

A Serious Research

CHAN Tai-Man

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment

of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Philosophy

in

Computer Science and Engineering

Supervised by

Prof. PAN Peter

©The Chinese University of Hong Kong

June 2004

The Chinese University of Hong Kong holds the copyright of this thesis. Any person(s) intending to use a part or whole of the materials in the thesis in a proposed publication must seek copyright release from the Dean of the Graduate School.

Abstract of thesis entitled:

A Serious Research

Submitted by CHAN Tai-Man

for the degree of Master of Philosophy

at The Chinese University of Hong Kong in June 2004

This is the abstract in no more than 350 words.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my supervisor...

This work is dedicated to...

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	ii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Outline of Main Results	8
1.2 Structure of the Thesis	8
2 Classical Results of the MCF	10
2.1 Maximum Principles and Preliminary Geometric Identities	12
2.2 Evolution Equations for Geometric Quantities . .	18

2.3	Preservation of the convexity and the pinching condition	23
2.4	Stampacchia's iteration	25
2.5	Further Generalizations	32
3	Free Boundary MCF in Riemannian Manifolds	34
3.1	Definitions and Notations	34
3.2	Covariant Formulation of the Mean Curvature Flow	36
3.2.1	Subbundles	37
3.2.2	Time-dependent Immersion	40
3.3	Boundary Derivatives	42
3.4	Stampacchia's Iteration	47
3.4.1	Michael-Simon with free boundary	48
3.4.2	Main theorem and the idea of proof	52
3.4.3	Boundary Integral Estimate	54
3.4.4	Higher L^p bound	57
3.4.5	Iteration Scheme and the Uniform bound	61

A Equation Derivation	65
Bibliography	66

List of Figures

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Introduction

Summary

The introduction comes here.

The last few decades have witnessed a significant development in the field of geometric flow, which leads to many remarkable accomplishments in geometry, topology, physics and computer vision. Among various geometric flows, the Mean Curvature Flow is one of the most important geometric flows for submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds. One way of under-

standing the Mean Curvature Flow is to regard it as the negative gradient flow for area. In other words, a surface is deforming along the Mean Curvature Flow to decrease its area as fast as possible.

The study of mean curvature flow and the related field is a critical area of mathematics. Not only does it lead to a series of significant results in physics and mathematics, but it is also expected to solve some long-standing conjectures in geometry and topology. In 1994, Andrews [And94] applied the harmonic mean curvature flow to provide a new proof for the topological sphere theorem and improve the result of homeomorphism to a weaker version of diffeomorphism. Moreover, regarded as possible evidence to the cosmic censorship conjecture, the Riemannian Penrose inequality in general relativity was solved by Huisken and Ilmanen [HI01] using the method of inverse mean curvature flow. For further applications, inspired by similarities between Ricci flow and mean curvature flow and the resolution

of Thurston's geometrization conjecture by Perelman using the Ricci flow, mathematicians believe that the mean curvature flow could be a possible way of solving the Schoenflies Conjecture in geometric topology.

Mullins [Mul56] first formulated the mean curvature flow equation to model grain boundaries during metal annealing. Before the 1990s, most results on mean curvature flow concern hypersurfaces without boundary. However, although being considerably more challenging than the no boundary case, the study of mean curvature flow for hypersurfaces with boundaries is of great significance. It is a more natural way of describing physical phenomena. For instance, the deformation of grain boundaries usually happens in some containers which provide constraints for the evolution. Such a scenario can be best described by mean curvature flow with boundaries. Possible applications of mean curvature flow with boundaries also include describing the motion of soap film whose boundary moves freely in a fixed sur-

face.

To define the mean curvature flow for surfaces with boundaries properly, one needs to prescribe certain geometric boundary conditions. One of the most extensively studied boundary conditions is the Neumann boundary condition where the hypersurface's boundary could move freely in a prescribed barrier surface. Moreover, the angle between the hypersurface and the barrier is fixed. When the fixed contact angle is 90 degrees, the flow is then called Mean Curvature Flow with free boundary. For simplicity, it will be referred to as MCF with free boundary in the rest of the proposal.

The convergence theory for MCF has been developed rapidly due to the efforts of mathematicians including Gerhard Huisken, Ben Andrews and Charles Baker. In 1984, Huisken [Hui84] published his seminal paper and proved that closed convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces of dimension at least three would converge under mean curvature flow to a round point in finite

time. A few years later, Huisken [Hui86] managed to extend the result for hypersurfaces in a general Riemannian manifold where the hypersurface need to be convex enough to overcome the obstruction caused by the curvature of the ambient manifold to converge to a round point.

For MCF with free boundary, Stahl [St96] showed that if the barrier surface in the Euclidean space is a flat hyperplane for a round hypersphere, any convex hypersurface with free boundary on the barrier will converge to a round half point. Later in 2020, Hirsch and Li [HL20] managed to generalize the above result to non-umbilic barriers in R^3 . They proved that if the barrier surface satisfies a uniform bound on the exterior and interior ball curvature and certain bounds on the first and second derivative of the second fundamental form, then sufficiently convex free boundary hypersurfaces will converge to a round half point.

We want to explore the following scenario further based on the previous results. Hirsch and Li [HL20] have improved Stahl's

result [St96] for a more general barrier, but the barrier is still defined in the Euclidean space. Hence, we would like to consider the case where the barrier is defined in a general 3-manifold and study the behaviour of free boundary MCF to obtain some convergence result.

To prove that a free boundary hypersurface converges to a round half-point under the MCF, the standard argument from Huisken [Hui84] also works. Hence, it suffices to prove the pinching estimate by the Stampacchia's iteration and the gradient estimate.

However, for a general barrier in a 3-manifold, two difficulties need to be overcome. First, as the prerequisite of proving pinching and gradient estimates, the initial convexity condition is expected to be preserved along the flow. The boundary derivatives are also essential for applying maximum principles to prove the preservation of properties. The problem is that the barrier is not umbilic in the current case, where some cross terms will appear

in the boundary derivatives. Such cross-terms are very hard to control; thus, the maximum principle could not be applied as usual.

The second difficulty is the reformulation of Stampacchia's iteration in a more general setting. As discussed previously, Edelen [Ed16] has introduced the free boundary version of Stampacchia's iteration, but the iteration argument only works when the barrier is in the Euclidean space. To further extend the iteration argument to the Riemannian manifold, we first need to extend the Michael-Simon inequality for Riemannian manifold by Hoffman and Spruck [HS74] to the free boundary case. Then by the arguments in [Ed16], the Stampacchia's iteration could be applied once the Poincare-like inequality and the evolution-like inequality are established.

1.1 Outline of Main Results

In this thesis, our goal is to build a theoretical foundation for the convergence theory of the free boundary MCF. We managed to prove a Stampacchia's iteration scheme for the free boundary MCF in a general Riemannian manifold and computed the boundary derivative for the second fundamental form.

1.2 Structure of the Thesis

In this thesis, we first review some classical results for the MCF of convex hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space to introduce the essential ingredients for the convergence theory of the MCF. Then we briefly introduce the generalization of the convergence results in the free boundary setting and discuss the similarities and differences between the classical MCF and the MCF with free boundary. Finally, we prove the main results.

□ **End of chapter.**

Chapter 2

Classical Results of the MCF

Summary

Conclusion comes here.

Throughout this section, we let M be a compact uniformly convex n -dimensional surface smoothly embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then M can be represented by the following local diffeomorphism:

$$F : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Then the metric $g = \{g_{ij}\}$ and the second fundamental form

$A = \{h_{ij}\}$ at $F(\vec{x}) \in M$ can be written as

$$g_{ij}(\vec{x}) = \left(\frac{\partial F(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial F(\vec{x})}{\partial x_j} \right), \quad h_{ij}(\vec{x}) = \left(-\nu(\vec{x}), \frac{\partial^2 F(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $\nu(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the outward normal to M at $F(\vec{x})$. The Levi-Civita connection on M induced from the standard connection on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (g_{il,j} + g_{jl,i} - g_{ij,l})$$

where $g_{ij,k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} g_{ij}$. For a vector field $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ on M , the covariant derivative of X is

$$(\nabla_i X)^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} X^j + \Gamma_{ik}^j X^k.$$

The Riemann curvature tensor on M is defined as

$$R_{ijkl} = \left\langle (\nabla_i \nabla_j - \nabla_j \nabla_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \right\rangle$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product for tensors on M induced from g . By the Gauss' equation, we have that

$$R_{ijkl} = h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk}.$$

The Ricci tensor and scalar curvature are thus given by

$$R_{ik} = Hh_{ik} - h_i^j h_{jk}, \quad R = H^2 - |A|^2$$

where $H = g^{ij}h_{ij}$, $|A|^2 = h^{ij}h_{ij}$ and the metric tensor g is used to raise or lower indices.

