

# Sifchain - Margin

Cosmos Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: July 5th, 2022 - July 29th, 2022

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	3
CONT	ACTS	3
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	5
1.1	INTRODUCTION	6
1.2	AUDIT SUMMARY	6
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	7
	RISK METHODOLOGY	7
1.4	SCOPE	9
2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	10
3	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	11
3.1	(HAL-01) VALIDATION ERROR IN ALLOW-LIST FOR TOKENS THAT CAN USED AS COLLATERAL - MEDIUM	BE 13
	Description	13
	Code Location	15
	Recommendation	15
	Remediation Plan	15
	Risk Level	16
3.2	(HAL-02) VULNERABLE 3RD PARTY PACKAGES - LOW	17
	Description	17
	Packages	17
	Risk Level	17
	Recommendation	17
	Remediation Plan	17
3.3	(HAL-03) UNHANDLED ERRORS CAUSING PANIC - INFORMATIONAL	18
	Description	18

	Code Location	18
	Risk Level	20
	Recommendation	20
	Remediation Plan	21
3.4	(HAL-04) DUPLICATED ERROR CHECKS - INFORMATIONAL	22
	Description	22
	Code Location	22
	Risk Level	23
	Recommendation	24
	Remediation Plan	24
4	AUTOMATED TESTING	25
	Description	26
	Semgrep - Security Analysis Output Sample	26
	Semgrep Results	26
	Gosec - Security Analysis Output Sample	31
	Staticcheck - Security Analysis Output Sample	35
	Errcheck - Security Analysis Output Sample	36

## DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION MODIFICATION		DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	07/15/2022	Chris Meistre
0.2	Document Edits	07/29/2022	John Saigle
0.3	Draft Review	07/29/2022	Gabi Urrutia
1.0	Remediation Plan	08/22/2022	Chris Meistre
1.1	Remediation Plan Updates	08/29/2022	Gokberk Gulgun
1.2	Remediation Plan Review	08/29/2022	Gabi Urrutia

## CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke Halborn		Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Gokberk Gulgun	Halborn	Gokberk.Gulgun@halborn.com

Chris Meistre	Halborn	Chris.Meistre@halborn.com	
John Saigle	Halborn	John.Saigle@halborn.com	

# EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Sifchain engaged Halborn to conduct a security audit on their Margin module, beginning on July 5th, 2022 and ending on July 29th, 2022 . The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided to the Halborn team.

## 1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided nearly two weeks for the engagement and assigned two full-time security engineers to audit the security of the Margin module. The security engineers are blockchain and smart-contract security experts with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit to achieve the following:

- Ensure that Margin module functions are intended.
- Report potential security issues to the Sifchain Team.

In summary, Halborn identified few security risks that were accepted and addressed by the Sifchain Team.

It was found that several parameters relating to margin positions can be modified by a user with administrative privileges. These include values that affect interest liabilities and liquidation thresholds. These administrative privileges were linked to a unique private key and if this key were to get compromised, an attacker would have control over these parameters. After discussion with the Sifchain team, it was established that the key is held securely and a multi-signature key could be introduced in the future.

### 1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of the Margin module. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of structures and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the audit:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Static Analysis of security for scoped repository, and imported functions. (staticcheck, gosec, unconvert, LGTM, ineffassign and semgrep)
- Manual Assessment for discovering security vulnerabilities on codehase
- Ensuring correctness of the codebase.
- Dynamic Analysis on Margin module functions and data types.

#### RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the LIKELIHOOD of a security incident and the IMPACT should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. The quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that were used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

#### RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 Potential of a security incident in the long term.
- 2 Low probability of an incident occurring.

1 - Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

#### RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 May cause a significant level of impact or loss.
- 3 May cause a partial impact or loss to many.
- 2 May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
----------	------	--------	-----	---------------

10 - CRITICAL

9 - 8 - HIGH

7 - 6 - MEDIUM

**5 - 4** - LOW

3 - 1 - VERY LOW AND INFORMATIONAL

## 1.4 SCOPE

#### IN-SCOPE:

The security assessment was scoped to Sifchain/sifnode repository.

