II.3.) Quantization and semi-classical transition

In II.5, we will quantize the field and sealor metric perturbations.

In II.4., we will quantize the tensor metric perturbations.

Here we want to summarize the general principle of the calculation, and explain why at the end of inflation, we can treat the quantized perturbation SX like gaussian stachastic numbers entirely described by the Fourier spectrum <\[SX_K\|^2\], which can be inferred from commutation relations of quantum mechanics.

2) quantization of harmonic escillator in flat space-time:

Classical equation of motion: $2 + \omega^2 = 0$ Fordamental state: gaussian wave furthion $4 - c e^{\frac{\omega^2}{2}}$ Probability of 2 = 10 in furdamental state:

 $P(x) = |V_0(x)|^2 = |cN|^2 e^{-\omega x^2}$ $= 80ussion of vorience < x^2 > 1/2 = \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$

B) Free massless scalar field in Flat space-time:

Real space: $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \chi \partial^{\mu} \chi \implies \Box \chi = 0$ ($\chi \in \mathbb{R}$)

Fourier space: $\chi \chi_{k} = \chi_{k}^{*} \chi_{k}^{*} = 0$ $\chi_{k} = \chi_{k}^{*} \chi_{k}^{*} = 0$

So, each made $k = independent harmonic oseillator with: curve Functional <math>4o(\chi_{k}) = cYe^{\frac{1}{2}k|\chi_{k}|^{2}}$ probability $P(\chi_{k}) = |cY|^{2}e^{-k|\chi_{k}|^{2}}$ (variance $\langle |\chi_{k}|^{2}\rangle^{1/2} = \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}k}$

Definition of the "mode function":

Equation $\ddot{\chi}_k + k^2 \chi_k = 0 \implies$ ensemble of classical solutions $\chi_k^2 = A_k^2 e^{ikt} + B_k^2 e^{ikt}$

So each pair (AR, BR) defines a possible classical trajectory. One of them plays a special role from the point of view of the quantum system and is called the mode function.

Made function = classical trajectory accounting for "typical evolution" of quantum system = evolution of $X_R^*(E)$ corresponding to one standard deviation in the fundamental state: $\langle 0|\hat{\chi}_k\hat{\chi}_t^{\dagger}|0\rangle^{1/2}$

The made function is found by imposing two conditions on the general classical solutions:

1 Positive Frequency solution:

Flat space-time => of = Killing vector (symmetry of spacetime) => some solutions are eigenfunctions of of operator:

of the partial operator:

If k>0: positive frequency solution (physical solution)

can be translated as a condition on the Wronskrian: $\chi_{k} \dot{\chi}_{k}^{*} - \dot{\chi}_{k} \chi_{k}^{*} = i$

Mode function in the problem at hand

TR = AR eint + BR eint

TR = ik AR eint ik Br eint

positive frequency => BR = 0 Wronskian condition => |AR|= √ZK

So the mode function is defined (up to an orbitary phase) as $\chi_k = \sqrt{2k} e^{-ikt}$.

As expected, $|\chi_k| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}$ is equal to the variance $\sigma = \sqrt{2k}$ of the probability $P(\chi_k)$ for the Fundamental state.

D) free massless field in curved space-time:

In general, curvature makes problem complicated or even ill-defined. It is not a Killing vector, so no positive frequency solution, no definition of funds mental state.

At time to we can formally define annihilation/credien operators and Foch space. But Bogolioubov transformation transforms à (to) into a mixture of â(to) and â'(to). So fundamental state at time to excited state at to. So we cannot previledge a particular definition of the fundamental state... (see e.g. book by Birrell & Davies)

But this problems are evaded in the context of inflation!

Definition of fundamental state ("in" vacuum)

For quantizing a given made k, we can start when $\lambda \ll RH$, ie $k \gg aH$. Then, curvature is negligible, made sees Hinkowski space. Initial Fock space and vaccount state defined in usual way. Remarks: * later, when $\lambda \gg RH$, there will be "particle creation from the vaccount", although the evolution is unitary. Well know effect of could space times!

