Deriving the mean-field approximation

Jonathan Parkinson

May 18, 2021

- As always, we start with Bayes' Rule. We'll start out general by talking about a dataset X to which we fit a model with a set of parameters θ.

$$p(\theta|X) = \frac{p(X|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(X)}$$

- The denominator is intractable for our mixture model.

- We can of course just $argmax_\theta p(X|\theta)$, and that's what the finite mixture model in studenttmixture does – use the EM algorithm to maximize the likelihood. But what if we want the posterior?

- We could use MCMC sampling, but MCMC is computationally very expensive for a large dataset. Another alternative is to approximate the intractable $p(\theta|X)$ using a simpler distribution $q(\theta)$ that is as close as we can make it to $p(X|\theta)$ while keeping it tractable. It turns out this approach has some hidden and surprising benefits.

- One way to make $q(\theta)$ as much like $p(\theta|X)$ as possible is to minimize the Kullback-Leibler or KL divergence between the two, which is:

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \int p(\theta|X) log(\frac{p(\theta|X)}{q(\theta)}) d\theta$$

$$D_{KL}(Q||P) = \int q(\theta) log(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)}) d\theta$$

- Notice the divergence is not symmetric! $D_{KL}(P||Q)$ does not equal $D_{KL}(Q||P)$.

-

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \int p(\theta|X) log(\frac{p(\theta|X)}{q(\theta)}) d\theta$$

$$D_{KL}(Q||P) = \int q(\theta) log(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)}) d\theta$$

- Which one to use? If we use $D_{KL}(P||Q)$, any time $p(\theta|X)$ is nonzero and $q(\theta)$ is close to zero, the divergence will blow up to infinity. If we fit our model by minimizing $D_{KL}(P||Q)$, $q(\theta)$ will be forced to spread out, becoming very thin and broad, and cover all the places where $p(\theta|X)$ is nonzero.

- Not good, because our mixture model has a multimodal posterior. (If you permute the class labels on the components of a fitted mixture model you get the same result, so the posterior distribution for a mixture model will be multimodal.)

-

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \int p(\theta|X) log(\frac{p(\theta|X)}{q(\theta)}) d\theta$$

$$D_{KL}(Q||P) = \int q(\theta) log(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)}) d\theta$$

- $D_{KL}(Q||P)$ by contrast works out nicely. The only requirement to avoid a weird result that blows up to infinity is that $q(\theta)$ be zero everywhere that $p(\theta|X)$ is zero.

- Minimizing the divergence for $D_{KL}(Q||P)$ will therefore result in an approximate $q(\theta)$ model that has "zoomed in" on one of the modes of the posterior for our true model $p(\theta|X)$ and probably does a reasonably nice job approximating our true model around that mode. We'll get a useful, locally valid approximation!

- So let's look at how to minimize $D_{KL}(Q||P)$.

- Because $p(\theta|X) = \frac{p(X,\theta)}{p(X)}$ (from basic probability):

$$D_{KL}(Q||P) = \int q(\theta)log\left(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|X)}\right)d\theta =$$

$$\int q(\theta)log\left(\frac{q(\theta)p(X)}{p(\theta,X)}\right)d\theta =$$

$$\int q(\theta)log\left(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta,X)}\right)d\theta + \int q(\theta)log(p(X))d\theta$$

$$\int q(\theta)log(p(X))d\theta = log(p(X))\int q(\theta)d\theta =$$

$$log(p(X))so$$

$$D_{KL}(Q||P) - \int q(\theta)log\left(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta,X)}\right) = log(p(X))$$

- Because $log(p(X))$ is constant (as long as we don't switch out the dataset or model), if we maximize $-\int q(\theta)log\left(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta,X)}\right)$, we minimize $D_{KL}(Q||P)$.

- So, "all" we have to do is maximize $- \int q(\theta) log \left(\frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta, X)} \right)$. It turns out it's really convenient if our Q approximation is fully factored, i.e. if every parameter of our approximate model is assumed to be completely independent of every other parameter.

- This type of variational approximation is called a mean-field approximation.

- For notational purposes, we'll say that our approximate distribution $q(\theta)$ is given by:

$$q(\theta) = \prod_j^M q_j(\theta_j)$$

where we have M parameters for the model, so each parameter j is independent of all others.

