

ζ Ophiuchi as a test bed for models of accretor stars in massive binaries

M. RENZO^{1,2} AND ■ [others] ■

¹*Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA*

²*Center for Computational Astrophysics, Flatiron Institute, New York, NY 10010, USA*

ABSTRACT

■ [write abstract] ■

Keywords: stars: individual: ζ Ophiuchi – stars: massive – stars: binaries

1. INTRODUCTION

Problems with CHE: Also high Z so doesn't work! Cantiello et al. 2007 proposed that many runaways might be evolving CHE, and showed that an accretor runaway and a single fast rotating star evolve very similarly. However, Villamariz & Herrero failed at finding a match with rapidly rotating single stars. Therefore we consider here the possibility that while mass transfer might induce rapid rotation of the accretor, it might not necessarily lead to CHE.

■ [In the intro:

- runaway nature
- association with pulsar and SNe polluting Earth
- debate on parent association
- weak wind problem

Methods:

- self-consistent modeling of the evolution (see also ?)
- depends on many free parameters governing the intricate and coupled physics of mass transfer, mixing, rotation

Aim:

- since observations are not always agreeing with each other, we aim at finding a model in the right ballpark

and explore how physical variations move such model around

- in this way we find a set of recommended parameters for the evolution of massive binary system going through stable mass transfer

] ■

The nearest O-type star to Earth is ζ Ophiuchi, classified as O9.5IVnn type star (e.g., ?) and with a parallax of 5-8 milliarcsec (e.g., ?, and references therein). This star has been the target of many observations and underpins many open puzzles.

■ [vanrensbergen:96 already excluded single star solutions based on the age of the parent association and the surface composition, but invoked large scale (rotational) mixing during binary evolution for the surface abundances] ■

2. MODELING MASS TRANSFER WITH MESA

3. INITIAL GRID OF MODELS

3.1. Favorite model

4. PHYSICAL VARIATIONS

5. DISCUSSION

6. CONCLUSIONS

Software: mesaPlot (?), mesaSDK (?),
ipython/jupyter (?), matplotlib (?), NumPy (?),
MESA(?????)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

APPENDIX

A. MESA SETUP

■ [MLT-?] ■

■ [possibly move to methods] ■ We use MESA version 15140 to compute our models. The MESA

equation of state (EOS) is a blend of the OPAL [?](#), SCVH [?](#), PTEH [?](#), HELM [?](#), and PC [?](#) EOSes. ■ **[update EOS]** ■

Radiative opacities are primarily from OPAL [\(??\)](#), with low-temperature data from [?](#) and the high-temperature, Compton-scattering dominated regime by [?](#). Electron conduction opacities are from [?](#).

Nuclear reaction rates are a combination of rates from NACRE [\(?\)](#), JINA REACLIB [\(?\)](#), plus additional tabulated weak reaction rates [???](#). Screening is included via the prescription of [?](#). Thermal neutrino loss rates are from [?](#). We compute the pre-merger evolution using an 8-isotope α -chain nuclear reaction network and switch to a 22-isotope nuclear network for the post-merger evolution.

We evolve our models from the pre-main sequence to the terminal age main sequence of the most massive $58 M_{\odot}$ star, defined as the time when the central hydrogen abundance $X(^1\text{H}) \leq 10^{-4}$. We treat convection using the Ledoux criterion, and include thermohaline mixing (until the central temperature $\log_{10}(T_c/[\text{K}]) > 9.45$, [?](#)) and semiconvection, both with an efficiency factor of 1. We assume $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 2.0$ and use [?](#) overshooting for the convective core burning. We have tested that varying core overshooting does not impact significantly the post-merger evolution, however, when including shell overshooting and/or undershooting we were unable to find solutions to the stellar structure equations. Moreover, we employ the MLT++ artificial enhancement of the convective flux (e.g., [??](#)). Stellar winds are included using the algorithms from [?](#) with an efficiency factor of 1.

To compute through the very late phases, we reduce the core resolution and increase the numerical solver tolerance when the central temperature increases above $\log_{10}(T_c/[\text{K}]) > 9.45$. We define the onset of core-collapse when the iron-core infall velocity exceeds 1000 km s^{-1} (e.g., [?](#)).

The inlists, processing scripts, and model output will be made available at [link](#).