arg} \ \_ \ (\texttt{mul\_inv\_self} \ \texttt{b})) \ \texttt{rfl}
   ... = a * a^{-1}
                                    : congr arg (* a^{-1}) (mul one a)
                                   : mul_inv_self a
   \dots = 1
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example : (a * b)^{-1} = b^{-1} * a^{-1} :=
begin
  apply inv_eq_of_mul_eq_one,
  calc a * b * (b^{-1} * a^{-1})
       = ((a * b) * b^{-1}) * a^{-1} : by simp only [mul assoc]
   ... = (a * (b * b^{-1})) * a^{-1} : by simp only [mul_assoc]
   \dots = (a * 1) * a^{-1} : by simp only [mul_inv_self]
   ... = a * a^{-1}
                                  : by simp only [mul one]
   \dots = 1
                                    : by simp only [mul_inv_self]
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (a * b)^{-1} = b^{-1} * a^{-1} :=
begin
  apply inv eq of mul eq one,
  calc a * b * (b^{-1} * a^{-1})
        = ((a * b) * b^{-1}) * a^{-1} : by simp [mul_assoc]
   \dots = (a * (b * b^{-1})) * a^{-1} : by simp
   \dots = (a * 1) * a^{-1}
                              : by simp
   ... = a * a^{-1}
                                   : by simp
   \dots = 1
                                    : by simp,
end
```

4.7. Inverso del inverso en grupos

4.7.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(inverse (inverse a)) |* |1"
    by (simp only: right_neutral)
  also have "... = inverse (inverse a) |* (inverse a |* a)"
    by (simp only: left inverse)
  also have "... = (inverse (inverse a) |* inverse a) |* a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = |1 |* a"
    by (simp only: left inverse)
  also have "... = a"
    by (simp only: left_neutral)
  finally show "inverse (inverse a) = a"
    by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "inverse (inverse a) = a"
proof -
  have "inverse (inverse a) =
        (inverse (inverse a)) |* |1"
                                                              by simp
  also have "... = inverse (inverse a) |* (inverse a |* a)" by simp
  also have "... = (inverse (inverse a) |* inverse a) |* a" by simp
  also have "... = |1 |* a"
                                                             by simp
  finally show "inverse (inverse a) = a"
                                                            by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostraci<mark>ó</mark>n *)
lemma "inverse (inverse a) = a"
proof (rule inverse unique)
  show "inverse a |* a = |1"
    by (simp only: left inverse)
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma "inverse (inverse a) = a"
proof (rule inverse unique)
  show "inverse a |* a = |1" by simp
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "inverse (inverse a) = a"
  by (rule inverse unique) simp
```

4.7.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
\dots = (a^{-1})^{-1} * (a^{-1} * a) : congrarg ((*) (a^{-1})^{-1}) (inv mul self a).symm
 \dots = ((a^{-1})^{-1} * a^{-1}) * a : (mul_assoc _ _ _ _).symm
 \dots = 1 * a
                             : congr arg (* a) (inv mul self a<sup>-1</sup>)
 \dots = a
                              : one mul a
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (a^{-1})^{-1} = a :=
calc (a<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>
   = (a^{-1})^{-1} * 1 : by simp only [mul_one]
 ... = (a^{-1})^{-1} * (a^{-1} * a) : by simp only [inv mul self]
 ... = ((a^{-1})^{-1} * a^{-1}) * a : by simp only [mul assoc]
 ... = 1 * a
                              : by simp only [inv_mul_self]
 ... = a
                             : by simp only [one_mul]
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (a^{-1})^{-1} = a :=
calc (a<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>-1</sup>
   = (a^{-1})^{-1} * 1 : by simp
 \dots = (a^{-1})^{-1} * (a^{-1} * a) : by simp
 \dots = ((a^{-1})^{-1} * a^{-1}) * a : by simp
 ... = 1 * a
                             : by simp
 \dots = a
                              : by simp
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example : (a^{-1})^{-1} = a :=
begin
  apply inv eq of mul eq one,
 exact mul left inv a,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- -----
example : (a^{-1})^{-1} = a :=
inv eq_of_mul_eq_one (mul_left_inv a)
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
```

4.8. Propiedad cancelativa en grupos

4.8.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Sea G un grupo y a,b,c ∈ G. Demostrar que si a * b = a* c, entonces
-- b = c.
-- *)

theory Propiedad_cancelativa_en_grupos
imports Main
begin

context group
begin

(* 1a demostración *)

lemma
    assumes "a |* b = a |* c"
    shows "b = c"

proof -
    have "b = |1 |* b"
    by (simp only: left_neutral)
```

```
also have "... = (inverse a |* a) |* b" by (simp only: left inverse)
  also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* b)" by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* c)" by (simp only: \langle a | |* b = a | |* c\rangle)
  also have "... = (inverse a |* a) |* c" by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = |1 |* c"
                                           by (simp only: left inverse)
  also have "... = c"
                                         by (simp only: left neutral)
 finally show "b = c"
                                          by this
ged
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |* b = a |* c"
         "b = c"
  shows
proof -
  have "b = |1| * b"
                                            by simp
  also have "... = (inverse a |* a) |* b" by simp
  also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* b)" by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* c)" using \langle a | |* b = a | |* c\rangle by simp
  also have "... = (inverse a |* a) |* c" by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = |1 |* c"
                                          by simp
 finally show "b = c"
                                          by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |* b = a |* c"
         "b = c"
  shows
proof -
  have "b = (inverse a |* a) |* b"
                                           by simp
  also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* b)" by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = inverse a |* (a |* c)" using \langle a | |* b = a | |* c\rangle by simp
  also have "... = (inverse a |* a) |* c" by (simp only: assoc)
 finally show "b = c"
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |*b = a |*c"
         "b = c"
  shows
proof -
  have "inverse a |* (a |* b) = inverse a |* (a |* c)"
   by (simp only: \langle a \mid | * b = a \mid | * c \rangle)
```

```
then have "(inverse a |* a) |* b = (inverse a |* a) |* c"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  then have "|1| * b = |1| * c"
    by (simp only: left inverse)
  then show "b = c"
    by (simp only: left neutral)
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
  assumes "a |*b = a |*c"
         "b = c"
  shows
proof -
  have "inverse a |* (a |* b) = inverse a |* (a |* c)"
    by (simp only: \langle a | I^* b = a | I^* c \rangle)
  then have "(inverse \overline{a} |* a) |* b = (inverse a |* a) |* c"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  then have "|1| * b = |1| * c"
    by (simp only: left inverse)
  then show "b = c"
    by (simp only: left neutral)
qed
(* 6<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |* b = a |* c"
          "b = c"
  shows
proof -
  have "inverse a |* (a |* b) = inverse a |* (a |* c)"
    using <a | | * b = a | | * c > by simp
  then have "(inverse \overline{a} |* \overline{a}) |* \overline{b} = (inverse \overline{a} |* \overline{a}) |* \overline{c}"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  then have "|1| * b = |1| * c"
    by simp
  then show "b = c"
    by simp
qed
(* 7<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "a |* b = a |* c"
  shows "b = c"
```

4.8.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sea G un grupo y a,b,c ∈ G. Demostrar que si a * b = a* c, entonces
-- b = c.
import algebra.group.basic
universe u
variables {G : Type u} [group G]
variables {a b c : G}
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h: a * b = a * c)
  : b = c :=
calc b = 1 * b : (one mul b).symm
   \dots = (a^{-1} * a) * b : congr_arg (* b) (inv_mul_self a).symm
   ... = a^{-1} * (a * b) : mul_assoc a^{-1} a b
   \dots = a^{-1} * (a * c) : congr_arg ((*) a^{-1}) h
   \dots = (a^{-1} * a) * c : (mul_assoc a^{-1} a c).symm
   \dots = 1 * c : congr_arg (* c) (inv_mul_self a)
                      : one_mul c
   ... = C
```

```
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
 : b = c :=
\dots = (a^{-1} * a) * b : by rw inv mul self
   \dots = a^{-1} * (a * b) : by rw mul_assoc
   ... = a^{-1} * (a * c) : by rw h
   \dots = (a^{-1} * a) * c : by rw mul_assoc
   \dots = 1 * c : by rw inv_mul_self \dots = c : by rw one_mul
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
 : b = c :=
calc b = 1 * b : by simp
   ... = (a^{-1} * a) * b : by simp
   ... = a^{-1} * (a * b) : by simp
   ... = a^{-1} * (a * c) : by simp [h]
   ... = (a^{-1} * a) * c : by simp
   \dots = 1 * c : by simp \dots = c : by simp
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
 : b = c :=
calc b = a^{-1} * (a * b) : by simp
  ... = a^{-1} * (a * c) : by simp [h]
                : by simp
   ... = c
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
: b = c :=
begin
```

```
have h1 : a^{-1} * (a * b) = a^{-1} * (a * c),
    { by finish [h] },
 have h2 : (a^{-1} * a) * b = (a^{-1} * a) * c,
    { by finish },
 have h3 : 1 * b = 1 * c,
   { by finish },
 have h3 : b = c,
   { by finish },
 exact h3,
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
  : b = c :=
begin
 have: a^{-1} * (a * b) = a^{-1} * (a * c),
   { by finish [h] },
 have h2 : (a^{-1} * a) * b = (a^{-1} * a) * c,
   { by finish },
 have h3 : 1 * b = 1 * c,
    { by finish },
 have h3 : b = c,
   { by finish },
 exact h3,
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
 : b = c :=
begin
 have h1 : a^{-1} * (a * b) = a^{-1} * (a * c),
    { congr, exact h, },
 have h2 : (a^{-1} * a) * b = (a^{-1} * a) * c,
   { simp only [h1, mul_assoc], },
 have h3 : 1 * b = 1 * c,
   { simp only [h2, (inv mul self a).symm], },
  rw one_mul at h3,
  rw one_mul at h3,
 exact h3,
```

```
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
 : b = c :=
mul left cancel h
-- 6ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (h: a * b = a * c)
 : b = c :=
by finish
-- Referencias
-- =========
-- Propiedad 3.22 del libro "Abstract algebra: Theory and applications"
-- de Thomas W. Judson.
-- http://abstract.ups.edu/download/aata-20200730.pdf
```

4.9. Potencias de potencias en monoides

4.9.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
power add : a^{m} + n = a^{m} * a^{n}
theory Potencias de potencias en monoides
imports Main
begin
context monoid mult
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "a^(m * n) = (a^m)^n"
proof (induct n)
  have "a ^{(m * 0)} = a ^{0}"
    by (simp only: mult 0 right)
  also have "... = 1"
    by (simp only: power 0)
  also have "... = (a ^ m) ^ 0"
    by (simp only: power 0)
  finally show "a ^{(m * 0)} = (a ^m) ^0"
    by this
next
  fix n
  assume HI : "a ^{(m * n)} = (a ^ m) ^ n"
  have "a ^ (m * Suc n) = a ^ (m + m * n)"
    by (simp only: mult_Suc_right)
  also have "... = a ^ m * a ^ (m * n)"
    by (simp only: power add)
  also have "... = a ^ m * (a ^ m) ^ n"
    by (simp only: HI)
  also have "... = (a ^ m) ^ Suc n"
    by (simp only: power Suc)
  finally show "a ^  (m * Suc n) = (a ^  m) ^  Suc n"
    by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma "a^(m * n) = (a^m)^n"
proof (induct n)
 have "a ^{(m * 0)} = a ^{0}"
                                             by simp
  also have "... = 1"
                                            by simp
  also have "... = (a \land m) \land 0"
                                            by simp
  finally show "a ^{(m * 0)} = (a ^m) ^0".
```

```
next
  fix n
  assume HI : "a ^{(m * n)} = (a ^ m) ^ n"
  also have "... = a ^ m * a ^ (m * n)" by (simp add: power_add) also have "... = a ^ m * (a ^ m) ^ n" using HT by simp
  have "a ^ (m * Suc n) = a ^ (m + m * n)" by simp
  finally show "a ^ (m * Suc n) =
                 (a ^ m) ^ Suc n"
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "a^(m * n) = (a^m)^n"
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case by (simp add: power_add)
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma "a^(m * n) = (a^m)^n"
  by (induct n) (simp_all add: power_add)
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "a^(m * n) = (a^m)^n"
  by (simp only: power mult)
end
end
```

4.9.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En los [monoides](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monoid) se define la
-- potencia con exponentes naturales. En Lean la potencia x^n se
-- se caracteriza por los siguientes lemas:
-- pow_zero : x^0 = 1
```

```
-- pow succ' : x^{(succ n)} = x * x^n
-- Demostrar que si M, a \in M y m, n \in \mathbb{N}, entonces
-- a^{(m * n)} = (a^m)^n
-- Indicación: Se puede usar el lema
-- pow add : a^{m} + n = a^{m} * a^{n}
import algebra.group power.basic
open monoid nat
variables {M : Type} [monoid M]
variable a : M
variables (m n : ℕ)
-- Para que no use la notación con puntos
set_option pp.structure projections false
-- 1º demostración
-- ==========
example : a^{(m * n)} = (a^{(m)})^{n} :=
begin
  induction n with n HI,
  { \operatorname{calc} a^{(m * 0)}
     = a 0 : congr_arg (( ) a) (nat.mul_zero m)
... = 1 : pow_zero a
... = (a m) 0 : (pow_zero (a m)).symm },
  { calc a (m * succ n)
         = a (m * n + m) : congr_arg ((n) a) (nat.mul_succ m n)
     \dots = a (m * n) * a (m * n) m
     \dots = (a^m)^n * a^m : congrarg (* a^m) HI
      \dots = (a^{n})^{(succ n)} : (pow_succ' (a^{n}) n).symm \}, 
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example : a^{(m * n)} = (a^{(m)})^{(n)} :=
begin
  induction n with n HI,
  { calc a^{(m * 0)}
         = a^0
                           : by simp only [nat.mul_zero]
```

```
... = 1
                            : by simp only [pow_zero]
     \dots = (a^m)^0
                        : by simp only [pow_zero] },
  { calc a (m * succ n)
       = a^{n}(m * n + m) : by simp only [nat.mul_succ]
     \dots = a^{n}(m * n) * a^{n} : by simp only [pow_add]
     \dots = (a^m)^n * a^m : by simp only [HI]
     \dots = (a^m)^s succ n : by simp only [pow_succ'] },
end
-- 3ª demostración
  _____
example : a^{(m * n)} = (a^{(m)})^{(n)} :=
begin
  induction n with n HI,
  { calc a^{(m * 0)}
         = and : by simp [nat.mul_zero]
= 1 : by simp
     \dots = 1
     \dots = (a m)  : by simp \},
  { calc a (m * succ n)
       = a^{(m * n + m)} : by simp [nat.mul_succ]
     \dots = a^{n}(m * n) * a^{n} : by simp [pow_add]
     \dots = (a^m)^n * a^m : by simp [HI]
     \dots = (a^m)^s succ n : by simp [pow_succ'] },
end
-- 4º demostración
- - ==========
example : a^{(m * n)} = (a^{(m)})^{n} :=
begin
  induction n with n HI,
  { by simp [nat.mul_zero] },
  { by simp [nat.mul_succ,
             pow_add,
             ΗI,
             pow_succ'] },
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example : a^{(m * n)} = (a^{(m)})^{n} :=
```

```
induction n with n HI,
  { rw nat.mul zero,
   rw pow_zero,
    rw pow_zero, },
  { rw nat.mul_succ,
    rw pow_add,
    rw HI,
    rw pow succ', }
end
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example : a^{(m * n)} = (a^{(m)})^{n} :=
begin
 induction n with n HI,
  { rw [nat.mul_zero, pow_zero, pow_zero] },
  { rw [nat.mul_succ, pow_add, HI, pow_succ'] }
end
-- 7ª demostración
-- ==========
example : a^{(m * n)} = (a^{(m)})^{(n)} :=
pow mul a m n
```

4.10. Los monoides booleanos son conmutativos

4.10.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
theory Los monoides booleanos son conmutativos
imports Main
begin
context monoid
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "\forall x. x |* x = |1"
         "\forall x y. x | * y = y | * x"
proof (rule allI)+
  fix a b
  have "a |* b = (a |* b) |* |1"
    by (simp only: right neutral)
  also have "... = (a |* b) |* (a |* a)"
    by (simp only: assms)
  also have "... = ((a | * b) | * a) | * a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = (a |* (b |* a)) |* a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = (|1 |* (a |* (b |* a))) |* a"
    by (simp only: left_neutral)
  also have "... = ((b |* b) |* (a |* (b |* a))) |* a"
    by (simp only: assms)
  also have "... = (b |* (b |* (a |* (b |* a)))) |* a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = (b |* ((b |* a) |* (b |* a))) |* a"
    by (simp only: assoc)
  also have "... = (b |* |1) |* a"
    by (simp only: assms)
  also have "... = b |* a"
    by (simp only: right_neutral)
  finally show "a I*b=bI*a"
    by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "\forall x. x |* x = |1"
  shows "\forall x y. x | * y = y | * x"
proof (rule allI)+
```

```
fix a b
  have "a |* b = (a |* b) |* |1"
                                                       by simp
  also have "... = (a |* b) |* (a |* a)"
                                                      by (simp add: assms)
  also have "... = ((a | * b) | * a) | * a"
                                                      by (simp add: assoc)
  also have "... = (a |* (b |* a)) |* a"
                                                      by (simp add: assoc)
  also have "... = (|1 |* (a |* (b |* a))) |* a"
                                                        by simp
  also have "... = ((b | * b) | * (a | * (b | * a))) | * a" by (simp add: assms)
  also have "... = (b |* (b |* (a |* (b |* a)))) |* a" by (simp add: assoc)
  also have "... = (b |* ((b |* a) |* (b |* a))) |* a" by (simp add: assoc)
  also have "... = (b |* |1) |* a"
                                                      by (simp add: assms)
  also have "... = b |* a"
                                                    by simp
  finally show "a |*b = b|*a"
                                                      by this
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "\forall x. x |* x = |1"
        "\forall x y. x | * y = y | * x"
  shows
proof (rule allI)+
  fix a b
  have "a |* b = (a |* b) |* (a |* a)"
                                                       by (simp add: assms)
  also have "... = (a |* (b |* a)) |* a"
                                                    by (simp add: assoc)
  also have "... = ((b | * b) | * (a | * (b | * a))) | * a" by (simp add: assms)
  also have "... = (b |* ((b |* a) |* (b |* a))) |* a" by (simp add: assoc)
  also have "... = (b |* |1) |* a"
                                                      by (simp add: assms)
  finally show "a |*b = b| *a"
                                                      by simp
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "\forall x. x |* x = |1"
  shows "\forall x y. x | * y = y | * x"
  by (metis assms assoc right neutral)
end
end
```

