

Florian Kruse

Sprechstunde: Tue, 14–15

Exercise 01/1

Exercise 1. The Euclidean norm of $v = (v^1, v^2, \dots, v^n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as

$$\|v\|_2 := \sqrt{(v^1)^2 + (v^2)^2 + \dots + (v^n)^2}$$

Show: A sequence $(x_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ converges in regards of the Euclidean norm to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ iff they converge componentwise to x

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x\|_2 = 0 \iff \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k^j = x^j$$

Direction \Rightarrow .

Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x\| = 0$.

Consider: $|x_{jk} - x_j|$ for arbitrary $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq |x_{jk} - x_j| &= \sqrt{(x_{jk} - x_j)^2} \leq \sqrt{(x_{1k} - x_1)^2 + \dots + (x_{nk} - x_n)^2} = \|x_k - x\| \rightarrow 0 \\ &\implies \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_{jk} - x_j| = 0 \forall j \end{aligned}$$

Direction \Leftarrow .

Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{jk} = x_j \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

The square root function is continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x\| &= \sqrt{(x_{1k} - x_1)^2 + \dots + (x_{nk} - x_n)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{1k})^2 - 2(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{1k})x_1 + x_1^2 + \dots + (\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{nk})^2 - 2(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{nk})x_n + x_n^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\underbrace{x_1^2 - 2x_1^2 + x_1^2}_{=0} + \dots + \underbrace{x_n^2 - 2x_n^2 + x_n^2}_{=0}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark: In \mathbb{R}^n , all norms are equivalent. This exercise showed this property. So if you pick two numbers in \mathbb{R}^n and they get “closer”, they get “closer” in every norm.

Exercise 01/2

Exercise 2. In the lecture, we discussed the SCNF. $d_{\text{SCNF}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For some fixed $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ it is defined as

$$d_{\text{SCNF}} := \begin{cases} \|x - y\|_2 & \text{if } \exists \lambda > 0 : y = p + \lambda(x - p) \\ \|x - p\|_2 + \|y - p\|_2 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

For $p := (0, 0)^T$ and $x := (1, 1)^T$, sketch the set $B_R(x)$ for $R = 1$ and $R = 2$.

$$B_R(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid d_{\text{SCNF}} < R\}$$

Exercise 01/3

Exercise 3. Let (M, d) be a metric space and $x \in M$. Furthermore let $(x_k) \subset M$ be a sequence with property that every subsequence of (x_k) contains a subsequence converging to x . Prove by contradiction, that (x_k) converges to x .

$x_0 \not\rightarrow x$.

There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x_n, x) \geq \varepsilon_0$. Choose a subsequence $(x_{u_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $d(x_{u_j}, x) \geq \varepsilon_0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there does not exist a subsequence of (x_{n_i}) with limit x .

Exercise 01/4

Exercise 4. Let (M, d) be a metric space and complete space. The diameter of a nonempty set $A \subset M$ is given by

$$\text{diam}(A) := \sup \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}$$

Let $(A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of nonempty, closed sets in M with $A_{j+1} \subset A_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore it holds that $\text{diam}(A_j) \rightarrow 0$ for $j \rightarrow \infty$. Prove that $x \in M$ exists with $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j = \{x\}$ and that x is unique.

$A_j \subseteq M$, because its a complete, metric space.

$$\implies \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \neq \emptyset \iff \exists x_0 \in M : \forall j$$

Assume $\exists y_0 \in M : y_0 \neq x_0 \implies d(y_0, x_0) \geq \varepsilon > 0$

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N} : \text{diam}(A_j) \geq \varepsilon$$

This is a contradiction. However, this is not the equality, we are looking for. Assume $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j = \{x_0\} = \{y_0\} \implies x_0 = y_0$. This is the equality, that was meant to be proven.

Prove $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \neq \emptyset \iff \exists x_0 \in M : \forall j$

Hint: If the assignment mentions that completeness must be proven, usually you have to construct a Cauchy sequence.

Construct $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Choose for x_j some element of A_j . Choose $x_j \in A_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This defines a Cauchy sequence $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. $x_i \in A_j \supset A_{j+1}$ and $x_{j+1} \in A_{j+1} \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\implies d(x_j, x_{j+i}) \leq \text{diam}(A_j) \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $\text{diam}(A_j) \rightarrow 0$ with $j \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\implies \exists x \in M : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x_j) = x$$

Because $(x_j)_{j \geq j} \subseteq A_j$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x_j)_{j \geq j} = x$, it follows that $x \in A_j$ and then it follows that $x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$.

