rtweet citations

1. Akitsune, K and Suzuki, T. Network Analysis. 2nd ed. Learning with R Data Science. Kyoritsu Shuppan, 2017.
2. Aglionby, G, Davis, CI, Mishra, P, et al. CAMsterdam at SemEval-2019 Task 6: Neural and graph-based feature extraction for the identification of offensive tweets. In: *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*. 2019:556–563.
3. Agrawal, T and Singhal, A. An Efficient Knowledge-Based Text Pre-processing Approach for Twitter and Google+. In: *International Conference on Advances in Computing and Data Sciences*. Springer. 2019:379–389.
4. Bakar, MAA, Ariff, NM, and Hui, EX. Exploratory data analysis of Twitter's rhythm in Malaysia. In: *AIP Conference Proceedings*. Vol. 2013. 1. AIP Publishing. 2018:020056.
5. Boot, AB, Sang, ETK, Dijkstra, K, and Zwaan, RA. How character limit affects language usage in tweets. Palgrave Communications 2019;5:76.
6. Bossetta, M. A simulated cyberattack on Twitter: Assessing partisan vulnerability to spear phishing and disinformation ahead of the 2018 U.S. midterm elections. First Monday 2018;23.
7. Bradley, A and James, RJ. How are major gambling brands using Twitter? International Gambling Studies 2019:1–20.
8. Burton, JW, Cruz, N, and Hahn, U. How Real is Moral Contagion in Online Social Networks? In: *Proceedings of the Cognitive Science Society*. 2019.
9. Burton, N. Exploring user sentiment towards sponsorship and ambush marketing. International Journal of Sports Marketing and Sponsorship 2019.
10. Buscema, M, Ferilli, G, Massini, G, and Zavarrone, E. Media content analysis on online hate speech. Positive Messengers 2018.
11. Cantos Sancho, A. Estudio de Nuevas Herramientas en la Respuesta del Consumidor. PhD thesis. Universitat Politécnica De Valéncia, 2018.
12. Díez, MM, Palacio, V, Principe, O, and Gaztelumendi, S. Palabras clave en twiter de centros meteorológicos. Acta de las Jornadas Científicas de la Asociación Meteorológica Española 2018;1.
13. Doceka, D. Selfies as a mental disorder, escaped biometric database and tax optimization of Google. Lupa 2018.
14. Erlandsen, M. Twitter as a tool of para-disploomacy: An exploratory cohort study based on Catalonia (2013-2017). Revista Chilena de Relaciones Internacionales 1 2018;2:211–231.
15. Fitzgerald, JD. Sentiment analysis of (you guessed it!) Donald Trump's tweets. Storybench 2017.
16. Garcia-Rudolph, A, Laxe, S, Saurí, J, and Guitart, MB. Stroke Survivors on Twitter: Sentiment and Topic Analysis From a Gender Perspective. Journal of medical Internet research 2019;21:e14077.
17. Georgakopoulos, SV, Tasoulis, SK, Vrahatis, AG, and Plagianakos, VP. Convolutional Neural Networks for Twitter Text Toxicity Analysis. In: *INNS Big Data and Deep Learning conference*. Springer. 2019:370–379.
18. Gitto, S and Mancuso, P. Brand perceptions of airports using social networks. Journal of Air Transport Management 2019;75:153–163.
19. Gray, AA. Brands Take a Stand for Good: The Effect of Brand Activism on Social Media Engagement. Senior Honors Thesis. University of New Hampshire, Durham, 2019.
20. Greco, F, Polli, A, et al. Vaccines in Italy: the emotional text mining of social media. RIEDS-Rivista Italiana di Economia, Demografia e Statistica-Italian Review of Economics, Demography and Statistics 2019;73:89–99.

21. Greenhalgh, SP. Spaces and their social frontiers: Using community dimensions to distinguish between teacher-focused hashtags on Twitter. PhD thesis. Michigan State University, 2018.

22. González, F and Medina, V. Shaping the public sphere: The politics of fictional expectations in social media. working paper. 2018.

23. Heft, A. The Panama Papers investigation and the scope and boundaries of its networked publics: Cross-border journalistic collaboration driving transnationally networked public spheres. Journal of Applied Journalism & Media Studies 2019;8:191–209.

24. Hunt, K and Gruszczynski, M. The influence of new and traditional media coverage on public attention to social movements: the case of the Dakota Access Pipeline protests. Information, Communication & Society 2019:1–17.

25. Jann, O and Schottmuller, C. Breakdown of debate and the usefulness of echo chambers: Theory and evidence. working paper. 2018. URL: `https://editorialexpress.com/cgi-bin/conference/download.cgi?db_name=EEAESEM2018&paper_id=2395`.

26. Jones, NM and Silver, RC. This is not a drill: Anxiety on Twitter following the 2018 Hawaii false missile alert. American Psychologist 2019.

27. Justice, JW and Bricker, BJ. Hacked: Defining the 2016 Presidential Election in the Liberal Media. Rhetoric and Public Affairs 2019;22:389–420.

28. Kearney, MW. A network-based approach to estimating partisanship and analyzing change in polarization during the 2016 general election. PhD thesis. University of Kansas, 2017.

29. Kearney, MW. Analyzing change in network polarization. New Media & Society 2019. [Online First].

30. Kearney, MW. Analyzing tweets about the 2016 US presidential "blunder" election. Ed. by Warner, BR, Bystrom, DG, McKinney, MS, and Banwart, MC. ABC-CLIO, 2018.

31. Krsová, L. Czech journalists on Twitter: Analysis of social interactions of the Czech media space. MA thesis. Univerzita Karlova, 2018.

32. Ku, T, Xu, S, Li, W, Yuan, B, et al. Affective Emotional Component Analysis: Text Mining Based on Social Network. OSF Preprints 2018.

