

# Kernel Density Estimation - Derivations & Proofs

Paul F. Roysdon, Ph.D.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Mathematical Derivations &amp; Proofs</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2	Data and Notation . . . . .	1
1.3	Model Formulation (Convolution View) . . . . .	2
1.4	Pointwise Bias and Variance (Univariate First, $d = 1$ ) . . . . .	2
1.5	MISE and AMISE; Optimal Bandwidth (Univariate) . . . . .	3
1.6	Bandwidth Selection by Cross-Validation (Univariate) . . . . .	3
1.7	Multivariate KDE ( $d \geq 1$ ) . . . . .	3
1.8	Choice of Kernel and Efficiency . . . . .	4
1.9	Boundary Bias and Remedies (Univariate on $[a, b]$ ) . . . . .	4
1.10	Algorithm (Evaluation and Bandwidth Search) . . . . .	4
1.11	Connections and Properties . . . . .	4
1.12	Summary of Variables and Their Dimensions . . . . .	5
1.13	Summary . . . . .	5

## 1 Mathematical Derivations & Proofs

### 1.1 Introduction

Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) is a nonparametric method to estimate an unknown probability density  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  from i.i.d. samples. From first principles, KDE is the convolution of the empirical measure with a *smoothing kernel*, yielding a continuous density estimator that trades bias and variance through a *bandwidth* parameter. We derive the estimator, prove normalization, compute its bias/variance, obtain optimal (asymptotic) bandwidths via MISE/AMISE analysis, and give multivariate and algorithmic formulations.

### 1.2 Data and Notation

Let the data be i.i.d.

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \quad \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with true density  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Write the empirical measure

$$\hat{P}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}.$$

A *kernel* is a nonnegative function  $K : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{u} K(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 K(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} = \mu_2(K) < \infty. \quad (1)$$

The *bandwidth*  $h > 0$  controls smoothing. Define the scaled kernel

$$K_h(\mathbf{u}) = h^{-d} K(\mathbf{u}/h).$$

### 1.3 Model Formulation (Convolution View)

The KDE at  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the empirical convolution with  $K_h$ :

$$\hat{f}_h(\mathbf{x}) = (K_h * \hat{P}_n)(\mathbf{x}) = \int K_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) d\hat{P}_n(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{nh^d} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right). \quad (2)$$

**Normalization (proof).** Using Eqn. (2) and  $\int K_h = 1$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}_h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int K_h(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = 1.$$

Thus  $\hat{f}_h$  is a valid density. ■

### 1.4 Pointwise Bias and Variance (Univariate First, $d = 1$ )

Assume  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable and  $K$  satisfies Eqn. (1).

**Bias.** By the change of variables  $u = (x - \xi)/h$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_h(x) = \int K_h(x - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi = \int K(u) f(x - hu) du.$$

A Taylor expansion of  $f(x - hu)$  at  $x$  gives

$$f(x - hu) = f(x) - huf'(x) + \frac{h^2 u^2}{2} f''(x) + o(h^2).$$

Using  $\int K = 1$  and  $\int uK(u) du = 0$ ,

$$\text{Bias}[\hat{f}_h(x)] = \mathbb{E} \hat{f}_h(x) - f(x) = \frac{h^2 \mu_2(K)}{2} f''(x) + o(h^2), \quad \mu_2(K) = \int u^2 K(u) du. \quad (3)$$

**Variance.** Since the summands are i.i.d.,

$$\text{Var}[\hat{f}_h(x)] = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(K_h(x - X)) = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbb{E} K_h^2(x - X) - (\mathbb{E} K_h(x - X))^2).$$

Compute  $\mathbb{E} K_h^2(x - X) = \int K_h^2(x - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{h} \int K^2(u) f(x - hu) du = \frac{R(K)}{h} f(x) + o(h^{-1})$ , where

$$R(K) = \int K^2(u) du.$$

Hence

$$\text{Var}[\hat{f}_h(x)] = \frac{f(x) R(K)}{n h} + o\left(\frac{1}{nh}\right). \quad (4)$$

