### On the Cone of Positive Semidefinite Matrices

Richard D. Hill Department of Mathematics Idaho State University Pocatello, Idaho 83209

and

Steven R. Waters

Department of Mathematics

Pacific Union College

Angwin, California 94508

Submitted by George P. Barker

## ABSTRACT

A survey of some general properties of the cone of positive semidefinite matrices, its faces, two isometric isomorphisms, and linear transformations on it is given.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years an impressive body of literature in linear algebra has been developed in the area of cones. In his "Theory of Cones" [1] Barker gives a survey of interrelationships among the geometric properties of, face lattices of, and order preserving linear maps on general cones. He closes with a short section on the cone PSD of positive semidefinite matrices.

This paper is an extension of that paper; it is a survey of the general properties of PSD, the faces of PSD, (two) isometric isomorphisms for PSD, and linear transformations on PSD.

Let V be a finite dimensional real or complex vector space with L(V) the space of all linear transformations on V. A subset  $K \subseteq V$  is said to be a cone iff  $x, y \in K$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \geqslant 0$  implies that  $\alpha x + \beta y \in K$ . A cone K is said to be pointed iff  $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$ ; full iff the (topological) interior of K is nonempty; and reproducing iff span K = V.

LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS 90:81–88 (1987)

81

A pointed cone in K induces a partial order if we define  $x \le y$  by  $y - x \in K$ . A subset  $F \subseteq K$  is said to be a face iff F is a subcone of K such that  $0 \le x \le y$  and  $y \in F$  imply  $x \in F$ . An extremal of K is a face E of dimension one (i.e., span E is of dimension one as a subspace of V). In an inner product space, the dual cone of K is defined as  $K^* = \{x \in V \mid \operatorname{re}(x,y) \ge 0 \text{ for all } y \in K\}$ . Also,  $\pi(K) = \{T \in L(V) \mid T(K) \subseteq K\}$  and  $\pi(K_1, K_2) = \{T \in L(V, W) \mid T(K_1) \subseteq K_2\}$ , where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are cones in the vector spaces V and W respectively.

A matrix A is said to be positive semidefinite (definite) iff A is hermitian with all eigenvalues nonnegative (positive). For equivalent formulations see [9] or [10]. The set of all n by n positive semidefinite (definite) matrices will be represented by PSD (PD) in both of its usual ambient spaces, viz.,  $M_n = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the complex space of n by n matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , the real space of all hermitian matrices in  $M_n$ . Finally  $\mathcal{HP}_{n,q}$  and  $\mathcal{CP}_{n,q}$  will denote the cones of hermitian preserving and completely positive maps in  $L(M_n, M_q)$ , with the second subscript suppressed whenever n = q.

## II. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF PSD

In Table 1 we list some of the basic cone properties of PSD with respect to its two standard ambient spaces. A few remarks on these properties:

- (1) Berman and Ben Israel [6, p. 142] and Berman [5, p. 55] show that PSD is self-dual in  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .
- (2) Since  $iI \notin PSD$  but  $iI \in PSD^*$ , PSD is not self-dual in  $M_n$ . Further, there is no  $A \in PSD$  such that re(A, iI) > 0. Hence, int  $PSD = \phi$  in  $M_n$ .
- (3) The set  $\{E_{ii} | i = 1, ..., n\} \cup \{E_{ii} + E_{jj} + E_{ij} + E_{ji} | i < j; i, j = 1, ..., n\} \cup \{E_{ii} + E_{jj} + \iota E_{ij} \iota E_{ji} | i < j; i, j = 1, ..., n\}$  is a subset of PSD

Property	Ambient space: $\mathcal{H}_n$ over $\mathbb{R}$	$M_n(\mathbb{C})$ over $\mathbb{C}$
Cone	Yes	Yes
Pointed	Yes	Yes
Closed	Yes	Yes
Self-dual	Yes	No
Full	Yes	No
Reproducing	Yes	Yes
Polyhedral	No	No
Simplicial	No	No
Interior PSD	PD	Empty set

TABLE 1

which is a basis for both the real space  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and the complex space  $M_n$ . Thus, PSD is reproducing in both  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and  $M_n$ .