Now we denote M by M_0 and F by F_0 . We say a family of maps $F(\cdot, t)$ satisfies the mean curvature flow equation with initial condition F_0 if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(\vec{x}, t) &= -H(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \nu(\vec{x}, t), \quad \vec{x} \in U, \\ F(\cdot, 0) &= F_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $H(\vec{x}, t)$ is the mean curvature on M_t .

2.1 Maximum Principles and Preliminary Geometric Identities

Lemma 1 (Simon's identity).

$$\Delta h_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j H + H h_{li} g^{lm} h_{mj} - |A|^2 h_{ij}$$

Proof. Note that $\Delta h_{ij} = g^{mn} \nabla_m \nabla_n h_{ij}$ and $\nabla_i \nabla_j H = g^{mn} \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{mn}$.

It suffices to examine the difference $\nabla_m \nabla_n h_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{mn}$. Since the ambient space is Euclidean, from the Codazzi equation we have that $\nabla_i h_j^k = \nabla_j h_i^k$. Hence

$$\nabla_m \nabla_n h_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{mn} = \nabla_m \nabla_i h_{nj} - \nabla_i \nabla_m h_{jn} = (\nabla_m \nabla_i - \nabla_i \nabla_m) h_{nj}.$$

By the product rule of connections acting on tensor product, we have that

$$(\nabla_m \nabla_i - \nabla_i \nabla_m) h_{nj} = R_{min}{}^l h_{lj} + R_{mij}{}^l h_{nl}.$$

Therefore, by Gauss equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j H &= g^{mn} (R_{min}{}^l h_{lj} + R_{mij}{}^l h_{nl}) \\ &= g^{mn} g^{kl} \{ (h_{mn} h_{ik} - h_{mk} h_{in}) h_{lj} + (h_{mj} h_{ik} - h_{mk} h_{ij}) h_{ln} \} \\ &= H g^{kl} h_{ik} h_{lj} - g^{mn} g^{kl} h_{mk} h_{ln} h_{ij} \\ &= H g^{kl} h_{ik} h_{lj} - |A|^2 h_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Parabolic maximum principles are essential PDE tools in the analysis of mean curvature flow. We will briefly introduce two

frequently used versions in this section. One is the standard parabolic maximum principle for scalar functions. The other is the parabolic maximum principle for symmetric two-tensors.

Theorem 1. *[Strong maximum principle for parabolic equations] Let M be a closed smooth manifold and $f : M \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a scalar function on M varying along time t . Suppose $f(\cdot, 0) \geq 0$ and*

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \geq \Delta f + b^i \nabla_i f + cf$$

for some smooth function b^i, c , where $c \geq 0$. Then

$$\min_M f(\cdot, t) \geq \min_M f(\cdot, 0).$$

Furthermore, if there exist some $p \in M$ and $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $f(p, t_0) = \min_M f(\cdot, 0)$, then $f \equiv \min_M f(\cdot, 0)$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$.

Now we extend the maximum principle to tensors. Let M_{ij} be a symmetric tensor on a closed manifold M . We say $M_{ij} \geq 0$ if for any vector X on M , $M_{ij}X^iX^j \geq 0$. Let $N_{ij} = P(M_{ij}, g_{ij})$ be another symmetric tensor formed by contracting M_{ij} with

itself using the metric where p is a polynomial. Then we have the following version of the maximum principle:

Theorem 2 (Strong maximum principle for symmetric two-tensors). *Suppose M_{ij} is a symmetric tensor on a closed manifold M depending on time t and on $0 \leq t < T$ satisfies that*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} M_{ij} = \Delta M_{ij} + u^k \nabla_k M_{ij} + N_{ij}$$

where u^k is a vector on M and N_{ij} is defined as above such that

$$N_{ij} X^i X^j \geq 0 \text{ whenever } M_{ij} X^j = 0.$$

Then if $M_{ij} \geq 0$ at $t = 0$, it will remain so on $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be a constant depending only on $\max |M_{ij}|$.

Set

$$\tilde{M}_{ij} = M_{ij} + \epsilon(\delta + t)g_{ij}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Now it suffices to show that $\tilde{M}_{ij} > 0$ on $0 \leq t \leq \delta$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose for contradiction that the above assertion is not true. Then there exists $t_0 \in (0, \delta]$ and a unit vector X^i

at $x_0 \in M$ such that $\tilde{M}_{ij}X^j = 0$ for all i at x_0 . Note that $N_{ij} = P(M_{ij}, g_{ij})$, we set $\tilde{N}_{ij} = P(\tilde{M}_{ij}, g_{ij})$. By the assumption, since $\tilde{M}_{ij}X^j = 0$, we have that $\tilde{N}_{ij}X^iX^j \geq 0$. Then at (x_0, t_0) ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij}X^iX^j &= \tilde{N}_{ij}X^iX^j + (N_{ij} - \tilde{N}_{ij})X^iX^j \\ &\geq (N_{ij} - \tilde{N}_{ij})X^iX^j \\ &\geq -\left|N_{ij} - \tilde{N}_{ij}\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since P is a polynomial, we have that

$$\left|N_{ij} - \tilde{N}_{ij}\right| \leq C \left|M_{ij} - \tilde{M}_{ij}\right|$$

where C is a constant depending only on $\max |M_{ij}|$ if we keep $\epsilon, \delta \leq 1$. Hence as $t_0 \leq \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij}X^iX^j &\geq -C \left|M_{ij} - \tilde{M}_{ij}\right| \\ &= -C \left|\epsilon(\delta + t_0)g_{ij}\right| \\ &\geq -2C\epsilon\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $f = \tilde{M}_{ij}X^iX^j$. Observe that $f(x_0, t) > 0$ for $t < t_0$ and $f(x_0, t_0) = 0$ which imply that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f \leq 0$ for $t < t_0$. At $t = t_0$,

we see that $f = 0$ attains a minimum at x_0 . Hence $\nabla f = 0$ and $\Delta f \geq 0$ at (x_0, t_0) .

We can extend the vector X^i to a parallel vector field in a neighborhood of x_0 along geodesics passing x_0 and define X^i on $[0, t_0]$ independent of t . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{M}_{ij}\right)X^iX^j \\ \nabla_k f &= (\nabla_k \tilde{M}_{ij})X^iX^j = (\nabla_k M_{ij})X^iX^j \\ \Delta f &= (\Delta \tilde{M}_{ij})X^iX^j = (\Delta M_{ij})X^iX^j\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{M}_{ij}\right)X^iX^j \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(M_{ij} + \epsilon(\delta + t)g_{ij})\right)X^iX^j \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}M_{ij}\right)X^iX^j + \epsilon g_{ij}X^iX^j + \epsilon(\delta + t)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij}\right)X^iX^j \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}M_{ij}\right)X^iX^j + \epsilon \\ &= \Delta f + u^k \nabla_k f + N_{ij}X^iX^j + \epsilon \\ &= (1 - 2c\delta)\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Then contradiction arises when $2c\delta < 1$. \square

2.2 Evolution Equations for Geometric Quantities

Since the embedding map F is evolving under time t , if we fix a point $\vec{x} \in U$, we have that geometric quantities on $F(\vec{x}, t) \in M_t$ are also evolving under time t . By the evolution equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(\vec{x}, t) = -H(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \nu(\vec{x}, t)$ for F , we can derive evolution equations for other geometric quantities.

