

# EXTENDED PLAYING TECHNIQUES: THE NEXT FRONTIER IN MUSICAL INSTRUMENT RECOGNITION

**First Author**

Affiliation1

author1@ismir.edu

**Second Author**

**Retain these fake authors in**

**submission to preserve the formatting**

**Third Author**

Affiliation3

author3@ismir.edu

## ABSTRACT

Although the automatic recognition of a musical instrument from the recording of a single “ordinary” note is close to becoming solved problem, the ability of a computer to precisely identify instrumental playing techniques (IPT) within an extended taxonomy remains far below human accuracy. This article provides the first benchmark of machine listening systems for the classification of instrumental playing techniques, including in the symphonic orchestra. We identify three necessary conditions for significantly outperforming the classical mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) baseline: (1) the inclusion of second-order scattering coefficients to account for the presence of amplitude modulations ; (2) the inclusion of large temporal scales ; and (3) the resort to supervised metric learning.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The progressive diversification of the timbral palette in Western classical music at the turn of the 20th century is reflected in five concurrent trends: the addition of new instruments to the Western symphonic instrumentarium, either by technological inventions (e.g. theremin) or importation from non-Western musical cultures (e.g. marimba) [12]; the creation of novel instrumental associations, as epitomized by *Klangfarbenmelodie* [13]; the temporary alteration of resonant properties through mutes and other “preparations” [3]; a more systematic usage of extended instrumental techniques, such as artificial harmonics, *col legno batutto*, or flutter tonguing [7]; and the resort to electronic and digital audio effects [17]. The first of these trends has somewhat stalled: to this day, most Western composers rely on an acoustic instrumentarium that is only marginally different from the one that was available in the Late Romantic period. Nevertheless, the latter approaches to timbral diversification were massively adopted into post-war contemporary music. In particular, an increased concern for the concept of musical gesture [5] has liberated many unconventional instrumental techniques from their figurativistic connotations, thus making the so-called “or-

dinary” playing style merely one of many compositional options.

Far from being exclusive to erudite music, extended playing techniques are also commonly found in oral tradition; in some cases, they even stand out as a distinctive component of musical style. Four well-known examples are: the snap pizzicato (“slap”) of the upright bass in rockabilly, the growl of the tenor saxophone in rock’n’roll, the shuffle stroke of the violin (“fiddle”) in Irish folklore, and the glissando of the clarinet in Klezmer music. Consequently, the mere knowledge of organology (the instrumental *what?* of music), as opposed to chironomics (its gestural *how?*), is a rather weak source of information for browsing and recommending music within large audio databases.

Yet, past research in music information retrieval (MIR), and especially machine listening, too rarely acknowledges the benefits of integrating the influence of performer gestures into a coherent taxonomy of musical instrument sounds. Instead, gestures are either framed as a spurious form of intra-class variability between instruments, without delving into its interdependencies with pitch and intensity; or, symmetrically, as a probe for the acoustical study of a given instrument, without enough emphasis onto the broader picture of orchestral diversity.

One major cause of this gap in research is the difficulty of collecting and annotating data for contemporary instrumental techniques. Fortunately, such obstacle has recently been overcome, owing to the creation of databases of instrumental samples in a perspective of spectralist music orchestration [10]. In this article, we capitalize on the availability of data to formulate a new line of research in MIR, namely the joint retrieval of organological information (“*what* instrument is being played in this recordings?”) and chironomical information (“*how* is the musician producing sound?”), while remaining invariant to other factors of variability, which are deliberately regarded as contextual: where, when, why, by whom, and for whom was the music (in this recording) played.

Section 2 reviews the existing literature on the topic of retrieving information from instrumental playing techniques (IPT). Section 3 derives the task of IPT classification from the definition of both a taxonomy of instruments and a taxonomy of gestures. Section 4 describes how two topics in machine listening, namely scattering transforms and unsupervised metric learning, are relevant to address this task. Section 5 reports the results from an IPT classification benchmark on the Studio On Line (SOL) dataset.



© First Author, Second Author, Third Author. Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). **Attribution:** First Author, Second Author, Third Author. “Extended playing techniques:

the next frontier in musical instrument recognition”, 19th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, Paris, France, 2018.

## 2. RELATED WORK

Timbre classification of a single musical instrument (clarinet): [9]. Retrieval of percussion gestures using timbre classification techniques: [15]. Polyphonic instrument recognition using spectral clustering: [11]. Knowledge representation issues in musical instrument ontology design: [6]. Guitar playing technique classification: [14]. MedleyDB: [2]. Audio Set: [4]. Visipedia: [1] Scattering transforms in musical instrument recognition: [8, 16].

