

# Random Forest - Derivations & Proofs

Paul F. Roysdon, Ph.D.

## Contents

<b>1 Mathematical Derivations &amp; Proofs</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2 Data and Notation . . . . .	1
1.3 Model Formulation: Decision Trees as Base Learners . . . . .	1
1.4 Ensemble Construction: Bagging + Random Subspaces . . . . .	2
1.5 Prediction Aggregation . . . . .	2
1.6 Why Forests Generalize: Variance & Correlation . . . . .	2
1.7 Feature Importance . . . . .	3
1.8 Algorithm (Random Forest: TDIDT + Randomization) . . . . .	3
1.9 Summary of Variables and Their Dimensions . . . . .	3

## 1 Mathematical Derivations & Proofs

### 1.1 Introduction

Random Forests are ensembles of decision trees trained on randomized views of the data and feature space. Two sources of randomness—(i) *bootstrapping* the training set for each tree and (ii) *feature subsampling* at each split—decorrelate the base learners. Averaging (regression) or majority vote (classification) then reduces variance and improves robustness. We derive the node-splitting criteria (impurity decreases), the ensemble construction via bagging and random subspaces, aggregation rules, and variance/correlation effects, and we specify all variables with dimensions.

### 1.2 Data and Notation

Let the training set be

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n, \quad \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ (column),}$$
$$y_i \in \begin{cases} \{1, 2, \dots, K\} & \text{(classification),} \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{(regression).} \end{cases}$$

A Random Forest contains  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  trees. At any node  $t$  of a tree,  $n_t$  denotes the number of samples reaching  $t$ , and  $p_{k,t}$  the empirical class proportion (classification).

**Dimensions:**  $n, d, K, T \in \mathbb{N}$  (scalars);  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (dimension  $d \times 1$ );  $y_i$  scalar.

### 1.3 Model Formulation: Decision Trees as Base Learners

A decision tree recursively partitions  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into disjoint leaf regions  $\{R_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^L$  and predicts a constant per leaf:

$$f_{\text{tree}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L c_\ell \mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{x} \in R_\ell\}, \quad \begin{cases} c_\ell = \arg \max_k p_{k,\ell} & \text{(classification),} \\ c_\ell = \frac{1}{|R_\ell|} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in R_\ell} y_i & \text{(regression).} \end{cases}$$

**Impurity measures and split selection.** At node  $t$  with children  $t_L, t_R$  and sizes  $n_L, n_R$  ( $n_L + n_R = n_t$ ), define:

$$\text{Gini: } I_G(t) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^K p_{k,t}^2, \quad \text{Entropy: } H(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^K p_{k,t} \log p_{k,t},$$

$$\text{Variance (regression): } V(t) = \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i \in t} (y_i - \bar{y}_t)^2, \quad \bar{y}_t = \frac{1}{n_t} \sum_{i \in t} y_i.$$

If a node  $t$  is split into two child nodes  $t_L$  (left) and  $t_R$  (right) with  $n_L$  and  $n_R$  samples respectively, the impurity decrease for a candidate split is

$$\Delta \text{Imp} = \text{Imp}(t) - \frac{n_L}{n_t} \text{Imp}(t_L) - \frac{n_R}{n_t} \text{Imp}(t_R),$$

with  $\text{Imp} \in \{I_G, H, V\}$ . Choose the split maximizing  $\Delta \text{Imp}$ ; stop by a criterion (e.g., min leaf size or max depth).

**Properties:**  $p_{k,t} \in [0, 1]$  dimensionless;  $I_G, H, V$  are scalars;  $I_G = H = V = 0$  on pure/constant leaves.

## 1.4 Ensemble Construction: Bagging + Random Subspaces

For each tree  $t = 1, \dots, T$ :

(i) **Bootstrapping (bagging).** Draw a bootstrap sample  $\mathcal{D}^{(t)} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i^{(t)}, y_i^{(t)})\}_{i=1}^n$  by sampling *with replacement* from  $\mathcal{D}$ , where each  $\mathbf{x}_i^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_i^{(t)} \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ .

(ii) **Random feature selection at each split.** At each node, sample a feature subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  with  $|\mathcal{F}| = m$  (e.g.,  $m = \sqrt{d}$  for classification,  $m = d/3$  for regression) and evaluate  $\Delta \text{Imp}$  only for features in  $\mathcal{F}$ . This reduces inter-tree correlation while keeping trees deep (low bias).

(iii) **Leaf prediction.** Assign  $c_\ell$  as majority class (classification) or mean response (regression) within each leaf region.