**Asymptotics and consistency.** If  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $nh \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the bias  $\rightarrow 0$  by Eqn. (3) and the variance  $\rightarrow 0$  by Eqn. (4), giving pointwise consistency. Moreover,

$$\sqrt{nh} (\hat{f}_h(x) - \mathbb{E} \hat{f}_h(x)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, f(x) R(K)).$$

## 1.5 MISE and AMISE; Optimal Bandwidth (Univariate)

Define the Mean Integrated Squared Error

$$\text{MISE}(h) = \mathbb{E} \int (\hat{f}_h(x) - f(x))^2 dx = \int \text{Bias}^2(x) dx + \int \text{Var}(x) dx.$$

Using Eqns. (3)–(4) and neglecting higher-order terms,

$$\text{AMISE}(h) = \frac{R(K)}{nh} + \frac{h^4 \mu_2(K)^2}{4} R(f''), \quad R(g) = \int g(x)^2 dx.$$

Minimizing  $\text{AMISE}(h)$  over  $h > 0$  yields

$$h_{\text{AMISE}}^* = \left( \frac{R(K)}{\mu_2(K)^2 R(f'')} \right)^{1/5} n^{-1/5}. \quad (5)$$

In practice  $R(f'')$  is unknown; *plug-in* or *rules of thumb* approximate it. For Gaussian  $K$  and approximately normal data with standard deviation  $\hat{\sigma}$ , Silverman's rule takes

$$h_{\text{Silv}} = 0.9 \min(\hat{\sigma}, \frac{\text{IQR}}{1.34}) n^{-1/5}. \quad (6)$$

## 1.6 Bandwidth Selection by Cross-Validation (Univariate)

Two classical data-driven criteria are:

**Least-Squares Cross-Validation (LSCV).** Minimize

$$\text{LSCV}(h) = \int \hat{f}_h(x)^2 dx - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{f}_{-i,h}(x_i),$$

where  $\hat{f}_{-i,h}$  is the leave-one-out estimator. Using convolution identities,

$$\int \hat{f}_h^2 = \frac{1}{n^2 h} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (K * K) \left( \frac{x_i - x_j}{h} \right), \quad \hat{f}_{-i,h}(x_i) = \frac{1}{(n-1)h} \sum_{j \neq i} K \left( \frac{x_i - x_j}{h} \right).$$

**Biased Cross-Validated Log-Likelihood.** Maximize

$$\text{CVLL}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \hat{f}_{-i,h}(x_i),$$

which targets predictive fit; numerically it is robust for unimodal densities.

## 1.7 Multivariate KDE ( $d \geq 1$ )

Let a positive-definite bandwidth matrix  $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and define

$$K_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{u}) = |\mathbf{H}|^{-1/2} K(\mathbf{H}^{-1/2} \mathbf{u}), \quad \hat{f}_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i).$$

Common choices: (i) *isotropic*  $\mathbf{H} = h^2 \mathbf{I}_d$ ; (ii) *diagonal*  $\mathbf{H} = \text{diag}(h_1^2, \dots, h_d^2)$ ; (iii) full  $\mathbf{H}$ .

**Bias/variance (isotropic).** Under smoothness (with Laplacian  $\Delta f$ ),

$$\text{Bias}[\hat{f}_h(\mathbf{x})] \approx \frac{h^2 \mu_2(K)}{2} \Delta f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{Var}[\hat{f}_h(\mathbf{x})] \approx \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) R(K)}{n h^d}. \quad (7)$$

Therefore

$$\text{AMISE}(h) \approx \frac{R(K)}{n h^d} + \frac{h^4 \mu_2(K)^2}{4} R(\Delta f),$$

whose minimizer scales as

$$h_{\text{AMISE}}^* \propto n^{-1/(d+4)}. \quad (8)$$

Consistency requires  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $n h^d \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 1.8 Choice of Kernel and Efficiency

For fixed bandwidth and under AMISE, kernels differ only through  $R(K)$  and  $\mu_2(K)$ . Among second-order kernels, the Epanechnikov kernel minimizes AMISE:

$$K_{\text{Epa}}(u) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - u^2)\mathbf{1}\{|u| \leq 1\}.$$

In practice, the bandwidth dominates performance; Gaussian kernels are popular for their smoothness and FFT-friendly convolution on grids.