4.10.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Un monoide es un conjunto junto con una operación binaria que es
-- asociativa y tiene elemento neutro.
-- Un monoide M es booleano si
-- \forall x \in M, x * x = 1
-- y es conmutativo si
-- \qquad \forall \ x \ y \in M, \ x \ * \ y = y \ * \ x
-- En Lean, está definida la clase de los monoides (como `monoid`) y sus
-- propiedades características son
     mul_assoc : (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)
     one\_mul: 1*a=a
    mul one : a * 1 = a
-- Demostrar que los monoides booleanos son conmutativos.
import algebra.group.basic
example
  {M : Type} [monoid M]
  (h : \forall x : M, x * x = 1)
  : \forall x y : M, x * y = y * x :=
begin
  intros a b,
  calc a * b
       = (a * b) * 1
        : (mul_one (a * b)).symm
   ... = (a * b) * (a * a)
         : congr arg ((*) (a*b)) (h a).symm
   \dots = ((a * b) * a) * a
         : (mul assoc (a*b) a a).symm
   \dots = (a * (b * a)) * a
         : congr arg (* a) (mul assoc a b a)
   \dots = (1 * (a * (b * a))) * a
         : congr_arg (* a) (one_mul (a*(b*a))).symm
   \dots = ((b * b) * (a * (b * a))) * a
         : congr arg (* a) (congr arg (* (a*(b*a))) (h b).symm)
   \dots = (b * (b * (a * (b * a)))) * a
         : congr arg (* a) (mul assoc b b (a*(b*a)))
   \dots = (b * ((b * a) * (b * a))) * a
         : congr_arg (* a) (congr_arg ((*) b) (mul_assoc b a (b*a)).symm)
```

4.11. Límite de sucesiones constantes

4.11.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* _____
-- En Isabelle/HOL, una sucesión u0, u1, u2, ... se puede representar
-- mediante una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es u_n.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
-- definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
        where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n \ - \ c| < \varepsilon|"
-- Demostrar que el límite de la sucesión constante c es c.
theory Limite_de_sucesiones_constantes
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
  where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n \ - \ c| < \epsilon)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "limite (λ n. c) c"
proof (unfold limite def)
  show "∀ε>0. ∃k::nat. ∀n≥k. ¦c - c¦ < ε"
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix ε :: real
    assume "0 < \epsilon"
    have "∀n≥0::nat. |c - c| < ε"
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix n :: nat
      assume "0 ≤ n"
      have "c - c = 0"
```

```
by (simp only: diff self)
      then have "|c - c| = 0"
         by (simp only: abs eq 0 iff)
       also have "... < ε"
         by (simp only: \langle 0 < \epsilon \rangle)
       finally show "|c - c| < \epsilon"
         by this
    then show "∃k::nat. ∀n≥k. ¦c - c¦ < ε"
      by (rule exI)
  qed
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "limite (\lambda \ n. \ c) \ c"
proof (unfold limite def)
  show "∀ε>0. ∃k::nat. ∀n≥k. |c - c| < ε"
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix ε :: real
    assume "0 < \epsilon"
    have "∀n≥0::nat. |c - c| < ε" by (simp add: (0 < ε))
    then show "\exists k::nat. \forall n \ge k. \mid c - c \mid < \epsilon" by (rule exI)
  qed
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma "limite (\lambda n. c) c"
  unfolding limite def
  by simp
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma "limite (λ n. c) c"
  by (simp add: limite_def)
end
```

4.11.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión u₀, u₁, u₂, ... se puede representar mediante
-- una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
      def\ limite\ :\ (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to Prop\ :=
       \lambda u a, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - a| < \varepsilon
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
       notation `|`x`|` := abs x
-- Demostrar que el límite de la sucesión constante c es c.
import data.real.basic
variable (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
variable (c : ℝ)
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u a, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - a| < \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example :
  limite (\lambda n, c) c :=
begin
  unfold limite,
  intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
  use 0,
  intros n hn,
  dsimp,
  simp,
  exact hε,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example :
  limite (\lambda n, c) c :=
begin
 intros ε hε,
```

```
use 0,
  rintro n -,
  norm_num,
  assumption,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example :
  limite (\lambda n, c) c :=
begin
  intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
  use 0,
  intros n hn,
  calc |(\lambda n, c) n - c|
     = |c - c| : rfl
   end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ============
example :
 limite (\lambda n, c) c :=
begin
  intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
  by finish,
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ===========
example:
 limite (\lambda n, c) c :=
\lambda \epsilon h\epsilon, by finish
-- 6ª demostración
-- ===========
example :
  limite (\lambda n, c) c :=
assume \epsilon,
assume h\epsilon : \epsilon > 0,
```

```
exists.intro \theta ( assume n, assume hn : n \ge \theta, show |(\lambda n, c) n - c| < \epsilon, from calc |(\lambda n, c) n - c| = |c - c| : rfl ... = \theta : by simp ... < \epsilon : h\epsilon)
```

4.12. Unicidad del límite de las sucesiones convergentes

4.12.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, una sucesión u0, u1, u2, ... se puede representar
-- mediante una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
-- definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
        where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. \mid u \mid n - \mid c \mid < \mid \varepsilon \mid"
-- Demostrar que cada sucesión tiene como máximoun límite.
theory Unicidad_del_limite_de_las_sucesiones convergentes
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
  where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n \ - \ c| < \epsilon)"
lemma aux :
  assumes "limite u a"
           "limite u b"
  shows "b ≤ a"
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume "¬ b ≤ a"
  let |?|\epsilon = "b - a"
  have "0 < ?\epsilon/2"
```

```
using \langle \neg b \le a \rangle by auto
  obtain A where hA : "∀n≥A. ¦u n - a¦ < ?ε/2"
    using assms(1) limite def \langle 0 < ? \epsilon/2 \rangle by blast
  obtain B where hB : "∀n≥B. |u n - b| < ?ε/2"
    using assms(2) limite_def \langle 0 < ? \epsilon/2 \rangle by blast
  let ?C = "max A B"
  have hCa : "∀n≥?C. |u n - a| < ?ε/2"
    using hA by simp
  have hCb : "\foralln≥?C. |u n - b| < ?\epsilon/2"
    using hB by simp
  have "∀n≥?C. |a - b| < ?ε"
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix n assume "n ≥ ?C"
    have "|a - b| = |(a - u n) + (u n - b)|" by simp
    also have "... \leq |u n - a| + |u n - b|" by simp
    finally show "|a - b| < b - a"
      using hCa hCb ⟨n ≥ ?C> by fastforce
 qed
  then show False by fastforce
ged
theorem
  assumes "limite u a"
          "limite u b"
  shows a = b
proof (rule antisym)
  show "a \leq b" using assms(2) assms(1) by (rule aux)
next
  show "b \leq a" using assms(1) assms(2) by (rule aux)
qed
end
```

4.12.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión u_0, u_1, u_2, ... se puede representar mediante -- una función (u: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es u_n.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por -- def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to Prop:= -- \lambda u a, \forall \ \varepsilon > 0, \exists \ \mathbb{N}, \forall \ n \ge \mathbb{N}, |u \ n - a| < \varepsilon -- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
```

```
-- notation |x| := abs x
-- Demostrar que cada sucesión tiene como máximo un límite.
import data.real.basic
variables \{u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}\}
variables \{a \ b : \mathbb{R}\}
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u c, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| < \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
lemma aux
  (ha : limite u a)
  (hb : limite u b)
  : b ≤ a :=
begin
  by contra h,
  set \epsilon := b - a  with h\epsilon,
  cases ha (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with A hA,
  cases hb (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with B hB,
  set N := \max A B  with hN,
  have hAN : A \leq N := le_max_left A B,
  have hBN : B \le N := le \max right A B,
  specialize hA N hAN,
  specialize hB N hBN,
  rw abs_lt at hA hB,
  linarith,
end
example
  (ha : limite u a)
  (hb : limite u b)
  : a = b :=
le antisymm (aux hb ha) (aux ha hb)
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
```

```
example
  (ha : limite u a)
  (hb : limite u b)
  : a = b :=
begin
  by contra h,
  wlog hab : a < b,
  { have : a < b v a = b v b < a := lt_trichotomy a b,
    tauto },
  set \epsilon := b - a with h\epsilon,
  specialize ha (\epsilon/2),
  have h\epsilon 2 : \epsilon/2 > 0 := by linarith,
  specialize ha hε2,
  cases ha with A hA,
  cases hb (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with B hB,
  set N := \max A B  with hN,
  have hAN : A \le N := le \max left A B,
  have hBN : B \le N := le \max right A B,
  specialize hA N hAN,
  specialize hB N hBN,
  rw abs lt at hA hB,
  linarith,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- -----
example
  (ha : limite u a)
  (hb : limite u b)
  : a = b :=
begin
  by contra h,
  wlog hab : a < b,
  { have : a < b v a = b v b < a := lt_trichotomy a b,
    tauto },
  set \epsilon := b - a  with h\epsilon,
  cases ha (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with A hA,
  cases hb (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with B hB,
  set N := \max A B  with hN,
  have hAN : A \le N := le \max left A B,
  have hBN : B \le N := le \max right A B,
  specialize hA N hAN,
  specialize hB N hBN,
  rw abs lt at hA hB,
```

```
linarith,
end
```

4.13. Límite cuando se suma una constante

4.13.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, una sucesión uo, uı, uı, uı, ... se puede representar
-- mediante una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es u_n.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
       definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
          where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. \mid u \ n \ - \ c \mid \ < \varepsilon)"
-- Demostrar que si el límite de la sucesión u(i) es a y \in \mathbb{R},
-- entonces el límite de u(i)+c es a+c.
theory Limite cuando se suma una constante
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
  where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. \mid u \ n \ - \ c \mid < \epsilon)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "limite u a"
  shows "limite (\lambda i. u i + c) (a + c)"
proof (unfold limite def)
  show "\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n + c - (a + c)| < \epsilon"
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix ε :: real
     assume "0 < \epsilon"
     then have "∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦u n - a¦ < ε"
       using assms limite_def by simp
     then obtain k where "∀n≥k. ¦u n - a¦ < ε"
       by (rule exE)
     then have "\forall n \ge k. |u n + c - (a + c)| < \epsilon"
```

```
by simp
then show "∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦u n + c - (a + c)¦ < ε"
by (rule exI)

qed
qed

(* 2a demostracion *)

lemma
   assumes "limite u a"
   shows "limite (λ i. u i + c) (a + c)"
   using assms limite_def
   by simp

end</pre>
```

4.13.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión u0, u1, u2, ... se puede representar mediante
-- una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
-- def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to Prop :=
       \lambda u a, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \ge N, |u n - a| < \epsilon
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
-- notation |x| := abs x
-- Demostrar que si el límite de la sucesión u(i) es a y c \in \mathbb{R}, entonces
-- el límite de u(i)+c es a+c.
import data.real.basic
import tactic
variables \{u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}\}
variables {a c : ℝ}
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u c, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| < \epsilon
```

```
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (h : limite u a)
  : limite (\lambda i, u i + c) (a + c) :=
begin
  intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
  dsimp,
  cases h ε hε with k hk,
  use k,
  intros n hn,
  calc |u n + c - (a + c)|
       = |u n - a| : by norm_num
   ... < ε
                             : hk n hn,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (h : limite u a)
  : limite (\lambda i, u i + c) (a + c) :=
begin
  intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
  dsimp,
  cases h \epsilon h\epsilon with k hk,
  use k,
  intros n hn,
  convert hk n hn using 2,
  ring,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : limite u a)
  : limite (\lambda i, u i + c) (a + c) :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  convert h \in h\epsilon,
  by norm num,
end
```

4.14. Límite de la suma de sucesiones convergentes

4.14.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, una sucesión u0, u1, u2, ... se puede representar
-- mediante una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
   definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
       where "limite u \in (\forall \varepsilon>0. \exists k::nat. \forall n\geq k. \mid u \mid n \mid - \mid c \mid < \varepsilon)"
-- Demostrar que el límite de la suma de dos sucesiones convergentes es
-- la suma de los límites de dichas sucesiones.
theory Limite_de_la_suma_de_sucesiones_convergentes
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
  where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n \ - \ c| \ < \ \epsilon)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "limite u a"
           "limite v b"
  shows "limite (\lambda n. u n + v n) (a + b)"
proof (unfold limite_def)
  show "∀ε>0. ∃k. ∀n≥k. |(u n + v n) - (a + b)| < ε"
```

```
proof (intro allI impI)
    fix ε :: real
    assume "0 < \epsilon"
    then have "0 < \epsilon/2"
       by simp
    then have "\exists k. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n - a| < \epsilon/2"
       using assms(1) limite def by blast
    then obtain Nu where hNu : "∀n≥Nu. ¦u n - a¦ < ε/2"
       by (rule exE)
    then have "\exists k. \forall n \ge k. | v n - b | < \epsilon/2"
       using \langle 0 < \epsilon/2 \rangle assms(2) limite_def by blast
    then obtain Nv where hNv : "∀n≥Nv. ¦v n - b¦ < ε/2"
       by (rule exE)
    have "∀n≥max Nu Nv. |(u n + v n) - (a + b)| < ε"
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix n :: nat
       assume "n ≥ max Nu Nv"
       have "|(u n + v n) - (a + b)| = |(u n - a) + (v n - b)|"
         by simp
       also have "... ≤ |u n - a| + |v n - b|"
         by simp
       also have "... < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2"
         using hNu hNv < max Nu Nv \le n > by fastforce
       finally show "(u + v + v) - (a + b) < \epsilon"
         by simp
    qed
    then show "\exists k. \forall n \ge k. |u \ n + v \ n - (a + b)| < \epsilon"
       by (rule exI)
  ged
ged
(* 2º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "limite u a"
            "limite v b"
           "limite (\lambda n. u n + v n) (a + b)"
proof (unfold limite def)
  show "\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k. \forall n \geq k. |(u n + v n) - (a + b)| < \epsilon"
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix ε :: real
    assume "0 < \epsilon"
    then have "0 < \epsilon/2" by simp
    obtain Nu where hNu : "∀n≥Nu. ¦u n - a¦ < ε/2"
       using \langle 0 < \epsilon/2 \rangle assms(1) limite def by blast
```

```
obtain Nv where hNv : "∀n≥Nv. |v n - b| < ε/2"
    using ⟨0 < ε/2⟩ assms(2) limite_def by blast
have "∀n≥max Nu Nv. |(u n + v n) - (a + b)| < ε"
    using hNu hNv
    by (smt (verit, ccfv_threshold) field_sum_of_halves max.boundedE)
    then show "∃k. ∀n≥k. |u n + v n - (a + b)| < ε "
    by blast
    qed
qed
end</pre>
```

4.14.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión uo, uı, uz, ... se puede representar mediante
-- una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es u_n.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
       def\ limite\ :\ (\mathbb{N}\ 	o\ \mathbb{R})\ 	o\ \mathbb{R}\ 	o\ Prop\ :=
       \lambda u a, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, | u n - a| < \varepsilon
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
-- notation |x| := abs x
-- Demostrar que el límite de la suma de dos sucesiones convergentes es
-- la suma de los límites de dichas sucesiones.
import data.real.basic
variables (u \ v : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
variables (a b : \mathbb{R})
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u c, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| < \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (hu : limite u a)
```

```
(hv : limite v b)
  : limite (u + v) (a + b) :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  have h\epsilon 2 : 0 < \epsilon / 2,
    { linarith },
  cases hu (\epsilon / 2) h\epsilon2 with Nu hNu,
  cases hv (\epsilon / 2) h\epsilon2 with Nv hNv,
  clear hu hv hɛ2 hɛ,
  use max Nu Nv,
  intros n hn,
  have hn_1 : n \ge Nu,
    { exact le of max le left hn },
  specialize hNu n hn1,
  have hn_2 : n \ge Nv,
    { exact le of max le right hn },
  specialize hNv n hn<sub>2</sub>,
  clear hn hn<sub>1</sub> hn<sub>2</sub> Nu Nv,
  calc |(u + v) n - (a + b)|
       = |(u n + v n) - (a + b)| : by refl
   ... = |(u n - a) + (v n - b)| : by {congr, ring}
   \dots \le |u \ n - a| + |v \ n - b| : by apply abs_add
   \ldots < \epsilon / 2 + \epsilon / 2
                                       : by linarith
   ... = ε
                                        : by apply add halves,
end
-- 2ª demostración
- - ===========
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hv : limite v b)
  : limite (u + v) (a + b) :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  cases hu (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nu hNu,
  cases hv (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nv hNv,
  use max Nu Nv,
  intros n hn,
  have hn1 : n ≥ Nu := le_of_max_le_left hn,
  specialize hNu n hn<sub>1</sub>,
  have hn_2 : n \ge Nv := le of max le right hn,
  specialize hNv n hn<sub>2</sub>,
  calc |(u + v) n - (a + b)|
        = |(u n + v n) - (a + b)| : by refl
```

```
... = |(u n - a) + (v n - b)| : by {congr, ring}
   \dots \le |u \ n - a| + |v \ n - b| : by apply abs_add
   \ldots < \epsilon / 2 + \epsilon / 2
                                        : by linarith
   ... = ε
                                         : by apply add halves,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
lemma max_ge_iff
  \{\alpha : Type^*\}
  [linear_order α]
  \{pqr:\alpha\}
  : r \ge \max p q \Leftrightarrow r \ge p \land r \ge q :=
max_le_iff
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hv : limite v b)
  : limite (u + v) (a + b) :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  cases hu (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nu hNu,
  cases hv (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nv hNv,
  use max Nu Nv,
  intros n hn,
  cases max_ge_iff.mp hn with hn1 hn2,
  have cota<sub>1</sub> : |u n - a| < \epsilon/2 := hNu n hn<sub>1</sub>,
  \label{eq:have_cota2} \mbox{have cota}_2 \ : \ |\mbox{$v$ n - b}| \ < \ \epsilon/2 \ := \ h\mbox{$N$$$v n $h\mbox{$n$}_2$} \,,
  calc |(u + v) n - (a + b)|
        = |u n + v n - (a + b)| : by rfl
    \dots = |(u n - a) + (v n - b)| : by { congr, ring }
    \ldots \le |u \ n - a| + |v \ n - b| : by apply abs add
   ... < ε
                                        : by linarith,
end
-- 4º demostración
- - ===========
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hv : limite v b)
  : limite (u + v) (a + b) :=
begin
  intros ε hε,
```

```
cases hu (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nu hNu,
  cases hv (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nv hNv,
  use max Nu Nv,
  intros n hn,
  cases max_ge_iff.mp hn with hn1 hn2,
  calc |(u + v) n - (a + b)|
       = |u n + v n - (a + b)|
                                  : by refl
   ... = |(u n - a) + (v n - b)| : by { congr, ring }
   \ldots \le |u \ n - a| + |v \ n - b| : by apply abs add
                                    : add lt add (hNu n hn<sub>1</sub>) (hNv n hn<sub>2</sub>)
   \ldots < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2
   ... = ε
                                    : by simp
end
-- 5ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hv : limite v b)
  : limite (u + v) (a + b) :=
begin
  intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
  cases hu (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nu hNu,
  cases hv (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with Nv hNv,
  use max Nu Nv,
  intros n hn,
  rw max ge iff at hn,
  calc |(u + v) n - (a + b)|
       = |u n + v n - (a + b)| : by refl
   ... = |(u n - a) + (v n - b)| : by { congr, ring }
   \ldots \le |u \ n - a| + |v \ n - b| : by apply abs_add
                                    : by linarith [hNu n (by linarith), hNv n (by linarith)
   ... < ε
end
-- 6ª demostración
- - ===========
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hv : limite v b)
  : limite (u + v) (a + b) :=
begin
 intros ε Ηε,
 cases hu (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with L HL,
  cases hv (\epsilon/2) (by linarith) with M HM,
```

