Foundations of machine learning Overview of online learning and active learning

Maximilian Kasy

Department of Economics, University of Oxford

Hilary term 2022

Common framework

- Sequential decisions D_t at times t = 1, 2, ...: Predictions/forecasts, treatment choices, moves in a game, ...
- Decision D_t can depend on the history of observed information up to time t-1.
- Decisions result in a period-specific loss $L(D_t, Y_t)$, which depends on some variable/vector Y_t .
- The goal is to minimize cumulative loss

$$\sum_t L(D_t, Y_t).$$

• This is often evaluated in terms of regret relative to some optimal decision D^* :

$$\sum_{t} [L(D_t, Y_t) - L(D^*, Y_t)]$$

Observability

How to evaluate algorithms

What is observable?

- 1. Online learning (e.g. forecasting):
 - Observability does not depend on choices ⇒ no motive to experiment/explore!
 - Y_t are observed for past periods t.
 - \Rightarrow Counterfactual loss $L(d, Y_t)$ is known for all values of d.
 - Loss is often given by a function of the prediction error, e.g. $L(D_t, Y_t) = (D_t Y_t)^2$.
- 2. Multi-armed bandits (e.g. treatment assignment):
 - Observability does depend on choices ⇒ there is a motive to experiment/explore!
 Tradeoff with the motive to "exploit" (do well now).
 - C.f. causal inference / potential outcomes: $D \in \{1,...,k\}$, $Y = (Y^1,...,Y^k)$. We observe only Y^D .
 - ⇒ Loss is only observed for the realized choice D_t , but not for any counter-factual choice $d \neq D_t$.
 - Loss is often equal to (minus) realized outcomes, i.e., $L(D_t, Y_t) = -Y_t^{D_t}$.

What is observable? - continued

3. Semi-bandits

- Intermediate between online learning and multi-armed bandits.
- We observe more than just the loss of the realized action, but less than the loss for all counterfactual actions.
- Typically composite decision problems, where multiple actions are chosen in the same period with cross-constraints, e.g. budget constraints.
- Each action has its own observed outcome.

4. Contextual bandits

- Similar to multi-armed bandits.
- But additionally we observe predictors X_t, independently of actions D_t.
- ⇒ Targeted treatment assignment.

What is observable? - continued

5. Reinforcement learning

- Similar to contextual bandits, with an additional state X_t observed in each period.
- But X_t is endogenous to past actions.
 It develops according to a Markov transition kernel, given the previous action and state.
- This framework leads to Bellman equations.
 Learning involves estimation of the value function.
- Good actions don't just generate small loss now, but also good states next period, and down the road.

Practice problem

For each of these 5 settings name some examples of economic settings where they might be applied.

Observability

How to evaluate algorithms

Optimal solutions versus the theory of heuristic algorithms

- In principle all of these frameworks can be combined with priors for the underlying parameters.
- This leads to dynamic stochastic optimization problems, where the "states" are posterior believes, which theoretically have optimal solutions.
- In practice, these solutions are impossible to compute.
- Economic theory in this space has focused on very stylized models, where solutions might be characterized.
- Modern machine learning has taken another approach:
 Construct heuristic algorithms for practically relevant settings,
 and develop (very sophisticated) theory to understand their behavior.
- This is the approach we will take in this class.

Decision theory and alternative evaluation criteria

- In decision theory, we saw different criteria for evaluating decision functions: Risk function, Bayes risk, minimax risk.
- These criteria translate into different theoretical approaches for evaluating online learning / active learning algorithms.
- There are some additional subtleties due to asymptotic approximations, and the dynamic nature of decisions.

- 1. "Stochastic" models assume that the Y_t are i.i.d. draws from some distribution and characterize behavior conditional on that distribution.
- 2. "Adversarial" models condition on the sequence of Y_t , and characterize behavior for any possible sequence.

How to evaluate algorithms (1)

- 1. i.i.d. draws, fixed parameter
 - Results characterize the rate of convergence of average regret toward **0**.
 - Key tool: Large deviations theory.
 - ⇒ Good characterizations of bandit algorithms for the "high powered" case (large samples and/or large treatment effects).
- 2. i.i.d. draws, worst-case parameter
 - Results characterize the rate of convergence of worst case regret toward 0.
 - ⇒ Good characterization of bandit algorithms for the "low powered" case (smaller samples and/or smaller treatment effects).

How to evaluate algorithms (2)

- 3. i.i.d. draws, drifting parameter
 - Similar to approaches taken in the theory of weak instruments.
 - · Key tool: Uniform central limit theorems.
 - Drifting parameter sequences allow to keep the problem equally hard, as sample size increases.
 - ⇒ This gives a characterization of the risk function for the full range of parameter values.
- 4. Worst-case sequence of outcomes
 - There is no more probability involved, except possibly in the algorithm.
 - Similar to randomization inference, in this regard.
 - How could any algorithm possibly perform well for all sequences?
 - Key idea: Rather than restricting the data generating process we can restrict the comparison set of alternative decision functions.
 - Related to ideas we saw in PAC learning theory.

Practice problem

Discuss how these approaches for evaluating algorithms relate to the criteria we saw in decision theory.