Lemma 2. *The following evolution equations hold.*

1. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2Hh_{ij}$

2. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g^{ij} = 2Hh^{ij}$

3. $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t} = \nabla H$

4. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} = \Delta h_{ij} - 2Hh_{ik}g^{kl}h_{lj} + |A|^2 h_{ij}$

5. $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H = \Delta H + |A|^2 H$

$$6. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |A|^2 = \Delta |A|^2 - 2 |\nabla A|^2 + 2 |A|^4$$

Proof. 1. Since $\left(\nu, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}\right) = 0$, by the product rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial F(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial F(\vec{x}, t)}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (-H(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \nu(\vec{x}, t)), \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (-H(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \nu(\vec{x}, t)) \right) \\ &= -H \left(\left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_j} \right) \right) \\ &= -2H h_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

2. Since $g_{km} g^{mj} = \delta_k^j$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (g_{km} g^{mj}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial g_{km}}{\partial t} g^{mj} + g_{km} \frac{\partial g^{mj}}{\partial t} &= 0 \\ -2H h_{km} g^{mj} + g_{km} \frac{\partial g^{mj}}{\partial t} &= 0 \\ g^{ik} g_{km} \frac{\partial g^{mj}}{\partial t} &= g^{ik} 2H h_{km} g^{mj} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g^{ij} &= 2H h^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Since $|\nu| = 1$ is fixed, we have that $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t}$ lies in the tan-

gent space of the surface. Hence we can assume that $\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t} =$

$V^i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ where V^i can be determined by the following

identity

$$\left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \right) = g_{ij} V^i$$

. Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t} &= g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \\ &= -g^{ij} \left(\nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \\ &= g^{ij} \left(\nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (H(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \nu(\vec{x}, t)) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \\ &= g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} H \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \nabla H \end{aligned}$$

4. By the Gauss-Weingarten relations, we have that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} - h_{ij} \nu \\ \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_j} = h_{jl} g^{lm} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_m}. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\nu, \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \\
&= -\left(g^{pq} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} H \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_q}, \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) + \left(\nu, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (H \cdot \nu) \right) \\
&= -\left(g^{pq} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} H \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_q}, \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} - h_{ij} \nu \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (H \cdot \nu) \right) - \left(h_{jl} g^{lm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \right) \\
&= -g^{pq} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_q} \Gamma^k_{ij} g_{pk} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - H \cdot \left(h_{jl} g^{lm} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_m}, h_{il'} g^{l'm'} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{m'}} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \Gamma^q_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_q} - H h_j^m h_i^n g_{mn}
\end{aligned}$$

Since H is a scalar function, we have that

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j H = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \Gamma^q_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_q}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on M_t . From previ-

ous lemma, we have the Simon's identity that

$$\Delta h_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j H + H h_{li} g^{lm} h_{mj} - |A|^2 h_{ij}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} &= \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \Gamma^q_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_q} - H h_j^m h_i^n g_{mn} \\
&= \Delta h_{ij} - (H h_{li} g^{lm} h_{mj} - |A|^2 h_{ij}) - \Gamma^q_{ij} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_q} - H h_j^m h_i^n g_{mn} \\
&= \Delta h_{ij} - 2H h_{li} g^{lm} h_{mj} + |A|^2 h_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

5. Since $H = g^{ij}h_{ij}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (g^{ij}h_{ij}) = \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial t} h_{ij} + g^{ij} \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial t} \\ &= 2Hh^{ij}h_{ij} + g^{ij}(\Delta h_{ij} - 2Hh_{li}g^{lm}h_{mj} + |A|^2 h_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta H + |A|^2 H. \end{aligned}$$

6. Combining previous results, we can deduce the following

evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_i^j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (h_{ik}g^{kj}) \\ &= (\Delta h_{ik} - 2Hh_{li}g^{lm}h_{mk} + |A|^2 h_{ik})g^{kj} + h_{ik}(2Hh^{kj}) \\ &= \Delta h_i^j - 2Hh_{ik}h^{kj} + |A|^2 h_i^j - 2Hh_{ik}h^{kj} \\ &= \Delta h_i^j + |A|^2 h_i^j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|A|^2 = h^{ij}h_{ij} = h_i^j h_j^i$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |A|^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (h_i^j h_j^i) \\ &= (\Delta h_i^j + |A|^2 h_i^j)h_j^i + h_i^j(\Delta h_j^i + |A|^2 h_j^i) \\ &= 2(h^{ij}\Delta h_{ij} + |A|^4) \end{aligned}$$

Since the connection ∇ is compatible with the metric g , we

have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta |A|^2 &= g^{mn} \nabla_m \nabla_n (h^{ij} h_{ij}) \\
&= 2g^{mn} \nabla_m (h^{ij} \nabla_n h_{ij}) \\
&= 2(g^{mn} \nabla_m \nabla_n h_{ij}) h^{ij} + 2g^{mn} (\nabla_m h^{ij}) (\nabla_n h_{ij}) \\
&= 2h^{ij} \Delta h_{ij} + 2|\nabla A|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |A|^2 &= 2(h^{ij} \Delta h_{ij} + |A|^4) \\
&= \Delta |A|^2 - 2|\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.3 Preservation of the convexity and the pinching condition

Theorem 3. *If $h_{ij} \geq 0$ at $t = 0$, then it remains so for $0 \leq t < T$.*

Proof. We have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} = \Delta h_{ij} - 2H h_{li} g^{lm} h_{mj} + |A|^2 h_{ij}.$$

Let $M_{ij} = h_{ij}$ and $N_{ij} = |A|^2 h_{ij} - 2Hh_{li}g^{lm}h_{mj}$. If vector X^j satisfies that $h_{ij}X^j = 0$ for all i , then

$$N_{ij}X^j = |A|^2 (h_{ij}X^j) - 2Hh_{li}g^{lm}(h_{mj}X^j) = 0.$$

Hence we can apply [Theorem 2](#) to conclude. \square

We can in fact prove a stronger version of the theorem above.

Theorem 4. *If $\epsilon H g_{ij} \leq h_{ij} \leq \beta H g_{ij}$, and $H \geq 0$ at $t = 0$, then it remains true for $t > 0$.*

Proof. First, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}H = \Delta H + |A|^2 H$, by [Theorem 1](#) we have that if $H \geq 0$ at $t = 0$, $H \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let $M_{ij} = h_{ij} - \epsilon H g_{ij}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}M_{ij} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{ij} - \epsilon\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}H\right)g_{ij} - \epsilon H \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} \\ &= \Delta h_{ij} - 2Hh_{li}g^{lm}h_{mj} + |A|^2 h_{ij} - \epsilon g_{ij}(\delta H + |A|^2 H) - \epsilon H(-2Hh_{ij}) \\ &= \Delta M_{ij} + |A|^2 h_{ij} + 2\epsilon H^2 h_{ij} - \epsilon |A|^2 H g_{ij} - 2Hh_{li}g^{lm}h_{mj}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N_{ij} = |A|^2 h_{ij} + 2\epsilon H^2 h_{ij} - \epsilon |A|^2 H g_{ij} - 2Hh_{li}g^{lm}h_{mj}$. From

direct computation we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{ij} &= |A|^2 (h_{ij} - \epsilon H g_{ij}) - 2H(h_{li} g^{lm} h_{mj} - \epsilon H h_{ij}) \\
&= |A|^2 M_{ij} - 2H(h_{li} g^{lm} h_{mj} - \epsilon H h_{li} g^{lm} g_{mj}) \\
&= |A|^2 M_{ij} - 2H h_i^m (h_{mj} - \epsilon H g_{mj}) \\
&= |A|^2 M_{ij} - 2H h_i^m M_{mj}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for the null vector X^i of M_{ij} , we have that

$$N_{ij} X^j = |A|^2 (M_{ij} X^j) - 2H h_i^m (M_{mj} X^j) = 0.$$

Then the result follows from [Theorem 2](#). □

2.4 Stampacchia's iteration

One key step for proving that M converges to a round point is to show that the geometric quantity $|A|^2 - \frac{1}{n} H^2$ becomes small compared to H^2 .

The rationale behind is that

$$|A|^2 - \frac{1}{n} H^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < j}^n (\kappa_i - \kappa_j)^2$$

measures the sum of distances between eigenvalues κ_i of the second fundamental form A .

An iteration scheme named Stampacchia's iteration is used to reach the goal. In this section, we introduce the general idea for Stampacchia's iteration.

The principal components of Stampacchia's iteration are the following algebraic lemma and a version of the Sobolev inequality from [cite:MS]:

Lemma 3. *Let $f : [\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative and non-increasing function. Suppose for $C > 0, p > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$,*

$$(y - x)^p f(y) \leq C f(x)^\gamma, \quad y \geq x \geq \bar{x}.$$

Then $f(y) = 0$ for $y \geq \bar{x} + d$ where $d^p = C f(\bar{x})^{\gamma-1} 2^{\frac{p\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\bar{x} = 0$.

