Time Complexity

f(n)	g(n)	$O/\Omega/\Theta$
n - 100	n - 200	Θ
$n^{1/2}$	$n^{2/_{3}}$	0
$100n + \log n$	$n + (\log n)^2$	Θ (a)
$\log 2n$	$\log 3n$	$\Theta\left(b ight)$
$10\log n$	$\log n^2$	Θ (c)
$n^{1/2}$	5^{log_2n}	0 (d)
2 ⁿ	2^{n+1}	Θ (e)

(a): $n \ dominates \ (\log n)^c \to n + (\log n)^2 = \Theta(n)$

(b): $\log ab = \log a + \log b$

(c): $\log a^b = b \log a$

(d): $5 = 2^{2x}$ where $x > 0 \to 5^{\log_2 n} = (2^{2x})^{\log_2 n} = (2^{\log_2 n})^{2x} = \Omega(n^{1/2})$

(e): $2^{n+1} = 2 \times 2^n$

Fibonacci 1

$$F_n = \begin{cases} 0, if \ n = 0 \\ 1, if \ n = 1 \\ F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}, otherwise \end{cases}$$

Prove: $F_n = \Omega(\sqrt{2^n})$.

By trial and error: It appears that $F(n) \ge 2^{n/2}$ for all $n \ge 7$

To prove: For all positive integers $n \ge 7 \to F_n \ge 2^{n/2}$

By induction on n. Base case: n = 7

Step, assume: Indeed, true that for all $i = 7, 8, ..., k \rightarrow F_i \ge 2^{i/2}$

To prove: $F_{k+1} \ge 2^{k+1/2}$

LHS:
$$F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1} \ge 2^{k/2} + 2^{(k-1)/2}$$

Suffices to prove: $2^{k/2} + 2^{(k-1)/2} \ge 2^{(k+1)/2}$
 $2^{1/2} + 1 \ge 2^{2/2}$ (by dividing the above by $2^{(k-1)/2}$)
It is indeed true that $2^{1/2} + 1 \ge 2^{2/2} = 1$

It is indeed true that $2^{7/2} + 1 \ge 2^{7/2} =$

Multiplication

Figure 1.1 Multiplication à la Français.

```
function multiply (x, y)

Input: Two n-bit integers x and y, where y \ge 0

Output: Their product

if y = 0: return 0

z = \text{multiply}(x, \lfloor y/2 \rfloor)

if y is even:
   return 2z

else:
   return x + 2z
```

Suppose instead of both x and y being n-bit, x is n-bit and y is m-bit. What is the worst-case time efficiency of multiply?

Proposed: O(nm)

Time Efficiency:

- # recursive calls x time/call
- # worst case recursive calls = O(m)
- Worst case time/call =
 - 2z is at worst $O(n+m) \rightarrow because very last addition is <math>2z = xy x$
 - x is n bits
 - So, addition's time: $O(\max\{n, n + m\}) = O(\max\{n, m\})$

So, final answer: $O(m \times \max\{n, m\})$

Fibonacci 2

Let F_n be the nth Fibonacci number, Prove $F_n = O(2^n)$.

- Somewhere, we have shown: $F_n = \Omega(\sqrt{2}^n)$
- But here, seek to show: There exists positive real $F_n \le c \cdot 2^n$, for all n in N
- Natural proof strategy for "there exists" construction (i.e., propose some concrete *c*, and show that it works)
- Try some small values for n, and see what c would work
 - $n = 0, F_0 = 0, 2^0 = 1 \rightarrow c = 1 \text{ works}$
 - $n = 1, F_1 = 1, 2^1 = 2 \rightarrow c = 1 \text{ works}$
 - $n = 2, F_2 = 1, 2^2 = 4 \rightarrow c = 1 \text{ works}$
 - $n = 3, F_3 = 2, 2^3 = 8 \rightarrow c = 1 \text{ works}$
 - $n = 4, F_4 = 3, 2^4 = 16 \rightarrow c = 1 \text{ works}$
- Appears that c = 1 works. Adopt it and check if proof goes through. Now, proof by induction with c = 1
- Base case, $n = 1, F_1 = 1, 2^1, 1 \le 2 \rightarrow True$
- Step: Seek to show $F_n \le 2^n$ given that $F_k \le 2^k$ for all k = 1, 2, ..., n 1
- $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \le 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2}$ by induction assumption
- $F_n = 2^{n-2} (2+1) = 3 \times 2^{n-2} \le 2^n = 2^2 \times 2^{n-2} = 4 \times 2^{n-2} \to Done$

Fibonacci 3

Let F_n be the nth Fibonacci number, Prove $F_n \neq O(n^2)$.

