













# Writing Testable Code



# Writing Testable Code



# Defining Testable Code

In one sense, all code is testable, since we can provide input and observe output.



*Testable code:* Code for which it is easy to perform automated tests at various levels of abstraction, and track down errors when tests fail.

Good code is testable code.  
Not all testable code is good code.



Though, we're going to talk about good code... and by design, we'll also see why good code is testable.

**TWO FOR THE PRICE OF ONE!**

In this lecture, we'll cover -

1. Basic Strategy for Testability
2. The DRY Concept
3. SOLID Principles
4. Law of Demeter
5. Minimizing Mutable Global State
6. Dealing with Legacy Code

"Testable code is one of those funny things. You only mean to make it testable, but it turns out to also be maintainable and VERY easy to integrate with."

-Chris Umbel, Software Engineer

In one sense, all code is testable, since we can provide input and observe output.




**PROBLEM?**

*Testable code:* Code for which it is easy to perform automated tests at various levels of abstraction, and track down errors when tests fail.

Good code is testable code.

Not all testable code is good code.



Tonight, we're going to talk about good code... and by doing so, we'll automatically get testable code!

**TWO FOR THE PRICE OF ONE!**

**In this lecture, we'll cover -**

- 1. Basic Strategy for Testability**
- 2. The DRY Concept**
- 3. SOLID Principles**
- 4. Law of Demeter**
- 5. Minimizing Mutable Global State**
- 6. Dealing with Legacy Code**



"Testable code is one of those funny things. You only mean to make it testable, but it turns out to also be maintainable and VM easy to integrate with."

**-Chris Umbel, Software Engineer**

# The Basic Strategy for Testability

## Key concept:

Segment code, make things repeatable.

The more parts of the system your code relies upon to execute properly, the more difficult it is to test.

```
public int getNumBooks(int userNum) {
    String db = DatabaseFactory.getDb().name.toString();
    DatabaseConnector dbc = new DatabaseConnector(db);
    Schema schema = SchemaSingleton.getSchema();
    User user;
    try {
        user = UserLookup.getUser(userNum)[0].toUser();
    } catch (Exception e) { user = null; }
    dbc.useSchema(schema);
    return dbc.get("BooksOut").where("User = " +
        user.toString());
}
```

Think about everything that depends on to execute properly.

Try to minimize these external dependencies. How could we do this?

```
public int getNumBooks(String userName,
    DatabaseConnector dbc) {
    return dbc.get("BooksOut").where("User = " +
        userName);
}
```

Good testing and good code involves keeping concerns separate, as much as possible.

This will not only make testing easier, but code comprehension easier!

Pure vs Impure functions

Functional Programming, especially in a language like Haskell, does much of what we're talking about it automatically as part of the language.

MINIMIZE MUTABLE STATE!

**Key concept:**

**Segment code, make  
things repeatable.**

**The more parts of the system your code relies upon to execute properly, the more difficult it is to test.**

```
public int getNumBooks(int userNum) {  
    String db = DatabaseFactory.getDb().name.toString();  
    DatabaseConnector dbc = new DatabaseConnector(db);  
    Schema schema = SchemaSingleton.getSchema();  
    User user;  
    try {  
        user = UserLookup.getUser(userNum)[0].toUser();  
    } catch (Exception e) { user = null; }  
    dbc.useSchema(schema);  
    return dbc.get("BooksOut").where("User = " +  
user.toString());  
}
```

Think about everything that depends on to execute properly.

Try to minimize these external dependencies. How could we do this?

```
public int getNumBooks(String userName,  
DatabaseConnector dbc) {  
    return dbc.get("BooksOut").where("User = " +  
userName);  
}
```

**Good testing and good code involves keeping concerns separate, as much as possible.**

**This will not only make testing easier, but code comprehension easier!**



# **Pure vs Impure functions**

**MINIMIZE MUTABLE STATE!**

**Functional Programming, especially in a language like Haskell, does much of what we're talking about it automatically as part of the language.**

# DRYing up Code

DRY = Don't Repeat Yourself

## Simple Example

```
public int[] addArrays(int[] lhs, int[] rhs) {
    int[] toReturn = new int[lhs.length];
    for (int j=0; j<lhs.length; j++) {
        toReturn[j] = lhs[j] + rhs[j];
    }
    return toReturn;
}

import java.util.*;
...
public int[] zipWithAddition(int[] lhs, int[] rhs) {
    return zipWith(lhs, rhs, add);
}
```

## Why is this bad?

1. Twice as many tests
2. Twice as many places to make errors
3. Which is the correct one to use?
4. Wasted codebases

## A more tedious example...

```
name = db.where("user_id = " + id_num).get_names[0]

... later ...

name = db.find(id).get_names.first
```

Why not make a getName(id) method?

