

Inj. one way, surj. other way

$$\begin{aligned} & A, B \text{ sets, } A \neq \emptyset \\ & \exists \text{ inj } A \hookrightarrow B \iff \exists \text{ surj } B \twoheadrightarrow A \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Proof: (\implies) Suppose we have $\text{inj } i : A \hookrightarrow B$. We can restrict the $\text{cod}(i)$ to get a bij.

$$i' : A \hookrightarrow \text{range}(i) \quad (2)$$

i' has an inverse $i'^{-1} : \text{range}(i) \rightarrow A$.

$$\begin{aligned} & f : B \rightarrow A \\ & f(b) = \begin{cases} i'^{-1} & \text{if } b \in \text{range}(i) \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

(\impliedby) Suppose we have $\text{surj } s : B \twoheadrightarrow A$.

For each $a \in A$, pick $f(a) \in s^{-1}(a)$. This defines $f : A \hookrightarrow B$. \square

Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{If } \exists \text{ inj } A \hookrightarrow B \\ & \text{and } \exists \text{ inj } B \hookrightarrow A \\ & \text{then } \exists \text{ bij } A \rightarrow B \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Finiteness

A is finite when $|A| = |\underline{n}|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\underline{0} = \emptyset$.

Infiniteness

1. A is infinite if A is not finite.

$$|A| \neq |\underline{n}| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \quad (5)$$

2. A is *Dedekind infinite* if there exists a proper subset $A_1 \subsetneq A$ such that $|A| = |A_1|$.

3. A is infinite if $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$. In other words, if it is at least as big as the natural numbers, which is the smallest infinite set.

Shifting Formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bij } f : (a, b) \rightarrow (c, d) \\ & f(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a}(d-c) + c \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Induction

If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is inductive and $1 \in A$, then $A = \mathbb{N}$.

Contrapositive

$$P \implies Q \iff \neg Q \implies \neg P \quad (7)$$

Contradiction

To prove P , assume $\neg P \implies$ something impossible.

Example with primes

There are ∞ many primes.

Proof: Suppose there are finite primes. Then, we can list them

$$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n.$$

Consider $a = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n + 1$. Then (with assumptions of finite primes) a is not prime because a is larger than any of the finite number of primes we have. In other words, $\frac{a}{p_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some k .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{p_k} &= \underbrace{p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{k-1} \cdot p_{k+1} \cdot \dots}_{\text{integers}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{p_k}}_{\text{not int}} \\ &= \frac{a}{p_k} : \text{must not be an int} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

But we said that $\frac{a}{p_k}$ must be an integer, which leads to a contradiction \perp . \square

Countable Sets

A is countable if $|A| = |\underline{n}|$ or $|A| = |\mathbb{N}|$. Same as $|A| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$.

A, B countable $\implies A \cup B$ countable.

$$|\underline{n}| \leq |\underline{m}| \iff n \leq m \quad (9)$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, |\underline{n}| < |\mathbb{N}| \quad (10)$$

Bigger Sets

$A \neq \emptyset, |\mathcal{P}(A)| > |A|$.

Proof: $|A| \leq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ means we have an injection $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$, where $a \mapsto \{a\}$.

WTS that $\nexists \text{ surj. } A \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Let $X = \{a \in A \mid a \notin f(a)\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$

Claim: $X \in \text{range}(f)$.

Let $a \in A$.

If $a \in X \implies a \notin f(a) \implies X \neq f(a)$.

If $a \notin X \implies a \in f(a) \implies X \neq f(a)$.

So $X \neq f(a) \forall a \in A$. $X \in \text{range}(f)$, so there does not exist a surjection $A \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$. \square

Number of Relations

For a finite set A , the cardinality of the number of relations on A is $2^{|A|^2}$.

Relations from A to B :

$\{\text{relations}\} \hookrightarrow \{\text{subsets of } A \times B\} = \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$

so the number of relations from A to B is $2^{|A| \cdot |B|}$

Properties of Relations

- Reflexive: $\forall x \in X, xRx$
- Symmetric: $xRy \iff yRx$
- Antisymmetric: $xRy \ \& \ yRx \implies x = y$
- Transitive: $xRy \ \& \ yRz \implies xRz$

Partial Ordering

- Reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive

Ex: $\subseteq, \supseteq, |\dots| = |\dots|$

Total Ordering

Same things as partial ordering, except everything must be related to each other.

$$\forall x, y \in X \text{ either } xRy \text{ or } yRx \quad (11)$$

Eg: \leq, \geq on \mathbb{R} .

Equivalence

- Reflexive, symmetric, transitive.

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv y \pmod{n} \\ &\Downarrow \\ x &\equiv_n y \iff x = y + nk \text{ for some } k \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This is an equivalence relation.

Proof: Reflexive:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in \mathbb{Z} \\ a - a &= nk \quad k = 0 \\ 0 &= 0n \implies a \equiv_n a \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Symmetric:

$$\begin{aligned} a, b &\in \mathbb{Z} \\ a &\equiv_n b \quad a - b = nk \\ b &\equiv_n a \quad b - a = nk \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Transitive:

$$\begin{aligned} a, b, c &\in \mathbb{Z} \\ a &\equiv_n b \quad b \equiv_n c \\ a - b &= nk \quad b - c = nl \\ a - c &= n(k+l) \\ &\quad \underbrace{\in \mathbb{Z}} \\ \implies a &\equiv_n c \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

□

Equivalence Classes

X a set, \sim an equivalence relation on X , $x \in X$. An equivalence class of x is a subset of X .

$$[x]_{\sim} := \{y \in X \mid y \sim x\} \subseteq X \quad (16)$$

Ex:

$$\begin{aligned} [0]_{\frac{\sim}{2}} &= \{\dots - 4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots\} \\ [1]_{\frac{\sim}{2}} &= \{\dots - 1, -1, 1, 3, \dots\} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \sim y &\iff [x] = [y] \\ x \not\sim y &\iff [x] \cap [y] = \emptyset \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Quotient Set

$$(X/\sim) = \{\text{equiv of } \sim\} \quad (19)$$

Ex:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{Z}/\frac{\sim}{3}) &= \{[a] \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{[0], [1], [2]\} \\ (\mathbb{Z}/\frac{\sim}{n}) &= \{[0], [1], [2], \dots, [n-1]\} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Modular Arithmetic

$$(\mathbb{Z}/\frac{\sim}{n}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [a] + [b] &:= [a + b] \\ [a] \cdot [b] &:= [a \cdot b] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We can do this because addition and multiplication makes sense and keeps us in the “correct” equivalence class.

Claim:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv_n a' \quad b \equiv_n b' \\ \implies a + b &\equiv_n a' + b' \\ ab &\equiv_n a'b' \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Proof: (1) Suppose $a \equiv_n a', b \equiv_n b'$.

$$\begin{aligned} \implies aa' &= nk \quad bb' = nl \quad \text{for some } k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \implies a - a' + b - b' &= n(k+l) \\ \implies (a+b) - (a'+b') &= n(k+l) \\ \implies a + b &\equiv_n a' + b' \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

(2) Suppose $a \equiv_n a', b \equiv_n b'$.

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a' + nk \quad b = b' + nl \\ ab &= (a' + nk)(b' + nl) \\ &= a'b + n^2lk + b'nk + a'nl \\ ab - a'b' &= \underbrace{n(nkl + b'k + a'l)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \\ ab &\equiv_n a'b' \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

□