- Recall from logic: not (there exists an egg-laying mammal) = for all mammals *m*, *m* is not egg-laying
- Here, f = O(g): There exists positive real c, for all natural $n, f(n) \le c \cdot g(n)$
- So here, need to prove: Given any positive real c, it is true that there exists n such that $F_n > c \cdot n^2$
- By contradiction: Suppose that there exists positive real c, such that, for all natural n, $F_n \le c \cdot n^2$
- Then: $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \le c(n-1)^2 + c(n-2)^2 = c(n^2 2n + 1 + n^2 4n + 4) = c(2n^2 6n + 5) \le cn^2$
- $\bullet \quad 2n^2 6n + 5 \le n^2$

•
$$2 - \frac{1}{n^2}(6n - 5) \le 1$$

• This is true only if
$$\frac{1}{n^2}(6n-5)$$
 is "large" compared to $2n^2$

• What is large? We need
$$\frac{1}{n^2}(6n-5) \ge 1 \rightarrow true \ for \ n=1$$

• Try
$$n = 2: \frac{1}{4}(12 - 5) = \frac{7}{4} \ge 1$$

• Try
$$n = 3: \frac{1}{8}(18 - 5) = \frac{13}{8} \ge 1$$

• Try
$$n = 4$$
: $\frac{1}{16}(24 - 5) = \frac{19}{16} \ge 1$

• Try
$$n = 5$$
: $\frac{1}{25}(30 - 5) = 1$

• Try
$$n = 6$$
: $\frac{1}{36}(36 - 5) < 1$

• Try
$$n = 7: \frac{1}{49}(42 - 5) < 1$$

• Prove by induction:
$$6n - 5 < n^2$$
 for all natural $n > 5$

• Base case
$$n = 6$$
: See above

• Step:
$$6(n-1)-5 \le (n-1)^2 \to from induction assumption$$

•
$$6n - 5 - 6 < n^2 - 2n + 1$$

•
$$6n-5 \le n^2-(2n-7) \le n^2$$
 whenever $2n-7 \ge 0 \rightarrow$ which it is for $n \ge 6$

• So far: We have shown that indeed, for
$$n \ge 6$$
, $F_n < cn^2 \to Done$

Selection Sort

SELECTIONSORT (A[1,...,n])
foreach i from 1 to n do

$$m \leftarrow i - 1 + INDEXOFMIN(A[i,...,n])$$
if $i \neq m$ then swap $A[i], A[m]$

$$INDEXOFMIN(B[1,...,m])$$
 $min \leftarrow B[1], idx \leftarrow 1$
foreach j from 2 to m do

if $B[j] < \min$ then

 $\min \leftarrow B[j], idx \leftarrow j$

return idx

What is a meaningful characterization of the time efficiency of SELECTIONSORT?

- Suppose we invoke INDEXOFMIN(A[5,...,13]). In INDEXOFMIN: B[1,...,9].
 Suppose now, min is at index 3 in B[1,...,9]. This → index of a min in A[5,...,13] is at index (5-1) + 3 = 7
- Suppose on input: A[1, ..., 5] = [13, -23, 45, -23, 1]. Then A evolves in *SELECTIONSORT* as follows:
 - i = 1, m = 2, [-23, 13, 45, -23, 1]
 - i = 2, m = 4, [-23, -23, 45, 13, 1]
 - i = 3, m = 4, [-23, -23, 13, 45, 1]
- For time efficiency: Need to make meaningful assumption(s)
- Customary Assumptions: (1) n is unbounded, (ii) each A[i] is bounded
- What should we count? Suppose we all agree that counting # swaps is a meaningful measure for time efficiency
- Then: Worst case # swaps $= n 1 = \Theta(n)$
- Now, let's say we want to get a bit more fine-grained. Incorporate (worst case) time for each swap x # swaps
- So now, time efficiency: $(n-1) + (n-2) + \cdots + 1 = \Theta(n^2)$

