

## rtweet citations

1. Akitsune, K and Suzuki, T. Network Analysis. 2nd ed. Learning with R Data Science. Kyoritsu Shuppan, 2017.
2. Bakar, MAA, Ariff, NM, and Hui, EX. Exploratory data analysis of Twitter's rhythm in Malaysia. In: *AIP Conference Proceedings*. Vol. 2013. 1. AIP Publishing. 2018:020056.
3. Bossetta, M. A Simulated Cyberattack on Twitter: Assessing Partisan Vulnerability to Spear Phishing and Disinformation ahead of the 2018 US Midterm Elections. First Monday 2018.
4. Bradley, A and James, RJ. How are major gambling brands using Twitter? *International Gambling Studies* 2019:1–20.
5. Buscema, M, Ferilli, G, Massini, G, and Zavarrone, E. Media content analysis on online hate speech. *Positive Messengers* 2018.
6. Cantos Sancho, A. Estudio de Nuevas Herramientas en la Respuesta del Consumidor. PhD thesis. Universitat Politècnica De València, 2018.
7. Díez, MM, Palacio, V, Principe, O, and Gaztelumendi, S. Palabras clave en twitter de centros meteorológicos. *Acta de las Jornadas Científicas de la Asociación Meteorológica Española* 2018;1.
8. Doceka, D. Selfies as a mental disorder, escaped biometric database and tax optimization of Google. *Lupa* 2018.
9. Erlandsen, M. Twitter as a tool of para-diplomacy: An exploratory cohort study based on Catalonia (2013-2017). *Revista Chilena de Relaciones Internacionales* 1 2018;2:211–231.
10. Fitzgerald, JD. Sentiment analysis of (you guessed it!) Donald Trump's tweets. *Storybench* 2017.
11. Georgakopoulos, SV, Tasoulis, SK, Vrahatis, AG, and Plagianakos, VP. Convolutional Neural Networks for Twitter Text Toxicity Analysis. In: *INNS Big Data and Deep Learning conference*. Springer. 2019:370–379.
12. Gitto, S and Mancuso, P. Brand perceptions of airports using social networks. *Journal of Air Transport Management* 2019;75:153–163.
13. Gray, AA. Brands Take a Stand for Good: The Effect of Brand Activism on Social Media Engagement. Senior Honors Thesis. University of New Hampshire, Durham, 2019.
14. Greco, F, Polli, A, et al. Vaccines in Italy: the emotional text mining of social media. *RIEDS-Rivista Italiana di Economia, Demografia e Statistica-Italian Review of Economics, Demography and Statistics* 2019;73:89–99.
15. Greenhalgh, SP. Spaces and their social frontiers: Using community dimensions to distinguish between teacher-focused hashtags on Twitter. PhD thesis. Michigan State University, 2018.
16. González, F and Medina, V. Shaping the public sphere: The politics of fictional expectations in social media. working paper. 2018.
17. Jann, O and Schottmuller, C. Breakdown of debate and the usefulness of echo chambers: Theory and evidence. working paper. 2018. URL: [https://editorialexpress.com/cgi-bin/conference/download.cgi?db\\_name=EEAESEM2018&paper\\_id=2395](https://editorialexpress.com/cgi-bin/conference/download.cgi?db_name=EEAESEM2018&paper_id=2395).
18. Kearney, MW. A network-based approach to estimating partisanship and analyzing change in polarization during the 2016 general election. PhD thesis. University of Kansas, 2017.
19. Kearney, MW. Analyzing change in network polarization. *New Media & Society* 2019. [Online First].
20. Kearney, MW. Analyzing tweets about the 2016 US presidential "blunder" election. Ed. by Warner, BR, Bystrom, DG, McKinney, MS, and Banwart, MC. *ABC-CLIO*, 2018.
21. Krsová, L. Czech journalists on Twitter: Analysis of social interactions of the Czech media space. MA thesis. Univerzita Karlova, 2018.