If multiple pieces of code are doing the same thing, consider creating a method/function for it.

**DRY = Don't Repeat Yourself**

## Simple Example

```
public int[] addArrays(int[] lhs, int[] rhs) {  
    int[] toReturn = new int[lhs.length];  
    for (int j=0; j < lhs.length; j++) {  
        toReturn[j] = lhs[j] + rhs[j];  
    }  
    return toReturn;  
}
```

```
import fj.*;
```

```
...
```

```
public int[] zipWithAddition(int[] lhs, int[] rhs) {  
    return zipWith(lhs, rhs, add);  
}
```

## **Why is this bad?**

- 1. Twice as many tests**
- 2. Twice as many places to make errors**
- 3. Which is the correct one to use?**
- 4. Bloated codebase**

## A more insidious example...

```
name = db.where("user_id = " + id_num).get_names[0]
```

**... later ...**

```
name = db.find(id).get_names.first
```

**Why not make a getName(id) method?**



**If multiple pieces of code are doing the same thing, consider creating a method/function for it.**

# SOLID Principles

A mnemonic for the “five key principles” of object-oriented design.

**S** Single Responsibility Principle  
**O** Open/Closed Principle  
**L** Liskov Substitution Principle  
**I** Interface Segregation Principle  
**D** Dependency Inversion Principle

## Single Responsibility Principle

A class should have a single responsibility. That responsibility should be entirely encapsulated by the class.

```
public class Book {  
    public void printTitle() { ... }  
    public void readAndHighlightText() { ... }  
    public void highlightAndPrintText() { ... }  
}
```

What's wrong with single responsibility?

```
public class Car {  
    public String getYear() { ... }  
    public String getMake() { ... }  
    public String getMakeAndYear() { ... }  
    public void start() { ... }  
}
```

```
public class RaceCarEngine {  
    public void startEngine() { ... }  
    public void fuelInjectionAndStart() { ... }  
    public void startEngineAndFuelInjection() { ... }  
}
```

Two methods. If you can't do it without using both, you are probably violating the single responsibility principle.

Other code smells:  
1. Many methods  
2. Many attributes  
3. Difficult to comprehend what class does  
4. Methods don't seem related

Why does this make testing easier?

## Open / Closed Principle

Classes should be open for extension, but closed for modification.

Add features by subclassing, not adding code.

Class extension, code modification is a good practice. "Closed" should mean immune to extension.

```
public class Printer {  
    printAndFormatDocument() { ... }  
    public void printDocument() { ... }  
    public void printPDF() { ... }  
}
```

Violation of Open/Closed Principle

```
abstract class Printer {  
    printAndFormatDocument() { ... }  
}  
  
public class PrintPDF implements Printer {  
    printAndFormatDocument() { ... }  
}  
  
public class PrintPDF implements Printer {  
    public void printPDF() { ... }  
}
```

Better way:

If your class is growing bigger with each version, stop, ask yourself if the Open/Closed Principle.

This is a really good reason for using abstract classes and interfaces.

Why does this make our code easier to test?

## Liskov Substitution Principle

A class B which is a subclass of class A, should implement any method in A while meeting all invariants.

Example:

```
public class Parent {  
    function f() { ... }  
}  
  
public class Child extends Parent {  
    public void function f() { ... }  
    public void function f() { ... }  
    public void function f() { ... }  
}
```

```
public class Parent {  
    public void f() { ... }  
    public void f() { ... }  
    public void f() { ... }  
}
```

What's wrong with this?

Liskov Substitution means that you can easily replace one of many items.

## Interface Segregation Principle

Clients should depend on methods that they do not use.  
In practice, this means lots of small interfaces, not one big one.

```
public interface BankInterface {  
    public void transferMoney(int bankId);  
    public void allocateMortgage();  
    public void transferMortgage();  
    public void setUpLoan();  
    public void withdrawCash();  
    public void depositCheck();  
    public void depositCash();  
    public void authenticate();  
    public Bank() getBankBranches();  
    public Employee() getBankEmployees();  
}
```

```
public interface BankInterface {  
    public void withdrawCash();  
    public void depositCheck();  
    public void depositCash();  
    public void authenticate();  
}
```

Better

If you find yourself not using all of the methods of an interface, you should stop, consider replacing up the interface to add better rules.

How does this help for testing?