```
set N := \max L M  with hN,
  use N,
  have HLN : N \ge L := le max left,
  have HMN : N ≥ M := le_max_right _ _,
  intros n Hn,
  have H3 : |u n - a| < \epsilon/2 := HL n (by linarith),
  have H4 : |v n - b| < \epsilon/2 := HM n (by linarith),
  calc |(u + v) n - (a + b)|
       = |(u n + v n) - (a + b)| : by refl
   ... = |(u n - a) + (v n - b)| : by { congr, ring }
   \ldots \le |(u \ n - a)| + |(v \ n - b)| : by apply abs_add
   \ldots < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2
                                      : by linarith
   ... = ε
                                      : by ring
end
```

4.15. Límite multiplicado por una constante

4.15.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
proof (unfold limite def)
  show "∀ε>0. ∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦c * u n - c * a¦ < ε"
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix ε :: real
     assume "0 < \epsilon"
    show "∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦c * u n - c * a¦ < ε"
    proof (cases "c = 0")
       assume "c = 0"
       then show "\exists k. \forall n \ge k. \mid c * u \ n - c * a \mid < \epsilon"
         by (simp add: \langle 0 < \epsilon \rangle)
       assume "c \neq 0"
       then have "0 < |c|"
         by simp
       then have "0 < \epsilon/|c|"
         by (simp add: \langle 0 < \epsilon \rangle)
       then obtain N where hN : "∀n≥N. ¦u n - a¦ < ε/¦c¦"
         using assms limite def
         by auto
       have "∀n≥N. ¦c * u n - c * a¦ < ε"
       proof (intro allI impI)
         fix n
         assume "n ≥ N"
         have "|c * u n - c * a| = |c * (u n - a)|"
            by argo
         also have "... = |c| * |u n - a|"
            by (simp only: abs_mult)
         also have "... < |c| * (\epsilon/|c|)"
            using hN \langle n \ge N \rangle \langle 0 < |c| \rangle
            by (simp only: mult strict left mono)
         finally show "\mid c * u n - c * a \mid < \epsilon"
            using <0 < |c|>
            by auto
       then show "\exists k. \forall n \ge k. \mid c * u \ n - c * a \mid < \epsilon"
         by (rule exI)
    qed
  qed
qed
end
```

4.15.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión uo, uı, uz, ... se puede representar mediante
-- una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
       def\ limite: (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to Prop:=
       \lambda u a, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \ge N, |u n - a| < \varepsilon
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
-- notation |x| := abs x
-- Demostrar que que si el límite de u(i) es a, entonces el de
-- c*u(i) es c*a.
import data.real.basic
import tactic
variables (u \ v : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
variables (a c : \mathbb{R})
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u c, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| < \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : limite u a)
   : limite (\lambda \ n, \ c * (u \ n)) \ (c * a) :=
begin
  by cases hc : c = 0,
  { subst hc,
     intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
     by finish, },
  \{ \text{ intros } \epsilon \ h\epsilon, \}
     have hc' : 0 < |c| := abs_pos.mpr hc,
     have hec : 0 < \epsilon / |c| := div pos he hc',
     specialize h (\epsilon/|c|) h\epsilon c,
     cases h with N hN,
     use N,
     intros n hn,
```

```
specialize hN n hn,
    dsimp only,
    rw ← mul sub,
    rw abs mul,
    rw ← lt_div_iff' hc',
    exact hN, }
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : limite u a)
  : limite (\lambda \ n, \ c * (u \ n)) \ (c * a) :=
begin
  by_cases hc : c = 0,
  { subst hc,
    intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
    by finish, },
  \{ \text{ intros } \epsilon \ h\epsilon, 
    have hc' : 0 < |c| := abs_pos.mpr hc,
    have hec : 0 < \epsilon / |c| := div_pos he hc',
    specialize h (\epsilon/|c|) hec,
    cases h with N hN,
    use N,
    intros n hn,
    specialize hN n hn,
    dsimp only,
    calc | c * u n - c * a |
          = |c * (u n - a)| : congrarg abs (mul sub c (u n) a).symm
     ... = |c| * |u n - a| : abs_mul c (u n - a)
     \ldots < |c| * (\epsilon / |c|) : (mul_lt_mul_left hc').mpr hN
                               : mul div cancel' ε (ne of gt hc') }
      ... = ε
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (h : limite u a)
  : limite (\lambda \ n, \ c \ * \ (u \ n)) \ (c \ * \ a) :=
begin
  by_cases hc : c = 0,
 { subst hc,
    intros ε hε,
```

```
by finish, },
{ intros ε hε,
have hc' : 0 < |c| := by finish,
have hεc : 0 < ε / |c| := div_pos hε hc',
cases h (ε/|c|) hεc with N hN,
use N,
intros n hn,
specialize hN n hn,
dsimp only,
rw [- mul_sub, abs_mul, - lt_div_iff' hc'],
exact hN, }</pre>
end
```

4.16. El límite de u es a syss el de u-a es 0

4.16.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
have "limite u a \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n \ - \ a| < \epsilon)"
     by (rule limite_def)
  also have "... \leftrightarrow (∀ε>0. \existsk::nat. \foralln≥k. ¦(u n - a) - 0¦ < ε)"
     by simp
  also have "... \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i. u i - a) 0"
     by (rule limite def[symmetric])
  finally show "limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i. u i - a) 0"
     by this
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
   "limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i. u i - a) 0"
proof -
  have "limite u a ↔ (∀ε>0. ∃k::nat. ∀n≥k. |u n - a| < ε|"
     by (simp only: limite def)
  also have "... \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k :: nat. \forall n \ge k. \mid (u \ n - a) - 0 \mid < \epsilon)"
      by simp
  also have "... \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i. u i - a) 0"
     by (simp only: limite def)
  finally show "limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i. u i - a) 0"
     by this
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  "limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i. u i - a) 0"
  using limite def
  by simp
end
```

4.16.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión u_0, u_1, u_2, ... se puede representar mediante -- una función (u: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es u_n.

-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to Prop :=
-- \lambda u a, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \ge N, |u n - a| < \varepsilon
```

```
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
-- notation |x| := abs x
-- Demostrar que el límite de u(i) es a si y solo si el de u(i)-a es
import data.real.basic
import tactic
variable \{u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}\}
variables \{a c x : \mathbb{R}\}
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u c, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| < \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  : limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i, u i - a) 0 :=
begin
  rw iff eq eq,
  calc limite u a
        = \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - a| < \epsilon : rfl
    ... = \forall \ \epsilon > 0, \exists \ N, \forall \ n \ge N, |(u \ n - a) - 0| < \epsilon : by simp
    \dots = limite (\lambda i, u i - a) 0
                                                                       : rfl,
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  : limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i, u i - a) \theta :=
begin
  split,
  { intros h \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
     convert h \epsilon h\epsilon,
    norm num, },
  { intros h \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
     convert h \in h\epsilon,
     norm_num, },
end
```

```
-- 3ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  : limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i, u i - a) 0 :=
begin
  split;
  { intros h ε hε,
    convert h \epsilon h\epsilon,
    norm_num, },
end
-- 4ª demostración
-- ===========
lemma limite con suma
  (c : ℝ)
  (h : limite u a)
  : limite (\lambda i, u i + c) (a + c) :=
λ ε hε, (by convert h ε hε; norm num)
lemma CNS limite con suma
  (c : ℝ)
  : limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i, u i + c) (a + c) :=
begin
  split,
  { apply limite_con_suma },
  { intro h,
    convert limite_con_suma (-c) h; simp, },
end
example
 (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
  (a : \mathbb{R})
  : limite u a \leftrightarrow limite (\lambda i, u i - a) 0 :=
begin
  convert CNS_limite_con_suma (-a),
  simp,
end
```

4.17. Producto de sucesiones convergentes a cero

4.17.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, una sucesión uo, uı, uı, uı, ... se puede representar
-- mediante una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
-- definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
       where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists k :: nat. \forall n \geq k. \exists k :: nat. \forall n \geq k.
-- Demostrar que si las sucesiones u(n) y v(n) convergen a cero,
-- entonces u(n)·v(n) también converge a cero.
theory Producto de sucesiones convergentes a cero
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition limite :: "(nat → real) → real → bool"
  where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. \mid u \ n \ - \ c \mid < \epsilon)"
lemma
  assumes "limite u 0"
          "limite v 0"
  shows "limite (\lambda n. u n * v n) 0"
proof (unfold limite def; intro allI impI)
  fix ε :: real
  assume h\epsilon: "0 < \epsilon"
  then obtain U where hU : "∀n≥U. ¦u n - 0¦ < ε"
    using assms(1) limite def
  obtain V where hV : "∀n≥V. ¦v n - 0¦ < 1"
    using hε assms(2) limite_def
    by fastforce
  have "∀n≥max U V. ¦u n * v n - 0¦ < ε"
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix n
    assume hn : "max U V ≤ n"
    then have "U \le n"
      by simp
```

```
then have "|u n - 0| < \epsilon"
       using hU by blast
     have hnV : "V \le n"
       using hn by simp
     then have "|v n - \theta| < 1"
       using hV by blast
     have "\{u \ n * v \ n - 0\} = \{(u \ n - 0) * (v \ n - 0)\}"
       by simp
     also have "... = |u n - 0| * |v n - 0|"
       by (simp add: abs mult)
     also have "... < ε * 1"
       using \langle |u \ n - 0| | \langle \epsilon \rangle \rangle \langle |v \ n - 0| | \langle 1 \rangle
       by (rule abs mult less)
     also have "... = \epsilon"
       by simp
     finally show "\{u \ n * v \ n - 0\} < \epsilon"
       by this
  ged
  then show "∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦u n * v n - 0¦ < ε"
     by (rule exI)
qed
end
```

4.17.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión u_0, u_1, u_2, ... se puede representar mediante -- una función (u: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es u_n.

-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por -- def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to Prop:=
-- \lambda u a, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u| = n - a| < \varepsilon
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
-- notation |x| : = abs : x
-- Demostrar que si las sucesiones u(n) : v(n) : = abs : x
-- import data.real.basic import tactic
```

```
variables \{u \ v : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}\}
variables {: ℝ}
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u c, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| < \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
-- ===========
example
  (hu : limite u 0)
  (hv : limite v 0)
  : limite (u * v) 0 :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  cases hu \epsilon h\epsilon with U hU,
  cases hv 1 zero_lt_one with V hV,
  set N := max U V with hN,
  use N,
  intros n hn,
  specialize hU n (le_of_max_le_left hn),
  specialize hV n (le of max le right hn),
  rw sub zero at *,
  calc | (u * v) n|
      = |u n * v n| : rfl
   \dots = |u n| * |v n| : abs_mul (u n) (v n)
   : mul one ε,
   ... = ε
end
-- 2ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (hu : limite u 0)
  (hv : limite v 0)
  : limite (u * v) 0 :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  cases hu \epsilon h\epsilon with U hU,
  cases hv 1 (by linarith) with V hV,
  set N := max U V with hN,
  use N,
```

```
intros n hn,
  specialize hU n (le_of_max_le_left hn),
  specialize hV n (le_of_max_le_right hn),
  rw sub zero at *,
  calc | (u * v) n |
     = |u n * v n| : rfl
   \dots = |u n| * |v n| : abs_mul (u n) (v n)
   \ldots < \epsilon * 1 : by { apply mul lt mul'' hU hV ; simp [abs nonneg] }
   ... = ε
                       : mul one \epsilon,
end
-- 3ª demostración
-- ==========
example
 (hu : limite u 0)
  (hv : limite v 0)
  : limite (u * v) 0 :=
begin
 intros \epsilon h\epsilon,
  cases hu \epsilon he with U hU,
  cases hv 1 (by linarith) with V hV,
  set N := max U V with hN,
  use N,
  intros n hn,
  have hUN : U \le N := le_max_left U V,
  have hVN : V ≤ N := le_max_right U V,
  specialize hU n (by linarith),
  specialize hV n (by linarith),
  rw sub_zero at ⊢ hU hV,
  rw pi.mul apply,
  rw abs mul,
  convert mul_lt_mul'' hU hV _ _, simp,
  all_goals {apply abs_nonneg},
```

4.18. Teorema del emparedado

4.18.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, una sucesión uo, uı, uı, uı, ... se puede representar
-- mediante una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
       definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
        where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists k :: nat. \forall n \geq k. \mid u \ n \ - \ c \mid < \varepsilon )"
-- Demostrar que si para todo n, u(n) \le v(n) \le w(n) y u(n) tiene el
-- mismo límite que, entonces v(n) también tiene dicho límite.
theory Teorema_del_emparedado
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
  where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. \mid u \ n \ - \ c \mid < \epsilon)"
lemma
  assumes "limite u a"
           "limite w a"
            "\foralln. u n ≤ v n"
            "\forall n. \ v \ n \leq w \ n"
          "limite v a"
  shows
proof (unfold limite def; intro allI impI)
  fix ε :: real
  assume h\epsilon : "0 < \epsilon"
  obtain N where hN : "∀n≥N. |u n - a| < ε"
     using assms(1) hε limite_def
     by auto
  obtain N' where hN' : "∀n≥N'. ¦w n - a¦ < ε"
     using assms(2) hε limite def
  have "∀n≥max N N'. |v n - a| < ε"
  proof (intro allI impI)
     assume hn : "n≥max N N'"
     have "v n - a < \epsilon"
     proof -
```

```
have "v n - a ≤ w n - a"
         using assms(4) by simp
      also have "... ≤ |w n - a|"
         by simp
      also have "... < ε"
         using hN' hn by auto
      finally show "v n - a < \epsilon".
    ged
    moreover
    have "-(v n - a) < \epsilon"
    proof -
      have "-(v n - a) \leq -(u n - a)"
         using assms(3) by auto
      also have "... ≤ |u n - a|"
         by simp
      also have "... < \epsilon"
         using hN hn by auto
      finally show "-(v n - a) < \epsilon".
    ultimately show "\mid v \mid n - a \mid < \epsilon"
      by (simp only: abs less iff)
  qed
  then show "∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦v n - a¦ < ε"
    by (rule exI)
qed
end
```

4.18.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión u_{\theta}, u_{1}, u_{2}, ... se puede representar mediante -- una función (u:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es u_{n}.

-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por def limite : (\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{R})\to\mathbb{R}\to Prop:=
-- \lambda u a, \forall \ \varepsilon>0, \exists \ \mathbb{N}, \forall \ n\geq \mathbb{N}, |u\ n-a|<\varepsilon
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
-- notation `|`x'|` := abs x
-- Demostrar que si para todo x0, y1, y2, y3, y4, y5, y6, y6, y8, y8, y9, y9,
```

```
import data.real.basic
variables (u \ v \ w : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
variable (a : \mathbb{R})
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite : (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{Prop} :=
\lambda u c, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| \leq \epsilon
-- Nota. En la demostración se usará el siguiente lema:
lemma max_ge_iff
 \{pqr: \mathbb{N}\}
  : r \ge \max p q \leftrightarrow r \ge p \land r \ge q :=
max_le_iff
-- 1ª demostración
-- ==========
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hw : limite w a)
  (h : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
  (h' : \forall n, v n \leq w n) :
  limite v a :=
begin
  intros ε hε,
  cases hu ε hε with N hN, clear hu,
  cases hw \epsilon h\epsilon with N' hN', clear hw h\epsilon,
  use max N N',
  intros n hn,
  rw max ge iff at hn,
  specialize hN n hn.1,
  specialize hN' n hn.2,
  specialize h n,
  specialize h' n,
  clear hn,
  rw abs_le at *,
  split,
  { calc -ε
           \leq u n - a : hN.1
      \ldots \le v n - a : by linarith, \},
  { calc v n - a
           ≤ w n - a : by linarith
```

```
\ldots \leq \varepsilon : hN'.2, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hw : limite w a)
  (h : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
  (h' : \forall n, v n \leq w n) :
  limite v a :=
begin
  intros ε hε,
  cases hu ε hε with N hN, clear hu,
  cases hw \epsilon h\epsilon with N' hN', clear hw h\epsilon,
  use max N N',
  intros n hn,
  rw max ge iff at hn,
  specialize hN n (by linarith),
  specialize hN' n (by linarith),
  specialize h n,
  specialize h' n,
  rw abs_le at *,
  split,
  { linarith, },
  { linarith, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hw : limite w a)
  (h : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
  (h' : \forall n, v n \leq w n) :
  limite v a :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  cases hu ε hε with N hN, clear hu,
  cases hw \epsilon h\epsilon with N' hN', clear hw h\epsilon,
  use max N N',
  intros n hn,
  rw max ge iff at hn,
  specialize hN n (by linarith),
  specialize hN' n (by linarith),
  specialize h n,
  specialize h' n,
```

```
rw abs le at *,
  split; linarith,
end
-- 4ª demostración
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hw : limite w a)
  (h : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
  (h' : \forall n, v n \leq w n) :
  limite v a :=
assume ε,
assume h\epsilon : \epsilon > 0,
exists.elim (hu \varepsilon h\varepsilon)
  ( assume N,
     assume hN : \forall (n : \mathbb{N}), n \ge N \rightarrow |u \ n - a| \le \varepsilon,
     exists.elim (hw \varepsilon h\varepsilon)
        ( assume N',
          assume hN' : \forall (n : \mathbb{N}), n \ge N' \rightarrow |w \ n - a| \le \epsilon,
          show \exists N, \forall n, n \ge N \rightarrow |v n - a| \le \epsilon, from
             exists.intro (max N N')
                ( assume n,
                  assume hn : n \ge max N N',
                  have h1 : n \ge N \land n \ge N',
                     from max ge iff.mp hn,
                  have h2 : -\epsilon \le v n - a,
                     { have h2a : |u n - a| \le \varepsilon,
                          from hN n h1.1,
                        calc -ε
                              ≤ u n - a : and.left (abs le.mp h2a)
                         \ldots \le v n - a : by linarith [h n], \},
                  have h3 : v n - a \le \epsilon,
                     { have h3a : |w n - a| \le \varepsilon,
                          from hN' n h1.2,
                        calc v n - a
                              ≤ w n - a : by linarith [h' n]
                         \ldots \leq \epsilon: and right (abs le.mp h3a), },
                  show |v n - a| \le \varepsilon,
                     from abs_le.mpr (and.intro h2 h3))))
```

4.19. La composición de crecientes es creciente

4.19.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Se dice que una función f de ℝ en ℝ es creciente https://bit.ly/2UShggL
-- si para todo x e y tales que x \le y se tiene que f(x) \le f(y).
-- En Isabelle/HOL que f sea creciente se representa por `mono f`.
-- Demostrar que la composición de dos funciones crecientes es una
-- función creciente.
theory La composicion de crecientes es creciente
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
  fixes f g :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "mono f"
          "mono g"
          "mono (g ∘ f)"
  shows
proof (rule monoI)
  fix x y :: real
  assume "x ≤ y"
  have "(q \circ f) \times = q (f \times)"
    by (simp only: o apply)
  also have "... \leq g (f y)"
    using assms ⟨x ≤ y⟩
    by (simp only: monoD)
  also have "... = (g \circ f) y"
    by (simp only: o apply)
  finally show "(g \circ f) \times (g \circ f) y"
    by this
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f g :: "real ⇒ real"
 assumes "mono f"
```

```
"mono g"
  shows "mono (g o f)"
proof (rule monoI)
  fix x y :: real
  assume "x ≤ y"
  have "(g \circ f) \times = g (f \times)" by simp
  also have "... \leq g (f y)" by (simp add: \langle x \leq y \rangle assms monoD) also have "... = (g \circ f) y" by simp
  finally show "(g \circ f) \times (g \circ f) y".
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "mono f"
            "mono g"
  shows "mono (g o f)"
  by (metis assms comp_def mono_def)
end
```