Modular Simplification

1. Is
$$6^6 \equiv 5^3 \pmod{31}$$
?

$$6 \times 6 = 36 \equiv 5 \pmod{31}$$

So:
$$(6^2)^3 \equiv (5)^3 \pmod{31}$$

2.
$$2^{125} \equiv ? \pmod{127}$$

$$2^7 = 128 = 127 + 1$$

So:
$$128 \mod 127 = 1$$

Now:
$$125/7 = 17 + 6/7$$

So:
$$2^{125} = 2^{17 \times 7 + 6} = 2^{17 \times 7} \times 2^6$$

So:
$$2^{125} \equiv 2^{17 \times 7} \times 2^6 \equiv (2^7)^{17} \times 2^6 \equiv 1^{17} \times 2^6 \equiv 64 \pmod{127}$$

3. Is $4^{1536} - 9^{4824}$ divisible by 35?

$$4^{1536} \equiv 9^{4824} \pmod{35}$$

Trick: Keep exponentiating until numbers start to repeat.

Suppose we repeatedly exponentiate 4:

$$\rightarrow 16$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 64 \equiv 29 (mod 35)

$$\rightarrow 116 = 35 \times 3 + 11 = 11 \pmod{35}$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 9 (mod 35)

$$\rightarrow$$
 36 \equiv 1 (mod 35)

So:
$$4^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$$
. And $1536 = 6 \times 256$. So $4^{1536} \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$

Now check whether 1536 is divisible by 4. Indeed: $1536 = 4 \times 384$

Repeat with 9. Repeated exponentiation of 9:

$$\rightarrow$$
 81 \equiv 11 (mod 35)

$$\rightarrow$$
 99 \equiv 29 (mod 35)

$$\rightarrow$$
 261 = 7 × 35 + 16 \equiv 16 (mod 35)

$$\rightarrow 144 \equiv 4 \times 35 + 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{35}$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 36 \equiv 1 (mod 35)

So: $9^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$

Now:
$$9^{4824} = 9^{804 \times 6} \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$$
.

 \therefore It is divisible by 35.

4.
$$2^{2^{2006}} \pmod{3} = ?$$

$$2^{2^{2006}} = (2^2)^{2^{2005}} = 4^{2^{2005}} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

5. Is $5^{30000} - 6^{123456}$ a multiple of 31?

31 is prime. And $5^{30000} = (5^{30})^{1000} \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$.

Compare with $6^{123456} = 6^{123450} \times 6^6$:

$$1 \times 6^6 \equiv 5^3 \equiv 125 \equiv 31 \times 4 + 1 \pmod{31} \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$$

 \therefore It is a multiple of 31.

Show that if a has a multiplicative inverse modulo N, then this inverse is unique (modulo N).

Let's assume $a \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$.

Suppose $b, c \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$ are both multiplicative inverses of a modulo N. Then:

$$ab \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$$

$$ac \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$$

$$ab \equiv ac \pmod{N}$$

$$ab \cdot b \equiv ac \cdot b \pmod{N}$$
 (1)

(1): Substitution Rule:

$$x\equiv x',y\equiv y'(mod\ N)$$

$$xy \equiv x'y' \pmod{N}$$

Then:

$$(ab) \cdot b \equiv (ab) \cdot c \pmod{N}$$
 (2)

(2): Commutativity

$$1 \cdot b \equiv 1 \cdot c \pmod{N}$$

$$b \equiv c \pmod{N}$$

$$b = c$$

Suppose $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Show that (p+1)/4 is an integer.

$$p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$
$$p = 4k + 3 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

So: p + 1 = 4k + 4, which is divisible by 4.

We say that x is a square root of y modulo a prime p if $y \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}$. Show that if (i) $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and (ii) y has a square root modulo p, then $y^{(p+1)/4}$ is such a square root.

Let x be the square root of y modulo p. Then: $y \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}$.

Write
$$p = 4k + 3$$
. Then, $\left(y^{\frac{p+1}{4}}\right)^2 = y^{2(p+1)/4} = y^{2(4k+3+1)/4} = y^{2k+2}$

Keep in mind: (p + 1)/4 = k + 1.

Try plugging in x in the last expression:

Is
$$y^{2k+2} = x^{4k+4} \equiv x^2$$
?

So, we're asking: Is $x^{4k+4} - x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$?

$$x^{4k+4} - x^2 = (x^{2k+2} - x)(x^{2k+2} + x)$$

So at least one of: $x^{2k+2} - x$ or $x^{2k+2} + x$ must be $\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

$$\bullet \quad \frac{(p+1)}{4} = \frac{(4k+3+1)}{4} = k+1$$

$$\bullet \quad 2 \cdot \frac{(p+1)}{4} = 2k + 2$$

•
$$p-1=4k+2$$

We know: There exists $x \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$ such that $y \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}$.

