Lectured (History, Evolution and revolution of OL) 2/12/2020 Inspiration of DL: The Brain -> McCulloch & Pitts (1943): networks of binary neuron can do togicando -> Donald Hebb (1947): Hebbian synaptic plasticity -) Norbert Wiener (1948): cybernatics, optimal filter, feedback, autopoises, auto-organization -> Frank Rosenblatt (1957): Perceptron -> Hubel of Wiesel (1960s): visual contex achitecture stopped and again started in 1980s, and died in. 1995 again-and again rose up in 2010s with speech recognition. Supervise Learning: Training a machine by showing examples instead of programming it Works o well for Photo -> caption Speech - worde Text -> topic Image - rategories Portrait ) name

Supervised Learning goes back to the Perceptron and Adatine
Supervised Learning goes Neuron
The McCulloch-Pitts Binary Neuron  The McCulloch-Pitts Binary Neuron  -) Perceptron: Weights are motorized potentiameters  -) Perceptron: Weights are motorized potentiameters
-) Perceptron: Weights are monitors
-) Perceptron: weights are electrochemical memistors
Praditional Machine Learning
Pic Feature Trainable Classifier Classifier
[Entractor]
Hand engineered Trainable
Turble
Deep learning
(Did Low level Mid - level > 4 igh- Level ) Trainable
features Feature Feature Stassifies
Pic Low level Mid-level High-Lievel Trainable Features Features Feature mon-linearity non-linearity non-linearity
MLP (Multi-layer Perceptron)
The source of the
RelV(x) = max (x, 6)
Supervised Machine 1
Supervised Machine learning = Fanction Optimization
Stochastic gradient destent
$\omega \succeq \omega_i - \eta \frac{\partial L(\omega x)}{\partial \omega_i}$
$(\frac{\partial}{\partial u})$

Computing Gradients by Back-Propagation: Traditional NN has usability problems and many others dealing with images. · Hubel and Wiesel's Model of the Architecture of the Visual lord. · simple cells detect local features [1962] · complex cells "pool" the outputs of simple cells withing space of they found out how things work in brains for recognition Fukushima 1982] [LeCun 1989, 1998] ConvNets con recognize multiple objects . All layers are convolutional Networks performs insimultaneous segmentation and re cognition Face and Pedestrian Detection with ConvNets (1993-2015) Training a Robot to Drive itself in Nature [A Hodsell 2009] Semonti Segmentation with ConvNets [Forobet 2012] 1986-1996 ] > # Special NW chips Peep learning Revolution Speech Recognition 2010 Image Recognition 2013 2015 Deep ConvNets for Object Recognition (on GPU) AlexNet [2013] Over Feat [2013] Voio [2013] GoogleNet [2013] Res Net [2015] DenseWet [20177 structure of Data Multilagen Architectures Compositional High-level] Classifia = Featur Mask R-CNN MSRA-2015 Mash R-CNN 2017

6

- R Mask-RCNN on Coco dataset
- segmented - Individuo l'abjects are
- 30 Conv Net for Medical Image Analysis

## Deep learning enables

- -) Safer cars, autonomorus cars
- => Better medical image analysis
- = Personalized medicine
- Adequate language translation
- -) Useful but stupid chatbols
- > Info search, re trieval, filtering
  - -) other

It con't get

Machines with common

Intelligent personal

Smart chatbots

Hausehold robots

Agile and dexterous

Artificial General

Intelligence

Deep learning : Learning Representations/Features

## Hierarchial representation

- Hierarchy of representations with increasing level of abstru
- . I Each stage is a kind of trainable feature transform

SVM is nothing but two layer neural nets and the first layer is trained in an unsupervised way

-> Deep machines are more efficient for representing certain classes of functions

why would DL be more efficient? [Bengio, Lecun 2007]

> less params needed to get good result

What are Good Features?

