

Naive Bayes - Derivations & Proofs

Paul F. Roysdon, Ph.D.

Contents

1 Mathematical Derivations & Proofs	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Data and Notation	1
1.3 Naive Bayes Classification Model	1
1.4 Compact Formulation	2
1.5 Parameter Estimation via (Regularized) Maximum Likelihood	3
1.6 Common Likelihood Models	3
1.7 Algorithm (Naive Bayes Classifier)	4
1.8 Variables and Dimensions	4
1.9 Summary	4

1 Mathematical Derivations & Proofs

1.1 Introduction

Naive Bayes (NB) is a generative family of classifiers derived from Bayes' theorem under the *naive* assumption that features are conditionally independent given the class. Despite this strong assumption, NB performs well in high-dimensional settings and admits simple, closed-form parameter estimates.

1.2 Data and Notation

Let

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n, \quad \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}.$$

We write $\pi_k \triangleq \Pr(Y = k)$ for class priors, $p(\mathbf{x} | Y = k)$ for class-conditional densities, and x_{ij} for the j -th component of \mathbf{x}_i .

1.3 Naive Bayes Classification Model

The goal is to compute the posterior probability of a class c given a new observation $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By Bayes' theorem:

$$P(Y = c | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{P(Y = c) P(\mathbf{x} | Y = c)}{P(\mathbf{x})}.$$

Since $P(\mathbf{x})$ is the same for all classes, for classification we can use the proportionality:

$$P(Y = c | \mathbf{x}) \propto P(Y = c) P(\mathbf{x} | Y = c).$$

Naive (Conditional Independence) Assumption

The *naive* assumption is that the features are conditionally independent given the class label Y . That is, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$P(\mathbf{x} | Y = c) = \prod_{j=1}^d P(x_j | Y = c).$$

Here, x_j is the j th feature of \mathbf{x} (a scalar), and $P(x_j | Y = c)$ is the likelihood of feature j given class c . Thus, the posterior becomes:

$$P(Y = c | \mathbf{x}) \propto P(Y = c) \prod_{j=1}^d P(x_j | Y = c).$$

For classification, the decision rule is to choose the class \hat{c} that maximizes this posterior:

$$\hat{c} = \arg \max_{c \in \{1, \dots, K\}} P(Y = c) \prod_{j=1}^d P(x_j | Y = c).$$

Logarithmic Transformation

Because the product of many probabilities can be very small and to turn the product into a sum (which is often easier to work with), we take the logarithm:

$$\log P(Y = c | \mathbf{x}) \propto \log P(Y = c) + \sum_{j=1}^d \log P(x_j | Y = c).$$

Thus, the decision rule can be equivalently written as:

$$\hat{c} = \arg \max_{c \in \{1, \dots, K\}} \left\{ \log P(Y = c) + \sum_{j=1}^d \log P(x_j | Y = c) \right\}.$$

1.4 Compact Formulation

The Bayes posterior is

$$\Pr(Y = k | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\pi_k p(\mathbf{x} | Y = k)}{\sum_{t=1}^K \pi_t p(\mathbf{x} | Y = t)}. \quad (1)$$

NB assumes conditional independence across features given Y :

$$p(\mathbf{x} | Y = k) = \prod_{j=1}^d p_j(x_j | Y = k). \quad (2)$$

For classification, constants in the denominator cancel, yielding the *log-score*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{score}_k(\mathbf{x}) &= \log \pi_k + \sum_{j=1}^d \log p_j(x_j | Y = k), \\ \hat{y}(\mathbf{x}) &= \arg \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, K\}} \text{score}_k(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Computing in log-space avoids numerical underflow from long products.

1.5 Parameter Estimation via (Regularized) Maximum Likelihood

Given i.i.d. data and Eqn. (2), the complete-data log-likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\pi_k\}, \{p_j(\cdot | k)\}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \pi_{y_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d \log p_j(x_{ij} | y_i). \quad (4)$$

The MLE prior is $\hat{\pi}_k = \frac{n_k}{n}$ with $n_k = \sum_i \mathbf{1}\{y_i = k\}$. Estimates for $p_j(\cdot | k)$ depend on the chosen likelihood model per feature.

1.6 Common Likelihood Models

Bernoulli Naive Bayes (binary features). Assume $x_j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $X_j | Y = k \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta_{jk})$:

$$p_j(x_j | k) = \theta_{jk}^{x_j} (1 - \theta_{jk})^{1-x_j}.$$

Let $m_{jk} = \sum_{i: y_i = k} x_{ij}$ and $n_k = |\{i : y_i = k\}|$. Then

$$\hat{\theta}_{jk}^{\text{MLE}} = \frac{m_{jk}}{n_k}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{jk}^{\text{MAP}} = \frac{m_{jk} + a - 1}{n_k + a + b - 2} \quad \text{for } \theta_{jk} \sim \text{Beta}(a, b).$$