## Dependency Inversion Principle

1. High-level module should not depend on low-level module.  
2. Both should depend on abstractions.  
3. Abstractions should not depend on details. Details should depend on abstractions.

Example:

```
public class Printer {  
    public void printDocument();  
    public void printPDF();  
    public void printImage();  
}
```

```
public class Printer {  
    public void printDocument();  
}
```

Does that abstract class interface do anything? No, it doesn't. The class is an interface to the class.

Is this abstract class useful?

Allows for dependency injection!

**S** Single Responsibility Principle  
**O** Open/Closed Principle  
**L** Liskov Substitution Principle  
**I** Interface Segregation Principle  
**D** Dependency Inversion Principle

Remember - these are principles, NOT laws.

Decide when when applying.

**A mnemonic for the "five key principles" of object-oriented design.**

**S Single Responsibility Principle**  
**O Open/Closed Principle**  
**L Liskov Substitution Principle**  
**I Interface Segregation Principle**  
**D Dependency Inversion Principle**

# Single Responsibility Principle

**A class should have a single responsibility.  
That responsibility should be entirely encapsulated by  
the class.**

```
public class Stuff {  
    public void printMemo() { ... }  
    public int numCats(String breed) { ... }  
    public String getName() { ... }  
    public void haltSystem(int exitCode) { ... }  
}
```

What is Stuff's single responsibility?

```
public class Cat {  
    public String getName() { ... }  
    public String getBreed() { ... }  
    public Currency getRentalCost() {...}  
    public int rent() { ... }  
}
```

```
public class RentACatSystem {  
    public void startSystem() { ... }  
    public void haltSystem(int exitCode) { ... }  
    public void forceShutdown() { ... }  
}
```

Describe the class. If you can't do it without using "and", you are probably violating the Single Responsibility principle.

Other code smells:

1. Many methods
2. Many attributes
3. Difficult to comprehend what class does
4. Methods don't seem related

Why does this make testing easier?



## Open / Closed Principle

*Classes should be open for extension, but closed to modification.*

Add features by subclassing, not adding code.

Once complete, code modification in a given module ("class") should not occur except to fix defects.

```
public class Printer {  
    private void formatDocument() { ... }  
    public void printDocument() { ... }  
    public void printToPDF() { ... }  
}
```

Violation of Open/Closed Principle!

Better way:

```
abstract class Printer {  
    private void formatDocument() { ... }  
}  
  
public class PhysicalPrinter extends Printer {  
    private void printDocument() { ... }  
}  
  
public class PdfPrinter extends Printer {  
    public void printPdf() { ... }  
}
```

If your classes keep getting bigger with each commit, you may be violating the Open/Closed Principle.

This is a really good reason for using abstract classes and interfaces.

Why does this make our code easier to test?

# Liskov Substitution Principle

*A class B which is a subclass of class A, should implement any method in A while meeting all invariants.*

Example:

```
public class Shape {  
    Location loc;  
    Color color;  
}  
  
public class Rectangle extends Shape {  
    public double length; public double height;  
}  
  
public class Square extends Shape {  
    public double size;  
}
```

```
public class Square {  
    public Location loc;  
    public Color color;  
    public double size;  
}  
public class Rectangle extends Square {  
    public double length;  
    public double height;  
}
```

What's wrong with this?



Liskov Substitution means that you can mock without fear of causing issues.

# **Interface Segregation Principle**

**Clients should depend on methods that they do not use.**

**In practice, this means lots of small interfaces, not one big one.**

```
public interface BankInterface {  
    public void transferMoneyIntraBank();  
    public void transferMoneyInterBank();  
    public void allocateMortgage();  
    public void transferMortgage();  
    public void setupHeloc();  
    public void withdrawCash();  
    public void depositCheck();  
    public void depositCash();  
    public void authenticate();  
    public Bank[] getBankBranches();  
    public Employee[] getBankEmployees();  
}
```

```
public interface AtmInterface {  
    public void withdrawCash();  
    public void depositCash();  
    public void depositCheck();  
    public void authenticate();  
}
```

**Better**

If you find yourself not using all of the methods of an interface from another class, consider splitting up the interfaces for different roles.

How does this help for testing?

## Dependency Inversion Principle

*A. High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules.*

*Both should depend on abstractions.*

*B. Abstractions should not depend on details. Details should depend on abstractions.*

Example

```
public class Aviary {  
    public void buyCockatiel();  
    public void buyGreyParrot();  
    public void buyYellowBelliedSapSucker();  
}
```

```
public class Aviary {  
    public void buyBird(Bird b);  
}
```

Note that abstractions/interfaces are not enough! For example, `DataRecord.setTransactionRollbackTimeout();`

Leaky abstractions are bad.



