Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of NLIN model for WDM systems to wavelength-dependent Raman gain and attenuation scenarios

Università degli Studi di Padova

DIPARTIMENTO
DI INGEGNERIA
DELL'INFORMAZIONE

Step 1
●○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 1: Coupled NLSE model

Step 1
○●○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Equation for the field and narrowband approximation

Let us consider the standard NLSE for a fiber with Raman amplification profile $g(z)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A = -\frac{\alpha - g(z)}{2} A - \beta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A - i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A + i\gamma|A|^2 A \tag{1}$$

where t is the physical time. Recall that A is proportional to the electric field inside the fiber, in a way such that the dimension of A is $[A^2] = W$.

This equation holds for a narrowband field, such that $A(z,t)$ is a slowly varying function of t. In a WDM system, this approximation is assumed to be still true, as the usual channel spectral spacing is greater than 12.5GHz in third window (as defined in standard [**ITU-T**] for DWDM architectures). In the presence of tens of channels, the total field may still be assumed as narrowband.

Step 1
○○●○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Rescaling of fields

Let $\psi(z)$ be defined as a solution of the following differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dz}\psi(z) = -\frac{\alpha - g(z)}{2}\psi(z) \tag{2}$$

using such function, let $u(z,t)$ be defined as the *normalized field*

$$A(z,t) = \psi(z)u(z,t) \tag{3}$$

These definitions, when substituted in 1 give the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}u = -i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u + i\gamma f(z)|u|^2 u \tag{4}$$

where $f(z) = \psi(z)^2$. The advantage in using the 4 is that the attenuation dynamics can be described with a space-dependent nonlinear term: $\gamma f(z)$. In the model from [**Dar_2013**], using the *perfect amplification* assumption, $\gamma f(z) = \gamma$, since $f(z) \equiv \overline{1}$.

Step 1
○○○●○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Coupled NLS equations for WDM channels

By including attenuation and gain it is required to drop the narrowband approximation: in doing so a solution model is the one of the coupled NLSE. Consider two WDM channels named A and B. The following hypotesis are made:

- channels A and B have a spectral separation of Ω (a multiple of the WDM spectral spacing),
- both channels have the same nonlinear coefficient (it depends from modal field distribution in the core which is assumed to be the same for all channels),
- the group velocity profile is approximately linear in the frequency (β_2 is constant) in the whole band of interest,
- attenuation and Raman gain depend on the channel choice, but are approximately constant within the band of a given channel.

Step 1
○○○○●○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Coupled NLS equations for WDM channels

Following Agrawal [**Agrawal**], a system of *coupled NLSE* is given:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}A_A = -\frac{\alpha_A - g_A(z)}{2}A_A - \beta_{1A}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A_A - i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}A_A + i\gamma(|A_A|^2 + 2|A_B|^2)A_A \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}A_B = -\frac{\alpha_B - g_B(z)}{2}A_B - \beta_{1B}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A_B - i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}A_B + i\gamma(|A_B|^2 + 2|A_A|^2)A_B \tag{6}$$

Let A be the WDM channel of interest, and B the interfering one.

Step 1
○○○○○●○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Normalization of coupled equations

Let us now proceed in normalizing the fields A_A, A_B with the respective normalization functions ψ_A, ψ_B, as described in the rescaling equation (**??**). In addition, a moving time reference frame is assumed, taking as a reference the time of arrival of the first pulse in channel A: *from this point, until the end of the article, the variable t indicates the normalized time.*
These passages lead to:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}u_A = \qquad\qquad -i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u_A + i\gamma\left(f_A(z)|u_A|^2 + 2\frac{f_A(z)}{f_B(z)}|u_B|^2\right)u_A \qquad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}u_B = -\Delta\beta_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_B - i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u_B + i\gamma\left(f_B(z)|u_B|^2 + 2\frac{f_B(z)}{f_A(z)}|u_A|^2\right)u_B \qquad (8)$$

where $\Delta\beta_1 = \beta_{1B} - \beta_{1A} = \beta_2\Omega$.
Following [**Dar_2013**], a first order perturbation analysis is proposed for these equations.