Let $g = (\frac{f}{f(0)})^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $A = (C f(0)^{\gamma-1})^{\frac{1}{p}}$. For $y \geq x \geq 0$, we have

that

$$(y - x)^p f(y) \leq C f(x)^\gamma$$

$$A^p (y - x)^p f(y) \leq A^p C f(x)^\gamma$$

$$(y - x)^p g(y)^p f(0)^\gamma \leq C f(0)^{\gamma-1} g(x)^{p\gamma} f(0)^\gamma$$

$$(y - x)g(y) \leq A g(x)^\gamma.$$

Now fix $y > 0$, let $x_n = y(1 - \frac{1}{2^n})$. Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = y$ and $x_0 = 0$. Hence, we have that $g(x_0) = g(0) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{n+1} - x_n)g(x_{n+1}) &\leq A g(x_n)^\gamma \\ y\left(\frac{1}{2^n} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)g(x_{n+1}) &\leq A g(x_n)^\gamma \\ g(x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{A}{y} 2^{n+1} g(x_n)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequality inductively, we have that

$$g(x_n) \leq \left(\frac{A}{y}\right)^{1+\gamma+\dots+\gamma^{n-1}} 2^{n+(n-1)\gamma+(n-2)\gamma^2+\dots+\gamma^{n-1}}.$$

Since

$$n + (n-1)\gamma + (n-2)\gamma^2 + \dots + \gamma^{n-1} = \frac{\gamma^n + n - (n+1)\gamma}{(\gamma-1)^2},$$

if we choose y such that $\frac{A}{y} = 2^{-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_n) &\leq \left(\frac{A}{y}\right)^{\frac{\gamma^{n-1}}{\gamma-1}} 2^{\frac{\gamma^n + n - (n+1)\gamma}{(\gamma-1)^2}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{(\gamma-1)^2}(-\gamma(\gamma^n-1) + \gamma^{n+1} + n - (n+1)\gamma)} \\ &= 2^{-\frac{n}{\gamma-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) = 0$. By continuity of g , we have that $g(y) = 0$. Therefore, $f(y) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let v be a Lipschitz function on M . Then*

$$\left(\int_M |v|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\mu \right)^{n-\frac{1}{n}} \leq c(n) \int_M |\nabla v| + H |v| d\mu.$$

The geometric quantity we aim to bound is

$$f_\sigma = \left(|A|^2 - \frac{1}{n} H^2 \right) H^{2-\sigma} = \left(\frac{|A|^2}{H^2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) H^\sigma$$

for sufficient small $\sigma > 0$.

Since M is uniformly convex, by [Theorem 4](#), we have that

$\epsilon H g_{ij} \leq h_{ij} \leq \beta H g_{ij}$, and $H \geq 0$ for any $t > 0$. Combining

previous evolution equations, we can deduce that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_\sigma \leq \Delta f_\sigma + \frac{2(1-\sigma)}{H} \langle \nabla_i H, \nabla_i f_\sigma \rangle - \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{H^{2-\sigma}} |\nabla H|^2 + \sigma |A|^2 f_\sigma \quad (2.2)$$

for all $0 \leq t < T$ and $\sigma > 0$.

Applying integration by parts and Peter-Paul inequality, we have the following Poincare-like inequality for f_σ .

Lemma 5. *Let $p \geq 2$. For any $0 < \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and any $\eta > 0$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} n\epsilon^2 \int f_\sigma^p H^2 d\mu &\leq (2\eta p + 5) \int \frac{1}{H^{2-\sigma}} |\nabla H|^2 d\mu \\ &\quad + \eta^{-1} (p-1) \int f_\sigma^{p-2} |f_\sigma|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

For a positive constant k , we let $f_{\sigma,k} = (f_\sigma - k)_+$, $A(k) = \{f_\sigma \geq k\}$ and $A(k, t) = A(k) \cap M_t$. Integration by parts also yields the following evolution-like inequality.

Lemma 6. *Let $p \geq 2$. For any $0 < \sigma < 1$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu &\leq -\frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-2} |\nabla f_\sigma|^2 d\mu \\ &\quad - p \left(\epsilon^2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^{2-\sigma}} d\mu \\ &\quad - \int H^2 f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu + \sigma p \int_{A(k,t)} H^2 f_\sigma^p d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. The idea is to multiply both sides of [Equation 2.2](#) by $pf_{\sigma,k}^{p-1}$ and integrate by parts over M_t . For the left hand side, we

have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int p f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{\sigma} d\mu &= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu - \int f_{\sigma,k}^p \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (d\mu) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu + \int H^2 f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For the right hand side,

$$\int p f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} \Delta f_{\sigma} d\mu = -p(p-1) \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-2} |\nabla f_{\sigma}|^2 d\mu \tag{2.6}$$

and $|A|^2 \leq H^2$, $\langle \nabla_i H, \nabla_i f_{\sigma} \rangle \leq |\nabla H| |\nabla f_{\sigma}|$. It follows that

$$f_{\sigma,k} \leq f_{\sigma} = \left(|A|^2 - \frac{1}{n} H^2 \right) H^{\sigma-2} \leq H^{\sigma}$$

and for $0 < \sigma < 1, p \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2(1-\sigma)}{H} f_{\sigma,k} |\nabla H| |\nabla f_{\sigma}| &\leq \frac{p-1}{2} |\nabla f_{\sigma}|^2 + \frac{2}{p-1} \frac{|\nabla H|^2 f_{\sigma,k}^2}{H^2} \\
&\leq \frac{p-1}{2} |\nabla f_{\sigma}|^2 + \frac{2}{p-1} \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^{2-\sigma}} f_{\sigma,k} \\
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu + p(p-1) \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-2} |\nabla f_\sigma|^2 d\mu \\
& \quad + \epsilon^2 p \int \frac{1}{H^{2-\sigma}} f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} |\nabla H|^2 d\mu + \int H^2 f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu \\
& \leq 2(1-\sigma)p \int \frac{1}{H} f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} |\nabla H| |\nabla f_\sigma| d\mu + \sigma p \int |A|^2 f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} f_\sigma d\mu. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-2} |\nabla f_\sigma|^2 d\mu + 2 \frac{p}{p-1} \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^{2-\sigma}} \\
& \quad + \sigma p \int_{A(k,t)} H^2 f_\sigma^p d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu & \leq -\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-2} |\nabla f_\sigma|^2 d\mu \\
& \quad - p \left(\epsilon^2 - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \int f_{\sigma,k}^{p-1} \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^{2-\sigma}} d\mu \\
& \quad - \int H^2 f_{\sigma,k}^p d\mu + \sigma p \int_{A(k,t)} H^2 f_\sigma^p d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

□

Now we have established two inequalities for the function f_σ . Notice that any compact hypersurface M in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} can be enclosed by a sphere which shrinks to a point under the MCF in finite time. From the avoidance principle[ref:required], we have

that the maximal time $T < \infty$. Then from the general iteration scheme we are going to derive in the later chapter, we can bound f_σ uniformly for all times $t \in [0, T)$.

In sum, the key steps for showing the convergence result are the pinching estimate of the traceless second fundamental form which describes the "roundness" of the hypersurface pointwisely and the estimate for the gradient of the mean curvature which enables us to compare mean curvatures of the hypersurface at different points. In particular, the gradient estimate for the mean curvature is built upon the pinching estimate. To prove a general convergence theorem for the free boundary MCF in the Riemannian ambient space, it is essential to establish a proper iteration scheme for showing the pinching estimate.

2.5 Further Generalizations

The previous convergence theorem was generalized in various settings by different methods.

On the one hand, results were obtained by following Huisken's line of argument with a few modifications.

On the other hand, mathematicians explored other ways to reach the similar convergence results.

□ End of chapter.

Chapter 3

Free Boundary MCF in Riemannian Manifolds

Summary

Background study comes here.

3.1 Definitions and Notations

Let (M, \bar{g}) be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$. We denote by $\sigma_x(P)$ the sectional curvature of a 2-plane P at $x \in M$ and by $i_x(M)$ the injectivity

radius of M at x .