4.19.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
--- Se dice que una función f de R en R es creciente https://bit.ly/2UShggL
-- si para todo x e y tales que x ≤ y se tiene que f(x) ≤ f(y).
---
--- En Lean que f sea creciente se representa por `monotone f`.
---
--- Demostrar que la composición de dos funciones crecientes es una
--- función creciente.
---

import data.real.basic

variables (f g : R → R)
--- lª demostración
example
  (hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
  : monotone (g • f) :=
begin
  intros x y hxy,
```

```
calc (g ∘ f) x
    = g(f x) : rfl
   \ldots \le g (f y) : hg (hf hxy)
   \dots = (g \circ f) y : rfl,
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
 (hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
  : monotone (g ∘ f) :=
begin
  unfold monotone at *,
 intros x y h,
 unfold function.comp,
 apply hg,
 apply hf,
  exact h,
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
 : monotone (g  o f) :=
begin
 intros x y h,
  apply hg,
 apply hf,
 exact h,
end
-- 4ª demostración
example
  (hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
  : monotone (g ∘ f) :=
begin
 intros x xy h,
 apply hg,
 exact hf h,
end
-- 5ª demostración
example
```

```
(hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
  : monotone (g ∘ f) :=
begin
  intros x y h,
 exact hg (hf h),
end
-- 6ª demostración
example
 (hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
 : monotone (g ∘ f) :=
\lambda \times y h, hg (hf \overline{h})
-- 7ª demostración
example
  (hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
  : monotone (g ∘ f) :=
begin
  intros x y h,
  specialize hf h,
  exact hg hf,
end
-- 8ª demostración
example
 (hf : monotone f)
  (hg : monotone g)
 : monotone (g ∘ f) :=
assume x y,
assume h1 : x \le y,
have h2 : f x \le f y,
  from hf h1,
show (g \circ f) x \leq (g \circ f) y, from
  calc (g ∘ f) x
      = g(f x) : rfl
   \ldots \leq g (f y) : hg h2
   \dots = (g \circ f) y : by refl
-- 9ª demostración
example
 (hf : monotone f)
 (hg : monotone g)
```

```
: monotone (g o f) :=
-- by hint
by tauto

-- 10@ demostración
example
  (hf : monotone f)
   (hg : monotone g)
   : monotone (g o f) :=
-- by library_search
monotone.comp hg hf
```

4.20. La composición de una función creciente y una decreciente es decreciente

4.20.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Sea una función f de \mathbb R en \mathbb R. Se dice que f es creciente
-- https://bit.ly/2UShggL si para todo x e y tales que x ≤ y se tiene
-- que f(x) \le f(y). Se dice que f es decreciente si para todo x e y
-- tales que x \le y se tiene que f(x) \ge f(y).
-- En Isabelle/HOL que f sea creciente se representa por `mono f` y que
-- sea decreciente por `antimono f`.
-- Demostrar que si f es creciente y g es decreciente, entonces (g o f)
-- es decreciente.
theory La_composicion_de_una_funcion_creciente_y_una_decreciente_es_decreciente
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma
 fixes f g :: "real ⇒ real"
 assumes "mono f"
          "antimono g"
 shows "antimono (g ∘ f)"
```

```
proof (rule antimonoI)
  fix x y :: real
  assume "x ≤ y"
  have "(g \circ f) y = g (f y)"
    by (simp only: o apply)
  also have "... \leq g (f x)"
    using assms \langle x \leq y \rangle
    by (meson antimonoE monoE)
  also have "... = (g \circ f) x"
    by (simp only: o_apply)
  finally show "(g \circ f) \times (g \circ f) y"
    by this
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f g :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "mono f"
          "antimono g"
  shows "antimono (g ∘ f)"
proof (rule antimonoI)
  fix x y :: real
  assume "x ≤ y"
 have "(g \circ f) y = g (f y)" by simp
 also have "... \leq g (f x)" by (meson \langle x \leq y \rangle assms antimonoE monoE)
  also have "... = (g \circ f) x" by simp
  finally show "(g \circ f) \times (g \circ f) y".
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "mono f"
          "antimono q"
  shows "antimono (g ∘ f)"
  by (metis assms mono def antimono def comp apply)
end
```

4.20.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sea una función f de \mathbb R en \mathbb R. Se dice que f es creciente -- https://bit.ly/2UShggL si para todo x e y tales que x \leq y se tiene
```

```
-- que f(x) \le f(y). Se dice que f es decreciente si para todo x e y
-- tales que x \le y se tiene que f(x) \ge f(y).
-- Demostrar que si f es creciente y g es decreciente, entonces (g o f)
-- es decreciente.
import data.real.basic
variables (f g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R})
def creciente (f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}) : Prop :=
\forall \{x y\}, x \leq y \rightarrow f x \leq f y
def decreciente (f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}) : Prop :=
\forall \{x y\}, x \leq y \rightarrow f x \geq f y
-- 1ª demostración
example
 (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
  : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
begin
  intros x y hxy,
  calc (g ∘ f) x
       = g (f x) : rfl
   \ldots \ge g (f y) : hg (hf hxy)
   \dots = (g \circ f) y : rfl,
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
  : decreciente (g |∘ f) :=
begin
  unfold creciente decreciente at *,
  intros x y h,
  unfold function.comp,
  apply hg,
  apply hf,
  exact h,
end
-- 3ª demostración
```

```
example
  (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
  : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
begin
  intros x y h,
  apply hg,
  apply hf,
  exact h,
end
-- 4º demostración
example
 (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
  : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
begin
 intros x y h,
 apply hg,
 exact hf h,
end
-- 5ª demostración
example
 (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
 : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
begin
 intros x y h,
 exact hg (hf h),
end
-- 6ª demostración
example
  (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
  : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
\lambda \times y h, hg (hf h)
-- 7ª demostración
example
 (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
 : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
assume x y,
```

```
assume h : x \le y,
have h1 : f x \le f y,
 from hf h.
show (g \circ f) x \ge (g \circ f) y,
 from hg h1
-- 8ª demostración
example
 (hf : creciente f)
 (hg : decreciente g)
 : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
assume x y,
assume h : x \le y,
show (g \circ f) x \ge (g \circ f) y,
 from hg (hf h)
-- 9ª demostración
example
 (hf : creciente f)
  (hg : decreciente g)
 : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
\lambda \times y h, hg (hf h)
-- 10ª demostración
example
 (hf : creciente f)
 (hg : decreciente g)
 : decreciente (g ∘ f) :=
-- by hint
by tauto
```

4.21. Una función creciente e involutiva es la identidad

4.21.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL que f sea creciente se representa por `mono f`.
-- Demostrar que si f es creciente e involutiva, entonces f es la
-- identidad.
theory Una funcion creciente e involutiva es la identidad
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition involutiva :: "(real ⇒ real) ⇒ bool"
  where "involutiva f \leftrightarrow (\forall x. f (f x) = x)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "mono f"
           "involutiva f"
         "f = id"
  shows
proof (unfold fun eq iff; intro allI)
  fix x
  have "x \le f \times v f \times x \le x"
    by (rule linear)
  then have "f x = x"
  proof (rule disjE)
    assume "X \le f X"
    then have "f x \le f (f x)"
       using assms(1) by (simp only: monoD)
    also have "... = x"
       using assms(2) by (simp only: involutiva_def)
    finally have "f x \le x"
      by this
    show "f x = x"
      using \langle f | x \le x \rangle \langle x \le f | x \rangle by (simp only: antisym)
    assume "f x \le x"
    have "x = f (f x)"
       using assms(2) by (simp only: involutiva_def)
    also have "... ≤ f x"
       using \langle f | x \le x \rangle assms(1) by (simp only: monoD)
    finally have "x ≤ f x"
      by this
    show "f x = x"
      using \langle f | X \le X \rangle \langle X \le f | X \rangle by (simp only: monoD)
```

```
ged
  then show "f x = id x"
    by (simp only: id apply)
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "mono f"
           "involutiva f"
          "f = id"
  shows
proof
  fix x
  have "x \le f \times v f \times x \le x"
    by (rule linear)
  then have "f x = x"
  proof
    assume "x \le f x"
    then have "f x \le f (f x)"
       using assms(1) by (simp only: monoD)
    also have "... = x"
       using assms(2) by (simp only: involutiva_def)
    finally have "f x \le x"
       by this
    show "f x = x"
      using \langle f | x \le x \rangle \langle x \le f | x \rangle by auto
    assume "f X \le X"
    have "x = f (f x)"
       using assms(2) by (simp only: involutiva_def)
    also have "... ≤ f x"
       by (simp add: \langle f | x \le x \rangle assms(1) monoD)
    finally have "x \le f x"
       by this
    show "f x = x"
      using \langle f | x \le x \rangle \langle x \le f | x \rangle by auto
  then show "f x = id x"
    by simp
qed
(* 3ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "mono f"
```

```
"involutiva f"
  shows
         "f = id"
proof
  fix x
  have "x \le f x v f x \le x"
    by (rule linear)
  then have "f x = x"
  proof
    assume "x \le f x"
    then have "f x \le x"
      by (metis assms involutiva def mono def)
    then show "f x = x"
      using \langle x \leq f x \rangle by auto
  next
    assume "f X \le X"
    then have "x \le f x"
      by (metis assms involutiva_def mono_def)
    then show "f x = x"
      using \langle f x \leq x \rangle by auto
  qed
  then show "f x = id x"
    by simp
qed
end
```

4.21.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sea una función f de R en R.
-- + Se dice que f es creciente si para todo x e y tales que x ≤ y se
-- tiene que f(x) ≤ f(y).
-- + Se dice que f es involutiva si para todo x se tiene que f(f(x)) = x.
--
-- En Lean que f sea creciente se representa por `monotone f` y que sea
-- involutiva por `involutive f`
--
-- Demostrar que si f es creciente e involutiva, entonces f es la
-- identidad.

import data.real.basic
open function
```

```
variable (f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R})
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (hc : monotone f)
  (hi : involutive f)
  : f = id :=
begin
  unfold monotone involutive at *,
  unfold id,
  cases (le_total (f x) x) with h1 h2,
  { apply antisymm h1,
    have h3 : f(fx) \le fx,
      { apply hc,
        exact h1, },
    rwa hi at h3, },
  { apply antisymm \_ h2,
    have h4 : f x \le f (f x),
      { apply hc,
        exact h2, },
    rwa hi at h4, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hc : monotone f)
  (hi : involutive f)
  : f = id :=
begin
  funext,
  cases (le_total (f x) x) with h1 h2,
  { apply antisymm h1,
    have h3 : f(fx) \le fx := hc h1,
    rwa hi at h3, },
  { apply antisymm h2,
    have h4 : f x \le f (f x) := hc h2,
    rwa hi at h4, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (hc : monotone f)
  (hi : involutive f)
```

4.22. Si 'f $x \le f y \to x \le y$ ', entonces f es inyectiva

4.22.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Sea f una función de \mathbb R en \mathbb R tal que
-- \forall x y, f(x) \leq f(y) \rightarrow x \leq y
-- Demostrar que f es inyectiva.
theory "Si_f(x)_leq_f(y)_to_x_leq_y,_entonces_f_es_inyectiva"
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "\forall x y. f x \le f y \to x \le y"
 shows "inj f"
proof (rule injI)
 fix x y
  assume "f x = f y"
  show x = y
  proof (rule antisym)
   show "x \le y"
```

```
by (simp only: assms \langle f x = f y \rangle)
  next
    show "y ≤ x"
       by (simp only: assms \langle f x = f y \rangle)
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "\forall x y. f x \le f y \to x \le y"
  shows "inj f"
proof (rule injI)
 fix x y
  assume "f x = f y"
  then show "x = y"
    using assms
    by (simp add: eq_iff)
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f :: "real ⇒ real"
  assumes "\forall x y. f x \le f y \to x \le y"
  shows "inj f"
  by (smt (verit, ccfv_threshold) assms inj_on_def)
end
```

4.22.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sea f una función de \mathbb{R} en \mathbb{R} tal que

-- \forall x \ y, \ f(x) \le f(y) \to x \le y

-- Demostrar que f es inyectiva.

import data.real.basic

open function

variable (f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R})

-- 1^{\underline{a}} demostración
```

```
example
  (h : \forall \{x y\}, f x \le f y \rightarrow x \le y)
  : injective f :=
begin
  intros x y hxy,
  apply le_antisymm,
  { apply h,
    exact le_of_eq hxy, },
  { apply h,
    exact ge_of_eq hxy, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall \{x y\}, f x \le f y \rightarrow x \le y)
  : injective f :=
begin
  intros x y hxy,
  apply le_antisymm,
  { exact h (le_of_eq hxy), },
  { exact h (ge_of_eq hxy), },
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall \{x y\}, f x \le f y \rightarrow x \le y)
  : injective f :=
\lambda x y hxy, le antisymm (h hxy.le) (h hxy.ge)
```

4.23. Los supremos de las sucesiones crecientes son sus límites

4.23.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
(* (limite u c) expresa que el límite de u es c. *)
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool" where
  "limite u c ↔ (∀ε>0. ∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦u n - c¦ ≤ ε)"
(* (supremo u M) expresa que el supremo de u es M. *)
definition supremo :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool" where
  "supremo u M \leftrightarrow ((\foralln. u n \leq M) \land (\forallε>0. \existsk. \foralln\geqk. u n \geq M - ε))"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "mono u"
            "supremo u M"
          "limite u M"
proof (unfold limite def; intro allI impI)
  fix \epsilon :: real
  assume "0 < \epsilon"
  have hM : "((\foralln. u n \leq M) \land (\forall\epsilon>0. \existsk. \foralln\geqk. u n \geq M - \epsilon))"
    using assms(2)
    by (simp add: supremo def)
  then have "\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k. \forall n \geq k. u \ n \geq M - \epsilon"
    by (rule conjunct2)
  then have "\exists k. \forall n \ge k. u n \ge M - \epsilon"
    by (simp only: \langle 0 < \epsilon \rangle)
  then obtain n0 where "∀n≥n0. u n ≥ M - ε"
     by (rule exE)
  have "∀n≥n0. |u n - M| ≤ ε"
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix n
     assume "n ≥ n0"
     show "|u n - M| \le \varepsilon"
    proof (rule abs leI)
       have "∀n. u n ≤ M"
          using hM by (rule conjunct1)
       then have "u n - M \le M - M"
          by simp
       also have "... = 0"
          by (simp only: diff self)
       also have "... ≤ ε"
          using \langle 0 < \epsilon \rangle by (simp only: less imp le)
       finally show "u n - M \leq \epsilon"
          by this
     next
```

```
have "-\epsilon = (M - \epsilon) - M"
          by simp
       also have "... ≤ u n - M"
          using \forall n \ge n0. M - \epsilon \le u n \ge n0 \le n \ge by auto
        finally have "-ε ≤ u n - M"
          by this
       then show "- (u n - M) \leq \epsilon"
          by simp
     qed
  qed
  then show "\exists k. \forall n \geq k. |u \ n \ - M| \le \epsilon"
     by (rule exI)
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "mono u"
             "supremo u M"
  shows "limite u M"
proof (unfold limite_def; intro allI impI)
  fix ε :: real
  assume "0 < \epsilon"
  have hM : "((\foralln. u n \leq M) \land (\forall\epsilon>0. \existsk. \foralln\geqk. u n \geq M - \epsilon))"
     using assms(2)
    by (simp add: supremo def)
  then have "\exists k. \forall n \ge k. u \ n \ge M - \epsilon"
     using \langle 0 < \epsilon \rangle by presburger
  then obtain n0 where "∀n≥n0. u n ≥ M - ε"
     by (rule exE)
  then have "\forall n \ge n0. |u n - M| \le \epsilon"
     using hM by auto
  then show "∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦u n - M¦ ≤ ε"
     by (rule exI)
qed
end
```

4.23.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sea u una sucesión creciente. Demostrar que si M es un supremo de u,
-- entonces el límite de u es M.
```

```
import data.real.basic
variable (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
variable (M : \mathbb{R})
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
-- (limite u c) expresa que el límite de u es c.
def limite (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) (c : \mathbb{R}) :=
  \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall n \geq N, |u n - c| \leq \epsilon
-- (supremo u M) expresa que el supremo de u es M.
def supremo (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) (M : \mathbb{R}) :=
   (\forall \ n, \ u \ n \leq M) \ \land \ \forall \ \epsilon > 0, \ \exists \ n_\theta, \ u \ n_\theta \geq M \ - \ \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (hu : monotone u)
   (hM : supremo u M)
   : limite u M :=
begin
  -- unfold limite,
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  -- unfold supremo at h,
  cases hM with hM<sub>1</sub> hM<sub>2</sub>,
  cases hM<sub>2</sub> ε hε with n<sub>0</sub> hn<sub>0</sub>,
  use n₀,
  intros n hn,
   rw abs_le,
  split,
   { -- unfold monotone at h',
     specialize hu hn,
     calc -ε
            = (M - \epsilon) - M : by ring
       ... ≤ u n<sub>0</sub> - M : sub le sub right hn<sub>0</sub> M
                              : sub le sub right hu M },
       ... ≤ u n - M
   { calc u n - M
            ≤ M - M
                              : sub le sub right (hMı n) M
       ... = 0
                               : sub self M
       ... ≤ ε
                               : le of lt h\epsilon, \},
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
```

```
(hu : monotone u)
  (hM : supremo u M)
   : limite u M :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  cases hM with hM<sub>1</sub> hM<sub>2</sub>,
  cases hM<sub>2</sub> ε hε with n<sub>0</sub> hn<sub>0</sub>,
  use n₀,
  intros n hn,
  rw abs le,
  split,
  { linarith [hu hn] },
  { linarith [hM<sub>1</sub> n] },
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
   (hu : monotone u)
   (hM : supremo u M)
  : limite u M :=
begin
  intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
  cases hM with hM1 hM2,
  cases hM<sub>2</sub> ε hε with n<sub>0</sub> hn<sub>0</sub>,
  use n₀,
  intros n hn,
  rw abs le,
  split; linarith [hu hn, hM1 n],
end
-- 4ª demostración
example
  (hu : monotone u)
  (hM : supremo u M)
  : limite u M :=
assume \epsilon,
assume h\epsilon : \epsilon > 0,
have hM_1 : \forall (n : \mathbb{N}), u n \leq M,
  from hM.left,
have hM_2: \forall (\epsilon : \mathbb{R}), \epsilon > 0 \rightarrow (\exists (n_0 : \mathbb{N}), u n_0 \ge M - \epsilon),
  from hM.right,
exists.elim (hM_2 \epsilon h\epsilon)
  ( assume n<sub>0</sub>,
     assume hn_0: u n_0 \ge M - \epsilon,
     have h1 : \forall n, n \ge n_0 \rightarrow |u n - M| \le \epsilon,
```