This lecture took place on 2018/03/22.

Exercise 02/1

Blackboard solution

Let B be bounded.

$$\text{diam}(B) < \infty \quad \text{diam}(B) = \sup(\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in B\})$$

$$d(B_k, B_{k+1}) = \inf(\{d(x, y) \mid x \in B_k, y \in B_{k+1}\})$$

Exercise (a).

Prove:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(B_k) < \infty \wedge \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d(B_k, B_{k+1}) \implies \text{diam}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) < \infty$$

$$\text{diam}(B_k \cup B_{k+1}) \leq \text{diam}(B_k) + d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \text{diam}(B_{k+1})$$

We distinguish 3 cases:

1. $x \in B_k, y \in B_k : d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(B_k) \leq \text{diam}(B_k) + d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \text{diam}(B_{k+1})$
2. $x \in B_{k+1}, y \in B_{k+1}, d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(B_k) + d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \text{diam}(B_{k+1})$

$$3. \forall x \in B_k \forall y \in B_{k+1}$$

Choose x_0 and y_0 on the border of sets B_k and B_{k+1} respectively. But x_0, y_0 do not necessarily exist if compactness is not given. But let $\varepsilon > 0$. Find x_0, y_0 with $d(x_0, y_0) \leq d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \varepsilon$.

$$d(x, y) \leq \underbrace{d(x, x_0)}_{\leq \text{diam}(B_k)} + \underbrace{d(x_0, y_0)}_{\leq d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \varepsilon} + \underbrace{d(y_0, y)}_{\leq \text{diam}(B_{k+1})} \leq \text{diam}(B_k) + d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \text{diam}(B_{k+1}) + \varepsilon$$

Laurent Pfeiffer continued the following solution (until Exercise 2):

$$\text{diam}((B_k \cup B_{k+1}) \cup B_{k+2}) \leq \text{diam}(B_k \cup B_{k+1}) + \underbrace{d((B_k \cup B_{k+1}), B_{k+2})}_{\leq d(B_{k+1}, B_{k+2})} + \text{diam}(B_{k+2})$$

$$\leq \text{diam}(B_k) + d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \text{diam}(B_{k+1}) + d((B_k \cup B_{k+1}), B_{k+2}) + \text{diam}(B_{k+2})$$

By induction it follows that

$$\text{diam}(B_k \cup B_{k+1} \cup \dots \cup B_n) \leq \text{diam}(B_k) + d(B_k, B_{k+1}) + \text{diam}(B_{k+1}) + d(B_{k+1}, B_{k+2}) + \dots + d(B_{n-1}, B_n) + \text{diam}(B_n)$$

$$\text{diam}(B_k \cup \dots \cup B_n) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{diam}(B_i) + d(B_i, B_{i+1})}_D$$

Choose $x, y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$. Then there exists some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in B_k$. There exists n such that $y \in B_n$.

$$d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(B_k) + \dots + \text{diam}(B_n) \leq D$$

Exercise (b).

Let $x \in M$. We define: $B_{k+1} = B_{k+2} = \dots = \{x\}$. For all $i \geq k$ it holds that

$$\text{diam}(B_i) = 0$$

$$d(B_i, B_{i+1}) = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \underbrace{\text{diam}(B_i)}_{< +\infty} < +\infty$$

What about the distances?

$$\int_{i=1}^{\infty} d(B_i, B_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^k d(B_i, B_{i+1}) < +\infty$$

By (a), it follows that

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \right) \text{ is bounded} \implies \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i \right) \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \right) \text{ is also bounded}$$

Exercise (c).

We define

$$B_i = \left[\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \frac{1}{j} \right]$$

Then it holds that

$$\text{diam}(B_i) = \frac{1}{i+1} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(B_i) = \infty$$

$$B_i \cap B_{i+1} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \frac{1}{j} \right\} \implies d(B_i, B_{i+1}) = 0$$

$$B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_i = \left[1, \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \frac{1}{j}}_{\rightarrow \infty} \right] \implies \underbrace{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i}_{\text{not bounded}} = [1, \infty)$$

We define $B_i = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{j} \right\}$. For all i :

- $\text{diam}(B_i) = 0 \implies \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(B_i) = 0$
-

$$d(B_i, B_{i+1}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \frac{1}{j} \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{j} \right) = \frac{1}{i+1} \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(B_i, B_{i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i+1} = \infty$$

The union is *not* bounded, because $\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{j} \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$.