Consider a properly embedded, orientable, smooth hypersurface $S \subset M$ without boundary. We refer to S as the *barrier surface* or the *barrier*. We write $f = O(g)$ to indicate that $|f| \leq c(n, S, M) |g|$. By fixing a smooth global unit normal ν_S on S , we can define the second fundamental form $A^S : TS \times TS \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A^S(u, v) = -\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_u v, \nu_S).$$

Let Σ be a two-sided smooth n -dimensional manifold with non-empty boundary $\partial\Sigma$. A smooth immersion $F : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ defines a free boundary hypersurface if $F(\partial\Sigma) \subset S$ and $F_*N = \nu_S \circ F$ where N is the outward unit normal of $\partial\Sigma \subset \Sigma$ with respect to the metric induced from M by F .

3.2 Covariant Formulation of the Mean Curvature Flow

From the previous chapter, we can see that Huisken considered a family of maps F_t from an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} which evolve along the mean curvature vector of their images. In this way, we can fix a local coordinate system and analyze geometric quantities of the images along the flow using this invariant coordinate system. The advantages include that the structure of the general evolution equation is clearer which enables us to prove the short-time existence of the flow using the theory of quasi-linear parabolic differential equations. On the other hand, one needs to carefully choose the local coordinate system to simplify the computation without losing the important information. A more modern treatment is to consider a rather invariant form of evolution equations independent of the local coordinates. In particular, we consider the metrics and connections on vector

bundles over the space-time domain and derive structure equations and evolution equations for geometric quantities in such new vector bundle machinery.

3.2.1 Subbundles

Definition 1. *Let K, E be two vector bundles over a manifold M . We say K is a subbundle of E if there exists an injective vector bundle homomorphism $\iota_K : K \rightarrow E$ covering the identity map on M .*

Now let E be a vector bundle over a manifold M . We can consider two complementary subbundles K and L of E , in the sense that for each $x \in M$, the fiber $E_x = \iota_K(K_x) \oplus \iota_L(L_x)$. Let $\pi_K : E \rightarrow K$ and $\pi_L : E \rightarrow L$ be the corresponding projections

from E onto K and L where we have the following relations

$$\pi_K \circ \iota_K = \text{Id}_K \quad \pi_L \circ \iota_L = \text{Id}_L$$

$$\pi_K \circ \iota_L = 0 \quad \pi_L \circ \iota_K = 0$$

$$\iota_K \circ \pi_K + \iota_L \circ \pi_L = \text{Id}_E.$$

Similar to the way of defining the second fundamental form for submanifolds, we can extend a connection ∇ on E to a connection $\overset{K}{\nabla}$ on its subbundle K and define the second fundamental form $h^K \in \Gamma(T^*(M) \otimes K^* \otimes L)$ of K where

$$\overset{K}{\nabla}_u \xi = \pi_K(\nabla_u(\iota_K \xi)) \quad h^K(u, \xi) = \pi_L(\nabla_u(\iota_K \xi)), \quad (3.1)$$

for any $\xi \in \Gamma(K)$ and $u \in TM$.

Then we can derive the following Gauss equation relating the curvature R^K of $\overset{K}{\nabla}$ to the curvature R_∇ of ∇ and the second fundamental forms h^L and h^K :

$$R^K(u, v)\xi = \pi_k(R_\nabla(u, v)\iota_K \xi) + h^L(u, h^K(v, \xi)) - h^L(v, h^K(u, \xi)) \quad (3.2)$$

for any $u, v \in T_x M$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(K)$. If we also have a connection defined on TM , then we can define the covariant derivative of the second fundamental form h_K by

$$\nabla_u h^K(v, \xi) = \overset{L}{\nabla}_u(h^K(v, \xi)) - h^K(\nabla_u v, \xi) - h^K(v, \overset{K}{\nabla}_u \xi) \quad (3.3)$$

for any $u, v \in T_x M$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(K)$. Assume in addition that the connection on TM is symmetric, we have the following Codazzi identity:

$$\nabla_u h^K(v, \xi) - \nabla_v h^K(u, \xi) = \pi_L(R_\nabla(u, v)(\iota_K \xi)). \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, if E admits a metric g compatible with ∇ and K, L are orthogonal with respect to the metric in the sense that

$$g(\iota_K \xi, \iota_L \eta) = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for any $\xi \in \Gamma(K)$ and $\eta \in \Gamma(L)$. Then the metric g induces naturally metrics g_K, g_L on subbundles K, L respectively and gives us the Weingarten relation associating the second fundamental forms h^K and h^L by

$$g^L(h^K(u, \xi), \eta) + g^K(\xi, h^L(u, \eta)) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

3.2.2 Time-dependent Immersion

Let I be a real interval. Then the tangent bundle $T(\Sigma \times I)$ splits into $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\partial t$ where $\mathcal{H} := \{u \in T(\Sigma \times I) : dt(u) = 0\}$ is the 'spatial' tangent bundle.

Let $F : \Sigma \times I \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map such that $F(\cdot, t) : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ defines a free boundary hypersurface with respect to the barrier S . Note that the pullback bundle F^*TM is equipped with a metric \bar{g}_F and a connection ${}^F\bar{\nabla}$ induced from the ambient manifold M .

The pushforward map of the spatial tangent vector $F_* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F^*TM$ defines a subbundle of F^*TM of rank n . We denote by \mathcal{N} the orthogonal complement of $F_*(\mathcal{H})$ in F^*TM . Then \mathcal{N} is a subbundle of F^*TM of rank 1, which is referred to as the (spacetime) normal bundle.

Now \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{N} are subbundles of F^*TM with inclusion maps

$$F_* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F^*TM \quad \iota : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow F^*TM$$

and projection maps

$$\pi : F^*TM \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad \overset{\perp}{\pi} : F^*TM \rightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

Then from the previous section we can define the metric $g(u, v) := \bar{g}_F(F_*u, F_*v)$, the connection $\nabla := \pi \circ {}^F\bar{\nabla} \circ F_*$ on the bundle \mathcal{H} and the metric $\overset{\perp}{g}(\xi, \eta) := \bar{g}_F(\iota\xi, \iota\eta)$, the connection $\overset{\perp}{\nabla} := \overset{\perp}{\pi} \circ {}^F\bar{\nabla} \circ \iota$ on the bundle \mathcal{N} .

By restricting the first argument of the second fundamental form $h^{\mathcal{H}} = \overset{\perp}{\pi} \circ {}^F\bar{\nabla} \circ F_* \in \Gamma(T(\Sigma \times I)^* \otimes \mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{N})$ to \mathcal{H} , we can define the symmetric bilinear form $h \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{N})$ on \mathcal{H} with values in \mathcal{N} . The mean curvature vector $\vec{H} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ on Σ is thus defined as $\vec{H} := \text{Tr}_g(h)$.

Let $I = [0, T)$. We say a time-dependent immersion $F : \Sigma \times I \rightarrow M$ is a solution to the free boundary mean curvature flow if

$$F_*\partial t = \iota\vec{H}.$$

Note that in the case of free boundary mean curvature flow,

the remaining components of $h^{\mathcal{H}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 h^{\mathcal{H}}(\partial_t, v) &= \frac{\perp}{\pi}(F \bar{\nabla}_{\partial_t} F_* v) \\
 &= \frac{\perp}{\pi}(F \bar{\nabla}_v F_* \partial_t + F_*([\partial_t, v])) \\
 &= \frac{\perp}{\nabla_v} \vec{H}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $\frac{\perp}{\pi} \circ F_*([\partial_t, v]) = 0$ for $[\partial_t, v] = (\partial_t v^i) \partial_i \in \mathcal{H}$.

3.3 Boundary Derivatives

Since \mathcal{N} is a subbundle of F^*TM of rank 1, we can fix a global unit section $\nu \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. Let H be a function over $\Sigma \times I$ defined by $H := -\frac{\perp}{g}(\vec{H}, \nu)$. Then $\vec{H} = -H\nu$.