```
{ assume n,
    assume hn : n \ge n_0,
    have h2 : -\epsilon \le u \cdot n - M,
       { have h3 : u n_0 \le u n,
           from hu hn,
         calc -ε
               = (M - \epsilon) - M : by ring
          ... ≤ u n₀ - M : sub_le_sub_right hn₀ M
          \ldots \le u n - M : sub_le_sub_right h3 M \},
    have h4 : u n - M \le \varepsilon,
       { calc u n - M
              ≤ M - M : sub le sub right (hM₁ n) M
           ... = 0
                              : sub self M
          ... ≤ ε
                              : le_of_lt hε },
    show |u n - M| \le \varepsilon,
       from abs_le.mpr (and.intro h2 h4) },
show \exists N, \forall n, n \geq N \rightarrow |u n - M| \leq \varepsilon,
  from exists.intro no h1)
```

4.24. Un número es par syss lo es su cuadrado

4.24.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
-- Demostrar que un número es par si y solo si lo es su cuadrado.
-- *

theory Un_numero_es_par_syss_lo_es_su_cuadrado
imports Main
begin

(* 1a demostración *)
lemma
  fixes n :: int
  shows "even (n:2) ↔ even n"

proof (rule iffI)
  assume "even (n:2)"
  show "even n"
  proof (rule ccontr)
  assume "odd n"
  then obtain k where "n = 2*k+1"
```

```
by (rule oddE)
    then have "n \hat{1} = 2*(2*k*(k+1))+1"
    proof -
       have "n \hat{1} = (2*k+1) \hat{1} = 2"
         by (simp add: \langle n = 2 * k + 1 \rangle)
       also have "... = 4 \times k_{1} \times 2 + 4 \times k + 1"
         by algebra
       also have "... = 2*(2*k*(k+1))+1"
         by algebra
       finally show "n_1^2 = 2*(2*k*(k+1))+1".
    then have "\exists k'. n \cdot 2 = 2*k'+1"
       by (rule exI)
    then have "odd (nî2)"
       by fastforce
    then show False
       using <even (n12) > by blast
  qed
next
  assume "even n"
  then obtain k where "n = 2*k"
    by (rule evenE)
  then have "n_{\hat{1}}2 = 2*(2*k_{\hat{1}}2)"
    by simp
  then show "even (n12)"
    by simp
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes n :: int
  shows "even (nî2) ↔ even n"
proof
  assume "even (n12)"
  show "even n"
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "odd n"
    then obtain k where "n = 2*k+1"
       by (rule oddE)
    then have "n \hat{1} = 2*(2*k*(k+1))+1"
       by algebra
    then have "odd (n:2)"
       by simp
    then show False
       using <even (nî2) > by blast
```

```
qed
next
  assume "even n"
  then obtain k where "n = 2*k"
    by (rule evenE)
  then have "n \hat{1} = 2*(2*k\hat{1} = 2)"
    by simp
  then show "even (n:2)"
    by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes n :: int
  shows "even (n n 2) \leftrightarrow even n"
proof -
  have "even (n \cdot 2) = (even n \land (0::nat) < 2)"
    by (simp only: even_power)
  also have "... = (even n Λ True)"
    by (simp only: less_numeral_simps)
  also have "... = even n"
    by (simp only: HOL.simp_thms(21))
  finally show "even (n12) ↔ even n"
    by this
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma
  fixes n :: int
  shows "even (n n 2) \leftrightarrow even n"
proof -
  have "even (n \cdot 2) = (even n \land (0::nat) < 2)"
    by (simp only: even_power)
  also have "... = even n"
    by simp
  finally show "even (n n 2) \leftrightarrow even n".
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes n :: int
  shows "even (n12) ↔ even n"
  by simp
end
```

4.24.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que un número es par si y solo si lo es su cuadrado.
import data.int.parity
import tactic
open int
variable (n : \mathbb{Z})
-- 1ª demostración
example :
  even (n^2) \leftrightarrow \text{even n} :=
begin
  split,
  { contrapose,
    rw ← odd_iff_not_even,
    rw ← odd iff not even,
    unfold odd,
    intro h,
    cases h with k hk,
    use 2*k*(k+1),
    rw hk,
    ring, },
  { unfold even,
    intro h,
    cases h with k hk,
    use 2*k^2,
    rw hk,
    ring, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example :
  even (n^2) \leftrightarrow \text{even n} :=
begin
  split,
  { contrapose,
    rw ← odd_iff_not_even,
    rw ← odd iff not even,
    rintro (k, rfl),
    use 2*k*(k+1),
    ring, },
```

```
{ rintro (k, rfl),
    use 2*k^2,
    ring, },
end
-- 3ª demostración
example:
  even (n^2) \leftrightarrow \text{even } n :=
iff.intro
  ( have h : \neg even n \rightarrow \neg even (n^2),
      { assume h1 : ¬even n,
         have h2 : odd n,
           from odd_iff_not_even.mpr h1,
         have h3: odd (n^2), from
           exists.elim h2
              ( assume k,
                assume hk : n = 2*k+1,
                have h4 : n^2 = 2*(2*k*(k+1))+1, from
                  calc n<sup>2</sup>
                      = (2*k+1)^2
                                          : by rw hk
                  \dots = 4*k^2 + 4*k + 1 : by ring
                  \dots = 2*(\overline{2}*k*(k+1))+1 : by ring,
                show odd (n^2),
                  from exists.intro (2*k*(k+1)) h4),
         show \negeven (n^2),
           from odd_iff_not_even.mp h3 },
    show even (n^2) \rightarrow \text{even } n,
       from not_imp_not.mp h )
  ( assume h1 : even n,
    show even (n^2), from
      exists.elim h1
         ( assume k,
           assume hk : n = 2*k,
           have h2 : n^2 = 2*(2*k^2), from
             calc n<sup>2</sup>
                  = (2*k)^2 : by rw hk
              \dots = 2*(2*k^2) : by ring,
           show even (n^2),
             from exists.intro (2*k^2) h2 ))
-- 4ª demostración
example :
  even (n^2) \leftrightarrow \text{even } n :=
calc even (n^2)
```

```
\leftrightarrow even (n * n) : iff_of_eq (congr_arg even (sq n))
 ... ↔ (even n v even n) : int.even_mul
 ... 

even n : or self (even n)
-- 5ª demostración
example :
 even (n^2) \leftrightarrow \text{even n} :=
calc even (n<sup>2</sup>)
   \leftrightarrow even (n * n) : by ring nf
 ... ↔ (even n v even n) : int.even_mul
                  : by simp
 ... ↔ even n
-- 6ª demostración
example :
  even (n^2) \leftrightarrow \text{even } n :=
begin
  split,
  { contrapose,
   intro h,
    rw \leftarrow odd_iff_not_even at *,
    cases h with k hk,
    use 2*k*(k+1),
    calc n^2
     = (2*k+1)^2 : by rw hk
... = 4*k^2+4*k+1 : by ring
     \dots = 2*(2*k*(k+1))+1 : by ring, \},
  { intro h,
    cases h with k hk,
    use 2*k^2,
    calc n<sup>2</sup>
      = (2*k)^2 : by rw hk
     \dots = 2*(2*k^2) : by ring, \},
end
```

4.25. Acotación de sucesiones convergente

4.25.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* .....
-- Demostrar que si u es una sucesión convergente, entonces está
-- acotada; es decir,
-- \exists k b. \forall n \geq k. |u n| \leq b
theory Acotacion de convergentes
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
(* (limite u c) expresa que el límite de u es c. *)
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool" where
  "limite u c ↔ (∀ε>0. ∃k. ∀n≥k. ¦u n - c¦ ≤ ε)"
(* (convergente u) expresa que u es convergente. *)
definition convergente :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ bool" where
  "convergente u ↔ (∃ a. limite u a)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "convergente u"
  shows "\exists k b. \foralln\geqk. |u n| \leq b"
proof -
  obtain a where "limite u a"
    using assms convergente def by blast
  then obtain k where hk : "∀n≥k. ¦u n - a¦ ≤ 1"
    using limite_def zero_less_one by blast
  have "\foralln≥k. |u n| ≤ 1 + |a|"
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix n
    assume hn : "n \ge k"
    have "|u n| = |u n - a + a|" by simp
    also have "... \leq |u n - a| + |a|" by simp
    also have "... \leq 1 + |a|" by (simp add: hk hn)
    finally show "|u n| \le 1 + |a|".
  then show "\exists k b. \foralln\geqk. |u n| \leq b"
    by (intro exI)
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
  assumes "convergente u"
 shows "\exists k b. \foralln\geqk. |u n| \leq b"
proof -
```

```
obtain a where "limite u a"
    using assms convergente_def by blast
then obtain k where hk : "∀n≥k. |u n - a| ≤ 1"
    using limite_def zero_less_one by blast
have "∀n≥k. |u n| ≤ 1 + |a|"
    using hk by fastforce
then show "∃ k b. ∀n≥k. |u n| ≤ b"
    by auto
qed
end
```

4.25.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que si u es una sucesión convergente, entonces está
-- acotada; es decir,
-- ∃ k b. \forall n \ge k. |u \ n| \le b
import data.real.basic
variable \{u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}\}
variable {a : ℝ}
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
-- (limite u c) expresa que el límite de u es c.
def limite (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) (c : \mathbb{R}) :=
  \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k, \forall n \geq k, |u n - c| \leq \epsilon
-- (convergente u) expresa que u es convergente.
def convergente (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) :=
  ∃ a, limite u a
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (h : convergente u)
  : \exists k b, \forall n, n \ge k \rightarrow |u n| \le b :=
begin
  cases h with a ua,
  cases ua 1 zero_lt_one with k h,
  use [k, 1 + |a|],
```

```
intros n hn,
  specialize h n hn,
  calc |u n|
       = |u n - a + a| : congr_arg abs (eq_add_of_sub_eq rfl)
   \ldots \le |u n - a| + |a|: abs_add (u n - a) a
                      : add_le_add_right h _
   ... ≤ 1 + |a|
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (h : convergente u)
  : \exists k b, \forall n, n \ge k \rightarrow |u n| \le b :=
begin
  cases h with a ua,
  cases ua 1 zero lt one with k h,
  use [k, 1 + |a|],
 intros n hn,
 specialize h n hn,
  calc |u n|
     = |u n - a + a| : by ring_nf
  \dots \le |u n - a| + |a| : abs_add (u n - a) a
   \ldots \le 1 + |a| : by linarith,
end
```

4.26. La paradoja del barbero

4.26.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
proof (rule notI)
  assume "∃ x. \forall y. afeita x y \leftrightarrow ¬ afeita y y"
  then obtain b where "∀ y. afeita b y ↔ ¬ afeita y y"
    by (rule exE)
  then have h : "afeita b b ↔ ¬ afeita b b"
    by (rule allE)
  show False
  proof (cases "afeita b b")
    assume "afeita b b"
    then have "¬ afeita b b"
       using h by (rule rev iffD1)
    then show False
       using <afeita b b> by (rule notE)
  next
    assume "¬ afeita b b"
    then have "afeita b b"
      using h by (rule rev iffD2)
    with <¬ afeita b b > show False
      by (rule notE)
  qed
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  "¬(\exists x::'H. \forall y::'H. afeita x y \leftrightarrow ¬ afeita y y)"
proof
  assume "∃ x. ∀ y. afeita x y ↔ ¬ afeita y y"
  then obtain b where "\forall y. afeita b y \leftrightarrow \neg afeita y y"
    by (rule exE)
  then have h : "afeita b b ↔ ¬ afeita b b"
    by (rule allE)
  then show False
    by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
  "¬(∃ x::'H. \forall y::'H. afeita x y \leftrightarrow ¬ afeita y y)"
  by auto
end
```

4.26.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar la paradoja del barbero https://bit.ly/3eWyvVw es decir,
-- que no existe un hombre que afeite a todos los que no se afeitan a sí
-- mismo y sólo a los que no se afeitan a sí mismo.
import tactic
variable (Hombre : Type)
variable (afeita : Hombre → Hombre → Prop)
-- 1ª demostración
example :
  \neg (\exists x : \mathsf{Hombre}, \forall y : \mathsf{Hombre}, \mathsf{afeita} x y \leftrightarrow \neg \mathsf{afeita} y y) :=
begin
  intro h,
  cases h with b hb,
  specialize hb b,
  by cases (afeita b b),
  { apply absurd h,
     exact hb.mp h, },
  { apply h,
     exact hb.mpr h, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example:
  \neg (\exists x : \mathsf{Hombre}, \forall y : \mathsf{Hombre}, \mathsf{afeita} \ x \ y \leftrightarrow \neg \mathsf{afeita} \ y \ y) :=
begin
  intro h,
  cases h with b hb,
  specialize hb b,
  by cases (afeita b b),
  { exact (hb.mp h) h, },
  { exact h (hb.mpr h), },
end
-- 3ª demostración
example:
  \neg(\exists x : \mathsf{Hombre}, \ \forall \ y : \mathsf{Hombre}, \ \mathsf{afeita} \ \mathsf{x} \ \mathsf{y} \ \rightarrow \ \neg \ \mathsf{afeita} \ \mathsf{y} \ \mathsf{y}) :=
begin
  intro h,
  cases h with b hb,
```

```
specialize hb b,
by itauto,
end

-- 4<sup>a</sup> demostración
example :
 ¬ (∃ x : Hombre, ∀ y : Hombre, afeita x y ↔ ¬ afeita y y ) :=
begin
    rintro (b, hb),
    exact (iff_not_self (afeita b b)).mp (hb b),
end

-- 5<sup>a</sup> demostración
example :
 ¬ (∃ x : Hombre, ∀ y : Hombre, afeita x y ↔ ¬ afeita y y ) :=
λ (b, hb), (iff_not_self (afeita b b)).mp (hb b)
```

4.27. Propiedad de la densidad de los reales

4.27.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(*
--- Sean x, y números reales tales que
--- ∀ z, y < z → x ≤ z
-- Demostrar que x ≤ y.
--- Theory Propiedad_de_la_densidad_de_los_reales
imports Main HOL.Real
begin

(* 1ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes x y :: real
   assumes "∀ z. y < z → x ≤ z"
   shows "x ≤ y"
proof (rule linorder_class.leI; intro notI)
  assume "y < x"
  then have "∃z. y < z ∧ z < x"
  by (rule dense)</pre>
```

```
then obtain a where ha : "y < a \Lambda a < X"
    by (rule exE)
  have "¬ a < a"
    by (rule order.irrefl)
  moreover
  have "a < a"
  proof -
    have "y < a \rightarrow x \leq a"
      using assms by (rule allE)
    moreover
    have "y < a"
      using ha by (rule conjunct1)
    ultimately have "x ≤ a"
      by (rule mp)
    moreover
    have "a < x"
      using ha by (rule conjunct2)
    ultimately show "a < a"
      by (simp only: less le trans)
  qed
  ultimately show False
    by (rule notE)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes "\square z. y < z \square x \leq z"
  shows "X \leq Y"
proof (rule linorder class.leI; intro notI)
  assume "y < x"
  then have "\exists z. y < z \land z < x"
    by (rule dense)
  then obtain a where hya : "y < a" and hax : "a < x"
    by auto
  have "¬ a < a"
    by (rule order.irrefl)
  moreover
  have "a < a"
  proof -
    have "a < x"
      using hax .
    also have "... ≤ a"
      using assms[OF hya] .
    finally show "a < a" .
```

```
qed
  ultimately show False
    by (rule notE)
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes "\square z. y < z \square x \leq z"
  shows "x ≤ y"
proof (rule linorder class.leI; intro notI)
  assume "y < x"
  then have "\exists z. y < z \land z < x"
    by (rule dense)
  then obtain a where hya : "y < a" and hax : "a < x"
    by auto
  have "¬ a < a"
    by (rule order.irrefl)
  moreover
  have "a < a"
    using hax assms[OF hya] by (rule less_le_trans)
  ultimately show False
    by (rule notE)
ged
(* 4º demostración *)
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes "\square z. y < z \square x \leq z"
  shows "x \le y"
by (meson assms dense not_less)
(* 5ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes "\square z. y < z \square x \leq z"
  shows "x \le y"
using assms by (rule dense_ge)
(* 6ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes "\forall z. y < z \rightarrow x \leq z"
  shows "x \le y"
using assms by (simp only: dense_ge)
```

end

4.27.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sean x, y números reales tales que
-- \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \leq z
-- Demostrar que x \le y.
import data.real.basic
variables \{x \ y : \mathbb{R}\}
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \leq z) :
  x \le y :=
begin
  apply le_of_not_gt,
  intro hxy,
  cases (exists_between hxy) with a ha,
  apply (lt_irrefl a),
  calc a
       < x : ha.2
   \dots \le a : h a ha.1,
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \le z) :
  x ≤ y :=
begin
  apply le of not gt,
  intro hxy,
  cases (exists_between hxy) with a ha,
  apply (lt_irrefl a),
  exact lt_of_lt_of_le ha.2 (h a ha.1),
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
(h : \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \leq z) :
```

```
x ≤ y :=
begin
  apply le_of_not_gt,
  intro hxy,
  cases (exists_between hxy) with a ha,
  exact (lt_irrefl a) (lt_of_lt_of_le ha.2 (h a ha.1)),
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \le z) :
  x ≤ y :=
begin
  apply le_of_not_gt,
  intro hxy,
  rcases (exists_between hxy) with (a, ha),
  exact (lt_irrefl a) (lt_of_lt_of_le ha.2 (h a ha.1)),
end
-- 4º demostración
example
  (h : \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \leq z) :
  X \leq y :=
begin
  apply le of not gt,
  intro hxy,
  rcases (exists_between hxy) with (a, hya, hax),
  exact (lt_irrefl a) (lt_of_lt_of_le hax (h a hya)),
end
-- 5ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \leq z) :
  x \le y :=
le of not gt (\lambda hxy,
  let (a, hya, hax) := exists between hxy in
  lt irrefl a (lt of lt of le hax (h a hya)))
-- 6ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall z, y < z \rightarrow x \le z) :
  X \leq y :=
le_of_forall_le_of_dense h
```

4.28. Propiedad cancelativa del producto de números naturales

4.28.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Sean k, m, n números naturales. Demostrar que
-- k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0
theory Propiedad cancelativa del producto de numeros naturales
imports Main
begin
(* 1<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes k m n :: nat
  shows "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0"
  have "k \neq 0 \mid k * m = k * n \mid m = n"
  proof (induct n arbitrary: m)
    assume "k \neq 0" and "k * m = k * 0"
    show "m = 0"
       using \langle k * m = k * 0 \rangle
       by (simp only: mult_left_cancel[0F \langle k \neq 0 \rangle])
  next
    fix n m
    assume HI : "[m. [k \neq 0; k * m = k * n]] [m = n"]
        and hk : "k \neq 0"
        and "k * m = k * Suc n"
    then show "m = Suc n"
     proof (cases m)
       assume m = 0
       then show "m = Suc n"
         using \langle k * m = k * Suc n \rangle
         by (simp only: mult_left_cancel[0F \langle k \neq 0 \rangle])
    next
       fix m'
       assume "m = Suc m'"
       then have "k * Suc m' = k * Suc n"
         using \langle k * m = k * Suc n \rangle by (rule subst)
       then have "k * m' + k = k \overline{*} n + k"
```

```
by (simp only: mult_Suc_right)
       then have "k * m' = k * n"
         by (simp only: add_right_imp_eq)
       then have m' = n''
         by (simp only: HI[0F hk])
       then show "m = Suc n"
         by (simp only: <m = Suc m'>)
    qed
  qed
  then show "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0"
    by auto
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
lemma
  fixes k m n :: nat
  shows "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0"
proof -
  have "k \neq 0 \square k * m = k * n \square m = n"
  proof (induct n arbitrary: m)
    fix m
    assume "k \neq 0" and "k * m = k * 0"
    then show "m = 0" by simp
  next
    fix n m
    assume "[m. [k \neq 0; k * m = k * n]] [m = n"]
        and "k \neq 0"
        and "k * m = k * Suc n"
    then show "m = Suc n"
    proof (cases m)
       assume m = 0
       then show "m = Suc n"
         using \langle k * m = k * Suc n \rangle \langle k \neq 0 \rangle by auto
    next
       fix m'
       assume "m = Suc m'"
       then show "m = Suc n"
         using \langle k * m = k * Suc n \rangle \langle k \neq 0 \rangle by force
    qed
  qed
  then show "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0" by auto
qed
(* 3<mark>ª demostració</mark>n *)
lemma
```

```
fixes k m n :: nat
  shows "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0"
  have "k \neq 0 \square k * m = k * n \square m = n"
  proof (induct n arbitrary: m)
    case 0
    then show ? case
      by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then show ?case
    proof (cases m)
      case 0
      then show ? thesis
        using Suc.prems by auto
    next
      case (Suc nat)
      then show ?thesis
        using Suc.prems by auto
    qed
  qed
  then show ? thesis
    by auto
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
  fixes k m n :: nat
  shows "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0"
proof -
  have "k \neq 0 \square k * m = k * n \square m = n"
  proof (induct n arbitrary: m)
    case 0
    then show "m = 0" by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then show "m = Suc n"
      by (cases m) (simp_all add: eq_commute [of 0])
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes k m n :: nat
```

```
shows "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0"

by (simp only: mult_cancel1)

(* 6 demostración *)

lemma

fixes k m n :: nat

shows "k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0"

by simp

end
```