The class score is

$$\text{score}_k(\mathbf{x}) = \log \hat{\pi}_k + \sum_{j=1}^d \left[x_j \log \hat{\theta}_{jk} + (1 - x_j) \log(1 - \hat{\theta}_{jk}) \right]. \quad (5)$$

Multinomial Naive Bayes (bag-of-words counts). Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_V)$ be counts over a vocabulary of size V with length $N = \sum_{v=1}^V x_v$. Conditional on $Y = k$, words are i.i.d. from $\phi_{\cdot|k}$:

$$p(\mathbf{x} | k) = \frac{N!}{\prod_{v=1}^V x_v!} \prod_{v=1}^V \phi_{v|k}^{x_v}, \quad \sum_{v=1}^V \phi_{v|k} = 1.$$

Let n_{vk} be the total count of word v across all training documents with $y = k$ and $N_k = \sum_v n_{vk}$. Then

$$\hat{\phi}_{v|k}^{\text{MLE}} = \frac{n_{vk}}{N_k}, \quad \hat{\phi}_{v|k}^{\text{MAP}} = \frac{n_{vk} + \alpha}{N_k + \alpha V} \quad \text{for } \phi_{\cdot|k} \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha).$$

Since the multinomial coefficient does not depend on k ,

$$\text{score}_k(\mathbf{x}) = \log \hat{\pi}_k + \sum_{v=1}^V x_v \log \hat{\phi}_{v|k}. \quad (6)$$

Gaussian Naive Bayes (continuous features). Assume $X_j | Y = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{jk}, \sigma_{jk}^2)$ and independence across j :

$$p_j(x_j | k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{jk}^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_j - \mu_{jk})^2}{2\sigma_{jk}^2}\right).$$

With $\mathcal{I}_k = \{i : y_i = k\}$ and $n_k = |\mathcal{I}_k|$,

$$\hat{\mu}_{jk} = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_k} x_{ij}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{jk}^2 = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_k} (x_{ij} - \hat{\mu}_{jk})^2.$$

The class score becomes

$$\text{score}_k(\mathbf{x}) = \log \hat{\pi}_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \left[\log (2\pi \hat{\sigma}_{jk}^2) + \frac{(x_j - \hat{\mu}_{jk})^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{jk}^2} \right]. \quad (7)$$

Decision boundaries. Between k and ℓ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{k\ell}(\mathbf{x}) &= \text{score}_k(\mathbf{x}) - \text{score}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \log \frac{\hat{\pi}_k}{\hat{\pi}_\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \left[\log \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{jk}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{j\ell}^2} + \frac{(x_j - \hat{\mu}_{jk})^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{jk}^2} - \frac{(x_j - \hat{\mu}_{j\ell})^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{j\ell}^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\hat{\sigma}_{jk}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_{j\ell}^2$ for all j , $\Delta_{k\ell}$ is linear in \mathbf{x} ; otherwise it is axis-aligned quadratic.

1.7 Algorithm (Naive Bayes Classifier)

1. **Estimate priors:** $\hat{\pi}_k = n_k/n$ (or user-specified).
2. **Estimate class-conditionals:**
 - Bernoulli: $\hat{\theta}_{jk}$ (optionally MAP with Beta smoothing).
 - Multinomial: $\hat{\phi}_{v|k}$ (optionally MAP with add- α).
 - Gaussian: $\hat{\mu}_{jk}, \hat{\sigma}_{jk}^2$ (optionally with conjugate priors).
3. **Predict:** For a query \mathbf{x} , compute $\text{score}_k(\mathbf{x})$ from Eqn. (5), Eqn. (6), or Eqn. (7) and output $\hat{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \arg \max_k \text{score}_k(\mathbf{x})$.

1.8 Variables and Dimensions

- $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$: feature vector; $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ its j -th component.
- $y_i \in \{1, \dots, K\}$: class label; n samples; d features; K classes.
- $\pi_k \in [0, 1]$: class prior; $\sum_k \pi_k = 1$.
- Bernoulli: $\theta_{jk} \in (0, 1)$; counts m_{jk}, n_k .
- Multinomial: vocabulary size V ; parameters $\phi_{v|k} \in (0, 1)$ with $\sum_v \phi_{v|k} = 1$; counts n_{vk} , totals N_k .
- Gaussian: per-feature means $\mu_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$ and variances $\sigma_{jk}^2 > 0$; optionally collect $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k = (\mu_{1k}, \dots, \mu_{dk})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and diagonal covariance $\text{diag}(\sigma_{1k}^2, \dots, \sigma_{dk}^2)$.

1.9 Summary

From first principles: apply Bayes rule and impose conditional independence Eqn. (2), yielding the additive log-score Eqn. (3). Fit priors and per-feature class-conditionals by (regularized) maximum likelihood with simple closed forms for Bernoulli, Multinomial, and Gaussian models. Prediction amounts to maximizing a sum of log-priors and log-likelihoods; under Gaussian NB, equal per-feature variances produce linear decision boundaries, while unequal variances yield axis-aligned quadratics.