

If G is a pseudo random generator with expansion factor 1.

Gen: On input 1^n , choose $K \leftarrow f_0, 1^{\beta^n}$
output its key.

Enc: On input K $C := G(K) \oplus m$

Dec: On input K $m := G(K) \oplus C$

let Π be this construction.
The intuition is that Π used a
uniform pad in place of
a pseudo random pad $G(K)$ then
the resulting scheme would be
identical to the one time pad.
So the following equation must be
true.

$$\Pr[\text{Priv}_{A,T}^{\text{eav}}(m) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \text{negl}(n)$$

If this equation does not hold
true then the adversary will
be able to distinguish output
of G from random string.

Let us show this by reduction.
we use A to connect
efficient distinguisher
security are directly related.

A is arbitrary PPT adversary

D takes w as input

Goal to determine if w was
chosen uniformly can by computing

$$w = G(k)$$

Distinguisher D:

D is given as input a string
 $\{0,1\}^{c(n)}$

1. Run A(i^n) to output pair of
messages $m_0, m_1 \in \{0,1\}^{l(m)}$

2. Choose a uniform bit $b \in \{0,1\}$.
set $c := w \oplus m_b$

3. Given c to A and obtain output b.

output 1 if $b' = b$ and output 0, otherwise.

D runs in polynomial time assuming A does.

→ Let us consider a modified encryption scheme.

$\tilde{\Pi} = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ which is exactly one-time pad except that now, we have a security parameter n.

perfect secrecy implies:

$$\Pr[\text{Priv } K_{A, \tilde{\Pi}}^{\text{eav}}(n) = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$$

Analysis of behaviour of D:

1. If w is chosen uniformly view of A when run as a subroutine by D is distributed identically to view of A as in experiment $\text{priv } K_{A, \tilde{\Pi}}^{\text{eav}}(n)$.

$$\textcircled{2} \rightarrow \Pr_{w \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [D(w) = 1] = \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, \tilde{H}}^{ear}(n) = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$$

2. If w is instead generated by choosing uniform $k \in \{0,1\}^n$ and then setting $w := G(k)$ to view of A when run as a subroutine by D is distributed identically to the view of A in $\text{Priv}_{A, H}^{ear(n)}$

$$\textcircled{3} \rightarrow \Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [D(G(k)) = 1] = \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, H}^{ear}(n) = 1]$$

since G is a pseudo random generator,

$$\textcircled{4} \rightarrow |\Pr_{w \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [D(w) = 1] - \Pr_{k \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n} [D(G(k)) = 1]| \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

using $\textcircled{3}$ and $\textcircled{4}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} - \Pr[\text{Priv}_{A, H}^{ear}(n) = 1] \right| \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

$$\exists \Pr_{A, B} \left[\text{Priv}_{K, \text{car}}(n) \geq 1 \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \text{negl}(n)$$

This proves that π has indistinguishable encryptions in the presence of eavesdropper

∴ proved.