

Investigation of Non-normality in a Simple Errors-in-variables Model

Lee Chun Yin 3035469140

April 2021

1 Abstract

2 Introduction

Consider the problem of regression through the origin with only one explanatory variable:

$$y = \beta x + \epsilon$$

In real life applications, usually we will first obtain pairs of observations $(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i)$, then apply a model by performing linear regression on the data. However, we only have observations on the observed $\tilde{x}_i = x_i + u_i$ and $\tilde{y}_i = y_i + v_i$, which have some additive error compared to the true x and y that these model assumptions are based on. We further assume that the measurement errors u_i and v_i have mean zero and constant variances, and that the measurement error is uncorrelated and independent to the true values x and y . This gives rise to the *errors-in-variables model*. This imposes a different problem from the classical linear regression model, because the classical model assumes that the observed \tilde{x} is nonrandom, that we have access to the true value of explanatory variable x without any error.

Furthermore, in the classical linear regression model, we often assume that the observed dependent variable y is subject to some ϵ following $N(0, \sigma^2)$. However, the normality assumption often does not hold for real life datasets. For example, when the errors in the dataset have heavy-tails and/or have skewed shapes, then the normal assumption may not be appropriate. For instance, when dealing with datasets with heavy-tailed errors, one of the practices is to assume

t -distributed errors instead of normal-distributed errors, as the light-tailedness of the normal distribution essentially implies that we assume that large errors occur with very low probability, which may not be true in datasets of poorer quality. To make the situation more complicated, in practice non-normality in errors may happen in both the residual ϵ and the observation matter u . Thus, there is a need to investigate the impacts of non-normality on the *errors-in-variables model*.

In this project, we investigate how the non-normality of errors in both the explanatory variable x and the residual of the dependent variable y affects the estimation of the regression coefficient $\hat{\beta}$ and the estimation of variance of error σ_ϵ^2 . We first perform a literature review on existing results on the *errors-in-variables model*. Then we will describe in detail the methodology computer simulation technique to produce results. Finally, we will present the results and findings from the computer simulations. The code used in this project can be found in the appendix.

3 Literature review

We mainly refer to the lecture notes written by Pischke [?] for the errors-in-variables model.

Suppose we wish to estimate the relationship $y = \beta x + \epsilon$, but we only have data on $\tilde{x} = x + u$. Also, let's further assume that $\sigma_u^2 = 0$, i.e. there is only measurement error in x .

If we substitute $\tilde{x} = x + u$ into $y = \beta x + \epsilon$, we obtain:

$$y_i = \beta(\tilde{x}_i - u_i) + \epsilon_i = \beta\tilde{x} + (\epsilon - \beta u)$$

As the measurement error in x becomes part of the residual error term in the model, the exogeneity assumption of the Gauss-Markov theorem is violated as $cov(u, \tilde{x}) \neq 0$. Thus, the ordinary least-squares (OLS) estimator of β may not be the *best linear unbiased estimator*. Unlike the case of with measurement error, the OLS and MME estimators are different. In fact, We will see that the OLS estimators of β and σ_ϵ^2 are biased. In order to obtain unbiased and consistent estimates, we would have to resort to the method of moments (MME) estimators instead.

3.1 OLS and MME for β

Suppose we use the ordinary least-squares (OLS) estimator for β :

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\text{cov}(\tilde{x}, y)}{\text{var}(\tilde{x})} = \frac{\text{cov}(x + u, \beta x + \epsilon)}{\text{var}(x + u)}$$

Because ϵ , u and x are independent to each other, we can obtain

$$\text{plim } \hat{\beta} = \frac{\beta \sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_u^2} = \lambda \beta$$

where

$$\lambda \equiv \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_u^2}$$

This λ is also called the reliability or signal-to-variance ratio.

Therefore, we can see that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is biased towards zero because $0 < \lambda < 1$. The sign of the bias depends on the sign of the true β .

In order to obtain consistent estimates for β , we can use the MME estimator instead. Suppose we have some prior knowledge on the measurement errors and have obtained the value of σ_x^2 , σ_u^2 or λ . Then we can apply the appropriate adjustment for the bias in the OLS $\hat{\beta}$ as $\sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2 = \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_u^2$, and $\sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2$ can be directly measured from the observed data.

Some MME estimators for β using the first and second moments alone are shown below:

Table 1: Various MME estimators for β

Assumption	Method of Moments Estimator
σ_x^2 known	—
σ_u^2 known	$\frac{\sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2}{\sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2 - \sigma_u^2}$
Reliability ratio λ known	—

For example, in practice, we can obtain the values of σ_u^2 via repeated measurements [?].

3.2 OLS and MME for σ_ϵ^2

The usual way in OLS to estimate σ_ϵ^2 is to calculate $\hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2$ as the sum of squares of the residuals divided by the degrees of freedom $n - d$. To find out what happens to $\hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2$, we can first look at what happens to the estimated residual variance first [?]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\epsilon} &= y - \hat{\beta}\tilde{x} \\
 &= y - \hat{\beta}(x + u) \\
 &= \epsilon - (y - \beta x) + y - \hat{\beta}x - \hat{\beta}u \\
 &= \epsilon + (\beta - \hat{\beta})x - \hat{\beta}u
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Thus, we can see that the true error term is obfuscated by two additional sources of error.

We can further obtain the OLS estimated variance as we assumed earlier that ϵ , x and u are uncorrelated:

$$\text{plim } \hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2 + (1 - \lambda)^2 \beta^2 \sigma_x^2 + \lambda^2 \beta^2 \sigma_u^2$$

In order to obtain the MME estimator for σ_ϵ^2 , similar to the case for $\hat{\beta}_{MME}$, if we have some prior knowledge on the observation error, we can obtain consistent estimates for σ_x^2 , σ_u^2 , λ and β . Thus, rearranging the expression for $\hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2$ gives:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon MME}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon OLS}^2 - (1 - \lambda)^2 \hat{\beta}_{MME}^2 \sigma_x^2 - \lambda^2 \hat{\beta}_{MME}^2 \sigma_u^2$$

4 Methadology

The computer simulation method was employed in order to investigate the impacts of non-normal errors on the OLS and MME estimates.

Algorithm 1: How to write algorithms

Result: Write here the result
initialization;
while *While condition* **do**
 instructions;
 if *condition* **then**
 instructions1;
 instructions2;
 else
 instructions3;
 end
end

5 Results and discussions

6 Acknowledgements

7 Appendix

References

- [1] Steve Pischke. *Lecture Notes on Measurement Error*. 2007.
- [2] Jonathan Gillard. *Method of Moments Estimation in Linear Regression with Errors in both Variables*. 2014.