



**UNIVERSIDADE DO ESTADO DE SANTA CATARINA - UDESC**  
**CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS TECNOLÓGICAS - CCT**  
**RELATÓRIO DE ESTÁGIO CURRICULAR**  
**FOLHA DE AVALIAÇÃO FINAL**

Carimbo da Empresa

| UNIDADE CONCEDENTE |         |         |       |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|
| Razão Social:      |         | CGC/MF: |       |
| Endereço:          |         | Bairro: |       |
| CEP:               | Cidade: | UF:     | Fone: |
| Supervisor:        |         | Cargo:  |       |

| ESTAGIÁRIO |         |            |       |
|------------|---------|------------|-------|
| Nome :     |         | Matrícula: |       |
| Endereço:  |         | Bairro:    |       |
| CEP:       | Cidade: | UF:        | Fone: |
| Curso de : |         |            |       |

|                                |                |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Título do Estágio:             |                |
| Período: __/__/__/ a __/__/__/ | Carga horária: |

**AVALIAÇÃO FINAL DO ESTÁGIO PELO CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS TECNOLÓGICAS**

Representada pelo Professor Orientador:

| CONCEITO FINAL        | NOTA |
|-----------------------|------|
| Excelente (9,1 a 10)  |      |
| Muito Bom (8,1 a 9,0) |      |
| Bom (7,1 a 8,0)       |      |
| Regular (5,0 a 7,0)   |      |
| Reprovado (0,0 a 4,9) |      |

**Rubrica do Professor Orientador**

Local e data:



**UNIVERSIDADE DO ESTADO DE SANTA CATARINA - UDESC**  
**CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS TECNOLÓGICAS - CCT**  
**RELATÓRIO DE ESTÁGIO CURRICULAR**  
**AValiação DO ESTAGIÁRIO PELA EMPRESA**

**Name of Intern: Matheus Giaretta Cansian**

**TABLE I**

| <b>a) ASSESSMENT OF PROFESSIONAL ASPECTS</b>   | <b>Points</b> |
|--|---------------|
| 1 – <b>QUALITY OF WORK</b> – From carried out tasks  |               |
| 2 - <b>INGENUITY</b> - Ability to suggest, design, implement changes or innovations.             |               |
| 3 - <b>KNOWLEDGE</b> - Proven in the development of planned activities.                          |               |
| 4 – <b>COMPLIANCE WITH TASKS</b> - Consider the amount of activities within reasonable standards |               |
| 5 – <b>INQUIRING</b> - Willingness to learn  |               |
| 6 - <b>INITIATIVE</b> - In carrying out activities   |               |
| <b>SUM</b>   |               |

**Scoring for table I and II**

**Poor - 1 point, Regular - 2 points, Good - 3 points, Very Good - 4 points, Excellent - 5 points.**

**TABLE II**

| <b>b) ASSESSMENT OF PERSONAL ASPECTS</b>  | <b>Points</b> |
|---|---------------|
| 1 - <b>ATTENDANCE</b> – Work hours and absences   |               |
| 2 - <b>DISCIPLINE</b> – Compliance with company rules.                                    |               |
| 3 - <b>SOCIABILITY</b> – Ability to get along with others in the workplace.               |               |
| 4 - <b>COOPERATION</b> - Willingness to cooperate with others.                            |               |
| 5 - <b>RESPONSIBILITY</b> – Care with materials, equipment and properties of the company. |               |
| <b>SUM</b>  |               |

| <b>c) GRADING</b>               | <b>Points</b> |
|---------------------------------|---------------|
| SUM of Table I multiplied by 7  |               |
| SUM of Table II multiplied by 3 |               |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                    |               |

| <b>GRADING LIMITS</b>       |
|-----------------------------|
| From 57 to 101 – POOR       |
| From 102 to 146 - REGULAR   |
| From 148 to 194 – GOOD      |
| From 195 to 240 – VERY GOOD |
| From 241 to 285 – EXCELLENT |

**Company: Husqvarna Construction Products**

**Represented by: Michael Thamm**

| <b>GRADING ACCORDING TO TOTAL</b> |
|-----------------------------------|
|                                   |

**Signature of Company Representative**



**Place:**

**Date:**

|                      |
|----------------------|
|                      |
| <b>Company Stamp</b> |