## 1.9 Boundary Bias and Remedies (Univariate on $[a, b]$ )

When support is bounded, naive KDE underestimates near boundaries because mass leaks outside. Remedies include: (i) *reflection*: augment data with reflected points; (ii) *boundary kernels* that reweight/truncate  $K$  near edges; (iii) *transforms* (e.g., log or logit), estimate in transformed space, and back-transform with Jacobian.

## 1.10 Algorithm (Evaluation and Bandwidth Search)

1. **Input:**  $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , kernel  $K$ , bandwidth (scalar  $h$  or matrix  $\mathbf{H}$ ), query points  $\{\mathbf{x}^{(q)}\}_{q=1}^Q$ .
2. **Evaluate KDE:** For each  $q$ , compute

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(q)}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{H}|^{-1/2} K(\mathbf{H}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}^{(q)} - \mathbf{x}_i)).$$

3. **Select bandwidth (optional):** Optimize  $h$  (or  $\mathbf{H}$ ) via AMISE plug-in Eqn. (5), rule Eqn. (6), LSCV, or CVLL over a search grid.

**Complexity.** Direct evaluation costs  $O(nQd)$ . For large  $n, Q$ , use tree-based pruning for compact-support kernels, FFT on grids for Gaussian kernels, or fast Gauss transforms.

## 1.11 Connections and Properties

- **Smoother of empirical measure.**  $\hat{f}_h = K_h * \hat{P}_n$  is a linear smoother; as  $h \downarrow 0$ ,  $\hat{f}_h$  approaches the empirical spikes; as  $h \uparrow \infty$ , it flattens.
- **Moment preservation.** With symmetric  $K$  of unit mass,  $\int \mathbf{x} \hat{f}_h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i$  (sample mean).
- **CDF estimator.** The integrated KDE yields a smoothed empirical CDF:  

$$\hat{F}_h(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \int_{-\infty}^{(x-x_i)/h} K(u) du.$$

### 1.12 Summary of Variables and Their Dimensions

- $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ :  $i$ th observation;  $n$ : sample size;  $d$ : dimension.
- $K : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ : kernel with unit mass, zero mean, finite second moment  $\mu_2(K)$ ;  $R(K) = \int K^2$ .
- $h > 0$  or  $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ : bandwidth (scalar or matrix).
- $\hat{f}_h(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{nh^d} \sum_i K((\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i)/h)$ : KDE (scalar density value).
- Bias/variance (isotropic): Bias  $\approx \frac{h^2 \mu_2(K)}{2} \Delta f$ , Var  $\approx \frac{f R(K)}{nh^d}$ .
- AMISE:  $\text{AMISE}(h) \approx \frac{R(K)}{nh^d} + \frac{h^4 \mu_2(K)^2}{4} R(\Delta f)$ ; optimal  $h^* \propto n^{-1/(d+4)}$ .

### 1.13 Summary

From first principles, KDE arises by convolving the empirical measure with a scaled kernel  $K_h$ , yielding a bona fide density estimator with unit integral. A second-order Taylor analysis delivers explicit bias and variance, exposing the bandwidth-driven bias–variance trade-off and leading to AMISE-optimal rates  $h^* \propto n^{-1/(d+4)}$ . In higher dimensions, isotropic or full bandwidth matrices control anisotropic smoothing, with consistency guaranteed when  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $nh^d \rightarrow \infty$ . Practical performance hinges far more on bandwidth choice than on the specific (reasonable) kernel.