We seek to prove: $\left(y^{\frac{(p+1)}{4}}\right)^2 \equiv y \pmod{p}$. Sufficient condition for that to be true:

$$\left(y^{\frac{(p+1)}{4}}\right)^2 \cdot y^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \to \text{is okay, because } y \text{ is invertible modulo } p$$

$$\Rightarrow (y^{2k+2}) \cdot y^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow y^{2k+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow (x^2)^{2k+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{4k+2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow True (Fermat's little theorem)$$

Proving Recurrence 1

Suppose $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$. Prove recurrence correctness.

$$x^{y} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y = 0\\ (x^{2})^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor}, & \text{if } y \text{ is even}\\ x \cdot (x^{2})^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Case Analysis:

- 1. If y = 0, then $x^y = x^0$. So, the recurrence is correct for the case where y = 0
- 2. If $y \neq 0$, y is even: then $\lfloor y/2 \rfloor = y/2$. So $x^y = x^{2 \times y/2} = (x^2)^{y/2} = (x^2)^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor}$
- 3. If $y \neq 0$, y is odd: then |y/2| = (y 1)/2. So now:

$$x^y = x^{(2 \times (y-1)/2)+1} = x^{(2 \times [y/2])+1} = x \cdot x^{2 \times [y/2]}$$

Proving Recurrence 2

Let $\langle q, r \rangle$ be the quotient and remainder of x/y and $\langle q', r' \rangle$ be the quotient and remainder of (|x/2|)/y. Prove recurrence correctness.

$$\langle q,r \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle 0,0 \rangle, if \ x = 0 \\ \langle 2q', 2r' \rangle, if \ x \ even \ and \ 2r' < y \\ \langle 2q', 2r' + 1 \rangle, if \ x \ odd \ and \ 2r' + 1 < y \\ \langle 2q' + 1, 2r' - y \rangle, if \ x \ even \ and \ 2r' \ge y \\ \langle 2q' + 1, 2r' + 1 - y \rangle, otherwise \end{cases}$$

To be absolutely clear, what are the quotient and remainder of x/y?

We call q the quotient, and r the remainder if and only if q and r are non-negative integers that satisfy:

$$x = q \cdot y + r$$
, where $r \in \{0, 1, ..., y - 1\}$

Proof by case analysis:

- 1. If x = 0, then $x = 0 = 0 \cdot y + 0$. So, recurrence is correct for this case.
- 2. If x is even and 2r' < y: then |x/2| = x/2. So:

$$[x/2] = x/2 = q' \cdot y + r'$$
$$x = (2q') \cdot y + 2r'$$
$$q = 2q', r = 2r'$$

Where we infer the last line from the facts that: (i) equation is of the form from definition for quotient and remainder, (ii) $r' \ge 0 \rightarrow 2r' \ge 0$, and (iii) we are given $2r' \le y - 1$.

3. If x is odd and 2r' + 1 < y: |x/2| = (x - 1)/2

$$[x/2] = (x-1)/2 = q' \cdot y + r'$$
$$x - 1 = (2q') \cdot y + 2r'$$
$$x = (2q') \cdot y + (2r' + 1)$$

4. x is even, $2r' \ge y$: |x/2| = x/2. So:

$$\lfloor x/2 \rfloor = x/2 = q' \cdot y + r'$$
$$x = (2q') \cdot y + 2r'$$

This is of the form of the definition of quotient and remainder, except that we need to confirm that 2r' indeed lies between 0 and y-1. Which it does not necessarily. Actually, we are given that $2r' \ge y$ and therefore not between 0 and y-1. Now we observe:

$$x = (2q') \cdot y + 2r'$$
$$x = (2q' + 1) \cdot y + (2r' - y)$$

Now only question that remains: is it the case that $2r' - y \in \{0, 1, ..., y - 1\}$?