(4) A discussion of some interesting results concerning PD is given by Taussky in [15] and [16].

# III. FACES OF PSD

If S is a subset of a cone K, then  $\Phi(S)$  will denote the smallest face of K containing S;  $\Phi(S) = \bigcap \{ F \triangleleft K \mid S \subseteq F \}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_n$  be the set of orthogonal projections, i.e.,  $A \in \mathcal{O}_n$  iff  $A \in \mathcal{H}_n$  and  $A^2 = A$ . With the usual order on projections, viz.,  $A \leq B$  iff  $\operatorname{Rng} A \subseteq \operatorname{Rng} B$ , and  $A \wedge B$  and  $A \vee B$  defined to be the orthogonal projections onto  $\operatorname{Rng} A \cap \operatorname{Rng} B$  and  $\operatorname{Rng} A + \operatorname{Rng} B$  respectively,  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is a lattice isomorphic to both the lattice of all subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the face lattice  $F(\operatorname{PSD})$ . Barker and Carlson [2, p. 29] give us

Theorem 3.1. The map from  $\mathcal{O}_n$  to F(PSD) given by  $A \mapsto \Phi(A)$  is an order preserving lattice isomorphism.

Barker and Carlson [2] have characterized the minimal face containing a positive semidefinite matrix as follows:

Theorem 3.2. Let  $A, B \in PSD$ . Then

$$B \in \Phi(A)$$
 iff  $\mathcal{N}(B) \supseteq \mathcal{N}(A)$  iff  $\operatorname{Rng} A \subseteq \operatorname{Rng} B$ 

and

$$\Phi(A) = \Phi(B)$$
 iff  $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(B)$  iff  $\operatorname{Rng} A = \operatorname{Rng} B$ .

Further, Barker and Schneider [4, p. 224] give the following characterization for a face of any cone, which we specialize to PSD:

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}(PSD)$ . Then  $F = \Phi(A)$  iff  $A \in F^{\Delta}$ , the relative interior of F.

We are led to a new characterization. (Note that  $A^{(i)}$  denotes the *i*th column of A.)

THEOREM 3.4. Every face of PSD is of the form

$$F_X = \left\{ A \in \mathrm{PSD} \middle| A^{(i)} \in X^\perp, \ i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

where X is a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Conversely, given a subspace  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $F_X$  is a face of PSD.

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{PSD})$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $F = \Phi(B)$  for some (any)  $B \in F^{\Delta}$ . Let  $X = \mathcal{N}(B)$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $A \in F$  iff  $\mathcal{N}(A) \supseteq X$  iff Ax = 0 for all  $x \in X$  iff  $A^{(i)} \in X^{\perp}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Thus,  $F = F_X$ .

Given a subspace  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $X = \mathcal{N}(B)$  for some projection B, which is a priori in PSD. We have that  $F_X = \Phi(B)$  is a face of PSD.

Since  $\mathcal{N}(\sum_{i \in M} E_{ii}) = \operatorname{span}\{e_j | j \notin M\}$ , where  $\{e_j\}$  is the standard ordered basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we have

Corollary 3.5. If  $M \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , then

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{i\in M} E_{ii}\right) = \left\{A \in \mathrm{PSD} \middle| a_{jk} = 0 \text{ if } (j,k) \notin M \times M\right\}.$$

This result immediately specializes to  $\Phi(I) = \text{PSD}$  and  $\Phi(\sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, r\}} E_{ii}) = \text{PSD}_r \oplus 0_{n-r}$ .

Our next result includes the fact that all faces of PSD are isomorphic to PSD, for some  $0 \le r \le n$ . Also see Schneider [12, p. 13].

THEOREM 3.6. If  $B \in PSD$  is of rank r, then there exists a unitary U such that  $\Phi(B) = U(PSD_r \oplus 0_{n-r})U^*$  (yielding a natural isomorphism between  $\Phi(B)$  and  $PSD_r$ ). Conversely, if U is unitary, then  $U(PSD_r \oplus 0_{n-r})U^*$  is a face of  $PSD_n$ ,  $r = 0, \ldots, n$ .