Step 1
○○○○○○●○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of the 0th order term

Since the attenuation only affects the nonlinear term, the normalized field of the 0th order must be identical as the one derived in [**Dar_2013**]. The only exception is due to the notation used: the total field in this case can not be expressed by a simple sum of terms $u_A^{(0)} + u_B^{(0)}$. There are in fact two notational caveats:

- $u_A^{(0)}$ and $u_B^{(0)}$ functions represent normalized fields with different normalization constants,
- the functions are derived from *complex amplitudes* (see for example [**Someda**]) of *different* carrier frequency signals

Let us consider the initial fields as sums of shifted impulses which encode a given message. Let T be the symbol period:

$$u_A(0,t) = \sum_k a_k g(0, t - kT)$$

$$u_B(0,t) = \sum_k b_k g(0, t - kT)$$

(9)

Step 1
○○○○○○○●○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of the 0th order term

Let $g^{(0)}(z,t)$ be the linearly propagated field in channel A. The solution for the 0th order field is:

$$u_A^{(0)}(z,t) = \sum_k a_k g^{(0)}(z, t - kT)$$

$$u_B^{(0)}(z,t) = \sum_k b_k g^{(0)}(z, t - kT - \beta_2 \Omega z) \tag{10}$$

because of linearity, and definition of $g^{(0)}$.

As in [**Dar_2013**], we define the operator of linear propagation for channel A

$$\mathbf{U}_A(z) = \exp\left[i\frac{\beta_2}{2} z \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right]. \tag{11}$$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○●○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of first order perturbation theory

The splitting in two of the equation allow us to analyze separately the effects of SPM and XPM in a natural way. Let us apply the perturbation method to equation (7) for channel A:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}u_A^{(1)} = -i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u_A^{(1)} + i\gamma\left(f_A(z)|u_A^{(0)}|^2 + 2\frac{f_A(z)}{f_B(z)}|u_B^{(0)}|^2\right)u_A^{(0)} \qquad (12)$$

Notice that the normalized fields $u_A^{(0)}$ and $u_B^{(0)}$ can not be summed together because they are complex amplitudes with respect to different carriers. However, their squared absolute value is the power of the wave, so the summation of these terms makes physical sense.

Writing the integral solution to the inhomogeneous linear equation above gives

$$u_A^{(1)}(L,t) = i\gamma\int_0^L \mathbf{U}_A(L-z)\left(f_A(z)|u_A^{(0)}|^2 + 2\frac{f_A(z)}{f_B(z)}|u_B^{(0)}|^2\right)u_A^{(0)}dz. \qquad (13)$$

Using this result it is possible to obtain the estimation error of a receiver.

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○●○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of estimation error

Using a matched filter receiver, with matching to the linearly propagated initial pulse waveform $g^{(0)}(L, T)$, we obtain the following equation for the estimation error on the first symbol Δa_0

$$\Delta a_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_A^{(1)}(L, t) g^{(0)*}(L, t) dt \qquad (14)$$

Recall that $\mathbf{U}_A$ is unitary, so it holds

$$\mathbf{U}_A(L - z) g^{(0)*}(L, t) = g^{(0)*}(z, t) \qquad (15)$$

Using this identity, the expression for the error can be written as[1]:

$$\Delta a_0 = i\gamma \int_{z_0}^{L} dz \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt g^{(0)*}(z, t) \left(f_A(z) |u_A^{(0)}|^2 + 2 \frac{f_A(z)}{f_B(z)} |u_B^{(0)}|^2 \right) u_A^{(0)} \qquad (16)$$

---

[1] Notice that, being that the two fibers linear propagation terms are different, the substitution described in equation (15) is only valid because filter matching is done considering the propagated symbol waveform over channel A.

