

Problem Set 9

Mark Schulist

1)

$$\psi(x) = A(e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx}) \quad (1)$$

Well-defined p means that $\Delta p \approx 0$. We need to solve for Δp .

$$\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p \rangle &= -i\hbar A^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx}) \frac{d}{dx} (e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx}) dx \\ &= -i\hbar A^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx}) (ike^{ikx} - ike^{-ikx}) dx \\ &= \hbar A^2 k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx}) (e^{ikx} - e^{-ikx}) dx \\ &= \hbar A^2 k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [e^{2ikx} - e^{-2ikx}] dx \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This integral evaluates to ∞ , so the momentum is not well-defined. This function is essentially just two opposite moving plane waves (with 2 momenta, so not well-defined).

When we apply the \hat{p} operator, the function is not just a scalar of the original function. Being an eigenfunction and well-defined are equivalent statements, and in this case \hat{p} is not an eigenfunction because there are two opposite-moving plane waves.

2)

$$\Psi(x, t) = a_n \psi_n(x) e^{-i\left(\frac{E_n}{\hbar}\right)t} + a_m \psi_m(x) e^{-i\left(\frac{E_m}{\hbar}\right)t} \quad (4)$$

Here we have a_n and a_m which correspond the coefficients of the superposition of wave functions.

We want to show that the frequency of oscillation still only depends on the time. We can start by multiplying by the complex conjugate.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[a_n \psi_n(x) e^{-i\left(\frac{E_n}{\hbar}\right)t} + a_m \psi_m(x) e^{-i\left(\frac{E_m}{\hbar}\right)t} \right] \left[a_n \psi_n(x) e^{i\left(\frac{E_n}{\hbar}\right)t} + a_m \psi_m(x) e^{i\left(\frac{E_m}{\hbar}\right)t} \right] \\ &\implies a_n^2 \psi_n^2 + a_m^2 \psi_m^2 + a_n a_m \psi_n^2 \psi_m^2 e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_m - E_n)} + a_n a_m \psi_n^2 \psi_m^2 e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_n - E_m)} \\ &\implies a_n^2 \psi_n^2 + a_m^2 \psi_m^2 + a_n a_m \psi_n^2 \psi_m^2 \left(e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_m - E_n)} + e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_n - E_m)} \right) \\ &\implies a_n^2 \psi_n^2 + a_n a_m \psi_n^2 \psi_m^2 \left[\cos\left(\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_m - E_n)\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_m - E_n)\right) + \cos\left(\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_n - E_m)\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_n - E_m)\right) \right] \\ &\implies a_n^2 \psi_n^2 + 2a_n a_m \psi_n^2 \psi_m^2 \left[\cos\left(\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_n - E_m)\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We can see that the frequency is determined by ΔE , just like in the case where we added the wave functions in equal amounts.

We can see that $\omega = \frac{E_n - E_m}{\hbar}$, which is the angular frequency. Therefore, the oscillation frequency only depends on ΔE , just like in the case where we added the two wave functions in equal amounts.

3)

$$\psi(x, 0) = C[\psi_1(x) + \psi_2(x)] \quad (6)$$

3.a)

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= C^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\psi_1^*(x)\psi_1(x) + \psi_2^*(x)\psi_2(x)] dx \\ 1 &= C^2 \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_1^*(x)\psi_1(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_2^*(x)\psi_2(x) dx \right] \\ \text{orthonormal} \implies 1 &= 2C^2 \\ C &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

3.b)

We know that the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{U}$. We want to show the following:

$$\hat{H}\psi(x, 0) = E\psi(x, 0) \quad (8)$$

In the S.E.:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi(x, 0) \propto E\psi(x, 0) \quad (9)$$

We know that because this is a superposition of wave functions, the value of E depends on x (it can either be E_1 or E_2 , but we don't know which one), so it cannot be an eigenfunction.

Because the Hamiltonian is not an eigenfunction, we can conclude that energy is not well-defined (it can either take E_1 or E_2 , but we don't know which).

3.c)

We know that the time component $\phi(t) = e^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}}$. We can plug this into our wave function to get the time component.

$$\Psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\psi_1(x) e^{-\frac{iE_1 t}{\hbar}} + \psi_2(x) e^{-\frac{iE_2 t}{\hbar}} \right] \quad (10)$$

3.d)

We can use the energy operator on $\Psi(x, t)$ to find the average energy $\langle E \rangle$.

