

1 Hyperbolic random graphs

Joint probability of the model:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha) &= p(\mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha) p(\mathbf{A} | \mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha) \\ &= p(\mathbf{r}, \phi | R, T, \alpha) p(\mathbf{A} | \mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha) p(R) p(T) p(\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The joint probability of hyperbolic coordinates is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{r}, \phi | R, T, \alpha) &= \frac{\alpha \sinh(\alpha \mathbf{r})}{2\pi(\cosh(\alpha R) - 1)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\alpha \sinh(\alpha r_i)}{2\pi(\cosh(\alpha R) - 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The log probability of the edges is given by

$$\log p(\mathbf{A} | \mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha) = \sum_{i,j} \left(A_{ij} \log(p(\text{dist}(i, j))) + (1 - A_{ij}) \log(1 - p(\text{dist}(i, j))) \right) \quad (3)$$

For each two nodes the probability of an edge depends on the hyperbolic distance:

$$p(\text{dist}(u, v)) = \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{1}{2T}(\text{dist}(u, v) - R)\right) \right)^{-1} \quad (4)$$

And the hyperbolic distance between nodes i and j is defined as

$$\text{dist}(i, j) = \cosh^{-1}(\cosh(r_i) \cosh(r_j) - \sinh(r_i) \sinh(r_j) \cos(\phi_i - \phi_j)) \quad (5)$$

The variational distribution will be

$$q(\mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha) = \prod_i q(r_i, \phi_i) q(R) q(T) q(\alpha) \quad (6)$$

2 ELBO

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha)} [\log p(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha)] + H(q(\mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q(R, T, \alpha)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{r}, \phi)} \left[\log p(\mathbf{A} | \mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha) + \log p(\mathbf{r}, \phi | R, T, \alpha) + \log p(R) + \log p(T) + \log p(\alpha) \mid R, T, \alpha \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + H(q(\mathbf{r}, \phi, R, T, \alpha)) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q(R, T, \alpha)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{r}, \phi)} \left[\sum_{i,j} \left(A_{ij} \log(p(\text{dist}(i, j))) + (1 - A_{ij}) \log(1 - p(\text{dist}(i, j))) \right) \mid R, T, \alpha \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_i \mathbb{E}_{q(r_i, \phi_i)} \left[\log(\sinh(\alpha r_i)) + \log(\alpha) - \log(2\pi) - \log(\cosh(\alpha R) - 1) \mid R, T, \alpha \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{E}_{q(R)} [\log p(R)] + \mathbb{E}_{q(T)} [\log p(T)] + \mathbb{E}_{q(\alpha)} [\log p(\alpha)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_i \mathbb{E}_{q(r_i, \phi_i)} [\log q(r_i, \phi_i)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(R)} [\log q(R)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(T)} [\log q(T)] - \mathbb{E}_{q(\alpha)} [\log q(\alpha)] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

3 ELBO for a minibatch

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{ij \\ i \neq j}} \left[\frac{1}{N(N-1)} \mathbb{E}_{q(R)} \left[\log p(R) - \log q(R) \right] \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \mathbb{E}_{q(T)} \left[\log p(T) - \log q(T) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \mathbb{E}_{q(\alpha)} \left[\log p(\alpha) - \log q(\alpha) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\mathbb{E}_{q(r_i)} \left[\log q(r_i) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{q(\phi_i)} \left[\log q(\phi_i) \right] \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\mathbb{E}_{q(\alpha)} [\log(\alpha)] + \mathbb{E}_{q(R, \alpha)} [\log(\cosh(\alpha R) - 1)] - \log(2\pi) + \mathbb{E}_{q(r_i, \alpha)} [\log(\sinh(\alpha r_i))] \right) \\
& \left. + \mathbb{E}_{q(R, T, \alpha)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{q(r_i, \phi_i)} \left[A_{ij} \log(p(\text{dist}(i, j))) + (1 - A_{ij}) \log(1 - p(\text{dist}(i, j))) \right] \mid R, T, \alpha \right] \right] \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

3.1 Algorithm implementation

Considering $R \geq 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $0 < T \leq 1$, $0 \leq r_i \leq R$ and $0 \leq \phi_i \leq 2\pi$, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R} &\sim \text{Gamma}(c_R, s_R) \\
\alpha &\sim \text{Gamma}(c_\alpha, s_\alpha) \\
\mathbf{T} &\sim \text{Beta}(a_T, b_T)
\end{aligned}$$

where c_R and c_α are shapes (concentrations), s_R and s_α are scales of **Gamma** distributions.

Further, we choose for $q(r_i)$ a **Radius**(μ, σ, R) distribution, which is a **Normal**(μ, σ^2) distribution mapped to a constrained space $[0, 1]$ using *sigmoid* function and then scaled on the interval $[0, R]$. This transformation in Pytorch is rather simple using the functionality of `torch.distributions.transformed_distribution` and `torch.distributions.transforms`. In this way the Jacobian correction is calculated automatically by the framework.