Theorem 5. $N(H) = HA^S(\iota\nu, \iota\nu)$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(H) &= -\overset{\perp}{g}(\overset{\perp}{\nabla}_N \vec{H}, \nu) \\
 &= -\overset{\perp}{g}(h^{\mathcal{H}}(\partial_t, N), \nu) \\
 &= -\bar{g}_F({}^F\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_t} F_* N, \iota\nu) \\
 &= -\bar{g}_F({}^F\bar{\nabla}_{\partial_t} \nu_S \circ F, \iota\nu) \tag{3.8} \\
 &= -\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{F_*\partial_t} \nu_S, \iota\nu) \\
 &= -\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{-H\nu} \nu_S, \iota\nu) \\
 &= HA^S(\iota\nu, \iota\nu)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

In the rest of the section, we fix a time $t_0 \in I$. Then the restrictions of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{N} to $\Sigma \times \{t_0\}$ are the usual tangent and the normal bundle of F_{t_0} . Moreover, ∇ agrees with the Levi-Civita connection of $g(t_0)$ and h agrees with the usual second fundamental form of the immersion F_{t_0} .

Let $p \in \partial\Sigma$. Then for any $u \in T_p\Sigma$, we can extend u to a section of \mathcal{H} in an open neighborhood of $(p, t_0) \in \Sigma \times I$. **Since**

the quantities we are going to work with in the rest of the section are all tensorial, we can further assume that $\nabla u = \pi \circ {}^F\bar{\nabla} \circ F_*u = 0$ without affecting the values of the quantities. *But for vectors in the tangent space of the boundary of Sigma, such extension would make the vector leave the tangent space of the boundary.* What we could do is to extend the vector to the interior of Σ along the normal direction N . Then we have that $\nabla_N u = 0$.

Before computing the boundary derivative of the second fundamental form h on \mathcal{H} . We first derive a relationship between h and A^S on $F(\partial\Sigma \times \{t_0\}) \subset S$.

Lemma 7. *For $u \in T_p\partial\Sigma$, we have that*

$$h(u, N) = A^S(F_*u, \iota\nu)\nu.$$

Proof. Since $u \in T_p\partial\Sigma$, then $\bar{g}(F_*u, \nu_S) = \bar{g}_F(F_*u, F_*N) = 0$.

By construction, we also have that $\bar{g}(\iota\nu, \nu_S) = \bar{g}_F(\iota\nu, F_*N) = 0$.

Hence $\iota\nu$ and F_*u is tangent to the barrier S and

$$A^S(F_*u, \iota\nu) = \bar{g}(\iota\nu, \bar{\nabla}_{F_*u}\nu_S) = \bar{g}_F(\iota\nu, {}^F\bar{\nabla}_u F_*N).$$

Therefore,

$$h(u, N) = \frac{\perp}{\pi}({}^F\bar{\nabla}_u F_*N) = \bar{g}_F(\iota\nu, {}^F\bar{\nabla}_u F_*N)\nu = A^S(F_*u, \iota\nu)\nu.$$

□

Theorem 6. For $u, v \in T_p\partial\Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_N h(u, v) &= (\nabla_{F_*u} A^S(\iota\nu, F_*v) + A^S(\bar{\nabla}_{F_*u}^S \iota\nu, F_*v)) \nu \\ &\quad + A^S(F_*u, F_*v)h(N, N) - h(\nabla_u N, v) \\ &\quad + A^S(\iota\nu, \iota\nu)h(u, v) + \frac{\perp}{\pi}(F^*R_\nabla(u, N)(F_*v)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the Codazzi identity [Equation 3.4](#), we have that

$$\nabla_N h(u, v) - \nabla_u h(N, v) = \frac{\perp}{\pi}(F^*R_\nabla(u, N)(F_*v))$$

where

$$\nabla_u h(N, v) = \frac{\perp}{\nabla_u}(h(N, v)) - h(\nabla_u N, v) - h(N, \nabla_u v).$$

Since $v \in T_p \partial \Sigma$, by [Lemma 7](#), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_u^\perp(h(N, v)) &= \bar{\nabla}_u^\perp(A^S(F_*v, \nu)\nu) \\ &= F_*u(A^S(F_*v, \nu)\nu). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since the equation we need to derive is tensorial, we can extend the vectors u, v parallel on $\partial \Sigma$ and along the direction N to the interior of Σ where

$$\nabla_u v = g(\nabla_u v, N)N.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} h(N, \nabla_u v) &= g(\nabla_u v, N)h(N, N) \\ &= \bar{g}_F({}^F\bar{\nabla}_u F_*v, F_*N)h(N, N) \\ &= \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{F_*u} F_*v, \nu_S)h(N, N) \\ &= -A^S(F_*u, F_*v)h(N, N). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover, the pushforward $F_*u, F_*v \in T_p S$ can be extended to

vector fields on the barrier S where $\bar{\nabla}_{F_*u}^S F_*v = \iota h(u, v)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_*u(A^S(\iota\nu, F_*v)) \\
 &= \nabla_{F_*u} A^S(\iota\nu, F_*v) + A^S(\bar{\nabla}_{F_*u}^S \iota\nu, F_*v) + A^S(\iota\nu, \bar{\nabla}_{F_*u}^S F_*v) \\
 &= \nabla_{F_*u} A^S(\iota\nu, F_*v) + A^S(\bar{\nabla}_{F_*u}^S \iota\nu, F_*v) + A^S(\iota h(u, v), \iota\nu)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}^S$ is the connection on S induced from $\bar{\nabla}$.

Since $A^S(\iota h(u, v), \iota\nu)\nu = A^S(\iota\nu, \iota\nu)h(u, v)$, combining all equations above, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_N h(u, v) &= (\nabla_{F_*u} A^S(\iota\nu, F_*v) + A^S(\bar{\nabla}_{F_*u}^S \iota\nu, F_*v)) \nu \\
 &\quad + A^S(F_*u, F_*v)h(N, N) - h(\nabla_u N, v) \\
 &\quad + A^S(\iota\nu, \iota\nu)h(u, v) + \frac{1}{\pi}(F^*R_{\nabla}(u, N)(F_*v)).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

3.4 Stampacchia's Iteration

In this section, we assume that the ambient manifold M satisfies uniform bounds

$$\sigma_x(P) \leq K, \quad i_x(M) \geq i(M)$$

for constants $K \geq 0$ and $i(M) > 0$.

3.4.1 Michael-Simon with free boundary

Lemma 8. *There exists a constant $c = c(n, S, M)$ such that for any Σ meeting S orthogonally, and any $f \in C^1(\bar{\Sigma})$*

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_{\partial\Sigma} |f| \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + \int_{\Sigma} |Hf| + \int_{\Sigma} |f|.$$

Proof. Fix $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ which is 0 outside a neighborhood of S and $X|_S = \nu_S$. Let ν be the outward normal of $\partial\Sigma$. By the divergence theorem and product rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Sigma} |f| &= \int_{\partial\Sigma} (|f| X) \cdot \nu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} (|f| X^T) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \nabla |f| \cdot X^T + |f| \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(X^T). \end{aligned}$$

Since $X = X^T + X^{\perp}$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(X^{\perp}) = (X \cdot N)H$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\partial\Sigma} |f| &= \int_{\Sigma} \nabla |f| \cdot X^T + |f| \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(X^T) \\
 &= \int_{\Sigma} \nabla |f| \cdot X^T + |f| \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(X) - |f| (X \cdot N) H \\
 &\leq \max |X| \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + n \max |\nabla X| \int_{\Sigma} |f| + \max |X| \int_{\Sigma} |Hf|.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 9. *Let f be a Lipschitz function on Σ vanishing on $\partial\Sigma$.*

Then

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq c(n) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + \int_{\Sigma} H |f| \right)$$

provided

$$K^2 (1 - \alpha)^{-\frac{2}{n}} (\omega^{-1} |\operatorname{supp} f|^{\frac{2}{n}}) \leq 1$$

and

$$2\rho_0 \leq i(N)$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball and

$$\rho_0 = K^{-1} \arcsin \left\{ K (1 - \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{n}} (\omega_n^{-1} |\operatorname{supp} f|)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right\}.$$

Here $0 < \alpha < 1$ is a free parameter and

$$c(n) = \pi 2^{n-1} \alpha^{-1} (1 - \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{n}{n-1} \omega_n^{-\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Theorem 7. *There exists a constant $c = c(n)$ such that for any Σ meeting S orthogonally and any $f \in C^1_C(\bar{\Sigma})$ satisfying the conditions in [Lemma 9](#),*

$$\frac{1}{c} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + \int_{\Sigma} |Hf| + \int_{\partial\Sigma} |f|.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f > 0$.