Proof. Let  $B \in PSD$  be of rank r. By Theorem 3.3  $\Phi(B) = \{A \in PSD \mid Rng \ A \subseteq Rng \ B\}$ . Letting  $\hat{\mathscr{B}} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $Rng \ B$ , we extend it to an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , say  $\mathscr{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_r, \ldots, b_n\}$ . Letting  $U = (b_1 \cdots b_n) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , U is unitary, and the representation of A with respect to  $\mathscr{B}$  is  $U *AU = \hat{A}$ . Since  $Rng \ A \subseteq Rng \ B$ ,  $Ax \in \operatorname{span} \hat{\mathscr{B}}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ; hence for the last n-r rows of  $\hat{A}$  we have  $\hat{A}_{(r+1)} = \cdots = \hat{A}_{(n)} = 0$ . Since  $\hat{A} \in \mathscr{H}_n$ , we also have the columns  $\hat{A}^{(r+1)} = \cdots = \hat{A}^{(n)} = 0$ ; thus  $A \in PSD_r \oplus 0_{n-r}$ .

Now if  $U *AU \in PSD_r \oplus 0_{n-r}$ , then Rng  $A \subseteq Rng B$ , which implies that  $A \in \Phi(B)$ . Thus,  $U *\Phi(B)U = PSD_r \oplus O_{n-r}$ .

Conversely, let unitary U be given and let  $P_r$  be the orthogonal projection onto span $\{U^{(1)}, \ldots, U^{(r)}\}$ ,  $r = 0, \ldots, n$ . As above, we have that  $U(PSD_r \oplus 0_{n-r})U^* = \Phi(P_r)$ , a face of PSD.

This immediately resolves the question of which nonnegative integers are the dimensions of (all) faces of PSD.

Corollary 3.7. All faces of  $PSD_n$  have dimension  $k^2$  for some  $0 \le k \le n$ .

The extremals (dimension one faces) of PSD have been described by Barker and Carlson [2]. We further characterize them as follows:

## THEOREM 3.8. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\Phi(A)$  is an extremal in PSD.
- (ii) For some  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha A$  is a rank 1 hermitian projection.
- (iii)  $A \in \mathcal{H}_n$  has one positive eigenvalue and n-1 zero eigenvalues.
- (iv) For some  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha A = xx^*$  where x is an eigenvector of A.

**Proof.** Corollary 4 of Barker and Carlson [2] gives us (i)  $\leftrightarrow$  (ii). Clearly (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Conversely, let A satisfy (iii). Then there exists a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , say  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , such that  $Ax_1 = \alpha x_1$ , where  $||x_1||^2 = \alpha > 0$  and  $Ax_i = 0x_i = 0$ ,  $i = 2, \ldots, n$ . Then  $A = x_1x_1^*$  is easily shown to be a rank 1 projection. Finally, (iv) is contained in (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii); (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is immediate.

In a pointed semiring C of linear transformations a (left, right) ideal which is also a face is said to be a (left, right) facial ideal. Tam [14] gives a discussion of the facial ideals of  $\pi(K)$  for any cone K. The faces of PSD are intimately related to the facial ideals of  $\mathscr{CP}_n$  (see Section IV of this paper) as follows (cf. [3, p. 226]):

THEOREM 3.9. If F, G are faces in PSD, then  $\{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathscr{CP}_n \mid \operatorname{Rng} \mathcal{T} \subseteq \operatorname{span} F \}$  is a right facial ideal in  $\mathscr{CP}_n$ , and  $\{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathscr{CP}_n \mid \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{T} \supseteq G \}$  is a left facial ideal in  $\mathscr{CP}_n$ .

### IV. LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON PSD

A linear transformation  $\mathcal{T}: M_n \to M_q$  is said to be completely positive iff  $1_s \otimes \mathcal{T}$  is positive semidefinite preserving for all positive integers s, where  $1_s \otimes \mathcal{T}: M_s(M_n) \to M_s(M_q)$  is defined by  $1_s \otimes \mathcal{T}((A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq s}) = (\mathcal{T}(A_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq s}$ . See [11] for a listing of characterizations.

