

14.20 The Metropolis–Hastings algorithm is a member of the MCMC family; as such, it is designed to generate samples x (eventually) according to target probabilities $\pi(x)$. (Typically we are interested in sampling from $\pi(x)=P(x | e)$.) Like simulated annealing, Metropolis–Hastings operates in two stages. First, it samples a new state x' from a proposal distribution $q(x' | x)$, given the current state x . Then, it probabilistically accepts or rejects x' according to the acceptance probability:

$$\alpha(x' | x) = \min(1, \frac{\pi(x') \times q(x | x')}{\pi(x) \times q(x' | x)})$$

If the proposal is rejected, the state remains at x .

a. Consider an ordinary Gibbs sampling step for a specific variable X_i . Show that this step, considered as a proposal, is guaranteed to be accepted by Metropolis–Hastings. (Hence, Gibbs sampling is a special case of Metropolis–Hastings.)

b. Show that the two-step process above, viewed as a transition probability distribution, is in detailed balance with π .

a. Consider an ordinary Gibbs sampling step for a specific variable X_i . Show that this step, considered as a proposal, is guaranteed to be accepted by Metropolis–Hastings. (Hence, Gibbs sampling is a special case of Metropolis–Hastings.)

“...the more general definition of Gibbs sampling, according to which each variable is sampled conditionally on the current values of all the other variables ... satisfies the detailed balance equation with a stationary distribution equal to $P(x | e)$, (the true posterior distribution on the nonevidence variables).” [AIMA3E, p. 538]

It is proved in the book that “...the transition probability for each step of the Gibbs sampler is in detailed balance with the true posterior” [AIMA3E, p. 538]

So, if the transition probability of each step is in detailed balance with the true posterior we can say that:

$$\pi(x) \times q(x | x_i) = \pi(x_i) \times q(x_i | x) \text{ for all } x, x_i$$

And then the acceptance probability of the sample generated by Gibbs is:

$$\alpha(x_i | x) = \min(1, \frac{\pi(x_i) \times q(x | x_i)}{\pi(x) \times q(x_i | x)}) = \min(1, 1) = 1$$

So, the proposal is always accepted by Metropolis-Hastings.

b. Show that the two-step process above, viewed as a transition probability distribution, is in detailed balance with π .

The transition probability distribution considering the acceptance probability is:

$$q'(x \rightarrow x_i) = q'(x_i | x) = q(x_i | x) \times \alpha(x_i | x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) \times q'(x_i | x) &= \\ &= \pi(x) \times q(x_i | x) \times \alpha(x_i | x) \\ &= \pi(x) \times q(x_i | x) \times \min(1, \frac{\pi(x_i) \times q(x | x_i)}{\pi(x) \times q(x_i | x)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \min(\pi(x) \times q(x_i | x), \frac{\pi(x) \times q(x_i | x) \times \pi(x_i) \times q(x | x_i)}{\pi(x) \times q(x_i | x)}) \\
&= \min(\pi(x) \times q(x_i | x), \pi(x_i) \times q(x | x_i)) \\
&= \pi(x_i) \times q(x | x_i) \times \min(\frac{\pi(x) \times q(x_i | x)}{\pi(x_i) \times q(x | x_i)}, 1) \\
&= \pi(x_i) \times q(x | x_i) \times \alpha(x | x_i) \\
&= \pi(x_i) \times q'(x | x_i)
\end{aligned}$$

In one line:

$$\pi(x) \times q'(x_i | x) = \pi(x_i) \times q'(x | x_i)$$

Then π is in detailed balance with $q'(x_i | x)$

Q.E.D.