## 3.4 Kahan's Machine Epsilon

These statements were first given by Prof William Kahan, Berkeley.

$$a = 4/3$$
;  $b = a-1$ ;  $c = b+b+b$ ;  $e = 1-c$ ;

**Due**: Wed 4 Oct. 2006

Mathematically we have

$$a = \frac{4}{3}$$
,  $b = \frac{4}{3} - 1 = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 1$ ,  $e = 1 - 1 = 0$ .

Performing these statements in F(b, p, -, -), where b is not a multiple (power?) of 3, we get

1. 
$$a = \text{fl}(4/3) = \text{fl}(1.33...33...) = \underbrace{1.33...3}_{p \text{ digits}}$$

2. 
$$b = \text{fl}(\text{fl}(a) - 1) = \text{fl}(1.33...3 - 1.0) = 0.\underbrace{33...3}_{p-1}$$

3. 
$$c = \text{fl}(b+b+b) = \text{fl}(0.33...3+0.33...3+0.33...3) = 0.\underbrace{99...9}_{p-1}$$

4. 
$$e = \text{fl}(1-c) = \text{fl}(1.0-0.\underbrace{99...9}_{p-1}) = 0.\underbrace{00...1}_{p-1} = 1.00...0 \times b^{1-p}$$

Thus we get  $e = b^{1-p} = \epsilon_m$ . We have implicitly assumed that b = 10.

Notice that the only rounding error occurs in the statement a = 4/3. This rational number does not have a finite expansion in base 2 or 10 and so there will always be a rounding error, no matter how large p is.

Here is a small Fortran function that uses Kahan's  $\epsilon_m$  calculation. This function was in the Eispack subroutine package, and is still part of Dongarra's Linpack benchmark program 1000d. for. Note the starred sentence at the end of the comments.

```
C-----
    double precision function epslon (x)
double precision x
C
    estimate unit roundoff in quantities of size x.
С
c
    double precision a,b,c,eps
С
c
     this program should function properly on all systems
c
    satisfying the following two assumptions,
           the base used in representing dfloating point
C
          numbers is not a power of three.
           the quantity a in statement 10 is represented to
C
          the accuracy used in dfloating point variables
C
          that are stored in memory.
С
    the statement number 10 and the go to 10 are intended to
С
    force optimizing compilers to generate code satisfying
С
    assumption 2.
    under these assumptions, it should be true that,
          a is not exactly equal to four-thirds,
          b has a zero for its last bit or digit,
          c is not exactly equal to one,
С
          eps measures the separation of 1.0 from
C
               the next larger dfloating point number.
  the developers of eispack would appreciate being informed
С
    about any systems where these assumptions do not hold.
С
С
     ******************
    this routine is one of the auxiliary routines used by eispack iii
С
     to avoid machine dependencies.
     ******************
    this version dated 4/6/83.
     a = 4.0d0/3.0d0
  10 b = a - 1.0d0
    c = b + b + b
     eps = dabs(c-1.0d0)
    if (eps .eq. 0.0d0) go to 10
     epslon = eps*dabs(x)
    return
     end
```

**Due**: Wed 4 Oct. 2006

## 3.5 Calculations with $\pi$ and pi

```
We know that \sin(\pi) = 0, \cos(\pi) = -1, and \sin^2(\pi) + \cos^2(\pi) = 1. But MATLAB gives 
1. \sin(pi) = 1.224646799147353 \, e - 016
2. \cos(pi) = -1
```

```
3. \sin(pi)^2 + \cos(pi)^2 = 1
```

As we can see, 2 and 3 are correct but 1 is not. There are two sources of error here : (i) the error in representing  $\pi$ , a transcendental number, and (ii) the error in computing  $\sin(x)$  or any other function.