Letting  $\mathscr{CP}_{n,\,q}$  be the cone of all such completely positive maps, Barker, Hill, and Haertel [3, p. 223] give the following:

Theorem 4.1. The cones  $\mathscr{CP}_{n,\,q}$  and  $\mathrm{PSD}_{n\,q}$  are isometrically isomorphic.

For further results on  $\mathscr{CP}_{n,q}$  see Choi [7] or Poluikis and Hill [11]. We shall now give a different isometric isomorphism for PSD, which we shall use to characterize  $\pi$ (PSD). Toward this end we observe

Lemma 4.2. The map of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$  given by  $H \to h$  where  $H = (a_{ij})$  and  $h = (a_{11}, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} a_{12}, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} a_{12}, \ldots, a_{22}, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} a_{23}, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} a_{23}, \ldots, a_{nn})^T$  is an isometric isomorphism.

Throughout this discussion we shall use the same Latin letter in upper and lower case to indicate this correspondence.

Note that for n = 2 our map is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b+ic \\ b-ic & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \sqrt{2} b \\ \sqrt{2} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now define  $\mathcal{K} = \{ h \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} | H \in PSD \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ . Then we have

Theorem 4.3. The cones PSD and  $\mathcal{K}$  are isometrically isomorphic.

It is immediate that  $\mathscr{K}$  is a closed, pointed, full, self-dual cone; F is a face of PSD iff  $f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} | X \in F\}$  is a face of  $\mathscr{K}$ . Further, considering the standard ordered bases of  $\mathscr{H}_n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , dyad products match up; i.e.,  $(Y \otimes_D Z) X \mapsto (yz^T) x$ .

A classical problem in matrix theory has been to characterize those linear transformations which map PSD into PSD: the set  $\pi(PSD)$ . Addressing this problem, we identify  $\pi(PSD) \subseteq L(\mathscr{H}_n)$  with  $\pi(\mathscr{K}) \subseteq M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$ .

A theorem of Tam [13, p. 281] immediately gives us the following characterization of the dual of  $\pi(PSD)$ :

Theorem 4.4. 
$$\pi(PSD)^* = \{\sum Y_i \otimes_D Z_i | Y_i, Z_i \in PSD\}.$$

The  $\pi(\mathcal{X})^* = \{ \sum y_i z_i^T | y_i, z_i \in \mathcal{X} \}$  formulation for our  $\mathcal{X}$  (it holds for a general  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ) led us to the following theorem. Having it, a much quicker proof uses  $K^* = K$  to show inclusion both ways.

THEOREM 4.5.  $\pi(\mathcal{X}) = \{ A \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R}) | y^T Ax \ge 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in \text{Ext } \mathcal{X} \}.$ 

This is really no stronger version of the result than  $\pi(\mathcal{K}) = \{A \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R}) | y^T A z \ge 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in \mathcal{K}\}$ . [Note that we have characterized Ext(PSD) in Theorem 3.8.] Also, Theorem 4.5 reformulates as  $\pi(PSD) = \{\mathcal{F} \in HP | (Y, \mathcal{F}(Z)) \ge 0 \text{ for all } Y, Z \in PSD\}$ .

Since every bilinear functional on  $\mathscr{H}_n$  can be represented by a matrix  $A \in M_{n^2}(\mathbb{R})$  via  $\mathscr{A}(X,Y) = x^T A y$ , Theorem 4.5 immediately translates to  $\pi(\mathrm{PSD}) = \{ \mathscr{A} \in \mathscr{H}_n \otimes \mathscr{H}_n \mid \mathscr{A}(X,Y) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } X,Y \in \mathrm{PSD} \}$ , a formulation observed by Barker.

