	Problem 3
	Consider the truncated power scorles representation for subic
- 3	splines with K interior Knots
	f(n)= = β: x + = 0 κ(x-εκ)+
	Prove that the natural boundary conditions for notural whice
	Spline imply the following linear constraints on the welfients
	B = 0 , E 0 , -0
	$\beta_{s}=0$, $\xi_{k}=0$
	as no the above since proposite we get it lettering earl
	As per the above given warraint, we get the following egen.
-	0 10 K
	f(x) = β, x° + β, x' + β, x² + β, x³ + ξ θ κ (x - ε) 3
, "	$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 x' + \sum_{k=1}^{k} \theta_k (x - \xi_k)^3 +$
	Te d
	Here β_{1} and β_{1} \times [Given in the question]
	we here constructed a new basis with the first two
	basis function.
	Let us now consider the o constraints, let the write down
	that K-2
1	$\frac{\mathbb{K}^{-2}}{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} = -\theta - \theta \text{and} \frac{\mathbb{K}^{-2}}{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} \theta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}}$ $\mathbb{K}^{-1} = -\theta - \theta \text{and} \mathbb{K}^{-1} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} \theta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} \theta = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} \theta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} \theta = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{K}^{-1}} \theta = -\mathbb{E}_{$
	K=1 K K=1
	Now consider the truncated function and use the last two
	terms like hone above
	ie wonsider $\stackrel{\times}{\succeq} \theta_{R}(x-E_{R})^{3}_{+} = \stackrel{\xi-2}{\succeq} \theta_{R}(x-E_{R})^{3}_{+} +$
	16 rounder 50k (x 5k) + = 50k (x - 5k) + +
	, 2
	$0_{k-1} \left(\times - \varepsilon_{k-1} \right)_{+}^{3} + 0_{k} \left(\times - \varepsilon_{k} \right)_{+}^{3} \longrightarrow 0$
-	
	To the above equation, apply the o constraints to show
1	last two terms of the above equation can be written as
	the sum of the N-2 first terms.

	we should now consider the last two terms for the computation from the equation ()	
	Let us now consider the term (i) from equation (1)	(
10 mar 1 may	$\theta_{k-1} (x - \epsilon_{k-1})^{3} + = (x - \epsilon_{k-1})^{3} + (\theta_{k-1} \epsilon_{k} - \theta_{k-1} \epsilon_{k-1})^{3} + (\epsilon_{k-1} \epsilon_{k-1} \epsilon_{k-1})^{3}$	No. No.
	We got the above equation by multiplying and dividing	
-	(Ex-Ex-1) on the LHS.	-
		9
	$= \frac{(X - E_{K-1})^3 + (\Theta_{K-1}E_K - \Theta_{K-1}E_{K-1})}{(E_{K-1}E_{K-1})^3 + (\Theta_{K-1}E_{K-1}E_{K-1})}$	4
-	= (x - 5 k - 1) + (0 x - 1 5 k - 0 x - 1 5 k - 1 + 0 x 5 k - 0 x 5 k)	
	(Ex-Ex-1)	-
	$(\mathcal{E}_{k} - \mathcal{E}_{k-1})$ $= (x - \mathcal{E}_{k})$ $= (x - \mathcal{E}_{k})$ (Add and Subvoit $\theta_{k} \mathcal{E}_{k}$)	
-	(- 1 + 1 s 1 9 + 9) c 9 - 9 s)	
_	(EK-EK-1) (EK-OK-1 TOE) - EK-10K-1	
		•
	$= \frac{(x - \mathcal{E}_{k-1})^{3}}{(c - \mathcal{E}_{k})} \left(- \mathcal{E}_{k} \underbrace{\overset{k-2}{\not{=}} 0}_{k} + \underbrace{\overset{k-2}{\not{=}} 0}_{k} \mathcal{E}_{k} \right)$	
-	$(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} - 1)$	
1	$= \frac{(X - E_{k-1})_{+}^{3}}{(E_{k} - E_{k-1})} = \frac{(X - E_{k-1})_{+}^{3}}{(E_{k} - E_{k-1})} = \frac{(E_{k} - E_{k})_{+}}{(E_{k} - E_{k-1})}$ big K small K	
-	(E, -E,-1) R=1 0 K (EK-EK)	
the second second	big K Small K	
-	$= -\frac{\cancel{\xi}^{-2}}{\cancel{\xi}} \theta_{\cancel{k}} \left(\underbrace{\cancel{\xi}_{\cancel{k}} - \cancel{\xi}_{\cancel{k}}} \right) \left(\frac{\cancel{\chi} - \cancel{\xi}_{\cancel{k} - 1}}{\cancel{\xi}_{\cancel{k}} - \cancel{\xi}_{\cancel{k} - 1}} \right)^{3} + \rightarrow \widehat{A}$	25
-	EK - EK-1/	
-		
1	Let us now consider the term (ii) from equation (1)	4
-	$\frac{\theta_{k}(x-\xi_{k})^{3}_{+}}{(\xi_{k}-\xi_{k-1})} = \frac{(x-\xi_{k})_{+}}{(\xi_{k}-\xi_{k-1})} = \frac{\theta_{k}\xi_{k}}{(\xi_{k}-\xi_{k-1})}$	
	(Ex-2x-1) (Ex-x-x-1)	
	· Multiply and didide by (Ex-Ex-1)	-
1		1