Exercise 02/2

Exercise 5. Let (X, d) be a sequentially compact, metric space. Show:

a. X is bounded.

b.

Blackboard solution

Exercise (a).

Let X be unbounded. Hence, there exists a tuple $(x_N, y_N) \in X \times X$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d(x_N, y_N) > N$. Because (X, d) is sequentially compact, there exists a convergent subsequence $(x_{N_{k_i}}, y_{N_{k_i}})$ we can choose such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{N_k} = \infty \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_{N_{k_i}} = y_0 \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_{N_{k_i}}) = x_0 \\ \implies \underbrace{N_{k_i}}_{\xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \infty} < d(x_{N_{k_i}}, y_{N_{k_i}}) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_0, y_0) \end{aligned}$$

By this contradiction, it follows that X is bounded.

Exercise (b).

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X . Let X be sequentially compact \implies there exists a convergent subsequence $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} x \in X$. Show that $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n, m \geq N : d(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_k \geq N$ and $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

$$\forall n \geq n_k : d(x, x_n) \leq d(x, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_n) < \varepsilon$$

Exercise (c).

Show that $A \subset X$ is sequentially compact iff A is closed.

\Rightarrow Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a convergent sequence, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0 \in X$. Show that $x_0 \in A$.

Set A is sequentially compact. Choose subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x_0 \in A \implies A$ is closed.

\Leftarrow A is closed. Show that A is sequentially compact.

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$ and there exists subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x_0 \in X$, because X is sequentially compact. $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A \implies A$ is sequentially compact.

Exercise 02/2

Exercise 6. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = \sqrt{1+x^2}$.

1. Show that $|f(x) - f(y)| < |x - y| \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x \neq y$
2. Investigate which conditions of Banach's Fixed Point Theorem are [not] met.
3. Is Banach's Fixed Point Theorem applicable? Does f have a fixed point?

Exercise (a).

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x) - f(y)| &< |x - y| \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y \\
 \left| \sqrt{1+x^2} - \sqrt{1+y^2} \right| &< |x - y| \\
 1 + x^2 + 1 + y^2 - 2\sqrt{(1+x^2)(1+y^2)} &< x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \\
 2 - 2\sqrt{(1+x^2)(1+y^2)} &< -2xy \\
 1 + xy &< \sqrt{(1+x^2)(1+y^2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

We need to distinguish 2 cases here (x and y have same signum, x and y have different signum). This is trivial.

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 + 2xy + x^2y^2 &< 1 + x^2 + y^2 + x^2y^2 \\
 0 &< x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \\
 0 &< (x - y)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise (b and c).

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= x \\
 \sqrt{1+x^2} &= x \\
 1 + x^2 &= x^2 \\
 1 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This lecture took place on 2018/04/12.

Exercise 03/4

Exercise 7. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $x_0 \in X$. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called half-continuous from below in x_0 , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ some $\delta > 0$ exists, such that $d(x, x_0) < \delta$ implies $f(x_0) - f(x) < \varepsilon$. If f is half-continuous from below in every $x_0 \in X$, then f is called half-continuous from below.

Obviously, continuity implies half-continuity.

Exercise 03/4a

Exercise 8. Give some half-continuous from below $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is non-continuous.

Let $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} -1 & x = -1 \\ -x & x \neq -1 \end{cases}$$
$$\underbrace{f(-1)}_{=-1} - \underbrace{f(x)}_{\geq -1} \leq 0 < \varepsilon$$

Exercise 03/4b

Exercise 9. Give some half-continuous from below $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, but does not have a maximum.

Same f can be chosen.

Exercise 03/4c

Exercise 10. Give some half-continuous from below $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, but does not have a minimum.

f as $f|_{[-1,1]}$ can be chosen.

Exercise 03/4d

Exercise 11. Prove that every half-continuous from below function in a compact set has a minimum.

Hint: It is assumed that cover-compactness seems to be more cumbersome than sequential compactness.

Remark: This is a generalization of the theorem, that every continuous, compact function has a minimum and maximum.

Let $K \subseteq X$ be compact. $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is half-continuous from below.