Given a sequence  $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , the smallest cone containing  $\{(\operatorname{tr} H_1 P, \ldots, \operatorname{tr} H_m P) \mid P \in \mathcal{B} \cap \operatorname{PSD}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of elements of norm 1, is said to be the joint angular field of values of  $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{J}(H)$ . Barker, Hill, and Haertel [3, p. 228] use this concept for the following characterization of positive semidefinite preserving:

THEOREM 4.6. Let  $H = \{H_i\}_{i=1}^m$  and  $K = \{K_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be sequences in  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and  $\mathcal{H}_m$  respectively. Then  $\mathscr{B} = \sum H_i \otimes K_i \in \pi(\mathrm{PSD}_q, \mathrm{PSD}_n)$  iff  $\mathcal{J}(H) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(K)^*$  or  $\mathcal{J}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(H)^*$ .

The above two theorems characterize linear transformations which map PSD into itself. Schneider [12, p. 15] gives an analogous characterization for surjective maps:

THEOREM 4.7. If  $\mathcal{F} \in \pi(PSD)$  is onto, then there exists a nonsingular matrix A such that either  $\mathcal{F}(A) = AHA^*$  or  $\mathcal{F}(A) = AH^TA^*$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{H}_n$ .

The relations among the cone of positive semidefinite preservers, its dual, and the completely positives are also given in [3], viz.,

Theorem 4.8.  $\pi(PSD_n) \supseteq \mathscr{CP}_n \supseteq \pi(PSD_n)^*$ , and for n > 1 the inclusions are strict.

DePillis [8, p. 136] gives the result that if  $\mathcal{F} \in L(M_n)$ , then there exist  $\mathscr{A}_1, \mathscr{A}_2, \mathscr{A}_3, \mathscr{A}_4 \in \pi(\mathrm{PSD})$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathscr{A}_1 - \mathscr{A}_2) + i(\mathscr{A}_3 - \mathscr{A}_4)$ , i.e.,  $\pi(\mathrm{PSD})$  generates  $L(M_n)$  in the same way that PSD generates  $M_n$ . Barker, Hill, and Haertel's Table 1 [3, p. 225] shows that this result holds for completely positive  $\mathscr{A}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{A}_4$ . This table lists well-known generalizations of  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$  properties to  $\mathscr{H}_n \subset M_n$  to third-stage generalizations of the hermitian preservers  $\mathscr{H}\mathscr{P}_{n,\,q} \subset L(M_n,M_q)$ .

### REFERENCES

- 1 G. P. Barker, Theory of cones, Linear Algebra Appl. 39:263-291 (1981).
- G. P. Barker and D. Carlson, Cones of diagonally dominant matrices, *Pacific J. Math.* 57:15–31 (1975).
- 3 G. P. Barker, R. D. Hill, and R. D. Haertel, On the completely positive and positive-semidefinite-preserving cones, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 56:221-229 (1984).
- 4 G. P. Barker and H. Schneider, Algebraic Perron-Frobenius theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 11:219-233 (1975).
- 5 A. Berman, Cones, Matrices, and Mathematical Programming, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 79, Springer, New York, 1973.
- 6 A. Berman and A. Ben Israel, Linear equations over cones with applications to matrix theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 7:139–149 (1973).
- 7 M. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 10:285-290 (1975).
- 8 J. de Pillis, Linear transformations which preserve hermitian and positive semidefinite operators, *Pacific J. Math.* 23:129-137 (1967).
- 9 P. Lancaster, Theory of Matrices, Academic, New York, 1969.
- 10 M. Marcus and H. Minc, A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities, Prindle, Weber and Schmidt, 1964.
- 11 J. P. Poluikis and R. D. Hill, Completely positive and hermitian-preserving linear transformations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 35:1–10 (1981).
- 12 H. Schneider, Positive operators and an inertia theorem, *Numer. Math.* 7:11-17 (1965).
- 13 B. S. Tam, Some results of polyhedral cones and simplicial cones, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 4:281–284 (1977).
- 14 B. S. Tam, On the semi-ring of cone preserving maps, Linear Algebra Appl. 35:79-108 (1981).
- 15 O. Taussky, Positive-definite matrices, in *Inequalities* (O. Shisha, Ed.), Academic, New York, 1967.
- 16 O. Taussky, Positive definite matrices and their role in the study of the characteristic roots of general matrices, Adv. in Math. 2:175-186 (1968).

Received 25 February 1986