Let $d : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the distance function on Σ . Let $\Omega = \{x \in \Sigma : d(x, \partial\Sigma) \leq \epsilon\}$. Then for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we can find the diffeomorphism $\phi : [0, \epsilon] \times \partial\Sigma \rightarrow \Omega$ with bounded Jacobian $|J\phi| \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f &= \int_0^{\epsilon} \int_{\partial\Sigma} f |J\phi| \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{\epsilon} \int_{\partial\Sigma} f(t, x) \\ &\leq \epsilon^2 |\partial\Sigma| \sup_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + 2\epsilon \int_{\partial\Sigma} f \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Taylor expansion $f(t, x) = f(0, x) + t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t^*(x), x)$ for some $t^*(x) \in (0, \epsilon)$ depending on $x \in \partial\Sigma$.

Let $\eta : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\eta|_{\partial\Sigma} \equiv 0$, $\eta|_{\Sigma-\Omega} \equiv 1$ and $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon}$. By [Equation 3.12](#), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} ((1-\eta)f)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} &\leq \int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\ &\leq \epsilon^2 |\partial\Sigma| \sup_{\Sigma} |\nabla(f^{\frac{n}{n-1}})| + 2\epsilon \int_{\partial\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\ &\leq \epsilon C \end{aligned}$$

for C independent of ϵ . For the function ηf which vanishes on $\partial\Sigma$, we can apply [Lemma 9](#) and conclude that

$$\|\eta f\|_{\frac{n}{n-1}} \leq c(n) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla(\eta f)| + \int_{\Sigma} |H| \eta f \right).$$

Therefore, for $c = c(n)$ and all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\frac{n}{n-1}} &\leq \|\eta f\|_{\frac{n}{n-1}} + \|(1-\eta)f\|_{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\ &\leq c \int_{\Sigma} \eta |\nabla f| + c \int_{\Sigma} |H| \eta f + c \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \eta| f + \epsilon^{\frac{n-1}{n}} C \\ &\leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + c \int_{\Sigma} |H| f + \frac{2c}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} f + \epsilon^{\frac{n-1}{n}} C \\ &\leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + c \int_{\Sigma} |H| f + 4c \int_{\partial\Sigma} f \\ &\quad + 2c\epsilon |\partial\Sigma| \sup_{\Sigma} |\nabla f| + \epsilon^{\frac{n-1}{n}} C. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows by taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. □

Finally, by combining [Lemma 8](#) and [Theorem 7](#), we can derive the following Michael-Simon inequality for free boundary hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifold using the argument identical to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [ref:Edelen].

Theorem 8. *For any Σ meeting S orthogonally, any $f \in C^1(\bar{\Sigma})$ satisfying the conditions in [Lemma 9](#), and any positive integer $p < n$, there exists a constant $c = c(n, p, S)$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{\frac{np}{n-p};\Sigma} \leq c(\|\nabla f\|_{p;\Sigma} + \|Hf\|_{p;\Sigma} + \|f\|_{p;\Sigma}).$$

3.4.2 Main theorem and the idea of proof

Let $(\Sigma_t)_{t \in [0, T)}$ be a class of hypersurfaces following the free boundary MCF with barrier S . Assume $T < \infty$. Let f_α be a non-negative function on Σ_t where $\alpha = \alpha(S, \Sigma_0, T, n)$. Then we consider another two functions $\tilde{H} > 0, \tilde{G} \geq 0$ on Σ_t such that

$$H = O(\tilde{H}) \quad \nabla \tilde{H} = O(\tilde{G}).$$

Finally, for another two positive constant σ and k , we let $f = f_\alpha \tilde{H}^\sigma$, $f_k = (f - k)_+$ and $A(k) = \{f \geq k\}$, $A(k, t) = A(k) \cap \Sigma_t$.

We say the function f satisfies the condition (\star) if there exist constants $c = c(S, \Sigma_0, M, T, n, \alpha)$ and $C = C(S, \Sigma_0, M, T, n, \alpha, p, \sigma)$ such that the following two inequalities hold:

(Poincare-like)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c} \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p \tilde{H}^2 &\leq p \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \int_{\Sigma_t} f^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta p) \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p + \int_{\partial \Sigma_t} f^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

(Evolution-like)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p &\leq -\frac{1}{3} p^2 \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{p}{c} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f_k^{p-1} \\ &\quad + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p + cp \int_{\partial \Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \\ &\quad + cp\sigma \int_{A(k,t)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p - \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f_k^p + C |A(k)| \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

for any $p > p_0(n, \alpha, c)$, $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$, $k > 0$, $\beta > 0$.

Now we state the main theorem.

Theorem 9. *If f satisfies (\star) , then for sufficiently small σ depending on sufficiently large p , $f = f_\alpha \tilde{H}^\sigma$ is uniformly bounded in spacetime by a constant depending on $(S, \Sigma_0, T, n, \alpha, p, \sigma)$.*

The proof of the main theorem splits into three parts. First, we find a way to handle the boundary term. Then we obtain a higher L^p bound for f by rearranging and combining the inequalities. Finally, using the higher L^p bound and the Michael-Simon inequality, we establish the iteration scheme which leads to the conclusion.

3.4.3 Boundary Integral Estimate

The following two lemmas are needed to handle the boundary integral.

Lemma 10. *Let g be any non-negative function on Σ_t . If $r \in (0, 2)$, $0 < q < p$ with $\frac{rp}{q} < 2$, then for any $\mu > 0$,*

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} g^q \tilde{H}^r \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Sigma_t} g^p \tilde{H}^2 + C(\mu, r, q, p) \int_{\Sigma_t} g^p + |\text{spt } g|.$$

Proof. By Young's inequality, since $0 < q < p$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} g^q \tilde{H}^r &\leq \int_{\Sigma_t} (g^q \tilde{H}^r)^{\frac{p}{q}} + 1 \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} g^p \tilde{H}^{\frac{rp}{q}} + |\text{spt}g|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta := \frac{rp}{2q} < 1$, again by Young's inequality, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} g^p \tilde{H}^{2\eta} &= g^{p\eta} \tilde{H}^{2\eta} g^{p(1-\eta)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\mu\eta} g^p \tilde{H}^2 \right)^\eta \left((\mu\eta)^{\frac{\eta}{1-\eta}} g^p \right)^{1-\eta} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu} g^p \tilde{H}^2 + C(\mu, r, q, p) g^p \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\mu, r, q, p) = \frac{(\mu\eta)^{\frac{\eta}{1-\eta}}}{1-\eta}$. The conclusion follows by combining the two inequalities above. \square

The [Lemma 8](#) which associates integrals on the boundary and the interior for free boundary surfaces is also needed.

Now we can prove the following lemma which estimates the boundary integral.

Lemma 11. *For any $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}, p > 4$ and $\mu > 0$, there exists*

constants $c = c(n, S, M)$ and $C = C(n, S, M, \mu, p)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma &\leq c \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla f|^2 f_k^{p-2} + c\sigma \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f_k^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{cp^2}{\mu} \int_{A(k,t)} f^p \tilde{H}^2 + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p + C |A(k,t)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. By Lemma 8, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c(n, S, M)} \int_{\partial\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma &\leq \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \nabla \left(f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \right) \right| + \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| H f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \right| \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since f_k and \tilde{H} are non-negative, by product rule and triangular inequality, we have that

$$\left| \nabla \left(f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \right) \right| \leq p f_k^{p-2} \tilde{H}^\sigma |\nabla f| + c(n, S, M) \sigma f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^{\sigma-1} \tilde{G}.$$

Combining the inequalities above, we have that, for some constant $c = c(n, S, M)$ and $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma &\leq c \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 + cp^2 \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-2} \tilde{H}^{2\sigma} \\ &\quad + c\sigma \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{\sigma-2}} + c \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \left(\tilde{H}^\sigma + \tilde{H}^{\sigma+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and $p > 4$, for any $\mu > 0$, we can apply

Lemma 10 for $\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-2} \tilde{H}^{2\sigma}$, $\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma$ and $\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^{1+\sigma}$; thus

concluding that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial \Sigma_t} f_k^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma &\leq c \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla f|^2 f_k^{p-2} + c\sigma \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma} f_k^{p-1}} \\ &\quad + \frac{cp^2}{\mu} \int_{A(k,t)} f^p \tilde{H}^2 + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p + C |A(k,t)| \end{aligned}$$

for constants $c = c(n, S, M)$ and $C = C(n, S, M, \mu, p)$. \square

3.4.4 Higher L^p bound

Next, we establish the higher L^p bound for f .