Show that $f^k = \inf(f(K)) \in f(K)$.

$$\exists (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq K \text{ with } f(x_n) - f^k < \frac{1}{n}$$

K is compact. Hence, there exists $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} := x^* \in K$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By half-continuity from below, it follows that $\exists \delta > 0 : d(x^*, x) < \delta \implies f(x^*) - f(x) < \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} \exists K \in \mathbb{N} \forall k \geq K : d(x^k, x_{n_k}) < \delta &\implies f(x^k) - f(x_{n_k}) < \varepsilon \iff f(x^*) < f(x_{n_k}) + \varepsilon \\ &\implies f(x^*) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}) \implies f(x^*) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f^* \\ &\implies f(x^*) = f^* \implies f^* \text{ is minimum of } f(X) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 03/3

Exercise 12. Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be metric spaces, where $d : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete metric, hence

$$d(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \\ 1 & \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2 \end{cases}$$

Exercise 03/3a

Exercise 13. Every map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be arbitrary. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Show that

$$\exists \delta > 0 : d(x, x_0) < \delta \implies d(f(x), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$$

$$K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_0) = \{x_0\}$$

Exercise 03/3b

Exercise 14. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is not necessarily bounded.

$M \geq 0$ arbitrary. $\exists x, y \in f(X) : e(x, y) > M$.

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} & x &\mapsto x \\ f(x) &= \mathbb{Z} & x = 0 & \quad y = M + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$e = |\cdot|$.

Exercise 03/3c

Exercise 15. Every map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is bounded.

Let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be arbitrary. Show that $\exists M \geq 0 \forall x, y \in g(Y) : d(x, y) \leq M$. Choose $M = 2$. $\forall x, y \in X : d(x, y) \leq 1 \leq 2$.

Exercise 03/3d

Exercise 16. In case $(Y, e) = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$, every non-constant map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is non-continuous.

We show: continuity implies constant.

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ continuous. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. $\exists \delta_0 > 0 : |x_0 - x| < \delta \implies d(g(x_0), g(x)) < \frac{1}{2}$ for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists δ_0 such that $\forall x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) : g(x) = g(x_0)$.

$$\sup \{s \in [x_0, \infty) \mid g(x) = g(x_0) \forall x \in [x_0, s)\}$$

Exercise 03/2

Exercise 17. Let V be the vector space of bounded, complex sequences, hence

$$V := \{(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C} \mid \exists M \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |a_k| \leq M \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

additionally with norm

$$\|(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty := \sup \{|a_k| \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

This solution was done by Mr. Kruse himself.

Exercise 03/2b

Exercise 18. The unit sphere in $(V, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$,

$$B_1(0) = \{a \in V \mid \|a\|_\infty \leq 1\}$$

is closed and bounded, but not sequentially compact.

We need to prove boundedness.

Let $C, D \in B_1(0)$.

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \underbrace{C}_{=(c_k)} - \underbrace{D}_{=(d_k)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq 2$$

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \underbrace{c_k - d_k}_{\substack{\leq |c_k| + |d_k| \\ \leq 1\forall k \quad \leq 1\forall k}} \right| : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \leq 2$$

We need to prove closedness.

$$(A^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_1(0) \text{ with } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n = A$$

Show that $A \in B_1(0)$.

$$\text{For every } A^n := (a_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ it holds that } \left\| \underbrace{(a_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}}_{=\sup\{|a_k^n| : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq 1} \right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$$

$$(A^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_1(0) \text{ with } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n = A$$

$$\iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n - A\|_{\infty} = 0$$

$|a_k^n|$ in

$$\sup \{|a_k^n| : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

converges to $|a_k| \leq 1$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We need to prove sequentially non-compact of $B_1(0)$. So we only need to find some sequence that does not have some converging subsequence.

We define

$$A^n := (a_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq n \\ 1 & \text{if } k = n \end{cases}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As such we get a sequence

$$\implies (A^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_1(0)$$

but it holds that $\|A^n - A^m\|_{\infty} = 1 \forall n \neq m$. This is also not a Cauchy sequence.

Exercise 03/1

Exercise 19. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A set $K \subset X$ is called *cover-compact*, if for every family of open sets $(U_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$ with $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ it holds that: There exists a finite set $J \subset I$ with $K \subset \bigcup_{i \in J} U_i$. Let $K \subset X$ be cover-compact.

Exercise 03/1a

Exercise 20. Show that K is totally bounded, hence for every $r > 0$, there exists x_1, \dots, x_n in K with $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_r(x_i)$.