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○●○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of estimation error

By substituting the modulation of choice as in (10), we get an expression ready to be computed with respect to a given modulation format. Finally, substituting the modulation and using the same notation as [**Dar_2013**], the resulting expression is

$$\Delta a_0 = i\gamma \sum_{h,k,m} \left(a_h a_k^* a_m S_{h,k,m} + 2 a_h b_k^* b_m X_{h,k,m} \right) \tag{17}$$

In conclusion the terms that generalize the model, including attenuation and gain, are written in the interaction terms, as follows

$$S_{h,k,m} = \int_{z_0}^{L} dz f_A(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt g^{(0)}(z,t) g^{(0)*}(z,t-hT) g^{(0)}(z,t-kT) g^{(0)*}(z,t-mT)$$

$$\tag{18}$$

for the SPM, and

$$X_{h,k,m} = \int_{z_0}^{L} dz f_B(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt g^{(0)}(z,t) g^{(0)*}(z,t-hT) g^{(0)}(z,t-kT-\beta_2\Omega z) g^{(0)*}(z,t-mT-\beta$$

$$\tag{19}$$

for the XPM.

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○●

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Conclusions

This argument proves that the only modification to the original model is to include the terms $f_A(z)$, $f_B(z)$, which represent the power exchanged with the medium, into nonlinear interaction terms $S_{h,k,m}$, $X_{h,k,m}$.

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
●○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2: Generalization of $X_{0,m,m}$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○●○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○

Comment

The calculation done in [**Dar_2013**] are actually the correct version of the linearly propagated field (superposition of channel of interest and interferer) except for a sign. In the following derivation the calculations are made again. In order to prove the equation let us recall the linear propagator operator (as defined in [**Dar_2013**]):

$$\mathbf{U}[z] = \exp\left[i\frac{\beta_2}{2}z\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right] \tag{20}$$

Then, let us focus on the interfering channel field at the *input*

$$u(0,t) = \sum_k b_k g(0, t - \tau k)e^{i\Omega t} \tag{21}$$

and apply the propagator.
This is best done in frequency domain, and, by linearity, it is possible to focus only on the symbol waveform g. Using frequency shifting property

$$g(0,t)e^{i\Omega t} \rightarrow \hat{g}(0, \omega - \Omega) \tag{22}$$

In frequency domain, we have the operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}[z] = \exp\left[-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}z\omega^2\right] \tag{23}$$

Let us focus on the linear propagation of complex envelope *spectrum* of a single impulse

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○●○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Computation of $u^{(0)}$

$$\hat{g}^{(0)}(z, \omega) = \exp\left[-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}z\omega^2\right]\hat{g}(0, \omega - \Omega) \tag{24}$$

considering the antitransform, with a square completion argument,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\exp\left[-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}z\omega^2\right]\hat{g}(z, \omega - \Omega)\exp\left[i\omega t\right]d\omega = \tag{25}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\exp\left[-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}z(\omega - \Omega)^2\right]\hat{g}(z, \omega - \Omega)\exp\left[i(\omega - \Omega)t\right]$$

$$\underbrace{\exp\left[i\Omega t\right]}_{\text{frequency shifting}}\underbrace{\exp\left[-i\beta_2 z\omega\Omega\right]}_{\text{time delay}}\underbrace{\exp\left[i\frac{\beta_2}{2}z\Omega^2\right]}_{\text{constant}}d\omega \tag{26}$$

in the notation of [**Dar_2013**], $g^{(0)}(z, t) = \mathbf{U}(z)g(0, t)$ is the pulse propagated as in the channel of interest, so we have the following antitransform relation

$$g^{(0)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\exp\left[-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}z\omega^2\right]\hat{g}(z, \omega)\exp\left[i\omega t\right]d\omega \tag{27}$$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○●○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Computation of $u^{(0)}$

In conclusion, by using a simple change of variables and the time shifting property:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\exp[-i\omega t_0]\hat{x}(\omega)\right](t) = x(t - t_0) \tag{28}$$

The linearly propagated single impulse of the *interfering* channel is

$$\exp\left[i\Omega t\right]\exp\left[i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\Omega^2 z\right]g^{(0)}(z, t - \beta_2\Omega z) \tag{29}$$

notice that the frequency component $\exp[i\Omega t]$ has opposite sign with respect to [**Dar_2013**]. All the other terms are exactly the same. This may be due to a sign error in the usage of the frequency shifting property, which is

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\exp[i\Omega t]x(t)\right](\omega) = \hat{x}(\omega - \Omega) \tag{30}$$

There is still a point to be discussed, regarding the definition of the propagator.