Commit ID

REMEDIATION COMMIT PROVIDED: Commit ID

IN-SCOPE Module:

• x/margin

IMPACT

# 2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	1	1	2

## LIKELIHOOD

(HAL-01)

(HAL-02)

(HAL-03)
(HAL-04)

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
HAL-01 - VALIDATION ERROR IN ALLOW-LIST FOR TOKENS THAT CAN BE USED AS COLLATERAL	Medium	SOLVED - 09/08/2022
HAL-02 - VULNERABLE 3RD PARTY PACKAGES	Low	SOLVED - 09/08/2022
HAL-03 - UNHANDLED ERRORS CAUSING PANIC	Low	SOLVED - 08/22/2022
HAL-04 - DUPLICATED ERROR CHECKS	Low	SOLVED - 08/22/2022

# FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

# 3.1 (HAL-01) VALIDATION ERROR IN ALLOW-LIST FOR TOKENS THAT CAN BE USED AS COLLATERAL - MEDIUM

#### Description:

The margin module allows users to open margin positions corresponding to a token pair in a liquidity pool. Users must deposit collateral to open a position. Pools consist of pairs of the native token rowan with an external asset.

Collateral is provided by depositing some amount of a supported token denomination into the pool. The native token rowan is always supported. A user with administrator privileges can determine which additional tokens are supported by enabling a setting on the corresponding liquidity pool.

It is possible for an attacker to create a counterfeit version of the native token rowan which can then be used as collateral for margin positions. Opening a margin position affects the price of assets within the liquidity pool. Therefore, an attacker with fake collateral can cause large price swings within the pools, which could lead to a drain of legitimate liquidity from the protocol. In this way, this attack is similar to a flash loan attack where an attacker takes out a large balance of rowan.

It is possible to perform this attack if an attacker can use the symbol Rowan as the denomination for their collateral. (Note: the capital 'R' distinguishes the counterfeit asset from the rowan token.)

This can be done in the case where a user can add a balance of a fake Rowan token to their account that meets the minimum threshold required to open a margin position, as well as a sufficient amount of rowan to pay transaction fees.

Example of correct validation: Using an invalid token "fakenotreal" to demonstrate that arbitrary tokens are rejected

#### Creating a Rowan liquidity pool

```
18 liabilities_p: "0"
19 mtp_health: "0.597560975609756098"
20 position: LONG
21 # At this stage, the allow-list has been subverted and 'Rowan' can
L, be swapped for any other assets in the Sifchain liquidity pools
L, as though it was an approved token
```

#### Code Location:

Several locations in the code base contain functionality that compare strings. When a **case-insensitive** comparison function is used (strings .EqualFold) Rowan can be considered equal to rowan. This allows Rowan to appear as a valid entry (as well as variations such as rOwan, roWan, etc.).

#### Recommendation:

Further validation should be performed on the denomination value used for collateral assets when opening margin positions. Providing any variation on the word "rowan" should give the same error message as the faketoken example above.

A previous Halborn audit of Sifchain's CLP module contained a similar error that was fixed using a case-sensitive comparison. We recommend a review of the Sifnode code base be performed to identify and replace all uses of the EqualFold function with case-sensitive comparisons.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED**: The Sifchain Team has implemented a function, StringCompare, which is being used to replace the use of EqualFold throughout the code base.

The commit where the new function is located:

56c4e86302dec8c34c2fa4f435105e433a9a2e28.

The commit where the new function is being implemented:

b92b8e0498901ed34199b1bd88a1c6d9dea89d7b.

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 4

# 3.2 (HAL-02) VULNERABLE 3RD PARTY PACKAGES - LOW

#### Description:

During the audit, Halborn identified some instances of installed 3rd party packages that contain known security vulnerabilities.