- Is $2r' y \ge 0$? Yes, because $2r' \ge y$
- Is $2r' y \le y 1$? Yes, because:

$$r' \le y - 1$$
$$2r' \le 2y - 2$$
$$2r' - y \le y - 2 \le y - 1$$

5. $x \text{ odd}, 2r' + 1 \ge y$:

$$[x/2] = (x-1)/2 = q' \cdot y + r'$$
$$x = (2q') \cdot y + (2r'+1)$$
$$x = (2q'+1) \cdot y + (2r'+1-y)$$

Now:

- $2r' + 1 y \ge 0$ because $2r' + 1 \ge y$.
- $2r' + 1 y \le y 1$ because:

$$r' \le y - 1$$
$$2r' + 1 \le 2y - 1$$
$$2r' + 1 - y \le y - 1$$

Proving Recurrence 3

Prove that *BinSearch* is correct.

BinSearch(A[1,...,n],lo,hi,i)

- 1. *if* $lo \le hi$ *then*
- 2. $mid \leftarrow \lfloor (lo + hi)/2 \rfloor$
- 3. **if** A[mid] = i **then return** true
- 4. **if** A[mid] < i **then return** BinSearch(A, mid + 1, hi, i)
- 5. $else\ return\ BinSearch(A, lo, mid-1, i)$
- 6. **else return** false

Above is recursive version of binary search. Iterative version:

BinSearch(A[1,...,n],lo,hi,i)

- 1. while $lo \le hi do$
- 2. $mid \leftarrow |(lo + hi)/2|$
- 3. if A[mid] = i then return true
- 4. **if** A[mid] < i **then** $lo \leftarrow mid + 1$

- 5. *else* $hi \leftarrow mid 1$
- 6. else return false

Typically, for iterative algorithms, towards correctness, we articulate a *loop invariant*:

Let $lo^{(in)}$ and $hi^{(in)}$ be the values of lo and hi respectively on input. Just before we successfully enter an iteration of the **while** loop of Line (1), it is true that:

$$i \in A[lo^{(in)}, ..., hi^{(in)}] \rightarrow i \in A[lo, ..., hi]$$

Going back to the recursive version, what is a correctness property?

Given A[1, ..., n] an array that is sorted, non-decreasing, lo, hi are each $\epsilon \{1, ..., n\}$ on input, BinSearch(A, lo, hi, i) returns:

- True \rightarrow (lo \leq hi) and (i \in A[lo, ..., hi])
- False \rightarrow either (lo > hi) or (i is not \in A[lo,...,hi])

Proof by case analysis:

Case 1: lo > hi on input: then if condition of Line (1) evaluates to **false**, and we correctly return **false** in Line (6). Then, this is either from (a) Line (6) without making any recursive calls, or (b) as the return value from a recursive call from one of Lines (4) or (5).

For (b), we first observe that $lo \le hi$ because the only recursive calls are within the if block of Line (1). So, all that remains to be proven is that indeed: $i \notin A[lo, ..., hi]$.

We prove that by induction on hi - lo + 1. Base case: hi - lo + 1 = 1. We claim we return false within the first recursive invocation. That is, we claim: (i) mid + 1 > hi and lo > mid - 1, (ii) mid = lo = hi, and (iii) $i \neq A[mid]$.

(ii) easy to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} hi - lo + 1 &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow lo &= hi \\ \Rightarrow mid &= \left\lfloor \frac{(lo + hi)}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(lo + lo)}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(2 \cdot lo)}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{2 \cdot lo}{2} = lo = hi \end{aligned}$$

(iii) is **true**, because then we would have returned **true** in Line (3).

To prove (i): we simply exploit: mid = hi = lo

$$mid = hi \Rightarrow mid + 1 > hi$$

$$mid = lo \Rightarrow mid - 1 < lo$$

So, the algorithm is correct if it returns false, and hi - lo + 1 = 1.

For the step, we know that on input lo < hi. So, we returned **false** in some recursive call. So, all we have to prove to appeal to induction assumption: hi - (mid + 1) < hi - lo and (mid - 1) - lo < hi - lo.

Proving Master Theorem

Give a closed form solution for the following recurrence. Assume: $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is non-decreasing, $a > 0, b > 1, d \ge 0$.