4.28.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Sean k, m, n números naturales. Demostrar que
-- \qquad k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0
import data.nat.basic
open nat
variables {k m n : N}
-- Para que no use la notación con puntos
set_option pp.structure_projections false
-- 1ª demostración
example :
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0 :=
begin
  have h1: k \neq 0 \rightarrow k * m = k * n \rightarrow m = n,
    { induction n with n HI generalizing m,
      { by finish, },
      { cases m,
        { by finish, },
        { intros hk hS,
          congr,
          apply HI hk,
          rw mul_succ at hS,
          rw mul_succ at hS,
          exact add_right_cancel hS, }}},
  by finish,
end
```

```
-- 2ª demostración
example:
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0 :=
begin
  have h1: k \neq 0 \rightarrow k * m = k * n \rightarrow m = n,
     { induction n with n HI generalizing m,
       { by finish, },
       { cases m,
         { by finish, },
         { intros hk hS,
            congr,
            apply HI hk,
            simp only [mul succ] at hS,
           exact add right cancel hS, }}},
  by finish,
end
-- 3ª demostración
example:
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0 :=
begin
  have h1: k \neq 0 \rightarrow k * m = k * n \rightarrow m = n,
     { induction n with n HI generalizing m,
       { by finish, },
       { cases m,
         { by finish, },
         { by finish, }},
  by finish,
end
-- 4ª demostración
example :
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0 :=
  have h1: k \neq 0 \rightarrow k * m = k * n \rightarrow m = n,
    { induction n with n HI generalizing m,
       { by finish, },
      { cases m; by finish }},
  by finish,
end
-- 5ª demostración
example:
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0 :=
```

```
begin
  have h1: k \neq 0 \rightarrow k * m = k * n \rightarrow m = n,
     { induction n with n HI generalizing m ; by finish },
  by finish,
end
-- 5ª demostración
example:
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0 :=
  by cases hk : k = 0,
  { by simp, },
  { rw mul_right_inj' hk,
    by tauto, },
end
-- 6ª demostración
example:
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n v k = 0 :=
mul eq mul left iff
-- 7ª demostración
example:
  k * m = k * n \leftrightarrow m = n \lor k = 0 :=
by simp
```

4.29. Límite de sucesión menor que otra sucesión

4.29.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- entonces a ≤ c.
theory Limite de sucesion menor que otra sucesion
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
definition limite :: "(nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real ⇒ bool"
  where "limite u c \leftrightarrow (\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists k::nat. \forall n \geq k. \mid u \ n \ - \ c \mid < \epsilon)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "limite u a"
           "limite v c"
           "\forall n. u n \leq v n"
         "a ≤ c"
  shows
proof (rule leI ; intro notI)
  assume "c < a"</pre>
  let ?\epsilon = "(a - c) /2"
  have "0 < ?ε"
    using < c < a> by simp
  obtain Nu where HNu : "∀n≥Nu. ¦u n - a¦ < ?ε"
    using assms(1) limite_def < 0 < ?e> by blast
  obtain Nv where HNv : "∀n≥Nv. ¦v n - c¦ < ?ε"
    using assms(2) limite_def < 0 < ?e> by blast
  let ?N = "max Nu Nv"
  have "?N ≥ Nu"
    by simp
  then have Ha : "|u|?N - a| < ?\epsilon"
    using HNu by simp
  have "?N ≥ Nv"
    by simp
  then have Hc : "|v|?N - c|<?\epsilon"
    using HNv by simp
  have "a - c < a - c"
  proof -
    have "a - c = (a - u ?N) + (u ?N - c)"
      by simp
    also have "... \leq (a - u ?N) + (v ?N - c)"
      using assms(3) by auto
    also have "... \leq |(a - u ?N) + (v ?N - c)|"
       by (rule abs ge self)
    also have "... ≤ |a - u ?N| + |v ?N - c|"
      by (rule abs triangle ineq)
    also have "... = |u| ?N - a| + |v| ?N - c|"
```

```
by (simp only: abs minus commute)
    also have "... < ?\epsilon + ?\epsilon"
      using Ha Hc by (simp only: add_strict_mono)
    also have "... = a - c"
      by (rule field_sum_of_halves)
    finally show "a - c < a - c"
      by this
  qed
  have "¬ a - c < a - c"
    by (rule less irrefl)
  then show False
    using <a - c < a - c> by (rule notE)
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "limite u a"
           "limite v c"
           "\forall n. u n \leq v n"
  shows "a ≤ c"
proof (rule leI ; intro notI)
  assume "c < a"
  let ?\epsilon = "(a - c) /2"
  have "0 < ?ε"
    using < c < a> by simp
  obtain Nu where HNu : "∀n≥Nu. ¦u n - a¦ < ?ε"
    using assms(1) limite def \langle 0 < ? \epsilon \rangle by blast
  obtain Nv where HNv : "∀n≥Nv. ¦v n - c¦ < ?ε"
    using assms(2) limite_def <0 < ?\epsilon > by blast
  let ?N = "max Nu Nv"
  have "?N ≥ Nu"
    by simp
  then have Ha : "|u|?N - a| < ?\epsilon"
    using HNu by simp
  then have Ha' : "u ?N - a < ?\epsilon \Lambda -(u ?N - a) < ?\epsilon"
    by argo
  have "?N ≥ Nv"
    by simp
  then have Hc : "|v|?N - c|<?\epsilon"
    using HNv by simp
  then have Hc': "v ?N - c < ?\epsilon \Lambda -(v ?N - c) < ?\epsilon"
    by argo
  have "a - c < a - c"
    using assms(3) Ha' Hc'
    by (smt (verit, best) field sum of halves)
```

```
have "¬ a - c < a - c"
    by simp
  then show False
    using <a - c < a - c > by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "limite u a"
          "limite v c"
           "\forall n. u n \leq v n"
         "a ≤ c"
  shows
proof (rule leI ; intro notI)
  assume "c < a"
  let ?\epsilon = "(a - c) /2"
  have "0 < ?ε"
    using < c < a> by simp
  obtain Nu where HNu : "∀n≥Nu. ¦u n - a¦ < ?ε"
    using assms(1) limite_def \langle 0 < ? \epsilon \rangle by blast
  obtain Nv where HNv : "∀n≥Nv. ¦v n - c¦ < ?ε"
    using assms(2) limite_def \langle 0 < ? \epsilon \rangle by blast
  let ?N = "max Nu Nv"
  have "?N ≥ Nu"
    by simp
  then have Ha : "|u|?N - a|<?\epsilon"
    using HNu by simp
  then have Ha': "u ?N - a < ?\epsilon \Lambda -(u ?N - a) < ?\epsilon"
    by argo
  have "?N ≥ Nv"
    by simp
  then have Hc : "|v|?N - c|<?\epsilon"
    using HNv by simp
  then have Hc': "v ?N - c < ?\epsilon \Lambda -(v ?N - c) < ?\epsilon"
    by argo
  show False
    using assms(3) Ha' Hc'
    by (smt (verit, best) field sum of halves)
qed
end
```

4.29.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, una sucesión u₀, u₁, u₂, ... se puede representar mediante
-- una función (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) de forma que u(n) es un.
-- Se define que a es el límite de la sucesión u, por
      def\ limite: (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \to Prop:=
       \lambda \ u \ a, \ \forall \ \varepsilon > 0, \ \exists \ N, \ \forall \ n \ge N, \ |u \ n \ - \ a| < \varepsilon
-- donde se usa la notación |x| para el valor absoluto de x
-- notation |x| := abs x
-- Demostrar que si u_n \rightarrow a, v_n \rightarrow c y u_n \leq v_n para todo n, entonces
-- a ≤ c.
import data.real.basic
import tactic
variables (u \ v : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
variables (a c : \mathbb{R})
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) (c : \mathbb{R}) :=
\forall \ \epsilon > 0, \ \exists \ N, \ \forall \ n \geq N, \ |u \ n - c| < \epsilon
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (hu : limite u a)
   (hv : limite v c)
  (hle : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
   : a ≤ c :=
begin
  apply le_of_not_lt,
  intro hlt,
  set \varepsilon := (a - c) / 2 with heac,
  have he : 0 < \epsilon :=
    half_pos (sub_pos.mpr hlt),
  cases hu ε hε with Nu HNu,
  cases hv \epsilon he with Nv HNv,
  let N := max Nu Nv,
  have HNu' : Nu ≤ N := le_max_left Nu Nv,
  have HNv' : Nv ≤ N := le_max_right Nu Nv,
  have Ha : |u N - a| < \epsilon := HNu N HNu',
```

```
have Hc : |v N - c| < \epsilon := HNv N HNv',
  have HN : u N \le v N := hle N,
  apply lt irrefl (a - c),
  calc a - c
       = (a - u N) + (u N - c) : by ring
   \ldots \leq (a - u N) + (v N - c) : by simp [HN]
   \dots \le |(a - u N) + (v N - c)| : le abs self ((a - u N) + (v N - c))
   \ldots \le |a - u N| + |v N - c| : abs_add (a - u N) (v N - c)
   ... = |u N - a| + |v N - c|
                                   : by simp only [abs sub]
                                   : add lt_add Ha Hc
   3 + 3 > ...
                                   : add halves (a - c),
   \dots = a - c
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hu : limite u a)
  (hv : limite v c)
  (hle : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
  : a ≤ c :=
begin
  apply le_of_not_lt,
  intro hlt,
  set \epsilon := (a - c) / 2 with h\epsilon,
  cases hu ε (by linarith) with Nu HNu,
  cases hv ε (by linarith) with Nv HNv,
  let N := max Nu Nv,
  have Ha : |u N - a| < \epsilon :=
    HNu N (le max left Nu Nv),
  have Hc : |v N - c| < \epsilon :=
    HNv N (le_max_right Nu Nv),
  have HN : u N \le v N := hle N,
  apply lt irrefl (a - c),
  calc a - c
       = (a - u N) + (u N - c)
                                   : by ring
   \dots \le (a - u N) + (v N - c) : by simp [HN]
   ... \le |(a - u N) + (v N - c)| : le abs self ((a - u N) + (v N - c))
   \ldots \leq |a - u N| + |v N - c| : abs add (a - u N) (v N - c)
   ... = |u N - a| + |v N - c|
                                   : by simp only [abs sub]
   3 + 3 > ...
                                   : add lt add Ha Hc
                                   : add halves (a - c),
   \dots = a - c
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
 (hu : limite u a)
```

```
(hv : limite v c)
  (hle : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
  : a ≤ c :=
begin
 apply le of not lt,
 intro hlt,
 set \epsilon := (a - c) / 2 with h\epsilon,
 cases hu ε (by linarith) with Nu HNu,
 cases hv ε (by linarith) with Nv HNv,
 let N := max Nu Nv,
 have Ha : |u N - a| < \epsilon :=
    HNu N (le max left Nu Nv),
 have Hc : |v N - c| < \epsilon :=
    HNv N (le_max_right Nu Nv),
 have HN : u N \le v N := hle N,
 apply lt irrefl (a - c),
 calc a - c
       = (a - u N) + (u N - c) : by ring
   \dots \le (a - u N) + (v N - c) : by simp [HN]
   \dots \le |(a - u N) + (v N - c)| : by simp [le_abs_self]
   \ldots \le |a - u N| + |v N - c| : by simp [abs_add]
   \dots = |u N - a| + |v N - c| : by simp [abs sub]
                                    : add lt add Ha Hc
   ... < E + E
                                    : by simp,
   \dots = a - c
end
-- 4º demostración
example
 (hu : limite u a)
  (hv : limite v c)
  (hle : \forall n, u n \leq v n)
  : a ≤ c :=
begin
 apply le of not lt,
 intro hlt,
 set \epsilon := (a - c) / 2 with h\epsilon,
 cases hu ε (by linarith) with Nu HNu,
 cases hv ε (by linarith) with Nv HNv,
 let N := max Nu Nv,
 have Ha : |u N - a| < \epsilon :=
    HNu N (le max left Nu Nv),
 have Hc : |v N - c| < \epsilon :=
    HNv N (le_max_right Nu Nv),
 have HN : u N \le v N := hle N,
 apply lt irrefl (a - c),
```

```
rw abs_lt at Ha Hc,
  linarith,
end
```

4.30. Las sucesiones acotadas por cero son nulas

4.30.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- Demostrar que las sucesiones acotadas por cero son nulas.
theory Las_sucesiones_acotadas_por_cero_son_nulas
imports Main HOL.Real
begin
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  fixes a :: "nat ⇒ real"
  assumes "\forall n. |a n| \leq 0"
  shows "\forall n. a n = 0"
proof (rule allI)
 fix n
  have "|a n| = 0"
  proof (rule antisym)
    show "|a n| \le 0"
     using assms by (rule allE)
    show " 0 \le |a| n|"
     by (rule abs_ge_zero)
  then show "a n = 0"
   by (simp only: abs_eq_0_iff)
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes a :: "nat ⇒ real"
  assumes "\forall n. |a n| \le 0"
```

```
shows "\foralln. a n = 0"
proof (rule allI)
  fix n
  have "|a n| = 0"
  proof (rule antisym)
    show "\mid a n \mid \leq 0" try
      using assms by (rule allE)
  next
    show " 0 \le |a n|"
     by simp
  ged
  then show "a n = 0"
    by simp
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  fixes a :: "nat ⇒ real"
  assumes "\forall n. |a n| \leq 0"
  shows "\forall n. a n = 0"
proof (rule allI)
  fix n
  have "|a n| = 0"
    using assms by auto
  then show "a n = 0"
    by simp
qed
(* 4ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes a :: "nat ⇒ real"
  assumes "\forall n. |a n| \leq 0"
  shows "\forall n. a n = 0"
using assms by auto
end
```

4.30.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que las sucesiones acotadas por cero son nulas.
```

```
import data.real.basic
import tactic
variable (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall n, |u n| \le 0)
  : \forall n, u n = 0 :=
begin
  intro n,
  rw ← abs_eq_zero,
  specialize h n,
  apply le_antisymm,
  { exact h, },
  { exact abs_nonneg (u n), },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall n, |u n| \leq 0)
  : \forall n, u n = 0 :=
begin
  intro n,
  rw ← abs_eq_zero,
  specialize h n,
  exact le antisymm h (abs nonneg (u n)),
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (h : \forall n, |u n| \leq 0)
  : \forall n, u n = 0 :=
begin
  intro n,
  rw ← abs_eq_zero,
  exact le_antisymm (h n) (abs_nonneg (u n)),
-- 4º demostración
example
 (h : \forall n, |u n| \le 0)
: ∀ n, u n = 0 :=
```

```
begin
   intro n,
   exact abs_eq_zero.mp (le_antisymm (h n) (abs_nonneg (u n))),
end

-- 5<sup>a</sup> demostración
example
   (h : ∀ n, |u n| ≤ θ)
   : ∀ n, u n = θ :=
λ n, abs_eq_zero.mp (le_antisymm (h n) (abs_nonneg (u n)))

-- 6<sup>a</sup> demostración
example
   (h : ∀ n, |u n| ≤ θ)
   : ∀ n, u n = θ :=
by finish
```

4.31. Producto de una sucesión acotada por otra convergente a cero

4.31.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
"limite (\lambda n. u n * v n) 0"
  shows
proof -
  obtain B where hB : "∀n. ¦u n¦ ≤ B"
    using assms(1) acotada def by auto
  then have hBnoneg : "0 ≤ B" by auto
  show "limite (\lambda n. u n * v n) 0"
  proof (cases "B = 0")
    assume "B = 0"
    show "limite (\lambda n. u n * v n) 0"
    proof (unfold limite def; intro allI impI)
       fix ε :: real
      assume "0 < \epsilon"
      have "\foralln≥0. |u n * v n - 0| < ε"
      proof (intro allI impI)
         fix n :: nat
         assume "n \geq 0"
         show "|u n * v n - 0| < \epsilon"
           using \langle 0 < \epsilon \rangle \langle B = 0 \rangle hB by auto
      then show "\exists k. \forall n \geq k. |u n * v n - 0| < \epsilon"
         by (rule exI)
    qed
  next
    assume "B ≠ 0"
    then have hBpos : "0 < B"
       using hBnoneg by auto
    show "limite (\lambda n. u n * v n) 0"
    proof (unfold limite def; intro allI impI)
       fix ε :: real
       assume "0 < \epsilon"
      then have "0 < \epsilon/B"
         by (simp add: hBpos)
       then obtain N where hN : "∀n≥N. ¦v n - 0¦ < ε/B"
         using assms(2) limite def by auto
       have "∀n≥N. |u n * v n - 0| < ε"
       proof (intro allI impI)
         fix n :: nat
         assume "n ≥ N"
         have "|v n| < \epsilon/B"
           using \langle N \leq n \rangle hN by auto
         have "|u n * v n - 0| = |u n| * |v n|"
           by (simp add: abs mult)
         also have "... ≤ B * |v n|"
           by (simp add: hB mult_right_mono)
         also have "... < B * (\epsilon/B)"
```

```
using <!v n! < ε/Β> hBpos
by (simp only: mult_strict_left_mono)
also have "... = ε"
using ⟨B ≠ 0⟩ by simp
finally show "!u n * v n - 0! < ε"
by this
qed
then show "∃k. ∀n≥k. !u n * v n - 0! < ε"
by (rule exI)
qed
qed
qed
qed
end</pre>
```

4.31.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Demostrar que el producto de una sucesión acotada por una convergente
-- a 0 también converge a 0.
import data.real.basic
import tactic
variables (u \ v : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R})
variable (a : \mathbb{R})
notation `|`x`|` := abs x
def limite (u : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) (c : \mathbb{R}) :=
\forall \ \epsilon > 0, \exists \ N, \forall \ n \ge N, |u \ n - c| < \epsilon
def acotada (a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}) :=
\exists B, \forall n, |a n| \leq B
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (hU : acotada u)
  (hV : limite v 0)
  : limite (u*v) 0 :=
begin
cases hU with B hB,
```