Step 1
000000000000
Step 2
0000●00000
Step 3
00000000000

Caveat on $\mathbf{U}(z)$ and proposed solution

The linear equation to be solved is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} g^{(0)}(z,t) = -i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} g^{(0)}(z,t) \tag{31}$$

By the shift theorem [**Wiener_1926**] it is possible to write it in symbolic form

$$\exp\left[h\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right] g^{(0)}(z,t) = g^{(0)}(z+h,t) = \exp\left[-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}h\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right] g^{(0)}(0,t) \tag{32}$$

In this way we notice that the propagator operator may be defined as

$$\mathbf{U}(h) = \exp\left[-i\frac{\beta_2}{2}h\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right] \tag{33}$$

which is in contradiction with respect to [**Dar_2013**] in which the sign of the argument is inverted. By calculating again the propagated impulse, the result is

$$\exp\left[i\Omega t - i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\Omega^2 z\right] g^{(0)}(z, t + \beta_2\Omega z) \tag{34}$$

which shows inverted sign on the terms which involve β_2. This aspect will require further investigation, to be justified with physical arguments and to be matched with [**Dar_2013**] and [**Mecozzi_2012**].

Step 1
000000000000

Step 2
0000000000

Step 3
000000000000

Computation of $X_{0,m,m}$

Let us derive the calculations done in [**Dar_2013**]. Starting from the highly-dispersed pulse approximation, we get

$$g^{(0)}(z,t) \approx \sqrt{\frac{i}{2\pi\beta_2 z}} \exp\left[-\frac{it}{2\beta_2 z}\right] \hat{g}\left(0, \frac{t}{\beta_2 z}\right) \tag{35}$$

Now, it is possible to compute the coefficient $X_{0,m,m}$ through energy integral in Fourier space by defining $\nu = t/\beta_2 z$

$$X_{0,m,m} = \int_{z_0}^{L} dz f(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu}{4\pi^2\beta_2 z} |\hat{g}(0,\nu)|^2 \left|\hat{g}\left(0, \nu - \Omega - \frac{m\tau}{\beta_2 z}\right)\right|^2 \tag{36}$$

The approximation is that the strongest overlap happens at $z_m = -\frac{m\tau}{\beta_2\Omega}$
If the pulse centered at z_m suffers approximately the same attenuation in all of its spatial positions, it is allowed to assume the f function constant and $f(z) = f(z_m)$. A further assumption is made as z_m/z is assumed to be unitary, as most of the overlap happens at $z = z_m$. So the integral becomes

$$X_{0,m,m} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |\hat{g}(0,\nu)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \frac{z_m f(z_m)}{4\pi^2\beta_2 z^2} \left|\hat{g}\left(0, \nu - \Omega - \frac{m\tau}{\beta_2 z}\right)\right|^2 \tag{37}$$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○●○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Computation of $X_{0,m,m}$

Notice that the integration along all the space allow us to recall an important property of the impulses: they are of unit energy. Using Parseval identity it is possible to eliminate the impulse waveform in the following way. Let us adopt this change of variables:

$$y := -\frac{m\tau}{\beta_2 z} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad dy = \frac{m\tau}{\beta_2 z^2} \tag{38}$$