The choose of $q(\phi_i)$ is more complicated because it should be a spherical two-dimensional (in Cartesian coordinates) distribution. Ideally, we would take a wrapped normal but calculating its Jacobian troublesome, so we adapted a **vonMises – Fisher** distribution from [1]. This implementation generally utilize rejection sampling for multi-dimensional distributions but for three-dimensional case a transformation of a uniform distribution is used. Encountering some problems with rejection sampling, which can be very slow, we chosen a three-dimensional variant projected on a two-dimensional plane.

Numerical stability

For numerical stability we used `log1mexp()` function defined in [2]. This allows us to rewrite hyperbolic functions as

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(\sinh(\alpha r)) &= \log(1/2) - \log(e^{\alpha r}) + \log(e^{2\alpha r} - 1) \\
&= \log(1/2) - \alpha r + 2\alpha r + \log(1 - e^{-2\alpha r}) \\
&= \log(1/2) + \alpha r + \text{log1mexp}(2\alpha r)
\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(\cosh(\alpha R) - 1) &= \log(1/2) - \log(e^{\alpha R}) + 2\log(e^{\alpha R} - 1) \\
&= \log(1/2) - \alpha R + 2\alpha R + \log(1 - e^{-\alpha R}) \\
&= \log(1/2) + \alpha R + 2\text{log1mexp}(\alpha R)
\end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then from (2)

$$\begin{aligned}\log(p(r_i, \phi_i | R, T, \alpha)) &= \log\left(\frac{\alpha \sinh(\alpha r_i)}{2\pi(\cosh(\alpha R) - 1)}\right) \\ &= \log(\alpha) - \log(2\pi) + \alpha(r - R) + \log 1\text{mexp}(2\alpha r) - 2 \cdot \log 1\text{mexp}(\alpha R)\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Approximation for \cosh^{-1}

The inverted cosh operation not only requires additional computation time but also cause some numerical instability.

Consider the hyperbolic distance

$$\text{dist}(i, j) = \cosh^{-1}(D)$$

where

$$D(i, j) = \cosh(r_i) \cosh(r_j) - \sinh(r_i) \sinh(r_j) \cos(\phi_i - \phi_j)$$

Observe that for $y \gg 1$:

$$\cosh^{-1}(y) := \log(y + \sqrt{y^2 - 1}) \approx \log(2y) \quad (12)$$

That allows us to approximate the distance as $\text{dist}(i, j) \approx \log(2D)$. It will bound the true distance from below and will be quite precise for large distances. Observe however that if we plugin the approximation into the shifted sigmoid, appears in a region, where the sigmoid is near constant anyhow:

$$\begin{aligned}p(\text{dist}(i, j))^{-1} &= 1 + \exp\left(\frac{\cosh^{-1}(D)}{2T}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-R}{2T}\right) \\ &\approx 1 + (2D)^{1/(2T)} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-R}{2T}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

This solution is also not perfect. During the initialization or the further learning process T can take a very small values, which can push the distance to an infinity and produce NaN values. The growth of D can have the same consequences as well as $D = 0$, that is why we have to clamp D . Moreover, clamping $p(\text{dist}(i, j))$ in the interval $(0, 1)$ is also practical.

The result optimization metric

$$\begin{aligned}ELBO_{HRG}(\Lambda) &= -\frac{L}{N^2} D_{KL}(q(R) || p(R)) \\ &\quad -\frac{L}{N^2} D_{KL}(q(T) || p(T)) \\ &\quad -\frac{L}{N^2} D_{KL}(q(\alpha) || p(\alpha)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i, j) \in \Lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(R, T, \alpha)} \left[\mathbb{E}_{q(r_i, \phi_i)} \left[A_{ij} \log(p(\text{dist}(i, j))) + (1 - A_{ij}) \log(1 - p(\text{dist}(i, j))) \right] \middle| R, T, \alpha \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{L}{N^3} \sum_{(i, j) \in \Lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(r_i, \alpha)} [\alpha(r_i - R) + \log 1\text{mexp}(2\alpha r_i)] \\ &\quad + \frac{L}{N^2} \mathbb{E}_{q(R, \alpha)} [\log(\alpha) - \log(2\pi) - 2 \cdot \log 1\text{mexp}(\alpha R)] \\ &\quad - \frac{L}{N^3} \sum_{(i, j) \in \Lambda} \left(\mathbb{E}_{q(r_i)} [\log q(r_i)] + \mathbb{E}_{q(\phi_i)} [\log q(\phi_i)] \right)\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

References

- [1] TR Davidson, L Falorsi, N De Cao, T Kipf, JM Tomczak. (2018). Hyperspherical variational Auto-Encoders. arXiv:1804.00891
<https://github.com/nicola-decao/s-vae-pytorch>
- [2] Mächler, Martin. (2015). Accurately Computing $\log(1 - \exp(-|a|))$ Assessed by the Rmpfr package. 10.13140/RG.2.2.11834.70084.