```
have hBnoneg : 0 \le B,
    calc 0 \le |u \ 0| : abs_nonneg (u \ 0)
       \dots \leq B : hB 0,
  by cases hB0 : B = 0,
  { subst hB0,
    intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
    use 0,
    intros n hn,
    simp_rw [sub_zero] at *,
    calc | (u * v) n|
         = |u n * v n| : congr arg abs (pi.mul apply u v n)
     \dots = |u n| * |v n| : abs mul (u n) (v n)
     \ldots \leq 0 * |v n|
                         : mul le mul of nonneg right (hB n) (abs nonneg (v n))
                           : zero mul (|v n|)
     ... = 0
     ... < ε
                           : hε, },
  { change B \neq 0 at hB0,
    have hBpos : 0 < B := (ne.le iff lt hB0.symm).mp hBnoneg,
    intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
    cases hV (\epsilon/B) (div_pos he hBpos) with N hN,
    use N,
    intros n hn,
    simp rw [sub zero] at *,
    calc | (u * v) n|
         = |u n * v n| : congr arg abs (pi.mul apply u v n)
     \dots = |u n| * |v n| : abs_mul (u n) (v n)
     \dots \le B * |v n| : mul_le_mul_of_nonneg_right (hB n) (abs_nonneg _)
                         : mul_lt_mul_of_pos_left (hN n hn) hBpos
     \dots < B * (\epsilon/B)
     ... = ε
                            : mul div cancel' ε hB0 },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
 (hU : acotada u)
  (hV : limite v ⊙)
 : limite (u*v) 0 :=
begin
 cases hU with B hB,
 have hBnoneg : 0 \le B,
    calc 0 \le |u \ 0| : abs nonneg (u \ 0)
       \dots \leq B : hB 0,
 by cases hB0 : B = 0,
  { subst hB0,
    intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
    use 0,
    intros n hn,
```

```
simp_rw [sub_zero] at *,
   calc |(u * v) n|
        = |u n| * |v n| : by finish [abs_mul]
    \dots \le 0 * |v n| : mul_le_mul_of_nonneg_right (hB n) (abs_nonneg (v n))
    ... = 0
                       : by ring
    ... < ε
                       : hε, },
  { change B \neq 0 at hB0,
   have hBpos : 0 < B := (ne.le_iff_lt hB0.symm).mp hBnoneg,</pre>
   intros \varepsilon h\varepsilon,
   cases hV (\epsilon/B) (div pos he hBpos) with N hN,
   use N,
   intros n hn,
   simp rw [sub zero] at *,
   calc | (u * v) n|
      = |u n| * |v n| : by finish [abs_mul]
    ... = ε
                        : mul_div_cancel' ε hB0 },
end
```

Capítulo 5

Ejercicios de agosto de 2021

5.1. La congruencia módulo 2 es una relación de equivalencia

5.1.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- Se define la relación R entre los números enteros de forma que x está
-- relacionado con y si x-y es divisible por 2. Demostrar que R es una
-- relación de equivalencia.
theory La congruencia modulo 2 es una relacion de equivalencia
imports Main
begin
definition R :: "(int × int) set" where
  "R = \{(m, n). \text{ even } (m - n)\}"
lemma R_iff [simp]:
 "((x, y) \in R) = even (x - y)"
by (simp add: R def)
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma "equiv UNIV R"
proof (rule equivI)
  show "refl R"
  proof (unfold refl_on_def; intro conjI)
    show "R ⊆ UNIV × UNIV"
    proof -
```

```
have "R ⊆ UNIV"
        by (rule top.extremum)
      also have "... = UNIV × UNIV"
        by (rule Product_Type.UNIV_Times_UNIV[symmetric])
      finally show "R ⊆ UNIV × UNIV"
        by this
    qed
  next
    show "\forall x \in UNIV. (x, x) \in R"
    proof
      fix x :: int
      assume "x ∈ UNIV"
      have "even 0" by (rule even zero)
      then have "even (x - x)" by (simp only: diff_self)
      then show "(x, x) \in R"
        by (simp only: R iff)
    qed
  qed
next
  show "sym R"
 proof (unfold sym_def; intro allI impI)
    fix x y :: int
    assume "(x, y) \in R"
    then have "even (x - y)"
      by (simp only: R iff)
    then show "(y, x) \in R"
    proof (rule evenE)
      fix a :: int
      assume ha : "x - y = 2 * a"
      have "y - x = -(x - y)"
        by (rule minus_diff_eq[symmetric])
      also have "... = -(2 * a)"
        by (simp only: ha)
      also have "... = 2 * (-a)"
        by (rule mult_minus_right[symmetric])
      finally have "y - x = 2 * (-a)"
        by this
      then have "even (y - x)"
        by (rule dvdI)
      then show "(y, x) \in R"
        by (simp only: R iff)
    qed
 qed
next
  show "trans R"
```

```
proof (unfold trans def; intro allI impI)
    fix x y z
    assume hxy : "(x, y) \in R" and hyz : "(y, z) \in R"
    have "even (x - y)"
      using hxy by (simp only: R_iff)
    then obtain a where ha : "x - y = 2 * a"
      by (rule dvdE)
    have "even (y - z)"
      using hyz by (simp only: R_iff)
    then obtain b where hb : "y - z = 2 * b"
      by (rule dvdE)
    have "x - z = (x - y) + (y - z)"
      by simp
    also have "... = (2 * a) + (2 * b)"
      by (simp only: ha hb)
    also have "... = 2 * (a + b)"
      by (simp only: distrib left)
    finally have "x - z = 2 * (a + b)"
      by this
    then have "even (x - z)"
      by (rule dvdI)
    then show "(x, z) \in R"
      by (simp only: R iff)
  ged
qed
(* 2<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "equiv UNIV R"
proof (rule equivI)
  show "refl R"
  proof (unfold refl_on_def; intro conjI)
    show "R ⊆ UNIV × UNIV" by simp
  next
    show "\forall x \in UNIV. (x, x) \in R"
    proof
      fix x :: int
      assume "x ∈ UNIV"
      have "x - x = 2 * 0"
        by simp
      then show "(x, x) \in R"
        by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  show "sym R"
```

```
proof (unfold sym def; intro allI impI)
    fix x y :: int
    assume "(x, y) \in R"
    then have "even (x - y)"
      by simp
    then obtain a where ha : "x - y = 2 * a"
      by blast
    then have "y - x = 2 * (-a)"
      by simp
    then show "(y, x) \in R"
      by simp
  ged
next
  show "trans R"
  proof (unfold trans_def; intro allI impI)
    fix x y z
    assume hxy : "(x, y) \in R" and hyz : "(y, z) \in R"
    have "even (x - y)"
      using hxy by simp
    then obtain a where ha : "x - y = 2 * a"
      by blast
    have "even (y - z)"
      using hyz by simp
    then obtain b where hb : "y - z = 2 * b"
      by blast
    have "x - z = 2 * (a + b)"
      using ha hb by auto
    then show "(x, z) \in R"
      by simp
 qed
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "equiv UNIV R"
proof (rule equivI)
  show "refl R"
  proof (unfold refl_on_def; intro conjI)
    show " R ⊆ UNIV × UNIV"
      by simp
  next
    show "\forall x \in UNIV. (x, x) \in R"
      by simp
  qed
next
  show "sym R"
```

```
proof (unfold sym_def; intro allI impI)
    fix x y
    assume "(x, y) \in R"
    then show "(y, x) \in R"
      by simp
  qed
next
  show "trans R"
  proof (unfold trans_def; intro allI impI)
    fix x y z
    assume "(x, y) \in R" and "(y, z) \in R"
    then show "(x, z) \in R"
      by simp
  qed
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma "equiv UNIV R"
proof (rule equivI)
  show "refl R"
    unfolding refl_on_def by simp
  show "sym R"
    unfolding sym def by simp
next
  show "trans R"
    unfolding trans_def by simp
ged
(* 5<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "equiv UNIV R"
  unfolding equiv_def refl_on_def sym_def trans_def
  by simp
(* 6<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma "equiv UNIV R"
  by (simp add: equiv_def refl_on_def sym_def trans_def)
end
```

5.1.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- Se define la relación R entre los números enteros de forma que x está
-- relacionado con y si x-y es divisible por 2. Demostrar que R es una
-- relación de equivalencia.
import data.int.basic
import tactic
def R (m n : \mathbb{Z}) := 2 | (m - n)
-- 1ª demostración
example : equivalence R :=
begin
  repeat {split},
  { intro x,
    unfold R,
    rw sub self,
    exact dvd zero 2, },
  { intros x y hxy,
    unfold R,
    cases hxy with a ha,
    use -a,
    calc y - x
         = -(x - y) : (neg\_sub x y).symm
     ... = -(2 * a) : by rw ha
     ... = 2 * -a : neg_mul_eq_mul_neg 2 a, },
  { intros x y z hxy hyz,
    cases hxy with a ha,
    cases hyz with b hb,
    use a + b,
    calc x - z
         = (x - y) + (y - z) : (sub\_add\_sub\_cancel x y z).symm
     \dots = 2 * a + 2 * b : congr_arg2 ((+)) ha hb \dots = 2 * (a + b) : (mul_add 2 a b).symm , },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example : equivalence R :=
begin
  repeat {split},
  { intro x,
    simp [R], },
```

```
{ rintros x y (a, ha),
  use -a,
  linarith, },
{ rintros x y z (a, ha) (b, hb),
  use a + b,
  linarith, },
end
```

5.2. Las funciones con inversa por la izquierda son inyectivas

5.2.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, se puede definir que f tenga inversa por la
-- izquierda por
-- definition tiene inversa izq :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
       "tiene_inversa_izq f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \ \forall x. \ g \ (f \ x) = x)"
-- Además, que f es inyectiva sobre un conjunto está definido por
-- definition inj on :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool"
      where "inj_on f A \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. f x = f y \rightarrow x = y)"
-- y que f es inyectiva por
-- abbreviation inj :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool"
        where "inj f \equiv inj on f UNIV"
-- Demostrar que si f tiene inversa por la izquierda, entonces f es
-- invectiva.
theory Las_funciones_con_inversa_por_la_izquierda_son_inyectivas
imports Main
begin
definition tiene inversa izq :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
  "tiene inversa izq f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \forall x. g (f x) = x)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "tiene_inversa_izq f"
  shows "inj f"
```

```
proof (unfold inj def; intro allI impI)
  fix x y
  assume "f x = f y"
  obtain g where hg : "\forall x. g (f x) = x"
    using assms tiene_inversa_izq_def by auto
  have x = g (f x)
    by (simp only: hg)
  also have "... = g (f y)"
    by (simp only: \langle f x = f y \rangle)
  also have "... = y"
    by (simp only: hg)
  finally show "x = y".
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "tiene inversa izq f"
         "inj f"
  shows
  by (metis assms inj_def tiene_inversa_izq_def)
end
```

5.2.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, que g es una inversa por la izquierda de f está definido por
-- left_inverse (g : β → α) (f : α → β) : Prop :=
-- ∀ x, g (f x) = x
-- y que f tenga inversa por la izquierda está definido por
-- has_left_inverse (f : α → β) : Prop :=
-- ∃ finv : β → α, left_inverse finv f
-- Finalmente, que f es inyectiva está definido por
-- injective (f : α → β) : Prop :=
-- ∀ □x y□, f x = f y → x = y
-- Demostrar que si f tiene inversa por la izquierda, entonces f es
-- inyectiva.

import tactic
open function

universes u v
```

```
variables \{\alpha : Type u\}
variable \{\beta : Type \ v\}
variable \{f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta\}
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (hf : has left inverse f)
  : injective f :=
begin
  intros x y hxy,
  unfold has_left_inverse at hf,
  unfold left inverse at hf,
  cases hf with g hg,
  calc x = g (f x) : (hg x).symm
     \dots = g (f y) : congr_arg g hxy
     \dots = y : hg y
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hf : has_left_inverse f)
  : injective f :=
begin
  intros x y hxy,
  cases hf with g hg,
  calc x = g (f x) : (hg x).symm
     \dots = g (f y) : congr_arg g hxy
     \dots = y : hg y
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (hf : has_left_inverse f)
  : injective f :=
exists.elim hf (\lambda finv inv, inv.injective)
-- 4ª demostración
example
  (hf : has_left_inverse f)
  : injective f :=
has left inverse.injective hf
```

5.3. Las funciones inyectivas tienen inversa por la izquierda

5.3.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* ______
-- En Isabelle/HOL, se puede definir que f tenga inversa por la
-- izquierda por
      definition tiene inversa izq :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
         "tiene_inversa_izq f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \ \forall x. \ g \ (f \ x) = x)"
-- Además, que f es inyectiva sobre un conjunto está definido por
      definition inj_on :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool"
       where "inj on f A \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. f x = f y \rightarrow x = y)"
-- y que f es inyectiva por
-- abbreviation inj :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool"
         where "inj f \equiv inj on f UNIV"
-- Demostrar que si f es una función inyectiva, entonces f tiene
-- inversa por la izquierda.
theory Las funciones inyectivas tienen inversa por la izquierda
imports Main
begin
definition tiene_inversa_izq :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
  "tiene_inversa_izq f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \forall x. g (f x) = x)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "tiene_inversa_izq f"
proof (unfold tiene inversa izq def)
  let |?|g = "(\lambda y. SOME x. f x = y)"
  have "\forall x. ?g (f x) = x"
  proof (rule allI)
    fix a
    have "\exists x. f x = f a"
      by auto
    then have "f (?g (f a)) = f a"
      by (rule someI ex)
    then show "?g (f a) = a"
      using assms
```

```
by (simp only: injD)
  qed
  then show "(\exists g. \forall x. g (f x) = x)"
    by (simp only: exI)
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "tiene inversa izq f"
proof (unfold tiene inversa izg def)
  have "\forall x. inv f (f x) = x"
  proof (rule allI)
    fix x
    show "inv f (f x) = x"
      using assms by (simp only: inv_f_f)
  then show "(\exists g. \forall x. g (f x) = x)"
    by (simp only: exI)
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "inj f"
  shows "tiene_inversa_izq f"
proof (unfold tiene inversa izq def)
  have "\forall x. inv f (f x) = x"
    by (simp add: assms)
  then show "(\exists g. \forall x. g (f x) = x)"
    by (simp only: exI)
qed
end
```

5.3.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, que g es una inversa por la izquierda de f está definido por left_inverse (g:\beta\to\alpha) (f:\alpha\to\beta) : Prop := \forall x, g (fx)=x -- y que f tenga inversa por la izquierda está definido por has_left_inverse (f:\alpha\to\beta) : Prop := \exists finv : \beta\to\alpha, left_inverse finv f
```

```
-- Finalmente, que f es inyectiva está definido por
       injective (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
           \forall \ [x \ y], \ f \ x = f \ y \rightarrow x = y
-- Demostrar que si f es una función inyectiva con dominio no vacío,
-- entonces f tiene inversa por la izquierda.
import tactic
open function classical
variables \{\alpha \ \beta \colon \ \mathsf{Type}^*\}
variable \{f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta\}
-- 1ª demostración
example
  [h\alpha : nonempty \alpha]
  (hf : injective f)
  : has left inverse f :=
begin
  classical,
  unfold has left inverse,
  let g := \lambda y, if h : \exists x, f x = y then some h else choice h\alpha,
  unfold left_inverse,
  intro a,
  have h1 : \exists x : \alpha, fx = fa := Exists.intro a rfl,
  dsimp at *,
  dsimp [g],
  rw dif pos h1,
  apply hf,
  exact some spec h1,
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  [h\alpha : nonempty \alpha]
  (hf : injective f)
  : has_left_inverse f :=
begin
  classical,
  let g := \lambda y, if h : \exists x, f x = y then some h else choice h\alpha,
  use g,
  intro a,
  have h1 : \exists x : \alpha, fx = fa := Exists.intro a rfl,
```

```
dsimp [g],
  rw dif_pos h1,
  exact hf (some_spec h1),
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  [h\alpha : nonempty \alpha]
  (hf : injective f)
  : has_left_inverse f :=
begin
  unfold has left inverse,
  use inv fun f,
  unfold left_inverse,
 intro x,
  apply hf,
  apply inv_fun_eq,
  use x,
end
-- 4ª demostración
example
  [h\alpha : nonempty \alpha]
  (hf : injective f)
  : has_left_inverse f :=
begin
  use inv_fun f,
  intro x,
 apply hf,
  apply inv_fun_eq,
  use x,
end
-- 5ª demostración
example
  [h\alpha : nonempty \alpha]
  (hf : injective f)
  : has_left_inverse f :=
(inv_fun f, left_inverse_inv_fun hf)
-- 6ª demostración
example
  [h\alpha : nonempty \alpha]
  (hf : injective f)
 : has_left_inverse f :=
```

```
injective.has_left_inverse hf
```

5.4. Una función tiene inversa por la izquierda si y solo si es inyectiva

5.4.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, se puede definir que f tenga inversa por la
-- izquierda por
      definition tiene_inversa_izq :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
        "tiene_inversa_izq f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \ \forall x. \ g \ (f \ x) = x)"
-- Además, que f es inyectiva sobre un conjunto está definido por
      definition inj on :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool"
       where "inj on f A \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. f x = f y \rightarrow x = y)"
-- y que f es inyectiva por
-- abbreviation inj :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool"
          where "inj f \equiv inj on f UNIV"
-- Demostrar que una función f, con dominio no vacío, tiene inversa por
-- la izquierda si y solo si es inyectiva.
theory Una_funcion_tiene_inversa_por_la_izquierda_si_y_solo_si_es_inyectiva
imports Main
begin
definition tiene inversa izq :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
  "tiene inversa izq f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \forall x. g (f x) = x)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  "tiene inversa izq f ↔ inj f"
proof (rule iffI)
  assume "tiene_inversa_izq f"
  show "inj f"
  proof (unfold inj_def; intro allI impI)
    fix x y
    assume "f x = f y"
    obtain g where hg : "\forall x. g (f x) = x"
```

```
using < tiene_inversa_izq f > tiene_inversa_izq_def
      by auto
    have "x = g (f x)"
      by (simp only: hg)
    also have "... = g (f y)"
      by (simp only: \langle f x = f y \rangle)
    also have "... = y"
      by (simp only: hg)
    finally show "x = y".
 qed
next
 assume "inj f"
 show "tiene_inversa_izq f"
 proof (unfold tiene_inversa_izq_def)
    have "\forall x. inv f (f x) = x"
    proof (rule allI)
      fix x
      show "inv f (f x) = x"
        using <inj f> by (simp only: inv_f_f)
    qed
 then show "(\exists g. \forall x. g (f x) = x)"
    by (simp only: exI)
 ged
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
  "tiene_inversa_izq f ↔ inj f"
proof (rule iffI)
 assume "tiene_inversa_izq f"
 then show "inj f"
    by (metis inj_def tiene_inversa_izq_def)
next
  assume "inj f"
 then show "tiene inversa izq f"
    by (metis the inv f f tiene inversa izq def)
ged
(* 3ª demostración *)
  "tiene_inversa_izq f ↔ inj f"
by (metis tiene_inversa_izq_def inj_def the_inv_f_f)
end
```

5.4.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, que g es una inversa por la izquierda de f está definido por
-- left inverse (g : \beta \rightarrow \alpha) (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
      \forall x, g (f x) = x
-- y que f tenga inversa por la izquierda está definido por
    has left inverse (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
          \exists finv : \beta \rightarrow \alpha, left inverse finv f
-- Finalmente, que f es inyectiva está definido por
-- injective (f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
        \forall \ \ |x \ y|, \ f \ x = f \ y \rightarrow x = y
-- Demostrar que una función f, con dominio no vacío, tiene inversa por
-- la izquierda si y solo si es inyectiva.
import tactic
open function
variables \{\alpha : Type^*\} [nonempty \alpha]
variable {β : Type*}
variable \{f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta\}
-- 1ª demostración
example : has_left_inverse f ↔ injective f :=
begin
  split,
  { intro hf,
    intros x y hxy,
    cases hf with g hg,
    calc x = g (f x) : (hg x).symm
        \dots = g (f y) : congr_arg g hxy
        \dots = y \qquad : hg y, \},
  { intro hf,
    use inv_fun f,
    intro x,
    apply hf,
    apply inv_fun_eq,
    use x, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example : has_left_inverse f ↔ injective f :=
begin
```