22. Ku, T, Xu, S, Li, W, Yuan, B, et al. Affective Emotional Component Analysis: Text Mining Based on Social Network. OSF Preprints 2018.
23. Lanzetta, VB. R data visualization recipes: A cookbook with 65+ data visualization recipes for smarter decision-making. Packt Publishing Ltd, 2017.
24. Lacroix, D. Tweeting populist sentiment: A study of Forum voor Democratie's use of emotional language on Twitter. PhD thesis. University of Amsterdam, 2018. URL: <http://www.scriptiesonline.uba.uva.nl/document/666363>.
25. Larsen, EG and Fazekas, Z. Quantitative Politics with R. NA 2019.
26. Li, TR, Chamrajnagar, AS, Fong, XR, Rizik, NR, and Fu, F. Sentiment-based prediction of alternative cryptocurrency price fluctuations using gradient boosting tree model. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.00558 2018.
27. Lutkenhaus, RO, Jansz, J, and Bouman, MP. Tailoring in the digital era: Stimulating dialogues on health topics in collaboration with social media influencers. DIGITAL HEALTH 2019;5:2055207618821521.
28. Lutkenhaus, RO, Jansz, J, and Bouman, MP. Mapping the Dutch Vaccination Debate on Twitter: Identifying Communities, Narratives, and Interactions. Vaccine: X 2019:100019.
29. M'Bareck, ML. Political Speech on Twitter: A Sentiment Analysis of Tweets and News Coverage of Local Gun Policy. PhD thesis. University of Arkansas, 2019.
30. Mandal, JK, Dutta, P, and Mukhopadhyay, S. Computational intelligence, communications, and business analytics: First international conference, CICBA 2017, Kolkata, India, March 24–25, 2017, Revised Selected Papers. Vol. 775. Springer, 2017.
31. Molyneux, L, Lewis, SC, and Holton, AE. Media work, identity, and the motivations that shape branding practices among journalists: An explanatory framework. New Media & Society 2018:1–20.
32. Prieu, C. Changing Faces of Morphological Innovation in French: Gender-Marking in Feminist Discourse on Twitter. 2019.
33. Porcu, V. Text mining e sentiment analysis con R. Valentina Porcu, 2016.
34. Rottigni, E. Fragile cities: how Venice and Barcelona communicate their need for sustainability. B.S. thesis. Università Ca'Foscari Venezia, 2018.
35. Rudis, B. 21 recipes for mining Twitter with rtweet. rud.is, 2018. URL: <https://rud.is/books/21-recipes/>.
36. Sinha, R, Kumar, M, and Goswami, S. An approach to build a database for crimes in India using Twitter. In: *International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Communications, and Business Analytics*. Springer. 2017:150–160.
37. Štědroňová, J. Inkluzivní povaha Twitterové komunikace politik: je Twitter skutečně demokratizující systém? Univerzita Karlova, Filozofická fakulta 2018.
38. Tancoigne, E. Four things Twitter tells us about "Citizen Science" (and 1,000 things it doesn't). Citizen Sciences: Rethinking Science and Public Participation 2017.
39. Tasoulis, SK, Vrahatis, AG, Georgakopoulos, SV, and Plagianakos, VP. Real time sentiment change detection of Twitter data streams. arXiv:1804.00482 2018.
40. Thorson, AA. Social networks & price forecasting: The case of Bitcoins. Bachelor's Degree. University of Barcelona, 2018.
41. Tomohira, N and Wakamatsu, H. On the use of adjectives of "different" and its distribution. In: *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Language Processing*. 2018.
42. Tsoi, KK, Zhang, L, Chan, NB, Chan, FC, Hirai, HW, and Meng, HM. Social media as a tool to look for people with dementia who become lost: Factors that matter. In: *Proceedings of the 51st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. 2018.
43. Tsoi, KK, Chan, NB, Chan, FC, Zhang, L, Lee, AC, and Meng, HM. How can we better use Twitter to find a person who got lost due to dementia? npj Digital Medicine 2018;1:14.

44. Ueda, A. SNS political advertisement communication: Building relationship between voters and politicians in election environment in Japan. MA thesis. Kyoto University, 2018. URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/2433/229491>.
45. Unsihuay, JEG. Topic modeling en datos de Twitter: Una aplicación en el contexto político peruano. XXVIII Simposio Internacional de Estadística 2018.
46. Valls, F, Redondo, E, Fonseca, D, Torres-Kompen, R, Villagrasa, S, and Martí, N. Urban data and urban design: A data mining approach to architecture education. Telematics and Informatics 2017.
47. Wu, H and Ying, S. Finding Similar Users over Multiple Attributes on the Basis of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set. Mobile Networks and Applications 2018:1–9.