* the problem is that initially, we can have $\frac{k}{\alpha H}$ at but not $\frac{k}{\alpha H}$ =0, so the field does see a triny constare, introducing a residual ambiguity in the definition of the vacuum. This lead to the speculation that there could be "transplanchian effects" altering slighly the standard computation presented thereafter.

Squeezing of the quantum state:

Initially, the mode sees Minkowski and has the wave functional discussed before (in the vaccoum state): $\Psi_o(\alpha_k) = c V e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\frac{R_0^2}{2})}$ with $\sigma_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\kappa}$

After horizon crossing, it is possible to show that $4e(\chi_u) = c\Gamma \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4}\frac{p_{uf}}{\sigma_{k}h^2}\left[4+iF_{k}(t)\right]\right\}$

with: $\sigma_{k}(\ell) = \text{mode function (starts from \sum_{ex}, then evolves according to equation of motion) } / |F_{k}(\ell)| goes from O (limit \(\alpha(R_{k}) \) to \(\infty(\alpha)R_{k} \))$

This evolution is well-known from experts in quantum mechanics: when IFKI ->00 the state is

called a "squeezed state" and is known to be indistinguishable from a classical stachastic system with a classical distribution of probability $P(XK) = |Y_6(XK)|^2 = |CK|^2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{|XK|^2}{\sqrt{K}(K)^2} \right\}$

There are various interpretations of this "quantum to semi-classical transition":

* semi means here stockastic...

DIN QFT, $\hat{\chi}_h$ and \hat{p}_{χ_h} do not commute. We could neglect this non-commutation provided that it changes expectation values by a finy amount. In particular, if:

 $\langle 0|\hat{\gamma}\hat{\chi}_{k},\hat{p}_{\chi_{k}}\hat{\gamma}|0\rangle \gg \langle 0|\hat{\Sigma}_{k},\hat{p}_{\chi_{k}}\hat{\gamma}|0\rangle$ (a) $\langle 2nti-commutator \rangle \gg \langle commutator \rangle$

then it is clear that all expedation values can be computed as if [24, \$4] wo, ie in a classical way. So (2) is a condition for semi-classical transition.

Precisely, for a squeezed state, (a) is true whenever IFK(A) -> 0!

Remember that $\hat{N}_{t} = S \hat{A}^{3} k \hat{a}_{R}^{3} \hat{a}_{R}^{2} \hat{a}_{R}^{2}$ is the "compation number operator" for each mode. But \hat{a}_{K} can be written in terms of \hat{a}_{K} and \hat{p}_{M}^{2} . Then, one can show that (a) is equivalent to <01 \hat{N} | 0>>>1, ie to an eccupation number >>1. Again, <\(\frac{a}{N}\)| 0>>>1 is true in the limit | F_{K}(P)| ->\(\frac{a}{N}\).

Hony people use the fact that "when the eccupation number is very large, the system is effectively classical".

What should one rember from section III.3?

FIRST

Quantification of a field in inflationmenty background is Possible because each made is initially sub-Hubble. However, horizon crossing (k < aH) will introduce at some point some non-trivial effects, namely "particle creation from the vacuum" (<01010> -> 00) and a "semi-classical transition" (= system equivalent to classical stockastic system).

SECOND The semi-classical system is described by a gaussian distribution of probability with a variance given by the made function.

Consequence: at the end of inflation, we can forget everything about quantization. We can describe everything with the Fourier power spectrum

(|Xk|2) = squared variance= of(1)

However, the quantum origin of the fluctuations is manifest:

- * in the fact that the classical probability is a gaussian: comes from gaussian wave function of vaccount state of quantum field modes.
- * in the fact that $\sigma_k(f)$ is found by compating the made function, which is normalized initially on the basis of quantum mechanics (positive frequency, Wronskian = i(f))