The multiplication by z_m/z creates the term dy along with the other constants:

$$X_{0,m,m} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |\hat{g}(0,\nu)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(z_m)}{2\pi\beta_2\Omega} \Big(\underbrace{-\frac{m\tau}{\beta_2 z^2} dz}_{dy} \Big) \Big| \hat{g} \Big(0, \nu - \Omega - \frac{m\tau}{\beta_2 z} \Big) \Big|^2 \tag{39}$$

$$= \frac{f(z_m)}{\beta_2\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |\hat{g}(0,\nu)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} -\frac{dy}{2\pi} |\hat{g}(0, \nu - \Omega + y)|^2 \tag{40}$$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○●○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Computation of $X_{0,m,m}$

If $f(z_m)$ is assumed to be 1 in perfect amplification scenario, the integrals simplify to

$$= \frac{1}{\beta_2 \Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} |\hat{g}(0,\nu)|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dy}{2\pi} |\hat{g}(0,\nu-\Omega+y)|^2 \qquad (41)$$

finally, both integrals, by Parseval, sum to 1, so

$$X_{0,m,m} = \frac{1}{\beta_2 \Omega} \qquad (42)$$

when z_m falls inside the fiber and in the region of high dispersion, 0 otherwise.

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○●○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of $X_{0,m,m}$

The generalization of the above calculation has only one critical point:

- In the overlap region the cumulative pulse attenuation f is assumed to be constant.

If this assumption holds true, the expression generalizes naturally

$$X_{0,m,m} = \frac{f_B(z_m)}{\beta_2 \Omega} \tag{43}$$

Otherwise, we may be interested in cases in which this assumption do not hold:

1. walkoff near zero (interaction happens not only near z_m, but in a broader region)
2. very long fibers (pulses fully interact without border effects)

and in general when interaction may not be assumed local.

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○●

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○○○○

Generalization of $X_{0,m,m}$

In such cases, a possible way to compute $X_{0,m,m}$ is dependent only on

1. Pulse shape (modulation format)
2. Cumulative attenuation of interfering channel f_B

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
●○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3: Gaussian impulses and Papoulis
approximation for $X_{0,m,m}$

Step 1
000000000000

Step 2
0000000000

Step 3
0●000000000

Gaussian pulses

The effect of linear propagation on Gaussian input pulses can be written in this closed form expression

$$g(z,t) = \frac{U_0 \exp[\frac{i}{2}\arctan(D(z))]}{(1+D^2(z))^{1/4}} \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\frac{1+iD(z)}{1+D^2(z)}\right] \tag{44}$$

where $D(z) = z\beta_2/T_0^2$.

Assuming a pulse energy normalized to 1, the parameters of amplitude and width must satisfy

$$U_0^2 T_0 \sqrt{\pi} = 1 \tag{45}$$

Let us calculate the XPM coefficient for such pulse

Step 1
000000000000
Step 2
0000000000
Step 3
0000000000000

Gaussian pulses

$$X_{0,m,m} = \int_0^L dz f_B(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt |g^{(0)}(z,t)|^2 |g^{(0)}(z,t-mT-\beta_2\Omega z)|^2 \qquad (46)$$

and considering

$$|g^{(0)}(z,t)|^2 = \frac{U_0^2}{(1+D^2(z))^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{T_0^2}\frac{1}{1+D^2(z)}\right]$$

we obtain

$$X_{0,m,m} = \int_0^L dz f_B(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \frac{U_0^4}{1+D^2(z)}\cdot$$

$$\cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{T_0^2(1+D^2(z))} \underbrace{\left(t^2+(t-mT-\beta_2\Omega z)^2\right)}_{\varphi}\right]$$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○●○○○○○○○

Square completion

For ease of writing let us define s as

$$s := mT + \beta_2 \Omega z$$

so it is possible to express φ as

$$\varphi = 2t^2 - 2ts + s^2$$
$$= \left(\sqrt{2}t - \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 + \frac{s^2}{2}$$

finally, we use a change of variable $\eta := \sqrt{2}t - \frac{s}{\sqrt{2}}$, $dz\,dt = dz\,d\eta\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. In conclusion $\varphi = \eta^2 + \frac{s^2}{2}$, and

$$X_{0,m,m} = \int_0^L dz f_B(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{U_0^4}{1 + D^2(z)} \cdot$$
$$\cdot \exp\left[-\frac{\eta^2}{T_0^2(1 + D^2(z))}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{s^2}{2T_0^2(1 + D^2(z))}\right]$$