Allows for dependency injection!

**S Single Responsibility Principle**  
**O Open/Closed Principle**  
**L Liskov Substitution Principle**  
**I Interface Segregation Principle**  
**D Dependency Inversion Principle**

Remember - these are principles, NOT laws.

Use common sense when applying.

## Law of Demeter

### The Law of Demeter<sup>4</sup>

*Never call a method on an object you got from another call.*

<sup>a</sup> Not an actual law.

Example:

```
pig_latin_name =
    Db.getTable('Users').lookup(id).translate('Pig Latin')
```

Better:

`pig_latin_name = PigLatinizer.pig_latinize(name)`

You can play with yourself.  
You can play with your own toys (but you can't take them apart).  
You can play with toys that were given to you.  
And you can play with toys you've made yourself.

```
See -- "BLANK" between case and following replace(s) by @
```

If you have a long line of dot-whatevers, you may be violating the Law of Demeter.

How does this help us test?



## **The Law of Demeter\***

*Never call a method on an object you got from another call.*

\* Not an actual law.

Example:

```
pig_latin_name =
```

```
    Db.getTable("Users").lookup(id).translate("Pig Latin")
```

Better:

```
pig_latin_name = PigLatinizer.pig_latinize(name)
```

You can play with yourself.

You can play with your own toys (but you can't take them apart),

You can play with toys that were given to you.

And you can play with toys you've made yourself.



Note that this doesn't count IF THE OBJECTS  
RETURNED ARE ALL THE SAME CLASS!

```
foo = " BLAH ".toLowerCase().substring(2).replace('a', 'b').trim
```

If you have a long line of dot-whatevers, you may be violating the Law of Demeter.

How does this help us test?

## Dealing with Legacy Code

It's difficult.

Key pieces of advice:

1. Write tests as you go along
2. Look for errors
3. Show/Hide TUPs (Test Uniformly Peptides) so that they're not inside TUCs (Test Uniformly Constraints)

You can start TDDing from a given point.

Don't let the masses of already-existing code enslave you up and make you not add your own tests.

Markers are places in the code where you can alter behavior without code modifications.

```

//EXAMPLE
public void printDoc(Printer p, args) {
    p.print(args);
}

//NO MAIN
public void printDoc() {
    Printer p = new Printer(DEFAULT_ARGS);
    p.print();
}

```

**No TUFs inside TUCs**

**TLP** is Technically Feature

- Accessing the database
- Writing to the database
- Communicating across the network
- State effectful code (e.g. GUI updates)
- etc.

- Final methods
- Final methods
- Final classes
- Control keywords / Descriptors

<http://www.subjectmatter.com/resources/articles/WorkingWithLegacyCode.pdf>

Don't be discouraged.

The hard part of software engineering is getting large systems to work correctly. Dealing with legacy code and adding testing is part of this.

If this was easy, everybody would be doing it.



**It's difficult.**

## **Key pieces of advice:**

- 1. Write tests as you go along**
- 2. Look for seams**
- 3. Move/Create TUFs (Test-Unfriendly Features) so that they're not inside TUCs (Test-Unfriendly Constructs)**

**You can start TDDing from a given point.**

**Don't let the morass of already-existing code swallow you up and make you not add your own tests.**

**Seams are places in the code where you can alter behavior without code modification.**

**Example:**

**// SEAM**

```
public void printDoc(Printer p, args) {  
    p.print(args);  
}
```

**// NO SEAM**

```
public void printDoc2() {  
    Printer p = new Printer(DEFAULT_ARGS);  
    p.print();  
}
```

# No TUFs inside TUCs



# **TUF = Test-Unfriendly Feature**

**Accessing the database**

**Writing to the filesystem**

**Communicating across the network**

**Side effect-ful code (e.g. GUI updates)**

**etc.**

# **TUC = Test-Unfriendly Construct**

**Private methods**

**Final methods**

**Final classes**

**Constructors / Destructors**

# **"Working with Legacy Code" by Michael Feathers**

**Summary: <http://www.objectmentor.com/resources/articles/WorkingEffectivelyWithLegacyCode.pdf>**

**<http://www.objectmentor.com/resources/articles/TestableJava.pdf>**

**Don't be discouraged.**

**The hard part of software engineering is getting large systems to work correctly. Dealing with legacy code and adding testing is part of this.**

**If this was easy, everybody would be doing it!**

# Writing Testable Code