#### Packages:

ID	Package	Rating	Description
sonatype-2021-0598	tendermint	MEDIUM	Improper Input Validation
sonatype-2022-3945	go-buffer-pool	MEDIUM	Integer Overflow or Wraparound
CVE-2022-23327	go-ethereum	HIGH	Uncontrolled Resource Consumption
CVE-2022-21698	client_golang	HIGH	Denial of Service attack

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 3

#### Recommendation:

We recommend that all 3rd party packages that are installed are kept up to date and all security fixes applied.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED**: The Sifchain Team has solved this by implementing the correct package versions.

The pull request where the packages are being upgraded:

3201.

# 3.3 (HAL-03) UNHANDLED ERRORS CAUSING PANIC - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

A variety of errors can occur during normal operation of the command-line client for the margin module. These errors lead to panics and/or major issues in user workflows:

**Issue 1:** There is an error that results in a panic because no validation is being performed on the leverage parameter when running the tx margin open command through the CLI.

**Issue 2:** The force-close command is not functional due to the code accessing a value mtp\_address that is undefined.

**Issue 3:** In many cases, it is not possible for users to close margin positions that they have opened. Attempting to do so results in a panic.

#### Code Location:

#### 

x/margin/client/cli/tx.go, Lines 67-71

#### Issue 2

```
> //sifnoded tx margin force-close --id=1

Stroy: flag accessed but not defined: mtp address

Usage:
    sifnoded tx margin force-close [flags]

Flags:
    -a, --account-number uint
    -b, -broadcast-mode string
    -fee-scount string
    -fee-scount string
    -fees string
    -ress string
    -gas string
    -gas string
    -gas-adjustment float
    -gas-prices string
    -h, --help
    -help
    --help
    --heyring-dir string
    --keyring-dir string
    --heyring-dir string
    --node string
    --note string
    --tedger
    --tedg
```

Figure 1: Force close fails due to undefined flag

x/margin/client/cli/tx.go, Lines 67-71

```
Listing 4: (Line 144)

MtpAddress, err := cmd.Flags().GetString("mtp_address"

if err != nil {
 return err

147
}
```

#### Issue 3

Figure 2: Panic when attempting to close position. After the error message occurs, the position remains open

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

It is recommended that there is validation implemented for the leverage parameter to prevent any crashes. Additionally, the check for mtp\_addresss should be fully implemented or fully removed depending on the development roadmap to enable the force-close action.

Extensive testing on command-line parameters should be performed before deployment. The use of the Simulations feature in Cosmos can assist with finding bugs that arise from unexpected input.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED**: The code was updated in commit 5e24b0efcb7a0cd881bdabbec3be988ffba90387.

# 3.4 (HAL-04) DUPLICATED ERROR CHECKS - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

There are two instances where an error check is not required, and the <u>logic can be adjusted to only return the value</u>.

#### Code Location:

x/margin/client/cli/tx.go, Lines 82-87

x/margin/client/cli/tx.go, Lines 120-125

x/margin/client/cli/tx.go, Lines 160-165

x/margin/client/cli/tx.go, Lines 199-204

x/margin/client/cli/tx.go, Lines 252-257

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 1

#### Recommendation:

As the err variable will already be nil if no error has been generated by the function, the second if err != nil can be removed.

#### Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The code was updated in commit 5e24b0efcb7a0cd881bdabbec3be988ffba90387.

## AUTOMATED TESTING

#### Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance coverage of certain areas of the scoped component. Among the tools used were staticcheck, gosec, semgrep, unconvert, LGTM and Nancy. After Halborn verified all the contracts and scoped structures in the repository and was able to compile them correctly, these tools were leveraged on scoped structures. With these tools, Halborn can statically verify security related issues across the entire codebase.