Step 1
000000000000

Step 2
0000000000

Step 3
0000●000000

Local interaction approximation

The last step in the simplification procedure is the assumption of local interaction for $z = z_m = -mT/\beta_2\Omega$. This means that the function $D(z)$ can be substituted with constant $D(z_m)$. This is justified also by numerical simulations with usual Raman amplifiers parameters: they show that this approximation usually gives integrals with relative error $< 1\%$. We can also extend integration to $\mathbb{R}$, for every m such that $z_m \in [0, L]$, if we assume to neglect partial collisions at the borders. Than it is possible to simplify the integral

$$X_{0,m,m} = f_B(z_m) \frac{U_0^4}{1 + D^2(z_m)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \cdot$$

$$\cdot \exp\left[-\frac{\eta^2}{T_0^2(1 + D^2(z))}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{s^2}{2T_0^2(1 + D^2(z))}\right]$$

The remaining equation contains two Gaussian integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{\alpha}\right] = \sqrt{\alpha\pi} \tag{47}$$

integrating with respect to η we obtain

$$f_B(z_m) \frac{U_0^4}{1 + D^2(z_m)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (T_0^2(1 + D^2(z_m)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \exp\left[-\frac{s^2}{2T_0^2(1 + D^2(z))}\right] \tag{48}$$

Step 1
000000000000
Step 2
0000000000
Step 3
00000●00000

Local interaction solution

and finally, expressing the dependency of s from z,

$$s = mT + \beta_2\Omega z \qquad dz = \frac{1}{\beta_2\Omega}ds \tag{49}$$

and finally, expressing the dependency of s from z,

$$X_{0,m,m} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{U_0^4 T_0}{(1+D^2(z_m))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int_0^L dz f_B(z)\exp\left[-\frac{(mT+\beta_2\Omega z)^2}{2T_0^2(1+D^2(z_m))}\right] \tag{50}$$

At this point, there is no possibility to find a general analytical solution to the integral. In fact, different Raman amplification schemes give different functions f_B, and the analysis must be done separately on each of them. Still, let us explore the case in which there is *perfect amplification*: the final result is interesting as the result is the same as the one given by the high dispersion approximation. In this hypotesis, $f_B \equiv 1$, and the coefficient is computed as

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{U_0^4 T_0}{(1+D^2(z_m))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int_0^L dz\exp\left[-\frac{s^2}{2T_0^2(1+D^2(z_m))}\right]. \tag{51}$$

which contains a truncated Gaussian integral. If we further assume that the contribution to the integral is negligible out of the range $[0, L]$, this integral has simple analytical solution. Using s as a new integration variable it is possible to solve as

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{U_0^4 T_0}{(1+D^2(z_m))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int_\mathbb{R}\frac{ds}{\beta_2\Omega}\exp\left[-\frac{s^2}{2T_0^2(1+D^2(z_m))}\right] = \frac{1}{\beta_2\Omega}U_0^4 T_0^2\pi. \tag{52}$$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○●○○○○

Papoulis approximation

Quanto ottenuto nella (13) fa sospettare che lo stesso risultato sarebbe stato ottenibile usando l'approssimazione in modo esatto. Infatti un aspetto fondamentale del ragionamento in [**Dar_2013**] è che gli impulsi siano proporzionali e scalati rispetto ai loro *spettri*. Questo per un impulso gaussiano è sempre vero.