Discovering the Hidden Structure in High Dimensional Data: The Manifold Hypothesis

- The Manifold Hypothesis · Natural data lives in a low-dimensional manifold
  - · Because variables in natural data are mutually dependent

Disentangling factors of variation

- · The ideal disentangling Feature Extractor
- , PCA con find the representation if they are linear:

Practicum L [Yann Le Cun, Alfredo Canziani]
Mark Galdstein

Classification, Linear algebra and visualization

We have to find the point of classification to and

- bring it to the main focus

Matrix multiplication: (what does it do?) [Linear, transformedia

- · Rotation
- · Stretching/Scaling (Fooming / shearing)
- · Reflection
- . Translation
- . Affine transformation

Newral net does this by trying to reach convergence
While need mon-linearity because without non-linear
mon-linearity we con't get

## Space Stretching.

We aim to divide the space so that we can get linear seperable translation

Week 21 Lecture: SGD and Backprop
Parameterized Model  [ D. meterized Deterministic Function]
Parameterized Model  y = Ge(n, w) [Parameterized Deterministic Function]  parameterized Model  Question of may involve complicated  Computing function or may involve complicated
algo. (Sob tisoub toda): 2011 william side
Pariables (tensor, scalar continuous distrete.)
eterministic function (impicit ouput)
Loss function and average loss
machine learning is all about optimizing functions
Stor Gradient descent
Stor Gradient descent

SGID exploits the redundancy in the samples . we use minibatch for parallelization in practice

There are optimization algorithms that are not dependental on gradient specially the ones timbere we don't move the grads or can't get the grads. Those know the grads or cam't get the grads. Those are called zeroth order method.

- · In RL, the output function is not differentiable most of the time, which makes it really inefficient.
- Reward is the negative of cost in RL. RL practioners generally use a secondary cost function which is differentiable to make RL efficient.

Traditional NN Stacked linear and non-linear functional blocks

Backprop through a mon-linear functions Chainrale g(h(s))'= g'(h(s)), h'(s)

Perturbations:

Vinear blocks Skot = agete Nonlinear blocks The h(s)

PyTorch definition! (object oriented version)

mm. Linear automatically adds a bias factor

ineillusivatique exer realit

Tacobian Matrix

Partial derivationes of ith output wrt ith input

$$\left(\frac{\partial z_q}{\partial z_f}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\left(\partial z_q\right)_i}{\left(\partial z_f\right)_j}$$

Bockprop through a multi-stage graph

Two Jacobian matrices one with respect to Z[h] -) input One with respect to w[k] -) weight

Johnavidal Log softmax y:=exi [vector of probabilities] logyi Praetical tips of Backprop

softmax is just a generilization of sof sigmooid with multiple outputs

- · Use ReLU (tanh and others one pot falling out of favor)
- · Use cross entropy for classification
- · Ose sgd on minibatchet
- . Shuffle the training samples
- · Normalize the input variables (zero mean, unit variance)
- . Schedule to decrease the learning rate
- · Use a bit of LL and L2 regularization on the creights (Weight Perces)
- · Use dropout for a regularization (distribute into better
- · Lecun > Efficit Backprop (1998)
- · Neural Nets, Tricks to trade
- · Weight Decay
- . Any directed acyclic graph is ok for packprop

Practicum 2: Training a neural network NN are amainly doing rotation and squashing ANN-supervised loarning  $N_{c}(+) = + \left( \frac{2\pi}{c} \left( 2++c-1 \right) \right) + N(0,\sigma^{2})$ 0 \$ + \$ 1, C=1,...,C we try to adapt the decision boundaries by notating and scaling Input -> Low level -> Mid-level trigh- level features features If we have multiple output multi-headed network of he say the grade of Traindata x (1) ER"

 $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(1) & \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) & \chi \end{bmatrix}$   $\chi = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(2) & \chi \\ -\chi(2) &$ 

Fully connected lager

Affine transformation -) rotation Non-linear function -) squashing

· Backward pass computes the grads of loss w.r.t. our learnable params

h-f(cunx + bn)

ŷ= g(wgh1by)

softlarg) mox (l) [c] = 
$$\frac{\exp(\text{l[c]})}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} \exp(\text{l[c]})} \in (0,1)$$

$$h\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}},c\right)=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}l\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}},c_{i}\right)$$

$$\hat{y}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -0 \\ -0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -0 \\ -0 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\hat{y}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -0 \\ -0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -0 \\ -0 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -0 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}(x)} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \rightarrow 0^{+}$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{0}(x)}{\gamma_{0}($$

$$\theta = \{\omega_{n}, b_{n}, \omega_{y}, b_{y}\}$$

$$\delta J(\theta) = L(Y(\theta), c) CR^{\dagger}$$

$$-\frac{dJ(Y)}{dY}(Y_{0})$$

choice of activation will have effects on regression