Semgrep - Security Analysis Output Sample:

```
Listing 10: Rule Set

1 semgrep --config "p/dgryski.semgrep-go" x --exclude='*_test.go' --
L max-lines-per-finding 1000 --no-git-ignore -o dgryski.semgrep
2 semgrep --config "p/owasp-top-ten" x --exclude='*_test.go' --
L max-lines-per-finding 1000 --no-git-ignore -o owasp-top-ten.
L semgrep
3 semgrep --config "p/r2c-security-audit" x --exclude='*_test.go' --
L max-lines-per-finding 1000 --no-git-ignore -o r2c-security-audit.
L semgrep
4 semgrep --config "p/r2c-ci" x --exclude='*_test.go' --
L max-lines-per-finding 1000 --no-git-ignore -o r2c-ci.semgrep
5 semgrep --config "p/ci" x --exclude='*_test.go' --
L max-lines-per-finding 1000 --no-git-ignore -o ci.semgrep
6 semgrep --config "p/golang" x --exclude='*_test.go' --
L max-lines-per-finding 1000 --no-git-ignore -o golang.semgrep
7 semgrep --config "p/trailofbits" x --exclude='*_test.go' --
L max-lines-per-finding 1000 --no-git-ignore -o trailofbits.semgrep
```

#### Semgrep Results:

```
Listing 11

1 Findings:
2
3 clp/keeper/executors.go
4 dgryski.semgrep-go.errnilcheck.err-nil-check
5 superfluous nil err check before return
```

```
Details: https://sg.run/5Qd6
         202 if err != nil {
         203
               return err
         204 }
         205 return nil
         227 if err != nil {
         228
                return err
         229 }
         230 return nil
         Details: https://sg.run/WWQ2
         106 lp, err := k.GetLiquidityProvider(ctx, msg.
107 if err != nil {
               lp = k.CreateLiquidityProvider(ctx, msg.
         108
109
                ctx.EventManager().EmitEvents(sdk.Events{
                   sdk.NewEvent(
         110
         111
         112
                       sdk.NewAttribute(types.

    AttributeKeyLiquidityProvider, lp.String()),
         113
                       sdk.NewAttribute(types.AttributeKeyHeight,

    strconv.FormatInt(ctx.BlockHeight(), 10)),
         114
                   ),
         115
                })
         116
                lpUnits = sdk.ZeroUint()
         117 }
         Details: https://sg.run/5Qd6
         219 if err != nil {
         220
                return err
```

```
221 }
        222 return nil
  clp/types/querier.pb.gw.go
returned?
        Details: https://sg.run/qq6y
         52 protoReq.Symbol, err = runtime.String(val)
         79 protoReq.Symbol, err = runtime.String(val)
          -----
        142 protoReq.Symbol, err = runtime.String(val)
        153 protoReq.LpAddress, err = runtime.String(val)
        180 protoReq.Symbol, err = runtime.String(val)
        191 protoReq.LpAddress, err = runtime.String(val)
        222 protoReq.LpAddress, err = runtime.String(val)
        256 protoReq.LpAddress, err = runtime.String(val)
        294 protoReq.LpAddress, err = runtime.String(val)
        328 protoReq.LpAddress, err = runtime.String(val)
        402 protoReq.Symbol, err = runtime.String(val)
        436 protoReq.Symbol, err = runtime.String(val)
        Details: https://sg.run/WWQ2
```

```
117 dispensationCount, err := strconv.ParseInt(args[2],
\rightarrow 10, 64)
          118 if err != nil {
                 return fmt.Errorf("invalid dispensation count :%d",
   dispensationCount)
          120 }
          superfluous nil err check before return
          Details: https://sg.run/5Qd6
           85 if err != nil {
                return err
           86
           87 }
           88
           89 return nil
          123 if err != nil {
          124
              return err
          125 }
          126
          127 return nil
          163 if err != nil {
          164 return err
          165 }
          166
          167 return nil
          202 if err != nil {
          203 return err
          204 }
          205
          206 return nil
          255 if err != nil {
          256 return err
          257 }
          258
          259 return nil
```