Verifichiamo se l'approssimazione vale in modo esatto: scriviamo i campi in dominio del tempo e della frequenza e confrontiamoli con la [**Dar_2013**]. Secondo l'Appendice 1, nel dominio del tempo abbiamo questa espressione equivalente

$$u(z,t) = U_0 \left(\frac{1 + iD(z)}{1 + D^2(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2} \frac{1 + iD(z)}{1 + D^2(z)} \right] \tag{53}$$

Mentre nel dominio della frequenza si ha (trasformata standard)

$$\hat{u}(z,\omega) = U_0 T_0 \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \omega^2 (T_0^2 - i\beta_2 z) \right] \tag{54}$$

ora si sostituisce $\omega \leftarrow \frac{t}{\beta_2 z}$ e si ottiene

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○●○○○

Verifica dell'approssimazione

$$\hat{u}(z, \omega) = U_0 T_0 \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2\beta_2^2 z^2}(T_0^2 - i\beta_2 z)\right]$$

$$= U_0 T_0 \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\left(\frac{1}{D^2(z)} - i\frac{1}{D(z)}\right)\right]$$

$$= U_0 T_0 \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\left(\frac{1 - iD(z)}{D^2(z)}\right)\right]$$

Osserviamo l'approssimazione

$$u(z, t) \approx \sqrt{\frac{i}{2\pi\beta_2 z}} \underbrace{\exp\left[-i\frac{t^2}{2\beta_2 z}\right] \hat{u}\left(0, \frac{t}{\beta_2 z}\right)}_{A} \tag{55}$$

il termine contrassegnato da A risulta

$$A = U_0 T_0 \exp\left[-i\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\frac{1}{D(z)}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\left(\frac{1 - iD(z)}{D^2(z)}\right)\right] = U_0 T_0 \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\left(\frac{1}{D^2(z)}\right)\right] \tag{56}$$

Step 1
○○○○○○○○○○○○

Step 2
○○○○○○○○○○

Step 3
○○○○○○○○○○●○○

Verifica dell'approssimazione

Quindi dobbiamo verificare la seguente uguaglianza

$$U_0\sqrt{\frac{1+iD(z)}{1+D^2(z)}}\exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\frac{1+iD(z)}{1+D^2(z)}\right] \overset{?}{=} U_0\sqrt{\frac{i}{2\pi D(z)}}\exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\left(\frac{1}{D^2(z)}\right)\right] \tag{57}$$

Queste espressioni non sembrano tuttavia combaciare esattamente. Possiamo approssimare il termine di sinistra, per $D(z) >> 1$ con

$$U_0\sqrt{\frac{i}{D(z)}}\exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\frac{1}{D^2(z)}\right]\exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\frac{i}{D(z)}\right] \tag{58}$$

tuttavia si nota che manca un termine 2π a *denominatore*, e l'esponenziale di fase *scompare* dall'espressione.

La conclusione *provvisoria* è che l'approssimazione non vale in maniera esatta, ed anzi la sua validità nel caso gaussiano è da valutare in un'ulteriore analisi.

Appendice 1 - espressione del campo propagato linearmente

L'espressione del campo in (44) contiene un termine di fase del tipo $\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\arctan(D(z))\right]$. Questo termine viene scritto in questo modo per evidenziare la divisione del coefficiente della funzione gaussiana tra una componente di modulo ed una di fase. L'espressione è ottenibile tramite antitrasformata di Fourier, da cui si ha l'espressione

$$u(z,t) = U_0 \left(\frac{1+iD(z)}{1+D^2(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{t^2}{2T_0^2}\frac{1+iD(z)}{1+D^2(z)}\right] \tag{59}$$

Può essere utile evidenziare l'algebra del passaggio da questa espressione a quella data. Infatti, usando delle semplici identità goniometriche:

$$\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\arctan(D(z))\right] = \exp\left[2i\arctan(D(z))\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} =$$

$$= \left[\cos(2\arctan(D(z))) + i\sin(2\arctan(D(z)))\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} =$$

$$= \left[\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2} + i\frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} = \qquad \text{dove } t = \tan\left(\frac{\cancel{2}\arctan(D(z))}{\cancel{2}}\right) = D(z)$$

$$= \left[\frac{(1+iD(z))^2}{1+D^2(z)}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{(1+iD(z))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+D^2(z))^{\frac{1}{4}}}$$

References