Parser Combinators

João Saraiva

2016/2017

Departamento de Informática Universidade do Minho, Portugal

A parser consumes the input string and produces an abstract syntax tree:

```
type Parser = [Char] -> Ast
```

However, in a top-down parser each symbol consumes part of the input, leaving the rest for the next symbol:

$$S \rightarrow AB$$

 $A \rightarrow a+$
 $B \rightarrow b^*$

In this grammar A consumes the symbols a in the begging of a sentence, leaving bs to be parser by B.

Thus, our new type reflects the partial consumption of he input by a grammar symbol:

```
type Parser = [Char] -> (Ast , [Char])
```

But, a parser may fail!

Thus we use the list of successes technique where the empty list models the parsing failure.

```
type Parser = [Char] -> [ (Ast , [Char]) ]
```

Finally, we parameterize our type so that it consumes any type of input (not only Char) and produces any tree as result.

```
type Parser s r = [s] \rightarrow [(r, [s])]
```

Let us start with a very simple parser: it parser/consumes a symbol 'a' from the input.

That has type:

Running ghci:

```
Parser> symbola "abc"
[('a',"bc")]
```

This function is a parser. And, we can rewrite its type as a Parser type as follows

We can parameterize this function with the symbol we wish to parse from the input:

We can also define a very useful basic parser that parser a symbol from the input that satisfy a give predicate

Running ghci:

```
Parser> satisfy isDigit "12a"
[('1',"2a")]
```

Running ghci:

```
Parser> satisfy isDigit "12a"
[('1',"2a")]
```

Basic Parsers: tokens

But, we also need to parser a sequence of symbols, ie. a token, from the input.

Basic Parsers: succeeds

In order to model the empty production (that does not consume any symbol from the input) we define a parser that always succeeds:

```
succeed :: r -> Parser s r
succeed r inp = [ ( r , inp) ]
```

Now, we need to define parser that combine the basic combinators. In grammars we use the BNF notation | to model alternatives of parsing.

```
S → "while"
| "for
```

So, we need to define a combinator that expresses such a grammar "or".

We use the infix function <|> to model different parsing alternatives of the same input: we apply the two parsar to the input and we combine the list results by concatenating them:

```
(<|>) :: Parser s a -> Parser s a -> Parser s
a
(p <|> q) inp = p inp ++ q inp
```

We use the infix function <|> to model different parsing alternatives of the same input: we apply the two parsers to the input and we combine their list results by concatenating them:

```
(<|>) :: Parser s a -> Parser s a -> Parser s
a
(p <|> q) inp = p inp ++ q inp
```

Running ghci:

```
Parser> pWhileFor "while (x>0)"
[("while"," (x>0)")]
```

Running ghci:

```
Parser> pWhileFor "while (x>0)"
[("while"," (x>0)")]
```

We use the infix function <|> to model different parsing alternatives of the same input: we apply the two parsar to the input and we combine the list results by concatenating them:

```
(<|>) :: Parser s a -> Parser s a -> Parser s
a
(p <|> q) inp = p inp ++ q inp
```

In grammars, the right-hand side of a production may include a sequence of symbols:

$$S \rightarrow AB$$

 $A \rightarrow a+$
 $B \rightarrow b^*$

Thus, we need to define a combinator parser that combines the sequential application of parsers.

Because a parser may produce several solutions, we need to apply the first parser to the input. Then, to all produced results we apply the second parser (to the rest of input). Finally, we combine the results by tupling them:

Because a parser may produce several solutions, we need to apply the first parser to the input. Then, to all produced results we apply the second parser (to the rest of input). Finally, we combine the results by tupling them:

```
pSeq = symbol 'a' <*> symbol 'b' <*> symbol
'c'
```

Running ghci:

```
Parser> pSeq "abcd" [((('a','b'),'c'),"d")]
```

By tupling the parsers' results may produce nested tuples which make handling them extremely difficult.

Thus we use a clever approach: the first parser returns a function as result, the second returns a value, and we combine the results of the two by applying the returned function to the returned value!

Parser Combinators: Apply

Now, we need a way to apply a function during parsing. We define the <\$> combinator.

Consider for example the following grammar that expresses a list of spaces:

We can express it as follows:

If we are interested in the spaces we just add them to a list:

If we want to discard them:

Exercise: re-write **spaces** so that it models a list of zero or more spaces

Exercise: re-write **token** so that it consumes spaces after the given token.

Exercise 1: re-write **spaces** so that it models a list of zero or more spaces

Exercise 2: re-write **token** so that it consumes spaces after the given token.

Parser Combinators for EBNF

Exercise 3: Write the combinator **oneOrMore**, and **zeroOrMore**, that express the repetitions of a given paper, and have types:

```
oneOrMore , zeroOrMore :: Parser a b -> Parser a [b]
```

Exercise 4: Re-write the parser **spaces** using the combinator **zeroOrMore**.

Parser Combinators for EBNF

Exercise 5: Write the combinator **optional** that expresses the optional operator of regular expressions a?.

```
optional :: Parser s r -> r -> Parser s r
```

Exercise 6: Write the parser **enclosedBy**, that expresses the parsing of a structure enclosed by some syntactic sugar (not contributing to the result of the parser):

```
enclosedBy :: Parser s a -> Parser s b -> Parser s c -> Parser s b
```

Parser Combinators for EBNF

Exercise 7: Write the combinators **separatedBy** and **followedBy** that expresses the parsing of a structured that is separated (followed) by some punctuation symbol. The parsing of such symbols do not contribute to the result.

```
SeparatedBy , followedBy :: Parser s a
-> Parser s b
-> Parser s [a]
```

A parser for Regular Expressions.

The result of the parser will be an AST defined as:

Regular Expressions: non-felt recursive grammar

```
→ ExprThen '|' Expr
Expr
         ExprThen
ExprThen → Term ExprThen
          Term
        → Factor '?' | Factor '*'
Term
         | Factor '+' | Factor
Factor → <letterOrDig> | '<anyChar>'
         | '(' Expr ')'
```

```
40 expr :: Parser Char (RegExp Char)
41 expr = f <$> termThen <*> symbol '|' <*> expr
42 <|> id <$> termThen
43 <|> succeed Epsilon
44 where f l r = 0r l r
45
46 termThen = f <$> term <*> termThen
47 <|> id <$> term
   where f l r = Then l r
48
49
50 term = f <$> factor <*> symbol '?'
   <|> q <$> factor <*> symbol '*'
51
52 <|> h <$> factor <*> symbol '+'
      <|> id <$> factor
53
54 where
fe = Optional e
ge = Star e
  h e = Then e (Star e)
57
58
59
   factor = f <$> satisfy (\x -> isDigit x || isAlpha x) -- letterOrDig
        <|> g <$> symbol '\'' <*> satisfy (\ x -> True) <*> symbol '\''
60
        <|> h <$> symbol '(' <*> expr <*> symbol ')'
61
   where
62
      f a = Literal a
63
       g e = Literal e
64
65
```

```
30 -- | Parser for regular expressions
31
32
   parseRegExp :: [Char]
                               -- ^ Input symbols
              -> Maybe (RegExp Char) -- ^ Regular expression
33
34
   parseRegExp re = res
     where parsed_re = expr re
35
36
          res | parsed_re == [] = Nothing
          otherwise = Just (fst (head parsed_re))
37
38
```