Gosec - Security Analysis Output Sample:

```
Listing 12
 1 [x/ethbridge/test/test_helpers.go:238] - G404 (CWE-338): Use of
→ Confidence: MEDIUM, Severity: HIGH)
      237:
               // initialize global pseudo random generator
    > 238:
                randToken := tokens[rand.Intn(len(tokens))]
      239:
                tokenList = append(tokenList, randToken)
 6 [x/dispensation/test/test_common.go:39] - G404 (CWE-338): Use of
 → Confidence: MEDIUM, Severity: HIGH)
               index1 := rand.Intn(3-0) + 0
      38:
                index2 := rand.Intn(3-0) + 0
    > 39:
      40:
11 [x/dispensation/test/test_common.go:38] - G404 (CWE-338): Use of
→ Confidence: MEDIUM, Severity: HIGH)
               address := sdk.AccAddress(crypto.AddressHash([]
byte("Output1" + strconv.Itoa(i))))
    > 38:
               index1 := rand.Intn(3-0) + 0
               index2 := rand.Intn(3-0) + 0
      39:
16 [x/clp/test/test_common.go:125] - G404 (CWE-338): Use of weak
→ Confidence: MEDIUM, Severity: HIGH)
      124:
            for i := 0; i < numberOfLp; i++ {</pre>
    > 125:
               externalToken := tokens[rand.Intn(len(tokens))]
      126:
               asset := types.NewAsset(TrimFirstRune(

    externalToken
))
21 [x/clp/test/test_common.go:112] - G404 (CWE-338): Use of weak
→ Confidence: MEDIUM, Severity: HIGH)
     111:
    > 112:
               externalToken := tokens[rand.Intn(len(tokens))]
               externalAsset := types.NewAsset(TrimFirstRune(
      113:

    externalToken))
26 [x/tokenregistry/utils/parse_denom_list.go:18] - G304 (CWE-22):
▶ Potential file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity:
→ MEDIUM)
```

```
17:
               o, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
    > 18:
               if err != nil {
      19:
31 [x/ethbridge/client/cli/tx.go:396] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file
   inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      395:
    > 396:
                       contents, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
      397:
36 [x/dispensation/utils/parser.go:44] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential
→ file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      43:
               o, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
    > 44:
      45:
41 [x/dispensation/utils/parser.go:26] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential
→ file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      25:
    > 26:
               input, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
      27:
               if err != nil {
46 [x/clp/test/test_common.go:228] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file
inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      227:
    > 228:
               input, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
      229:
               if err != nil {
51 [x/clp/client/cli/tx.go:432] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file
→ inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      431:
    > 432:
                           input, err = ioutil.ReadFile(file)
      433:
56 [x/clp/client/cli/tx.go:417] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file
inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      416:
    > 417:
                       input, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
                       if err != nil {
      418:
61 [x/clp/client/cli/tx.go:67] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file
→ inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      66:
                       input, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
    > 67:
```

```
68:
66 [tools/sifgen/network/network.go:388] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential
└ file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
                   if !validator.Seed {
                       input, err := ioutil.ReadFile(srcFile)
    > 388:
      389:
                       if err != nil {
71 [tools/sifgen/network/network.go:364] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential
└ file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      363:
    > 364:
                   file, err := os.Create(configFile)
      365:
                   if err != nil {
76 [tools/sifgen/network/network.go:355] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential
      354:
    > 355:
                   content, err := ioutil.ReadFile(configFile)
      356:
                  if err != nil {
81 [tools/sifgen/genesis/genesis.go:134] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential
↳ file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      133:
    > 134:
              body, err := ioutil.ReadFile(genesisPath)
      135:
86 [scripts/ibc/tokenregistration/main.go:65] - G304 (CWE-22):
▶ Potential file inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity:
   MEDIUM)
      64:
    > 65:
                       input, err := ioutil.ReadFile(file)
                       if err != nil {
      66:
91 [cmd/ebrelayer/internal/symbol_translator/symbol_translator.go:28]
→ - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file inclusion via variable (
→ Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      27: func NewSymbolTranslatorFromJSONFile(filename string) (*

    SymbolTranslator, error) {
    > 28:
              contents, err := ioutil.ReadFile(filename)
      29:
96 [cmd/ebrelayer/contract/abi.go:41] - G304 (CWE-22): Potential file
   inclusion via variable (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM)
      40:
```

```
> 41:
       42:
101 [tools/sifgen/utils/cli.go:94] - G301 (CWE-276): Expect directory
→ permissions to be 0750 or less (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: MEDIUM
→ )
       93: func (c CLI) CreateDir(path string) error {
               return os.MkdirAll(path, 0755)
       95: }
106 [x/clp/keeper/migrations.go:78] - G104 (CWE-703): Errors unhandled
77:
                   // nolint:errcheck
     > 78:
                   m.keeper.SetPool(ctx, &pool)
       79:
111 [scripts/ibc/channeldata/main.go:87] - G104 (CWE-703): Errors
→ unhandled. (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: LOW)
       86:
     > 87:
               clientsRes.Body.Close()
       88:
               err = json.Unmarshal(body, &clientResponse)
116 [scripts/ibc/channeldata/main.go:35] - G104 (CWE-703): Errors
→ unhandled. (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: LOW)
       34:
               conRes.Body.Close()
     > 35:
       36:
               err = json.Unmarshal(body, &connectionsResponse)
121 [scripts/ibc/channeldata/main.go:20] - G104 (CWE-703): Errors
→ unhandled. (Confidence: HIGH, Severity: LOW)
       19:
     > 20:
               res.Body.Close()
               err = json.Unmarshal(body, &channelsResponse)
       21:
126 [app/export.go:177] - G104 (CWE-703): Errors unhandled. (
→ Confidence: HIGH, Severity: LOW)
       176:
               iter.Close()
     > 177:
       178:
```