33. Lanzetta, VB. R data visualization recipes: A cookbook with 65+ data visualization recipes for smarter decision-making. Packt Publishing Ltd, 2017.

34. Lacroix, D. Tweeting populist sentiment: A study of Forum voor Democratie's use of emotional language on Twitter. PhD thesis. University of Amsterdam, 2018. URL: `http://www.scriptiesonline.uba.uva.nl/document/666363`.

35. Larsen, EG and Fazekas, Z. Quantitative Politics with R. NA 2019.

36. Li, TR, Chamrajnagar, A, Fong, X, Rizik, N, and Fu, F. Sentiment-based prediction of alternative cryptocurrency price fluctuations using gradient boosting tree model. Frontiers in Physics 2019;7:98.

37. Lutkenhaus, RO, Jansz, J, and Bouman, MP. Tailoring in the digital era: Stimulating dialogues on health topics in collaboration with social media influencers. DIGITAL HEALTH 2019;5:2055207618821521.

38. Lutkenhaus, RO, Jansz, J, and Bouman, MP. Mapping the Dutch Vaccination Debate on Twitter: Identifying Communities, Narratives, and Interactions. Vaccine: X 2019:100019.

39. M'Bareck, ML. Political Speech on Twitter: A Sentiment Analysis of Tweets and News Coverage of Local Gun Policy. PhD thesis. University of Arkansas, 2019.

40. Mandal, JK, Dutta, P, and Mukhopadhyay, S. Computational intelligence, communications, and business analytics: First international conference, CICBA 2017, Kolkata, India, March 24–25, 2017, Revised Selected Papers. Vol. 775. Springer, 2017.

41. Molyneux, L, Lewis, SC, and Holton, AE. Media work, identity, and the motivations that shape branding practices among journalists: An explanatory framework. New Media & Society 2018:1–20.

42. Noaeen, M and Far, BH. Social media analysis for traffic management. In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Global Software Engineering*. IEEE Press. 2019:72–73.

43. Prieu, C. Changing Faces of Morphological Innovation in French: Gender-Marking in Feminist Discourse on Twitter. In: *49th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages in Spring 2019*. University of Georgia, 2019.
44. Porcu, V. Text mining e sentiment analysis con R. Valentina Porcu, 2016.
45. Rekik, A, Jamoussi, S, and Hamadou, AB. Violent Vocabulary Extraction Methodology: Application to the Radicalism Detection on Social Media. In: *International Conference on Computational Collective Intelligence*. Springer. 2019:97–109.
46. Rottigni, E. Fragile cities: how Venice and Barcelona communicate their need for sustainability. B.S. thesis. Università Ca'Foscari Venezia, 2018.
47. Rudis, B. 21 recipes for mining Twitter with rtweet. rud.is, 2018. URL: `https://rud.is/books/21-recipes/`.
48. Sansone, A, Cignarelli, A, Ciocca, G, et al. The Sentiment Analysis of Tweets as a New Tool to Measure Public Perception of Male Erectile and Ejaculatory Dysfunctions. Sexual Medicine 2019.
49. Sinha, R, Kumar, M, and Goswami, S. An approach to build a database for crimes in India using Twitter. In: *International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Communications, and Business Analytics*. Springer. 2017:150–160.
50. Štědroňová, J. Inkluzivní povaha Twitterové komunikace politik: je Twitter skutečně demokratizující systém? Univerzita Karlova, Filozofická fakulta 2018.
51. Tancoigne, E. Four things Twitter tells us about "Citizen Science" (and 1,000 things it doesn't). Citizen Sciences: Rethinking Science and Public Participation 2017.
52. Tasoulis, SK, Vrahatis, AG, Georgakopoulos, SV, and Plagianakos, VP. Real time sentiment change detection of Twitter data streams. arXiv:1804.00482 2018.
53. Thorson, AA. Social networks & price forecasting: The case of Bitcoins. Bachelor's Degree. University of Barcelona, 2018.
54. Tomohira, N and Wakamatsu, H. On the use of adjectives of "different" and its distribution. In: *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of the Society of Language Processing*. 2018.
55. Tsoi, KK, Zhang, L, Chan, NB, Chan, FC, Hirai, HW, and Meng, HM. Social media as a tool to look for people with dementia who become lost: Factors that matter. In: *Proceedings of the 51st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. 2018.
56. Tsoi, KK, Chan, NB, Chan, FC, Zhang, L, Lee, AC, and Meng, HM. How can we better use Twitter to find a person who got lost due to dementia? npj Digital Medicine 2018;1:14.
57. Ueda, A. SNS political advertisement communication: Building relationship between voters and politicians in election environment in Japan. MA thesis. Kyoto University, 2018. URL: `http://hdl.handle.net/2433/229491`.
58. Unsihuay, JEG. Topic modeling en datos de Twitter: Una aplicación en el contexto político peruano. XXVIII Simposio Internacional de Estadístic 2018.
59. Valls, F, Redondo, E, Fonseca, D, Torres-Kompen, R, Villagrasa, S, and Martí, N. Urban data and urban design: A data mining approach to architecture education. Telematics and Informatics 2017.
60. Wu, H and Ying, S. Finding Similar Users over Multiple Attributes on the Basis of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set. Mobile Networks and Applications 2018:1–9.
61. Xu, S and Zhou, A. Hashtag homophily in twitter network: Examining a controversial cause-related marketing campaign. Computers in Human Behavior 2020;102:87–96.
62. Zhang, X and Mu, L. Incorporating Online Survey and Social Media Data into a GIS Analysis for Measuring Walkability. In: *Geospatial Technologies for Urban Health*. Springer, 2020:133–155.