Construct a family of open spheres $(B_r(x))_{x \in K} \subset K$ covering K . By cover-compactness it follows there exists some finite $J \subset K$ with $K \subset \bigcup_{x \in J} B_r(x)$.

Exercise 03/1b

Exercise 21. Prove that K is sequentially compact.

Proof by contradiction: Assume K is not sequentially compact.

Then there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in K$ which has a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow c \notin K$.

$$\forall x \in K : \exists r_x > 0 : B_{r_x}(x) \text{ contains finitely many sequence elements}$$

Because $\bigcup_{x \in K} B_{r_x}(x) \supset K$ it holds: there exists $J \subset K$ finite $\bigcup_{x \in J} B_{r_x}(x) \supset K$. This contradicts with $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$.

Exercise 04/1

Exercise 22. Let (M, d) be a complete metric space and $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ is a sequence of closed sets. Use Cantor's Theorem to prove: $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ contains an open set if at least one A_k contains an open set. Illustrate this statement for $(M, d) = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$.

First we illustrate it in \mathbb{R} .

$$(A_k) = \{a_k\}$$

where $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider some

Exercise 04/2

Exercise 23. Let $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous and $O \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an open set. In the lecture we have seen that $f^{-1}(O)$ is open. Review the result and prove for $O = \mathbb{C}$.

1. The set O is open.
2. It holds that $f^{-1}(O) = [-1, 1]$
3. The set $[-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is not open.
4. The statement of the lecture about $f^{-1}(O)$ is still correct.

Exercise 04/2a

Show that \mathbb{C} is open.

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. $\exists \varepsilon > 0$,

$$B(z, \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

Exercise 04/2b

Follows from the definition of a function.

Exercise 04/2c

If it is an open set, there must be a neighborhood of arbitrary ε such that this neighborhood is completely in the set.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $x \in B(1, \varepsilon)$ with $x = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

$$\implies x \in B(1, \varepsilon) \wedge x \notin [-1, 1]$$

Exercise 04/2d

Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ continuous then $f^{-1}(O)$ is open $\forall O \subseteq Y$ open.

Show:

$$\forall x \in [-1, 1] \exists \varepsilon > 0 : \underbrace{B(x, \varepsilon)}_{=\{z \in [-1, 1] \mid d(x, z) < \varepsilon\}} \subseteq [-1, 1]$$

So the difference is the domain of z ($[-1, 1]$ unlike exercise c, where we used \mathbb{R}).

The point was to illustrate how to read the theorem properly.

Exercise 04/3

Exercise 24. Let Ω be a non-empty set and $B(\Omega)$ the vector space of real-valued bounded functions on Ω . Hence,

$$B(\Omega) := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists M \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |f(x)| \leq M \forall x \in \Omega\}$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\infty} := \sup \{|f(x)| \mid x \in \Omega\}$$

Prove the following statements:

1. $(B(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a complete normed vector space.
2. The unit circle U in $B(\Omega)$ is closed and bounded.

$$U = \{f \in B(\Omega) \mid \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1\}$$

3. The unit circle is sequentially compact if and only if Ω is finite.

Exercise 04/3a

Given $\Omega \neq \emptyset$.

$$B(\Omega) := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists M \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in \Omega\}$$

First, we show that $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is indeed a norm. We just show absolute homogeneity for illustrative purposes:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda f\|_{\infty} &= \sup \{|\lambda \cdot f(x)| \mid x \in \Omega\} \\ &= \sup \{|\lambda| \cdot |f(x)| \mid x \in \Omega\} \\ &= |\lambda| \cdot \sup \{|f(x)| \mid x \in \Omega\} \\ &= |\lambda| \cdot \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

We show completeness of $(B(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$. Equivalently, all Cauchy sequences in $B(\Omega)$ are convergent. Equivalently, for all Cauchy sequences $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \exists f \in B(\Omega) : \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary Cauchy sequence. Hence,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : n, m > N \implies \|f_n - f_m\|_{\infty} = \sup \{(f_n - f_m)(x) \mid x \in \Omega\} < \varepsilon$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 : n, m > N$$

$$\forall x \in \Omega : |(f_n - f_m)(x)| < \varepsilon$$

$$\implies \forall x \in \Omega : (f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq R$$

is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} .

$$\iff \forall x \in \Omega : (f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ converges}$$

$$\forall x \in \Omega : (f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow f(x) \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n > N : \|f_n - f\|_\infty < 1$$

$$\|f\|_\infty = \|f - f_N + f_N\|_\infty \leq \underbrace{\|f - f_N\|_\infty}_{<1} + \underbrace{\|f_N\|_\infty}_{\leq M} < 1 + M$$

Exercise 04/3b

Let $K_1 := \{f \in B(\Omega) \mid \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$. Show K_1 is bounded and closed.

