## **Exercises on Graph Analytics**

**Exercise.** Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph with n nodes and  $m = n^{1+c}$  edges, for some constant c > 0. Fix  $M = n^{1+\epsilon}$ , for some  $\epsilon \in [0, c/2]$ . The following MapReduce algorithm computes a Minimum Spanning Forest for G using O(m) aggregate space and O(M) local space. Let  $m_0 = m$ .

- Round 1: Partition E into  $m_0/M$  subsets of size M each, and compute a MSF separately for each subset. Let  $m_1$  be the number of residual edges (i.e., edges that belong to the computed forests).
- Round 2: Partition the residual set of  $m_1$  edges into  $m_1/M$  subsets of size M each, and compute a MSF separately for each subset. Let  $m_2$  be the number of residual edges.
- ... Continue until the first **Round** i where  $m_{i-1}$  residual edges are partitioned into  $m_{i-1}/M$  subsets of size M each, a MSF is computed separately for each subset, and  $m_i \leq M$  residual edges survive. Then, in the next round (**Round** i+1) compute the final MSF on these  $m_i$  residual edges.

Determine the number of rounds required by the algorithm as a function of  $\epsilon$  and c.

**Solution.** Since a spanning forest of any subgraph of G has at most n-1 edges we have that

$$m_1 \le \frac{m_0}{M}(n-1) < \frac{m_0}{M}n = n^{1+c-\epsilon}$$
 $m_2 \le \frac{m_1}{M}(n-1) < \frac{m_1}{M}n = n^{1+c-2\epsilon}$ 
...
 $m_i \le \frac{m_{i-1}}{M}(n-1) < \frac{m_{i-1}}{M}n = n^{1+c-i\epsilon}$ .

Then, in order to have  $m_i \leq M_i$  it is sufficient that  $n^{1+c-i\epsilon} \leq M = n^{1+\epsilon}$ , that is  $i \geq c/\epsilon - 1$ . Since i is an integer, we conclude that at the end of Round  $\lceil c/\epsilon \rceil - 1$ , there are at most M residual edges, hence the number of rounds is at most  $\lceil c/\epsilon \rceil$ . Note that if  $\epsilon = c/2$ , the result is consistent with the analysis of the 2-round algorithm done in class.

**Exercise.** Consider a graph G = (V, E) with n nodes and  $m = n^{1+c}$  edges, for some constant c > 0. Suppose that the edge set E is randomly partitioned into  $\ell = n^{c/2}$  subsets  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_\ell$ , where an edge e is assigned to a subset  $E_i$  with probability  $1/\ell$  independently of the other edges. Show that with probability that tends to 1 as n goes to  $\infty$ , every subset  $E_i$  has size  $O(m/\ell)$ .

**Hint:** Use the Chernoff Bound that states that for a Binomial r.v. X with  $E[X] = \mu$ ,  $Pr(X \ge 6\mu) \le 2^{-6\mu}$ .

## Solution.

Consider an arbitrary subset  $E_i$  and let  $X = |E_i|$ . Since each edge is assigned to  $E_i$  with probability  $1/\ell$  independently of the other edges, X can be regarded as the sum of m i.i.d. Bernoulli variables  $I_e$ , one for each edge e, where  $I_e = 1$  if  $e \in E_i$  and 0 otherwise, and  $\Pr(I_e = 1) = 1/\ell$ . Hence, X is a Binomial r.v. with expectation

$$\mu = \frac{m}{\ell} = n^{1+c/2}.$$

By the Chernoff Bound we have that

$$\Pr(X \ge 6n^{1+c/2}) \le 2^{-6n^{1+c/2}}$$
.

Since there are  $\ell$  subsets, by the union bound, the probability that there exists a subset  $E_i$  with  $\geq 6m/\ell = 6n^{1+c/2}$  edges is at most

$$\ell 2^{-6n^{1+c/2}} = n^{c/2} 2^{-6n^{1+c/2}}.$$

which tends to 0 as n tends to  $\infty$ . Therefore, all subsets have size  $< 6m/\ell$  with probability that tends to 1 as n goes to  $\infty$ .

**N.B.** A similar argument was used in the analysis of Word Count 2. Check Slide 25 in the slides on MapReduce.  $\Box$ 

**Exercise.** Let G = (V, E) be a connected, undirected graph with n nodes. For an arbitrary node  $v \in V$ , let  $\Delta = \max_{u \in V} \operatorname{dist}(v, u)$ . Show that  $\operatorname{Diameter}(G) \in [\Delta, 2\Delta]$ .

**Solution.** By definition,

$$Diameter(G) = \max_{x,y \in V} dist(x,y).$$

Since by the definition of  $\Delta$  we know that there exists a pair of nodes at distance  $\Delta$ , we have that Diameter(G)  $\geq \Delta$ . Let x and y be two nodes such that  $\operatorname{dist}(x,y) = \operatorname{Diameter}(G)$ . One can go from x to y passing through v, hence we have that

Diameter(G) = 
$$dist(x, y) \le dist(x, v) + dist(v, y) \le 2\Delta$$
.

Thus,

$$\Delta \leq \operatorname{Diameter}(G) \leq 2\Delta.$$

**Exercise.** Let G = (V, E) be a connected, undirected graph with n nodes. Suppose that a BFS is executed from each of  $\ell > 1$  distinct pivotal nodes  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell \in V$  and that the following two values are computed:

$$R = \max_{u \in V} \min_{1 \le i \le \ell} \operatorname{dist}(u, v_i)$$
  
$$\Delta = \max_{1 \le i, j \le \ell} \operatorname{dist}(v_i, v_j).$$

Show that Diameter(G)  $\in [\Delta, \Delta + 2R]$ .

**Solution.** By definition,

$$\operatorname{Diameter}(G) = \max_{x,y \in V} \operatorname{dist}(x,y).$$

Since by the definition of  $\Delta$  we know that there exists a pair of nodes at distance  $\Delta$ , we have that Diameter $(G) \geq \Delta$ . Let x and y be two nodes such that  $\operatorname{dist}(x,y) = \operatorname{Diameter}(G)$ , and let  $v_i$  be the pivotal node closest to x, and  $v_j$  the pivotal node closest to y. One can go from x to y passing first through  $v_i$  and then through  $v_j$ , hence we have that

$$Diameter(G) = dist(x, y) \le dist(x, v_i) + dist(v_i, v_j) + dist(v_j, y) \le 2R + \Delta.$$

Thus,

$$\Delta \leq \text{Diameter}(G) \leq 2R + \Delta.$$