

FINITE MARKOV DECISION PROCESSES

- STATE, REWARD, ACTION
  - AGENT/ENVIRONMENT
  - POLICY  $\pi_t, \pi_t(u|s)$  PROBABILITY, MAPS STATES TO PROBABILITY OF SELECTING ACTION
  - EXPRESS THE GOAL THROUGH REWARD SIGNAL: WHAT TO ACHIEVE NOT HOW TO ACHIEVE
  - CUMULATIVE REWARD: A SPECIFIC FUNCTION OF THE REWARD SEQUENCE, IE SUM
  - EPISODIC TASKS: THERE IS A TERMINATING STATE
  - CONTINUING TASKS: NO TERMINAL STATE  $\rightarrow$  DISCOUNTED RETURNS:  $G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \dots + \sum \gamma^n R_{t+n+1}$
- $\rightarrow$  CAN BE SEEN AS CONTINUING WHERE TERMINAL IS A ABSORBING STATE WITH  $R=0$

$0 \leq \gamma < 1$  DISCOUNT RATE

- GENERAL RETURN  $G_t = \sum \gamma^n R_{t+n+1}$
  - STATE SIGNAL: USUALLY SET TO HAVE MARKOV PROPERTY
  - RL TASK + MARKOV PROPERTY  $\rightarrow$  FINITE MARKOV DECISION PROCESS
  - DIAGRAM WITH STATE + ACTION NODES.  $\sum P_{out}(u) = 1$
- EXPECTED REWARDS  $R(s,a) = \sum_{s',r} P(s',r|s,a) [R + \gamma V_\pi(s')]$

STATE TRANSITIONS:  $P(s'|s,a) = \sum_r P(s',r|s,a)$

EXPECTED REWARDS S-A-NS:  $R(s,a,s') = \frac{\sum_r R \cdot P(s',r|s,a)}{P(s'|s,a)}$

- 0 ONLY IMMEDIATE REWARDS
- 1 FARSIGHTEDNESS

- VALUE FCN:  $V_\pi(s) = E_\pi[G_t | S_t = s] = E_\pi[\sum \gamma^n R_{t+n+1} | S_t = s] \rightarrow$  VALUE OF STATE UNDER POLICY  $\pi$ , EXPECTED RETURN OF STANDING IN  $s$  AND FOLLOWING  $\pi$
- $Q_\pi(s,a) = E_\pi[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a] = E_\pi[\sum \gamma^n R_{t+n+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a] \rightarrow$  VALUE OF TAKING  $a$  UNDER STATE  $s$ , AND FOLLOWING  $\pi$

STATE-VALUE FCN FOR POLICY  $\pi$

ACTION-VALUE FCN FOR POLICY  $\pi$

- BELLMAN EQUATION:  $V_\pi(s) = \dots = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} P(s',r|s,a) [R + \gamma V_\pi(s')]$
- RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VALUE OF STATES AND ITS SUCCESSORS
- VALUES OF STATES
- FUNDAMENTAL IDENTITY:  $T^\pi V^\pi = V^\pi$ ,  $T^\pi$  BELLMAN OPERATOR
- CONTRACTION FOR LEAST POINTS OF  $T^\pi$   $\rightarrow$  CONVERGENCE TO UNIQUE SOLUTION

- OPTIMAL VALUE FCN: FINITE MDP HAVE CLOSED-FORM OPTIMAL POLICY. VALUE FCN IMPOSE PARTIAL ORDERING OVER POLICIES.
- $\pi \succ \pi'$  IFF  $V_\pi(s) \geq V_{\pi'}(s) \forall s \in S$ . MULTIPLE OPTIMAL POLICIES  $\rightarrow$  EQUIVALENT SAME  $V_*(s)$  AND  $Q_*(s)$
- $V_*(s) = \max_\pi V_\pi(s)$   $Q_*(s,a) = \max_\pi Q_\pi(s,a) = E[R_{t+1} + \gamma V_*(s_{t+1}) | S_t = s, A_t = a]$

- BELLMAN OPTIMALITY EQUATION:  $V_*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s',r} P(s',r|s,a) [R + \gamma V_*(s')]$
- $Q_*(s,a) = \sum_{s',r} P(s',r|s,a) [R + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_*(s',a')]$
- FOR FINITE MDP, UNIQUE SOLUTION INDEPENDENT OF POLICY
- VALUE OF STATE UNDER OPTIMAL POLICY MUST EQUAL EXPECTED RETURN FOR BEST ACTION FROM THAT STATE

- IS  $|S|$  SYSTEM OF EQUATION IN  $|S|$  UNKNOWN, CAN SOLVE IF DYNAMICS ARE KNOWN
- FROM  $V_*$  BEST ACTIONS AFTER 1-STEP SEARCH, OPTIMAL IN LONG RUN  $\rightarrow$  GREEDY BUT OPTIMAL
- FROM  $Q_*$  EQUIVALENT, FOR ANY  $s \rightarrow$  IS A ARGMAX  $Q_*(s,a)$ . NOT EVEN 1 STEP SEARCH.
- IS LIKE ALREADY CACHING THE RESULTS. WE DON'T HAVE TO KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT FUTURE STATES AND THEIR VALUE, NO DYNAMICS NEEDED!!!
- IN PRACTICE: APPROXIMATIONS BECAUSE OPTIMAL COMPUTATIONS REQUIRE EXHAUSTIVE SEARCH. BDDM. RL IS ONLINE  $\rightarrow$  WE CAN 'PRUNE' LOW OCCURRING STATES.
- LAY COMPLEX ENVIRONMENTS