Lemma 12. *Suppose f satisfies (\star) . Then there exist constants*

$p_0(c)$ and $c_\sigma(c)$ depending on some $c = c(S, \Sigma_0, M, T, n, \alpha)$ such

that for $p > p_0(c)$ and $\sigma < \frac{c_\sigma(c)}{\sqrt{p}}$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p \leq C_1 < \infty.$$

Proof. By Equation 3.14, for $k = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p &\leq -\frac{1}{3}p^2 \int_{\Sigma_t} f^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{p}{c} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f^{p-1} \\
 &\quad + C \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p + cp \int_{\partial\Sigma_t} f^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \\
 &\quad + cp\sigma \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f^p - \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f^p + C |\Sigma_t| \\
 &\leq -\frac{1}{3}p^2 \int_{\Sigma_t} f^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{p}{c} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f^{p-1} \\
 &\quad + C \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p + cp \int_{\partial\Sigma_t} f^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma - \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f^p + C |\Sigma_t| \\
 &\quad + cp\sigma \left[p \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \int_{\Sigma_t} f^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (1 + \beta p) \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f^{p-1} + \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p + \int_{\partial\Sigma_t} f^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use Equation 3.13 to estimate the term $cp\sigma \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f^p$.

For the boundary integral $\int_{\partial\Sigma_t} f^{p-1} \tilde{H}^\sigma$, we apply the previous

estimate Lemma 11 and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p &\leq \left[-\frac{1}{3}p^2 + cp^2\sigma \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) + cp \right] \int_{\Sigma_t} f^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 \\
 &\quad + \left[-\frac{p}{c} + cp\sigma(1 + \beta p) + cp\sigma \right] \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f^{p-1} \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{cp^3}{\mu} - \frac{1}{5} \right) \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f^p \\
 &\quad + C |\Sigma_t| + C \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

For p sufficient large depending only on c , we can choose constants μ, β, σ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{1}{3}p^2 + cp^2\sigma(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}) + cp \leq 0 \\ -\frac{p}{c} + cp\sigma(1 + \beta p) + cp\sigma \leq 0 \\ \frac{cp^3}{\mu} - \frac{1}{5} \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p < \infty$ as T is finite. \square

We can also simplify the evolution-like equation for f_k and obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 13. *Suppose f satisfies (\star) . Then for σ, p satisfying the same bounds as [Lemma 12](#) and $C = C()$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p &\leq -\frac{p^2}{12} \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p + C |A(k)| \\ &\quad + C \int_{A(k,t)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By rewriting the boundary integral in [Equation 3.14](#) us-

ing [Lemma 11](#), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p &\leq -\frac{1}{3}p^2 \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p \\
 &\quad - \frac{p}{c} \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f_k^{p-1} + C |A(k)| \\
 &\quad + cp\sigma \int_{A(k,t)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p - \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f_k^p \\
 &\quad + cp \left[\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla f|^2 f_k^{p-2} + \sigma \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f_k^{p-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{p^2}{\mu} \int_{A(k,t)} f^p \tilde{H}^2 + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p + C |A(k,t)| \right] \\
 &\leq \left(cp - \frac{1}{3}p^2 \right) \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p-2} |\nabla f|^2 + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p \\
 &\quad + p \left(c\sigma - \frac{1}{c} \right) \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\tilde{H}^{2-\sigma}} f_k^{p-1} + C |A(k)| \\
 &\quad + cp \left(\sigma + \frac{p^2}{\mu} \right) \int_{A(k,t)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p - \frac{1}{5} \int_{\Sigma_t} \tilde{H}^2 f_k^p
 \end{aligned}$$

□

The conclusion follows by choosing the value of p, σ, μ as in the proof of [Lemma 12](#).

3.4.5 Iteration Scheme and the Uniform bound

By [Theorem 8](#), for each $n \geq 2$, there exist some $q > 1$ and $c = c(n, q, |\Sigma_0|, S)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} v^{2q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla v|^2 + c \int_{\Sigma} v^2 H^2 + c \int_{\Sigma} v^2.$$

For $n > 2$, we let $q = \frac{n}{n-2}$. For $n = 2$, we apply Corollary 2.4 and Remark 2.5 in [ref:Edelen].

Take $v = f_k^{\frac{p}{2}}$, then by [Lemma 12](#), we have that

$$|\text{supp } v| = |A(k, t)| \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Sigma_t} f \leq \frac{1}{k} C$$

where C depend on C_1 and $|\Sigma_0|$. Hence for sufficient large k ,

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{pq} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \nabla f_k^{\frac{p}{2}} \right|^2 + c \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p H^2 + c \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p. \quad (3.17)$$

Theorem 10. *Suppose there are constants p_0 and σ_0 independent of p, σ, k such that for $p > p_0$ and $\sigma < \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{p}}$, we have that*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p < \infty$$

and

$$\partial_t \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \nabla f_k^{\frac{p}{2}} \right|^2 \leq C \int_{A(k,t)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p + C \int_{A(k,t)} f^p + C |A(k,t)| \quad (3.18)$$

for any $k > 0$ where C, c are constants independent of k . Then f is uniformly bounded in spacetime and the bound will depend on.

Proof. Integrating Equation 3.18 and Equation 3.17 over $[0, T]$

yields that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Sigma_t} f^p + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \nabla f_k^{\frac{p}{2}} \right|^2 \leq C \iint_{A(k)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p + C \iint_{A(k)} f^p + C |A(k)|$$

and

$$\int_0^T \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{pq} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \nabla f_k^{\frac{p}{2}} \right|^2 + c \iint_{A(k)} f_k^p H^2 + c \iint_{A(k)} f_k^p.$$

Then by adjust the constants to absorb the term $\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} \left| \nabla f_k^{\frac{p}{2}} \right|^2$,

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p, \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{pq} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\} \\ & \leq C \iint_{A(k)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p + C \iint_{A(k)} f^p + C |A(k)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p \frac{2q-1}{q}} &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p f_k^{p \frac{q-1}{q}} \\
 &\leq \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{pq} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \\
 &\leq \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T)} \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^p \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{pq} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq \left(C \iint_{A(k)} \tilde{H}^2 f^p + C \iint_{A(k)} f^p + C |A(k)| \right)^{\frac{2q-1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

For any function g defined on $A(k)$, for any $r > 1$, we can apply the Holder's inequality and have that

$$\iint_{A(k)} g \leq |A(k)|^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \left(\iint_{A(k)} g^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t} f_k^{p \frac{2q-1}{q}} &\leq C |A(k)|^{\frac{2q-1}{q} (1-\frac{1}{r})} \left[\left(\iint_{A(k)} f^{pr} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\iint_{A(k)} \tilde{H}^{2r} f^{pr} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + |A(k)|^{\frac{1}{r}} \right]^{\frac{2q-1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For p sufficiently large relative to r , we have that

$$\iint_{A(k)} f^{pr} < +\infty$$

and

$$\iint_{A(k)} \left(\tilde{H}^2 f^p \right)^r = \iint_{A(k)} \left(f_\alpha \tilde{H}^{\sigma + \frac{2}{p}} \right)^{pr} < +\infty.$$

By fixing r sufficiently large, we let $\alpha = \frac{2q-1}{q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) > 1$ and

$$\beta = p^{\frac{2q-1}{q}} > 0.$$

Thus, for any $l > k$, [Equation 3.19](#) implies that

$$|l - k|^\beta |A(k)| \leq \iint_{A(l)} f_k^\beta \leq C |A(k)|^\alpha$$

where the constant C is independent of l and k .

Therefore, by [Lemma 3](#), $A(k) = 0$ for $k > k_1(\alpha, \beta, C)$. \square

\square End of chapter.

Appendix A

Equation Derivation

Summary

Give equation proof in Appendix.

$$a = \pi \times r^2$$

The result is based on [\[1\]](#)...

□ End of chapter.

Bibliography

- [1] J. R. Lyle and C. Lu. Load balancing from a UNIX shell. In *Proc. 13th Conf. Local Computer Networks*, pages 181–183, Oct. 1988.