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\theta_{k} \mathcal{E}_{k} - \theta_{k} \mathcal{E}_{k-1} + \theta_{k-1} \mathcal{E}_{k-1} - \theta_{k-1} \mathcal{E}_{k-1}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} (\theta_{k-1} + \theta_{k}) + \Sigma_{k-1} \theta_{k-1} + \Sigma_{k} \theta_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} (\theta_{k-1} + \theta_{k}) + \Sigma_{k-1} \theta_{k-1} + \Sigma_{k} \theta_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} (\theta_{k-1} + \theta_{k}) + \Sigma_{k-1} \theta_{k-1} + \Sigma_{k} \theta_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} (\theta_{k-1} + \theta_{k}) + \Sigma_{k-1} \theta_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right)$$

$$= (x - \Sigma_{k})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{-2}}{\mathcal{E}^{-2}} \theta_{k} \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right) \times \left(-\Sigma_{k-1} - \Sigma_{k-1}\right)$$

Scanned by CamScanner

Substitute the values (A) and (B) in (1)

$$= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \theta_{k} (x - \xi_{k})^{3}}{\{\xi_{k} - \xi_{k}\}^{3}} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \theta_{k} (\theta_{k} - \xi_{k})}{\{\xi_{k} - \xi_{k-1}\}^{3}} + (x - \xi_{k})^{3} + (x - \xi$$

	Problem 1
	Principle Component Analysis.
(3)	Show first principle component minimizes the residual sum of
	Squares
Desiration of the second	We consider the below case of a 1D projection of the datapoints.
	The p dimendional voctors are projected on a line through the
	origin
	> Let the line be represented by vector w = unit rector
- A.	Let the project of data points be represented by vector to
	This projection on the line will give with and this the
	distance of that data point from the origin.
	→ The coordinate in p dimensional space is (元: w) w
	We know that the mean of projected datatypes is 0 seemse
	mean of riso
	ie \$\frac{2}{\chi_{i=1}} = 0
	when number of observations are u, we have below equation
	in the contract of the contrac
	$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \stackrel{\geq}{\underset{i=1}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}} (n_i^2, \vec{\omega}) \vec{\omega}$
	$11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13 \cdot 13 \cdot 13 \cdot 13 \cdot 13 \cdot 13 \cdot $
0	The vesidual of the projection is given by 1/2; -(3.7;) 31/2
	$1 \rightarrow (\rightarrow \rightarrow) \stackrel{?}{} \stackrel{?}{}} \stackrel{?}{} \stackrel{?}{}} \stackrel{?}{} $
	$\ \vec{x}_{i} - (\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{x}_{i})\vec{x}\ ^{2} = \ \vec{x}_{i}\ ^{2} - 2(\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{x}_{i})(\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{x}_{i}) + \ \vec{x}\ ^{2}$
	= $ \vec{x}_i ^2 - 2(\vec{w}.\vec{x}_i)^2 + 1$ (because w is
	We will add the orgiduals of all the nectors
	(RSS (\vec{vec}) = \vec{\vec{vec}} \vec{vec{vec}} ^2 - \vec{\vec{vec}} 2(\vec{vec{vec}})^2
	i=1
	In the above equation the first term doe not
	depend on is and hence does not contribute to minimize the
7	RSS.

To make RSS small we should maximize the ferm obtained \$ (3 2) In this case n is not depending on to, so we Should maximize 力量(ジズン) I c mean of (wati) We know that the mean of a square is always equal to the square of the mean added to some variance $\frac{1}{n} \stackrel{\leq}{\leq} (\vec{\omega}, \vec{x}_i)^2 = (\frac{1}{n} \vec{x}_i \vec{\omega})^2 + Vav [\vec{\omega}. \vec{x}_i]$ we mitially saw that the mean of the projections 5 always zero. So minizing RSS will be equal to maximizing the variance of the projections 1 = (var [var [var] $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{3} & = & -\frac{2}{5} & (\vec{\omega}_1 \cdot \vec{z}_1)^2 \\ \vec{\sigma} & \vec{\sigma} & \vec{\sigma} \end{bmatrix}$