## 3. TASKS

In this section, we distinguish taxonomies of musical instruments from taxonomies of musical gestures.

## 4. METHODS

In this section, we point out the theoretical limitations of mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) in the representation of musical sounds comprising with extended instrumental playing techniques (IPT), and describe how both the scattering transform and unsupervised metric learning may overcome such limitations.

### 4.1 Limitations of mel-frequency cepstral coefficients

### 4.2 Scattering transform

## 5. LARGE-MARGIN NEAREST NEIGHBORS

## 6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we apply the aforementioned methods to instrument playing techniques classification in the Studio On Line (SOL) dataset of musical instrument samples for spectralist orchestration.

### 6.1 Studio On Line (SOL) dataset

### 6.2 Musical instrument classification

### 6.3 Instrumental playing technique classification

### 6.4 Discussion

## 7. CONCLUSION

Every quest for information is also a quest for invariance. [...]

Our main finding is that mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), although highly informative for musical instrument recognition in so-called “ordinary” notes, fail to discriminate extended instrumental techniques for at least three reasons. First, they summarize spectral envelope without retaining its amplitude modulations. Secondly, the frame rate at which they are computed ( $T = 25$  ms or so) is short enough to assume local stationarity, but not long enough to encompass all informative local correlations. Thirdly, the discrete cosine transform (DCT) over mel frequencies does not, contrary to a widespread belief, make them invariant to the frequency transposition of complex sounds. To address each of these shortcomings, we propose a simple pipeline of three elements: a time

scattering transform; unsupervised metric learning over frequencies and modulation rates with large-margin nearest neighbors (LMNN); and a support vector machine (SVM) classifier.

## 8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

## 9. REFERENCES

- [1] Serge Belongie and Pietro Perona. Visipedia circa 2015. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 72:15 – 24, 2016.
- [2] Rachel M Bittner, Justin Salamon, Mike Tierney, Matthias Mauch, Chris Cannam, and Juan Pablo Bello. Medleydb: A multitrack dataset for annotation-intensive mir research. In *Proc. ISMIR*, 2014.
- [3] Tzenka Dianova. *John Cage’s Prepared Piano: The Nuts and Bolts*. PhD thesis, U. Auckland, 2007.
- [4] Jort F. Gemmeke, Daniel P. W. Ellis, Dylan Freedman, Aren Jansen, Wade Lawrence, R. Channing Moore, Manoj Plakal, and Marvin Ritter. Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for audio events. In *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2017.
- [5] R.I. Godøy and M. Leman. *Musical Gestures: Sound, Movement, and Meaning*. Taylor & Francis, 2009.
- [6] Sefki Kolozali, Mathieu Barthet, György Fazekas, and Mark B Sandler. Knowledge representation issues in musical instrument ontology design. In *Proc. ISMIR*, pages 465–470, 2011.
- [7] Stefan Kostka. *Materials and Techniques of Post Tonal Music*. Taylor & Francis, 2016.
- [8] Vincent Lostanlen. *Convolutional operators in the time-frequency domain*. PhD thesis, 'Ecole normale supérieure, 2017.
- [9] Mauricio A Loureiro, Hugo Bastos de Paula, and Hani C Yehia. Timbre classification of a single musical instrument. In *Proc. ISMIR*, 2004.
- [10] Yan Maresz. On computer-assisted orchestration. *Contemp. Mus. Rev.*, 32(1):99–109, 2013.
- [11] Luis Gustavo Martins, Juan José Burred, George Tzanetakis, and Mathieu Lagrange. Polyphonic instrument recognition using spectral clustering. In *Proc. ISMIR*, 2007.
- [12] Curt Sachs. *The History of Musical Instruments*. Dover Books on Music. Dover Publications, 2012.
- [13] Arnold Schoenberg. *Theory of Harmony*. University of California, 100th anniversary edition edition, 2010.
- [14] Li Su, Li-Fan Yu, and Yi-Hsuan Yang. Sparse cepstral, phase codes for guitar playing technique classification. In *Proc. ISMIR*, 2014.

- [15] Adam R Tindale, Ajay Kapur, George Tzanetakis, and Ichiro Fujinaga. Retrieval of percussion gestures using timbre classification techniques. In *Proc. ISMIR*, 2004.
- [16] Steven K Tjoa and KJ Ray Liu. Musical instrument recognition using biologically inspired filtering of temporal dictionary atoms. In *Proc. ISMIR*, 2010.
- [17] Udo Zölzer. *DAFX: Digital Audio Effects*. Wiley, 2011.