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1), ifn \le 1\\ a \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta(n^d), otherwise \end{cases}$$

Proposed approach: Inductive "rewriting" of the function f. But first: adopt concrete functions wherever we have $\Theta(\cdot)$, $O(\cdot)$ or $\Omega(\cdot)$. In this case: adopt 1 for $\Theta(1)$, and n^d for $\Theta(n^d)$. Now onto the rewriting:

$$f(n) = a \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + n^{d}$$

$$= a \cdot \left(a \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b^{2}}\right) + \left(\frac{n}{b}\right)^{d}\right) + n^{d}$$

$$= a^{2} \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b^{2}}\right) + a \cdot \left(\frac{n}{b}\right)^{d} + n^{d}$$

$$= a^{2} \left(a \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b^{3}}\right) + \left(\frac{n}{b^{2}}\right)^{d}\right) + a \cdot \left(\frac{n}{b}\right)^{d} + n^{d}$$

$$= a^{3} \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b^{3}}\right) + a^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{b^{2}}\right)^{d} + a \cdot \left(\frac{n}{b}\right)^{d} + n^{d}$$

$$= a^{3} \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b^{3}}\right) + n^{d} \left(\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{1} + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{0}\right)$$

$$= a^{4} \cdot f\left(\frac{n}{b^{4}}\right) + n^{d} \left(\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{3} + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{1} + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{0}\right)$$
...
$$= a^{\log_{b} n} \cdot f(1) + n^{d} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{(\log_{b} n) - 1} + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{(\log_{b} n) - 2} + \dots + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{0}\right)$$

$$= a^{\log_{b} n} + n^{d} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{(\log_{b} n) - 1} + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{(\log_{b} n) - 2} + \dots + \left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{0}\right)$$

To figure out the power of a in that last term:

Power of a is the same as the power of b inside the $f\left(\frac{n}{b^x}\right)$. In other words, we're asking: what is the power of b, i.e., x for which $\frac{n}{b^x} = 1$? Answer: $\frac{n}{b^x} = 1 \iff n = b^x \iff x = \log_b n$.

Our next step: Simplify/figure out:

$$S = \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^{(\log_b n) - 1} + \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^{(\log_b n) - 2} + \dots + \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^0$$

Suppose:

$$T = r^{q-1} + r^{q-2} + \dots + r^0$$

$$\Rightarrow r \cdot T = r^q + r^{q-1} + \dots + r$$

Now subtract one from the other:

$$\Rightarrow T - r \cdot T = r^{0} - r^{q}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - r) \cdot T = 1 - r^{q}$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{1 - r^{q}}{1 - r}, provided \ r \neq 1$$

When r = 1, how do we figure out what T is? Answer: then, T is:

$$T = 1^{q-1} + 1^{q-2} + \dots + 1^{0}$$

$$= 1 + 1 + \dots + 1 \rightarrow q \text{ instances of } 1$$

$$= q$$

So, going back to our *S*:

$$S = \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^{(\log_b n) - 1} + \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^{(\log_b n) - 2} + \dots + \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^0$$

$$\Rightarrow S = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^{\log_b n}}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)}, provided \frac{a}{b^d} \neq 1$$

And:

$$S = \log_b n$$
, when $\frac{a}{h^d} = 1$

When is $\frac{a}{b^d} = 1$? Answer: $d = \log_b a$.

So, going back to our f(n): first, the case that $d = \log_b a$.

But even before that: rewrite $a^{\log_b n} = n^{\log_b a}$. Because:

$$x = a^{\log_b n} \Leftrightarrow \log_b x = \log_b a \cdot \log_b n \Leftrightarrow x = n^{\log_b a}$$

$$f(n) = n^{\log_b a} + n^d \cdot S$$

So, when $d = \log_b a$, $S = \log_b n$. So, in this case:

$$f(n) = n^d + n^d \cdot \log_b n$$
$$= \Theta(n^d \cdot \log n)$$

Onto the other two cases: $d \neq \log_b a$.

$$f(n) = n^{\log_b a} + \dots + n^d \cdot S$$

Before we continue: a closer look at $\left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^{\log_b n}$:

$$\left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)^{\log_b n} = \frac{a^{\log_b n}}{(b^d)^{\log_b n}}$$

$$= \frac{n^{\log_b a}}{(b^{\log_b n})^d}$$

$$= \frac{n^{\log_b a}}{n^d}$$

So: when $d \neq \log_b a$

$$S = \frac{1 - \frac{n^{\log_b a}}{n^d}}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)}$$

So, going back to f(n):

$$f(n) = n^{\log_b a} + n^d \cdot S$$

$$= n^{\log_b a} + \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{a}{b^d}\right)} \cdot \left(n^d - n^{\log_b a}\right)$$

$$= c \cdot n^{\log_b a} + c' \cdot n^d, for positive constants c, c'$$

So, if $d > \log_b a$: $f(n) = \Theta(n^d)$

And if $d < \log_b a$: $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$