```
split,
    { intro hf,
        exact has_left_inverse.injective hf },
    { intro hf,
        exact injective.has_left_inverse hf },
end

-- 3ª demostración
example : has_left_inverse f ↔ injective f :=
(has_left_inverse.injective, injective.has_left_inverse)

-- 4ª demostración
example : has_left_inverse f ↔ injective f :=
injective_iff_has_left_inverse.symm
```

5.5. Las funciones con inversa por la derecha son suprayectivas

5.5.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
shows "surj f"
proof (unfold surj_def; intro allI)
  fix y
  obtain g where "∀y. f (g y) = y"
    using assms tiene_inversa_dcha_def by auto
  then have "f (g y) = y"
    by (rule allE)
  then have y = f(g y)
    by (rule sym)
  then show "\exists x. y = f x"
    by (rule exI)
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "tiene_inversa_dcha f"
         "surj f"
  shows
proof (unfold surj_def; intro allI)
  fix y
  obtain g where "\forall y. f (g y) = y"
    using assms tiene_inversa_dcha_def by auto
  then have y = f(g y)
    by simp
  then show "\exists x. y = f x"
    by (rule exI)
qed
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "tiene_inversa_dcha f"
  shows "surj f"
proof (unfold surj_def; intro allI)
  fix y
  obtain g where "\forall y. f (g y) = y"
    using assms tiene_inversa_dcha_def by auto
  then show "\exists x. y = f x"
    by metis
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "tiene inversa dcha f"
         "surj f"
  shows
proof (unfold surj_def; intro allI)
  fix y
```

```
show "∃x. y = f x"
    using assms tiene_inversa_dcha_def
    by metis

qed

(* 5a demostracion *)
lemma
    assumes "tiene_inversa_dcha f"
    shows "surj f"

using assms tiene_inversa_dcha_def surj_def
by metis

end
```

5.5.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, que q es una inversa por la izquierda de f está definido por
-- left inverse (g : \beta \rightarrow \alpha) (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
\forall x, g (f x) = x
-- que g es una inversa por la derecha de f está definido por
-- right_inverse (g : \beta \rightarrow \alpha) (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
        left inverse f g
-- y que f tenga inversa por la derecha está definido por
    has_right_inverse (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
         \exists g : \beta \rightarrow \alpha, right inverse g f
-- Finalmente, que f es suprayectiva está definido por
-- def surjective (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
         \forall b, \exists a, fa = b
-- Demostrar que si la función f tiene inversa por la derecha, entonces
-- f es suprayectiva.
import tactic
open function
variables {α β: Type*}
variable \{f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta\}
-- 1ª demostración
example
 (hf : has right inverse f)
```

```
: surjective f :=
begin
  unfold surjective,
  unfold has_right_inverse at hf,
  cases hf with g hg,
  intro b,
 use g b,
 exact hg b,
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hf : has_right_inverse f)
  : surjective f :=
begin
  intro b,
  cases hf with g hg,
  use g b,
  exact hg b,
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (hf : has right inverse f)
  : surjective f :=
begin
  intro b,
  cases hf with q hq,
 use [g b, hg b],
end
-- 4ª demostración
example
  (hf : has_right_inverse f)
  : surjective f :=
has_right_inverse.surjective hf
```

5.6. Las funciones suprayectivas tienen inversa por la derecha

5.6.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
(* -----
-- En Isabelle/HOL, se puede definir que f tenga inversa por la
-- derecha por
      definition tiene inversa dcha :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
        "tiene_inversa_dcha f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \ \forall y. \ f \ (g \ y) = y)"
-- Demostrar que si f es una función suprayectiva, entonces f tiene
-- inversa por la derecha.
theory Las_funciones_suprayectivas_tienen_inversa_por_la_derecha
imports Main
begin
definition tiene inversa dcha :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
  "tiene inversa dcha f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \forall y. f (g y) = y)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "surj f"
  shows "tiene inversa dcha f"
proof (unfold tiene_inversa_dcha_def)
  let |?g = "\lambda y. SOME x. f x = y"
  have "\forall y. f (?g y) = y"
  proof (rule allI)
    fix y
    have "\exists x. y = f x"
      using assms by (rule surjD)
    then have "\exists x. f x = y"
      by auto
    then show "f (?g y) = y"
      by (rule someI ex)
  then show "\exists g. \forall y. f (g y) = y"
    by auto
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
```

```
lemma
  assumes "surj f"
         "tiene inversa dcha f"
proof (unfold tiene_inversa_dcha_def)
  let |?g = "\lambda y. SOME x. f x = y"
  have "\forall y. f (?g y) = y"
  proof (rule allI)
    fix y
    have "\exists x. f x = y"
      by (metis assms surjD)
    then show "f (?g y) = y"
      by (rule someI ex)
  qed
  then show "\exists g. \forall y. f (g y) = y"
    by auto
ged
(* 3<sup>a</sup> demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "surj f"
  shows "tiene_inversa_dcha f"
proof (unfold tiene_inversa_dcha_def)
  have "\forall y. f (inv f y) = y"
    by (simp add: assms surj f inv f)
  then show "\exists g. \forall y. f (g y) = y"
    by auto
qed
(* 4º demostración *)
lemma
  assumes "surj f"
  shows "tiene inversa dcha f"
  by (metis assms surjD tiene_inversa_dcha_def)
end
```

5.6.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, que g es una inversa por la izquierda de f está definido por left_inverse (g:\beta\to\alpha) (f:\alpha\to\beta) : Prop := -- \forall x, g (fx)=x -- que g es una inversa por la derecha de f está definido por
```

```
-- right_inverse (g : \beta \rightarrow \alpha) (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
-- left_inverse f g
-- y que f tenga inversa por la derecha está definido por
    has_right_inverse (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
          \exists g : \beta \rightarrow \alpha, right inverse g f
-- Finalmente, que f es suprayectiva está definido por
       def surjective (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
          \forall b, \exists a, fa = b
- -
-- Demostrar que si f es una función suprayectiva, entonces f tiene
-- inversa por la derecha.
import tactic
open function classical
variables \{\alpha \ \beta \colon Type^*\}
variable \{f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta\}
-- 1ª demostración
example
  (hf : surjective f)
  : has_right_inverse f :=
begin
  unfold has_right_inverse,
  let g := \lambda y, some (hf y),
  unfold function.right inverse,
  unfold function.left inverse,
  intro b,
  apply some_spec (hf b),
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hf : surjective f)
  : has right inverse f :=
begin
  let g := \lambda y, some (hf y),
  use g,
  intro b,
  apply some_spec (hf b),
end
-- 3ª demostración
```

```
example
  (hf : surjective f)
  : has_right_inverse f :=
begin
 use surj_inv hf,
 intro b,
  exact surj_inv_eq hf b,
end
-- 4ª demostración
example
 (hf : surjective f)
  : has_right_inverse f :=
begin
  use surj inv hf,
  exact surj_inv_eq hf,
-- 5ª demostración
example
  (hf : surjective f)
  : has_right_inverse f :=
begin
  use [surj inv hf, surj inv eq hf],
end
-- 6ª demostración
example
  (hf : surjective f)
  : has_right_inverse f :=
(surj_inv hf, surj_inv_eq hf)
-- 7ª demostración
example
 (hf : surjective f)
 : has right inverse f :=
(_, surj_inv_eq hf)
-- 8ª demostración
example
  (hf : surjective f)
  : has right inverse f :=
surjective.has_right_inverse hf
```

5.7. Una función tiene inversa por la derecha si y solo si es suprayectiva

5.7.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- En Isabelle/HOL, se puede definir que f tenga inversa por la
-- derecha por
      definition tiene inversa dcha :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
        "tiene inversa dcha f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \ \forall y. \ f \ (g \ y) = y)"
-- Demostrar que la función f tiene inversa por la derecha si y solo si
-- es suprayectiva.
theory Una funcion tiene inversa por la derecha si y solo si es suprayectiva
imports Main
begin
definition tiene inversa dcha :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
  "tiene inversa dcha f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. \forall y. f (g y) = y)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  "tiene inversa dcha f ↔ surj f"
proof (rule iffI)
  assume hf : "tiene_inversa_dcha f"
  show "surj f"
  proof (unfold surj_def; intro allI)
    obtain g where "\forall y. f (g y) = y"
      using hf tiene inversa dcha def by auto
    then have "f (g y) = y"
      by (rule allE)
    then have "y = f (g y)"
      by (rule sym)
    then show "\exists x. y = f x"
      by (rule exI)
  ged
next
  assume hf : "surj f"
  show "tiene_inversa_dcha f"
  proof (unfold tiene_inversa_dcha_def)
```

```
let rac{1}{2}g = "\lambda y. SOME x. f x = y"
    have "\forall y. f (?g y) = y"
    proof (rule allI)
      fix y
      have "\exists x. f x = y"
        by (metis hf surjD)
      then show "f (?g y) = y"
         by (rule someI ex)
  then show "\exists g. \forall y. f (g y) = y"
    by auto
  qed
qed
(* 2º demostración *)
lemma
  "tiene_inversa_dcha f ↔ surj f"
proof (rule iffI)
  assume "tiene inversa dcha f"
  then show "surj f"
    using tiene inversa dcha def surj def
    by metis
next
  assume "surj f"
  then show "tiene_inversa_dcha f"
    by (metis surjD tiene inversa dcha def)
qed
end
```

5.7.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean, que g es una inversa por la izquierda de f está definido por left_inverse (g:\beta\to\alpha) (f:\alpha\to\beta) : Prop := \forall x, g (fx)=x -- que g es una inversa por la derecha de f está definido por right_inverse (g:\beta\to\alpha) (f:\alpha\to\beta) : Prop := left_inverse f g -- y que f tenga inversa por la derecha está definido por has_right_inverse (f:\alpha\to\beta) : Prop := \exists g : \beta\to\alpha, right_inverse g f -- Finalmente, que f es suprayectiva está definido por
```

```
-- def surjective (f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta) : Prop :=
     \forall b, \exists a, fa = b
-- Demostrar que la función f tiene inversa por la derecha si y solo si
-- es suprayectiva.
import tactic
open function classical
variables \{\alpha \ \beta \colon Type^*\}
variable \{f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta\}
-- 1ª demostración
example : has right inverse f ↔ surjective f :=
begin
  split,
  { intros hf b,
    cases hf with g hg,
    use g b,
    exact hg b, },
  { intro hf,
    let g := \lambda y, some (hf y),
    use q,
    intro b,
    apply some_spec (hf b), },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example : has_right_inverse f ↔ surjective f :=
surjective_iff_has_right_inverse.symm
```

5.8. Las funciones con inversa son biyectivas

5.8.1. Demostraciones con Isabelle/HOL

```
-- y que f tiene inversa por
-- definition tiene_inversa :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
        "tiene inversa f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. inversa f g)"
-- Demostrar que si la función f tiene inversa, entonces f es biyectiva.
theory Las_funciones_con_inversa_son_biyectivas
imports Main
begin
definition inversa :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool" where
  "inversa f g \leftrightarrow (\forall x. (g \circ f) x = x) \land (\forall y. (f \circ g) y = y)"
definition tiene inversa :: "('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool" where
  "tiene_inversa f \leftrightarrow (\exists g. inversa f g)"
(* 1º demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'b"
  assumes "tiene_inversa f"
         "bij f"
  shows
proof -
  obtain g where h1 : "\forall x. (g \circ f) x = x" and
                  h2 : "\forall y. (f \circ g) y = y"
    by (meson assms inversa_def tiene_inversa_def)
  show "bij f"
  proof (rule bijI)
    show "inj f"
    proof (rule injI)
      fix x y
      assume "f x = f y"
      then have "g (f x) = g (f y)"
        by simp
      then show "x = y"
        using h1 by simp
    qed
  next
    show "surj f"
    proof (rule surjI)
      fix y
      show "f (g y) = y"
        using h2 by simp
    qed
  qed
```

```
qed
(* 2ª demostración *)
lemma
  fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'b"
  assumes "tiene_inversa f"
        "bij f"
  shows
proof -
  obtain g where h1 : "\forall x. (g \circ f) x = x" and
                  h2 : "\forall y. (f \circ g) y = y"
    by (meson assms inversa def tiene inversa def)
  show "bij f"
  proof (rule bijI)
    show "inj f"
    proof (rule injI)
      fix x y
      assume "f x = f y"
      then have "g (f x) = g (f y)"
        by simp
      then show "x = y"
        using h1 by simp
    qed
  next
    show "surj f"
    proof (rule surjI)
      fix y
      show "f (g y) = y"
        using h2 by simp
    ged
  qed
qed
end
```

5.8.2. Demostraciones con Lean

```
-- En Lean se puede definir que g es una inversa de f por

-- def inversa (f: X \to Y) (g: Y \to X) :=

-- (\forall x, (g \circ f) x = x) \land (\forall y, (f \circ g) y = y)

-- y que f tiene inversa por

-- def tiene_inversa (f: X \to Y) :=

-- \exists g, inversa g f
```

```
-- Demostrar que si la función f tiene inversa, entonces f es biyectiva.
import tactic
open function
variables {X Y : Type*}
variable (f : X → Y)
def inversa (f : X \rightarrow Y) (g : Y \rightarrow X) :=
  (\forall x, (g \circ f) x = x) \land (\forall y, (f \circ g) y = y)
def tiene_inversa (f : X → Y) :=
  ∃ g, inversa g f
-- 1ª demostración
example
 (hf : tiene inversa f)
  : bijective f :=
  rcases hf with \langle g, \langle h1, h2 \rangle \rangle,
  split,
  { intros a b hab,
    calc a = g (f a) : (h2 a).symm
        \dots = g (f b) : congr arg g hab
        ... = b : h2 b, \},
  { intro y,
    use g y,
    exact h1 y, },
end
-- 2ª demostración
example
  (hf : tiene inversa f)
  : bijective f :=
begin
  rcases hf with \langle g, \langle h1, h2 \rangle \rangle,
  split,
  { intros a b hab,
    calc a = g (f a) : (h2 a).symm
        \dots = g (f b) : congr_arg g hab
       ... = b : h2 b, \},
  { intro y,
    use [g y, h1 y], },
```

```
end
-- 3ª demostración
example
  (hf : tiene inversa f)
  : bijective f :=
begin
  rcases hf with (g, (h1, h2)),
  split,
 { exact left inverse.injective h2, },
  { exact right inverse.surjective h1, },
end
-- 4ª demostración
example
  (hf : tiene inversa f)
  : bijective f :=
begin
  rcases hf with (g, (h1, h2)),
  exact (left_inverse.injective h2,
          right_inverse.surjective h1>,
end
-- 5ª demostración
example :
  tiene_inversa f → bijective f :=
  rintros (g, (h1, h2)),
  exact (left inverse.injective h2,
          right_inverse.surjective h1),
end
-- 6ª demostración
example:
 tiene_inversa f → bijective f :=
\lambda \langle g, \langle h1, h2 \rangle \rangle, \langle left\_inverse.injective h2,
                    right inverse.surjective h1>
```

Índice alfabético

Conjuntos Diferencia, 17, 24, 42, 47, 128, 132 Intersección, 9, 13, 21, 29, 35, 39, 47, 59, 61, 65, 77, 120, 124, 135, 146	Grupos cancelativa, 211 inversos, 200, 203, 207 neutro, 197
Intersección general, 65, 70, 158, 161, 168	Lógica de primer orden, <mark>288</mark>
Unión, 13, 21, 24, 35, 39, 42, 47, 56, 70, 83, 114, 142, 149 Unión general, 61, 153, 165 Funciones	Monoides, 222 cancelativos, 194 inversos, 177, 187, 191 neutro, 187
biyectivas, <mark>343</mark>	potencias, <mark>181</mark> , <mark>217</mark>
Imagen, 83, 91, 107, 120, 124, 128, 135, 142, 146, 149, 153, 158, 161 Imagen inversa, 77, 91, 94, 97, 100, 104, 110, 114, 122, 125, 142,	congruencia modular, 317
104, 110, 114, 132, 135, 142, 146, 149, 165, 168	Paridad, <mark>56</mark> , <mark>59</mark> Primos, 59
inversa, 343 inversa por la derecha, 333, 337, 341	Números reales densidad, 291 inducción, 296
inversa por la izquierda, 323, 326, 330	orden, <mark>291</mark>
inyectivas, 97, 124, 161, 323, 326, 330	Relaciones de equivalencia, 317
suprayectivas, 104, 172, 333, 337, 341	Sucesiones acotadas, 285, 308
Funciones reales crecientes, 260, 264, 268 decrecientes, 264 involutivas, 268 inyectivas, 273	convergentes, 285 crecientes, 275 límite, 226, 230, 234, 237, 243, 247, 251, 255, 275, 301, 311 supremo, 275

Índice alfabético 349

Teorema de Cantor, 172 Teorema del emparedado, 255 350 Índice alfabético

Bibliografía

- [1] J. A. Alonso. Lógica con Lean ¹, 2020.
- [2] J. A. Alonso. Lean para matemáticos ², 2021.
- [3] J. A. Alonso. Matemáticas en Lean ³, 2021.
- [4] J. A. Alonso. DAO (Demostración Asistida por Ordenador) con Lean ⁴, 2021.
- [5] J. Avigad, K. Buzzard, R. Y. Lewis, and P. Massot. Mathematics in Lean ⁵, 2020.
- [6] J. Avigad, L. de Moura, and S. Kong. Theorem Proving in Lean ⁶, 2021.
- [7] J. Avigad, R. Y. Lewis, and F. van Doorn. Logic and proof ⁷, 2020.
- [8] A. Baanen, A. Bentkamp, J. Blanchette, J. Hölzl, and J. Limperg. The Hitch-hiker's Guide to Logical Verification ⁸, 2020.
- [9] K. Buzzard. Course on formalising mathematics ⁹, 2021.
- [10] K. Buzzard and M. Pedramfar. The Natural Number Game, version 1.3.3 $_{10}^{}$

¹https://raw.githubusercontent.com/jaalonso/Logica_con_Lean/master/Logica_con_ Lean.pdf

²https://github.com/jaalonso/Lean_para_matematicos

³https://github.com/jaalonso/Matematicas en Lean

⁴https://raw.githubusercontent.com/jaalonso/DAO con Lean/master/DAO con Lean.pdf

⁵https://leanprover-community.github.io/mathematics_in_lean/

⁶https://leanprover.github.io/theorem proving in lean/theorem proving in lean.pdf

⁷https://leanprover.github.io/logic_and_proof

⁸https://raw.githubusercontent.com/blanchette/logical_verification_2020/master/ hitchhikers guide.pdf

⁹https://github.com/ImperialCollegeLondon/formalising-mathematics

¹⁰https://www.ma.imperial.ac.uk/~buzzard/xena/natural number game/

352 Bibliografía

- [11] P. Massot. Introduction aux mathématiques formalisées 11.
- [12] Varios. LFTCM 2020: Lean for the Curious Mathematician 2020 12.

[13] Varios. Isabelle/HOL: Higher-Order Logic ¹³, 2021.

¹¹https://www.imo.universite-paris-saclay.fr/~pmassot/enseignement/math114/

¹²https://leanprover-community.github.io/lftcm2020/schedule.html

¹³https://isabelle.in.tum.de/dist/library/HOL/HOL/document.pdf