Staticcheck - Security Analysis Output Sample:

## Listing 13 1 cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/cosmos.go:241:7: should use !bytes.Equal(tx. $\rightarrow$ Data()[0:4], methodID) instead (S1004) 2 cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/cosmos.go:286:6: should use bytes.Equal( 3 cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/ethereum.go:357:4: should use log.Printf 4 cmd/ebrelayer/relayer/ethereum.go:357:16: unnecessary use of fmt. 5 x/clp/keeper/msg\_server.go:376:2: this value of totalLiquidityFee → is never used (SA4006) 6 x/clp/types/querier.pb.gw.go:16:2: "github.com/golang/protobuf/ → protobuf type system. (SA1019) 7 x/clp/types/querier.pb.gw.go:17:2: "github.com/golang/protobuf/ package instead. (SA1019) 8 x/clp/types/querier.pb.gw.go:33:9: descriptor.ForMessage is interface. Use MessageDescriptorProto instead. If possible, the → protoreflect" for details. (SA1019) 9 x/clp/types/types.go:8:2: should use 'return p.ExternalAsset. false }; return true' (S1008) 10 x/clp/types/types.go:29:2: should use 'return 1.Asset.Validate()' 11 x/dispensation/types/records.go:60:2: should use 'return len(uc. return false }; return true' (\$1008) 12 x/dispensation/types/records.go:71:2: should use 'return ar. return false }; return true' (S1008) 13 x/tokenregistry/types/query.pb.gw.go:16:2: "github.com/golang/ → interact with the protobuf type system. (SA1019)

```
14 x/tokenregistry/types/query.pb.gw.go:17:2: "github.com/golang/
L protobuf/proto" is deprecated: Use the "google.golang.org/protobuf
L /proto" package instead. (SA1019)

15 x/tokenregistry/types/query.pb.gw.go:33:9: descriptor.ForMessage
L is deprecated: Not all concrete message types satisfy the Message
L interface. Use MessageDescriptorProto instead. If possible, the
L calling code should be rewritten to use protobuf reflection
L instead. See package "google.golang.org/protobuf/reflect/
L protoreflect" for details. (SA1019)
```

#### Errcheck - Security Analysis Output Sample:

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