- Plugging $q(\theta)$ into the term we need to maximize, we get:

$$-\int \prod_j^M q_j(\theta_j) log \left(\frac{\prod_j^M q_j(\theta_j)}{p(\theta, X)} \right) d\theta =$$

$$\int log(p(\theta, X)) \prod_j^M q_j(\theta_j) - \sum_j^M log(q_j(\theta_j)) \prod_j^M q_j(\theta_j) d\theta$$

- To simplify this, let's distinguish between a θ_n of immediate interest and all the other $\theta_{k \neq n}$, i.e.:

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^M q_k(\theta_k) \left(log(p(\theta, X)) - \sum_j^M log(q_j(\theta_j)) \right) d\theta =$$

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^M q_k(\theta_k) log(p(\theta, X)) d\theta -$$

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^M q_k(\theta_k) \sum_j^M log(q_j(\theta_j)) d\theta \quad (1)$$

- To clean this up, let's introduce some additional notation and say that $E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] = \int_{k \neq n} \prod_{k \neq n}^{M} q_k(\theta_k)(log(p(\theta, X))d\theta_{k \neq n}$. In other words, this is the expectation across all variables EXCEPT n, if all the other variables are held constant.

- Let's rearrange this a little by pulling the term that involves q_n out of the sum.

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))]d\theta_n -$$

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) log(q_n(\theta_n)) \int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^{M} q_k(\theta_k)d\theta -$$

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^{M} q_k(\theta_k) \sum_{k \neq n}^{M} log(q_k(\theta_k))d\theta \quad (2)$$

- Probability distributions integrate to 1, so the last expression simplifies to:

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))]d\theta_n - \int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) log(q_n(\theta_n))d\theta_n -$$

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^{M} q_k(\theta_k) \sum_{k \neq n}^{M} log(q_k(\theta_k))d\theta = \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \left(E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] - log(q_n(\theta_n)) \right) d\theta_n -$$

$$\int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^{M} q_k(\theta_k) \sum_{k \neq n}^{M} log(q_k(\theta_k))d\theta \quad (4)$$

- Now we're ready to maximize this! But first, we have to enforce a constraint: all $q_j(\theta_j)$ must each integrate to 1. The most obvious way to enforce this constraint is the Lagrange multiplier technique, which here obviously yields:

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \left(E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] - log(q_n(\theta_n)) \right) d\theta_n -$$

$$\int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^{M} q_k(\theta_k) \sum_{k \neq n}^{M} log(q_k(\theta_k)) d\theta - \sum_{j}^{M} \lambda_j \left(1 - \int q_j(\theta_j) \right) \quad (5)$$

- Now we can take the derivative. However, we are taking the derivative with respect to a function, because the expression above is a functional (a function of a function, $q_j(\theta_j)$. The techniques required to do so are found in variational calculus – hence, variational approximations. To save space I won't derive this here, but it can be shown using the Euler-Lagrange equation that:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta f(x)} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta f} - \frac{d}{dx}\frac{\delta L}{\delta f'}$$

when:

$$F[f(x)] = \int_a^b L(x, f(x), f'(x))dx$$

So, we just need to plug our last expression into this formula, taking derivatives with respect to each q_n. The derivative of q_n does not appear in our expression, so the second term in Euler-Lagrange, $\frac{d}{dx}\frac{\delta L}{\delta f'}$, goes to zero.

- To recap: We want to take the derivative of this:

$$\int_{\theta_n} q_n(\theta_n) \left(E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] - log(q_n(\theta_n)) \right) d\theta_n -$$

$$\int_{\theta_{k \neq n}} \prod_{k \neq n}^{M} q_k(\theta_k) \sum_{k \neq n}^{M} log(q_k(\theta_k)) d\theta - \sum_j^M \lambda_j \left(1 - \int q_j(\theta_j) \right) \quad (6)$$

with respect to q_n using Euler-Lagrange, which in this case means we need to take the functional derivative of all the terms under the integral signs with respect to q_n. BUT...the second term in that expression doesn't involve q_n at all! Nice, right? So now we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_n} \left(q_n(\theta_n) \left(E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] - log(q_n(\theta_n)) \right) - \lambda_n q_n(\theta_n) \right) =$$

$$E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] - log(q_n(\theta_n)) - constant$$

- Setting this equal to zero obtains:

$$0 = E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] - log(q_n(\theta_n)) - constant$$

$$log(q_n(\theta_n)) = E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))] - constant$$

This last one is for the most part the only mean-field equation you need to know to derive update equations. The constant is a normalization constant, and if $e^{E_{n|k \neq n}[log(p(\theta, X))}$ follows the form of some basic distribution (e.g. a Gaussian), we can often figure out what it is from that.

- Consequently, mean-field approximations boil down to the following strategy: update each $q_n(\theta_n)$ while holding all other parameters constant, and cycle over your parameters in this way until the algorithm converges. Clearly this is not always as straightforward as it sounds – choosing good starting parameters, for example, can sometimes be a problem, and deriving all of the update equations can be a nuisance – but compared to MCMC, it can be a cheap way to approximate your posterior distribution.

- For the derivation of the Student's t-mixture update equations using the mean field formula, see the next section of the docs.