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} + \hat{U}(x) \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\psi_1^*(x) e^{\frac{iE_1}{\hbar}t} + \psi_2^*(x) e^{\frac{iE_2}{\hbar}t} \right) \left(\hat{H} \psi_1(x) e^{-\frac{iE_1}{\hbar}t} + \hat{H} \psi_2(x) e^{-\frac{iE_2}{\hbar}t} \right) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\psi_1^*(x) \hat{H} \psi_1(x) + \psi_2(x) \hat{H} \psi_2^*(x) + \psi_1^*(x) \hat{H} \psi_2(x) e^{\frac{iE_1}{\hbar}t} + \psi_2^*(x) \hat{H} \psi_1(x) e^{-\frac{iE_1}{\hbar}t} \right] dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (E_1 + E_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

We can simplify like this because of orthonormality and the fact that the Hamiltonian is an eigenfunction of each of the wave functions individually, where all of the other terms will either integrate to 0 or 1.

When we solve the terms with the exponential parts, the orthonormality will make the “coefficient” evaluate to 0. For the other terms, they are equal to E_1 or E_2 because of orthonormality (after we apply the Hamiltonian operator, which is just the energy corresponding to each of the two wave functions).

3.e)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x |\Psi(x, t)|^2 dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \left(\psi_1^*(x) e^{\frac{iE_1}{\hbar}t} + \psi_2^*(x) e^{\frac{iE_2}{\hbar}t} \right) \left(\psi_1(x) e^{-\frac{iE_1}{\hbar}t} + \psi_2(x) e^{-\frac{iE_2}{\hbar}t} \right) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \left(\psi_1^* \psi_1 + \psi_2^* \psi_2 + \psi_1^* \psi_2 e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_2-E_1)} + \psi_2^* \psi_1 e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_1-E_2)} \right) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle x_{\psi_1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_{\psi_2} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \psi_1^* \psi_2 e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_2-E_1)} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \psi_2^* \psi_1 e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_1-E_2)} dx
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

If it just so happens that ψ is real-valued, then we can do some additional simplification.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle x_{\psi_1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_{\psi_2} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \psi_1^* \psi_2^* e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_2-E_1)} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \psi_2^* \psi_1 e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_1-E_2)} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle x_{\psi_1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_{\psi_2} \rangle + \cos \left(2 \frac{E_1 - E_2}{\hbar} t \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \psi_1 \psi_2 dx
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

4)

$$U_0 = \frac{5}{4} E \tag{15}$$

$$\psi_{\text{inc}} = e^{ikx} \tag{16}$$

4.a)

We know that $E < U_0$, so we can use the equations that correspond to that relationship. We will call region 1 the region to the left of the step and region 2 “inside” the step.

$$k \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}} \quad (17)$$

$$K \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2m(U_0 - E)}{\hbar^2}} \quad (18)$$

$$\psi_1(x) = \underbrace{e^{ikx}}_{\psi_{\text{inc}}} + Be^{-ikx} \quad (19)$$

$$\psi_2(x) = Ce^{-Kx} \quad (20)$$

We can enforce continuity of the functions at $x = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} e^0 + B &= C \\ \implies 1 + B &= C \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We can enforce continuity of the derivatives at $x = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} ik - ikB &= -KC \\ ik(1 - B) &= -KC \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We can substitute in from Equation 20 to solve for B .

$$\begin{aligned} ik(1 - B) &= -K(1 + B) \\ ik - Bik &= -K - BK \\ ik + K &= Bik - BK \\ ik + K &= B(ik - K) \\ B &= \frac{ik - K}{ik + K} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We know the relationship between U_0 and E , so we can plug that into K and k .

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \sqrt{\frac{2m(\frac{5}{4}E - E)}{\hbar^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{2}mE}{\hbar^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{i\frac{\sqrt{(2mE)}}{\hbar} - \sqrt{\frac{mE}{2\hbar^2}}}{i\frac{\sqrt{(2mE)}}{\hbar} + \sqrt{\frac{mE}{2\hbar^2}}} \\ &= \frac{i + \frac{1}{2}}{i - \frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{3}{5} + i\frac{4}{5} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We can plug in Equation 24 into Equation 20 to find C .

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= 1 + B \\
&= 1 + \frac{3}{5} + i\frac{4}{5} \\
&= \frac{8}{5} + i\frac{4}{5}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Finally plugging into our equation for $\psi_{\text{refl}(x)}$.

$$\psi_{\text{refl}(x)} = \left(\frac{3}{5} + i\frac{4}{5}\right)e^{-ikx} \tag{26}$$

And for the wave in region 2.

$$\psi_2(x) = \left(\frac{8}{5} + i\frac{4}{5}\right)e^{-Kx} \tag{27}$$

4.b)

Because $U_0 < E$, we know that the entire wave must be reflected (none of it will classically go past $x = 0$). Therefore, the reflection coefficient is 1.

We can also find the magnitude of B (which is the reflection coefficient) and recover 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
B^*B &= \left(\frac{3}{5} + i\frac{4}{5}\right)\left(\frac{3}{5} - i\frac{4}{5}\right) \\
&= \frac{9}{25} + \frac{16}{25} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$