K_1 is bounded

Let $f, g \in K_1$ be arbitrary.

$$\|f - g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty \leq 1 + 1 = 2$$

2 is a boundary and therefore K_1 is bounded.

K_1 is closed

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a convergent sequence in K_1 with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\| = 0$.

Show $f \in K_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall f_n \in K_1 : \|f_n\| \leq 1 \\ \|f\|_\infty &= \|f - f_n\|_\infty \leq \underbrace{\|f - f_n\|_\infty}_{\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0} + \underbrace{\|f_n\|_\infty}_{\leq 1} \leq 1 \\ & \implies \|f\|_\infty \leq 1 \implies f \in K_1 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 04/c

f is sequentially compact if and only if Ω is finite? Equivalently, every sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq K_1$ has a convergent subsequence with limit in K_1 .

Direction \implies .

Let Ω be infinite. Then \exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ without convergent subsequence. We build a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in K_1 .

Let $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary sequence in Ω with $x_i \neq x_j \forall i \neq j$.

$$f_n(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = x_n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Then it holds that $\forall n \neq m$,

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty = 1$$

Assume there exists a convergent subsequence in $(f_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with limit f .

$$\implies \exists M > 0 : k > M : \|f_{n_k} - f\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}$$

Let $k, l > M$ with $k \neq l$

$$\implies \|f_{n_k} - f_{n_l}\|_\infty \leq \|f_{n_k} - f\|_\infty + \|f_{n_l} - f\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$$

This is a contradiction to $\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty = 1$.

Direction \impliedby .

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in K_1 without limit. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \implies |\{f_n(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n)\}| < \infty$$

Let $f_n \in K_1 \implies |f_n(x_i)| \leq 1 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider $x_1 \in \Omega$.

$$(f_n(x_1)) = y_n^1 \in [-1, 1]$$

$[-1, 1]$ compact $\implies (y_n^1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has convergent subsequence $(y_{n_k}^1)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \tilde{y}^1$

$$(f_{n_k}(x_1))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (y_{n_k}^1)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \tilde{y}^1 := f(x_1)$$

and this goes on up to

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_n & (x_m) \end{pmatrix}_{z \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow f(x_m)$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\exists N_1 : \forall n \in N_1 : \left| \begin{pmatrix} f_n & (x_1) \end{pmatrix} - f(x_1) \right| < \varepsilon$$

$$\exists N_m : \forall n \in N_m : \left| \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ f_n(x_m) - f(x_m) \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \right| < \varepsilon$$

Choose $N := \max N_1, \dots, N_m$. For all $n \geq N$,

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \begin{matrix} f_n \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \right\|_\infty < \varepsilon$$

Exercise 04/4

Exercise 25. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Show: $\exists \phi_k : \sqrt{k\pi} \leq \xi_k \leq \sqrt{(k+1)\pi}$ such that

$$\int_{\sqrt{k\pi}}^{\sqrt{(k+1)\pi}} \sin(x^2) dx = \frac{(-1)^k}{\xi_k}$$

$$\int_{\sqrt{k\pi}}^{\sqrt{(k+1)\pi}} \sin(x^2) dx = \int_{\sqrt{k\pi}}^{\sqrt{(k+1)\pi}} \frac{x \cdot \sin(x^2)}{x} dx = \frac{1}{\xi_k} \cdot \int_{\sqrt{k\pi}}^{\sqrt{(k+1)\pi}} x \cdot \sin(x^2) dx$$

But this IVT is unconventional.

$$= \frac{1}{\xi_k} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \cos(x^2) \right) \Big|_{\sqrt{k\pi}}^{\sqrt{(k+1)\pi}}$$

If k is even:

$$\frac{1}{\xi_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{\xi_k}$$

If k is odd:

$$\frac{1}{\xi_k} \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = -\frac{1}{\xi_k}$$

This implies a boundary of

$$\frac{(-1)^k}{\xi_k}$$