## 1.5 Prediction Aggregation

Given trained trees  $\{h^{(t)}(\cdot)\}_{t=1}^T$ :

**Classification.** Per-tree label  $h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}) \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ ; forest posterior and decision

$$\hat{p}(Y = k \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{1}\{h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}) = k\}, \quad \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \arg \max_k \hat{p}(Y = k \mid \mathbf{x}).$$

**Regression.** Per-tree real output  $h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ ; forest prediction and dispersion

$$\hat{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \widehat{\text{Var}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}) - \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}))^2.$$

## 1.6 Why Forests Generalize: Variance & Correlation

Let  $f_t(\mathbf{x})$  be the prediction of tree  $t$  in regression at a fixed  $\mathbf{x}$ , with  $\text{Var}(f_t) = \sigma^2$  and pairwise correlation  $\rho$  across trees. Then the ensemble average satisfies

$$\text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t\right] = \rho \sigma^2 + \frac{1-\rho}{T} \sigma^2.$$

Thus reducing inter-tree correlation  $\rho$  (via bootstrapping and feature subsampling) can be as important as increasing  $T$ .

**Out-of-bag (OOB) facts.** For each index  $i$ ,  $\Pr((\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \notin \mathcal{D}^{(t)}) = (1 - \frac{1}{n})^n \approx e^{-1} \approx 0.368$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_i = \{t : i \text{ is OOB for tree } t\}$ . Define the OOB prediction

$$\hat{y}_i^{\text{OOB}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{B}_i} h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}_i), & \text{regression,} \\ \arg \max_k \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_i|} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{B}_i} \mathbf{1}\{h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}_i) = k\}, & \text{classification,} \end{cases}$$

and OOB error  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(\hat{y}_i^{\text{OOB}}, y_i)$ , which estimates generalization error without a held-out set.

## 1.7 Feature Importance

**Impurity-based (in-bag).** Sum impurity reductions attributable to feature  $j$  across all splits where  $j$  is used, weighting by node sample fraction.

**Permutation (OOB-based).** For each tree, permute feature  $j$  among its OOB samples, recompute OOB error, and take the increase as  $\text{Imp}_j^{\text{perm}}$ . Larger increases imply greater predictive contribution.

## 1.8 Algorithm (Random Forest: TDIDT + Randomization)

1. **Input:**  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ ; number of trees  $T$ ; features per split  $m$ ; stopping criteria (max depth, min samples per leaf).
2. **For**  $t = 1$  to  $T$ :
  - (a) Draw bootstrap sample  $\mathcal{D}^{(t)}$  of size  $n$  (with replacement).
  - (b) Grow a tree on  $\mathcal{D}^{(t)}$ :
    - i. At each node, sample  $\mathcal{F} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  with  $|\mathcal{F}| = m$ .
    - ii. For candidates using features in  $\mathcal{F}$ , compute  $\Delta \text{Imp}$  and split on the maximizer.
    - iii. Recurse until stopping; set leaf  $c_\ell$  as majority class (classification) or leaf mean (regression).
3. **Predict:** Aggregate per-tree predictions by majority vote (classification) or averaging (regression).
4. **(Optional) OOB:** Compute OOB predictions/errors and permutation importances.

## 1.9 Summary of Variables and Their Dimensions

- $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ :  $i$ th input (dimension  $d \times 1$ );  $y_i \in \{1, \dots, K\}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $n$ : number of training samples;  $d$ : number of features;  $K$ : number of classes;  $T$ : number of trees.
- At node  $t$ :  $n_t$  samples; class proportions  $p_{k,t} \in [0, 1]$ .
- Impurities:  $I_G(t)$  (Gini),  $H(t)$  (entropy),  $V(t)$  (variance); all scalars.
- Feature subset per split:  $\mathcal{F} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  with  $|\mathcal{F}| = m$ .
- Tree leaves: regions  $R_\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , predictions  $c_\ell \in \{1, \dots, K\}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- Ensemble predictions:  $h^{(t)}(\mathbf{x})$  per tree; forest  $\hat{y}(\mathbf{x})$  by vote/average.

## 1.10 Summary

From first principles and impurity-based derivations: (i) grow deep decision trees by maximizing impurity reduction (Gini/entropy for classification, variance for regression); (ii) bag the training set and (iii) restrict candidate features at each split to decorrelate trees; (iv) aggregate by voting/averaging. Variance analysis shows that averaging reduces noise while feature subsampling lowers inter-tree correlation, jointly driving strong generalization. All variables above are defined with their dimensions for clarity and implementation.