Lecture 12: Graphs: Minimum Spanning Tree

Graphs



Definition. A ***directed graph (digraph)*** $G = (V, E)$ is an ordered pair consisting of

- a set V of ***vertices*** (singular: ***vertex***),
- a set $E \subseteq V \times V$ of ***edges***.

$V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$E = \{(1,2), (1,3), (2,3), (4,3)\}$

In an ***undirected graph*** $G = (V, E)$, the edge set E consists of *unordered* pairs of vertices.

In either case, we have $|E| = O(V^2)$. Moreover, if G is connected, then $|E| \geq |V| - 1$, which implies that $\lg |E| = \Theta(\lg V)$.



$E = \{(1,2), (2,1), (1,3), (3,1), (2,3), (3,2), (4,3), (3,4)\}$

Adjacency-matrix representation

The ***adjacency matrix*** of a graph $G = (V, E)$, where $V = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, is the matrix $A[1 \,..\, n, 1 \,..\, n]$ given by

$$A[i, j] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i, j) \in E, \\ 0 & \text{if } (i, j) \notin E. \end{cases}$$



A	1	2	3	4
1	0	1	1	0
2	0	0	1	0
3	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	1	0

$\Theta(V^2)$ storage $\Rightarrow$ ***dense*** representation.

Adjacency-list representation

An ***adjacency list*** of a vertex $v \in V$ is the list $Adj[v]$ of vertices adjacent to v.



$Adj[1] = \{2, 3\}$
$Adj[2] = \{3\}$
$Adj[3] = \{\}$
$Adj[4] = \{3\}$

For undirected graphs, $|Adj[v]| = degree(v)$.
For digraphs, $|Adj[v]| = out\text{-}degree(v)$.

Handshaking Lemma: $\sum_{v \in V} = 2|E|$ for undirected graphs $\Rightarrow$ adjacency lists use $\Theta(V + E)$ storage — a ***sparse*** representation (for either type of graph).

Minimum spanning trees

Input: A connected, undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{R}$.

- For simplicity, assume that all edge weights are distinct.



Output: A ***spanning tree*** T — a tree that connects all vertices — of minimum weight:

$$w(T) = \sum_{(u,v) \in T} w(u,v).$$

Example



T



$w(\mathrm{T}) = -2$

S



$w(\mathrm{S}) = 4$



MST

Example of MST



Optimal substructure

MST T:

(Other edges of G are not shown.)



Remove any edge $(u, v) \in T$. Then, T is partitioned into two subtrees T_1 and T_2.

Theorem. The subtree T_1 is an MST of $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$, the subgraph of G ***induced*** by the vertices of T_1:

$$V_1 = \text{vertices of } T_1,$$
$$E_1 = \{ (x, y) \in E : x, y \in V_1 \}.$$

Similarly for T_2.

Proof of optimal substructure

Proof. Cut and paste:

$$w(T) = w(u, v) + w(T_1) + w(T_2).$$

If T_1' were a lower-weight spanning tree than T_1 for G_1, then $T' = \{(u, v)\} \cup T_1' \cup T_2$ would be a lower-weight spanning tree than T for G. $\square$

Do we also have overlapping subproblems?

- Yes.

Great, then dynamic programming may work!

- Yes, but MST exhibits another powerful property which leads to an even more efficient algorithm.

Hallmark for “greedy” algorithms

Greedy-choice property

A locally optimal choice is globally optimal.

Theorem. Let T be the MST of $G = (V, E)$, and let $A \subseteq V$. Suppose that $(u, v) \in E$ is the least-weight edge connecting A to $V - A$. Then, $(u, v) \in T$.

Proof of theorem

Proof. Suppose $(u, v) \notin T$. Cut and paste.



Proof of theorem

Proof. Suppose $(u, v) \notin T$. Cut and paste.



Consider the unique simple path from u to v in T.

Proof of theorem

Proof. Suppose $(u, v) \notin T$. Cut and paste.



Consider the unique simple path from u to v in T.

Swap (u, v) with the first edge on this path that connects a vertex in A to a vertex in $V - A$.

Proof of theorem

Proof. Suppose $(u, v) \notin T$. Cut and paste.



Consider the unique simple path from u to v in T.

Swap (u, v) with the first edge on this path that connects a vertex in A to a vertex in $V - A$.

A lighter-weight spanning tree than T results. $\square$

Prim's algorithm

IDEA: Maintain $V - A$ as a priority queue Q. Key each vertex in Q with the weight of the least-weight edge connecting it to a vertex in A.

$Q \leftarrow V$
$key[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for all $v \in V$
$key[s] \leftarrow 0$ for some arbitrary $s \in V$
while $Q \neq \emptyset$
    do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN(Q)
        for each $v \in Adj[u]$
            do if $v \in Q$ and $w(u, v) < key[v]$
                then $key[v] \leftarrow w(u, v)$ ⊳ DECREASE-KEY
                    $\pi[v] \leftarrow u$

At the end, $\{(v, \pi[v])\}$ forms the MST.

Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim’s algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim’s algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Example of Prim’s algorithm



Example of Prim's algorithm



Analysis of Prim

$\Theta(V)$ total

$Q \leftarrow V$

$key[v] \leftarrow \infty$ for all $v \in V$

$key[s] \leftarrow 0$ for some arbitrary $s \in V$

$|V|$ times

while $Q \neq \emptyset$

  do $u \leftarrow$ EXTRACT-MIN(Q)

$degree(u)$ times

   for each $v \in Adj[u]$

    do if $v \in Q$ and $w(u, v) < key[v]$

     then $key[v] \leftarrow w(u, v)$

      $\pi[v] \leftarrow u$

Handshaking Lemma $\Rightarrow \Theta(E)$ implicit DECREASE-KEY's.

$$\text{Time} = \Theta(V) \cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + \Theta(E) \cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$$

Analysis of Prim (continued)

$$\text{Time} = \Theta(V) \cdot T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + \Theta(E) \cdot T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$$

Q	$T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}}$	$T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$	Total
array	$O(V)$	$O(1)$	$O(V^2)$
binary heap	$O(\lg V)$	$O(\lg V)$	$O(E \lg V)$