MATH 3795

Lecture 6. Sensitivity of the Solution of a Linear System

Dmitriy Leykekhman

Fall 2008

Goals

- ▶ Understand how does the solution of Ax = b changes when A or bchange.
- Condition number of a matrix (with respect to inversion).
- Vector and matrix norms.

Linear Systems

▶ Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we are interested in the solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of

$$Ax = b$$
.

- ▶ Suppose that instead of A, and b we are given $A + \Delta A$ and $b + \Delta b$, where $\Delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\Delta b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. How do these perturbations in the data change the solution of the linear system?
- First we need to understand how to measure the size of vectors and of matrices. This leads to vector norms and matrix norms.

Definition

A (vector) norm on \mathbb{R}^n is a function

$$\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \to \|x\|$$

which for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfies

- 1. $||x|| \ge 0$, $||x|| = 0 \iff x = 0$,
- 2. $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$,
- 3. $\|x+y\| \le \|x\| + \|y\|$, (triangle inequality).

The most frequently used norms on \mathbb{R}^n are given by

$$\|x\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{2-norm}$$

The MATLAB's build in function norm(x) or norm(x,2). More generally for any $p\in [1,\infty)$

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{p-norm.}$$

The MATLAB's build in function norm(x, p) and

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |x_i|, \quad \infty$$
-norm.

The MATLAB's build in function norm(x, inf)

Example

Let
$$x = (1, -2, 3, -4)^T$$
. Then

$$||x||_1 = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 = 10,$$

 $||x||_2 = \sqrt{1 + 4 + 9 + 16} = \sqrt{30} \approx 5.48,$
 $||x||_{\infty} = \max\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = 4.$

The boundaries of the unit balls defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_p \le 1\}.$$

One can show the following useful inequalities:

The boundaries of the unit balls defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_p \le 1\}.$$

One can show the following useful inequalities:

$$||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2 \le ||x||_1.$$

The boundaries of the unit balls defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_p \le 1\}.$$

One can show the following useful inequalities:

$$||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2 \le ||x||_1.$$

▶ Let $\|\cdot\|$ is any vector norm on \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\|x+y\| \geq \left| \|x\| - \|y\| \right| \quad \text{ for all } \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The boundaries of the unit balls defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_p \le 1\}.$$

One can show the following useful inequalities:

$$||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2 \le ||x||_1.$$

▶ Let $\|\cdot\|$ is any vector norm on \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$||x+y|| \ge \left| ||x|| - ||y|| \right| \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$x^T y \le ||x||_2 ||y||_2$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem

Vector norms on \mathbb{R}^n are equivalent, i.e. for every two vector norms $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$ on \mathbb{R}^n there exist constants c_{ab} , C_{ab} (depending on the vector norms $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$, but not on x) such that

$$c_{ab}||x||_b \le ||x||_a \le C_{ab}||x||_b \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|x\|_1 \le \|x\|_2 \le \|x\|_1$$
$$\|x\|_{\infty} \le \|x\|_2 \le \sqrt{n} \|x\|_{\infty}$$
$$\|x\|_{\infty} \le \|x\|_1 \le n \|x\|_{\infty}.$$

Definition

A matrix norm on $\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$ is a function

$$\|\cdot\|: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$A \to \|A\|,$$

which for all $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

- 1. $||A|| \ge 0$, $||A|| = 0 \Leftrightarrow A = 0$ (zero matrix),
- 2. $\|\alpha A\| = |\alpha| \|A\|$,
- 3. ||A + B|| < ||A|| + ||B||, (triangle inequality).

Warning: Matrix- and vector-norms are denoted by the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$. However, as we will see shortly, vector-norms and matrix-norms are computed very differently. Thus, before computing a norm we need to examine carefully whether it is applied to a vector or to a matrix. It should be clear from the context which norm, a vector-norm or a matrix-norm, is used.

▶ View a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a vector in \mathbb{R}^{mn} , by stacking the columns of the matrix into a long vector.

- ▶ View a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a vector in \mathbb{R}^{mn} , by stacking the columns of the matrix into a long vector.
- ightharpoonup Apply the vector-norms to this vectors of length mn.

- View a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a vector in \mathbb{R}^{mn} , by stacking the columns of the matrix into a long vector.
- ▶ Apply the vector-norms to this vectors of length *mn*.
- ► This will give matrix norms. For example if we apply the 2-vector-norm, then

$$||A||_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

This is called the **Frobenius norm**. (We will use $\|A\|_2$ to denote a different matrix norm.)

- ▶ View a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a vector in \mathbb{R}^{mn} , by stacking the columns of the matrix into a long vector.
- ▶ Apply the vector-norms to this vectors of length *mn*.
- ► This will give matrix norms. For example if we apply the 2-vector-norm, then

$$||A||_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

This is called the **Frobenius norm**. (We will use $\|A\|_2$ to denote a different matrix norm.)

▶ This approach is not very useful.

We want to solve linear systems Ax = b. Find a vector x such that if we multiply A by this vector (we apply A to this vector), then we obtain b.

- We want to solve linear systems Ax = b. Find a vector x such that if we multiply A by this vector (we apply A to this vector), then we obtain b.
- ▶ View a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a linear mapping, which maps a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into a vector $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
$$x \to Ax.$$

- We want to solve linear systems Ax = b. Find a vector x such that if we multiply A by this vector (we apply A to this vector), then we obtain b.
- ▶ View a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a linear mapping, which maps a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into a vector $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
$$x \to Ax.$$

How do we define the size of a linear mapping?

- We want to solve linear systems Ax = b. Find a vector x such that if we multiply A by this vector (we apply A to this vector), then we obtain b.
- ▶ View a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as a linear mapping, which maps a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into a vector $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
$$x \to Ax.$$

- How do we define the size of a linear mapping?
- ▶ Compare the size of the image $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with the size of x. This leads us to look at

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$$

Here $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are vectors and $\|\cdot\|$ are vector norms (in \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n).

▶ Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. The following identities re valid

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \max_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_p$$

▶ Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. The following identities re valid

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \sup_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \max_{\|x\|_p = 1} \|Ax\|_p$$

One can show

$$||A||_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_p}{||x||_p}.$$
 (1)

Note that on the left hand side in (1) the symbol $\|\cdot\|_p$ refers to the p-matrix-norm, while on the right hand side in (1) the symbol $\|\cdot\|_p$ refers to the p-vector-norm applied to the vectors $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively.

For the most commonly used matrix-norms (1) with p=1, p=2, or $p=\infty$, there exist rather simple representations.

Let $\|\cdot\|_p$ be the matrix norm defined in (1), then

$$\begin{split} \|A\|_1 &= \max_{j=1,\dots,n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}| \quad \text{(maximum column norm)}; \\ \|A\|_\infty &= \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| \quad \text{(maximum row norm)}; \\ \|A\|_2 &= \sqrt{\lambda_{max}(A^TA)} \quad \text{(spectral norm)}. \end{split}$$

where $\lambda_{max}(A^TA)$ is the largest eigenvalue of A^TA .

Example

Let

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 3 & -6 \\ -2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} & \|A\|_1 = \max 5, 8, 9 = 9, \\ & \|A\|_{\infty} = \max 10, 8, 4 = 10, \\ & \|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\max \left\{3.07, 23.86, 49.06\right\}} \approx 7.0045, \\ & \|x\|_F = \sqrt{76} \approx 8.718. \end{split}$$

Two important inequalities.

Theorem

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following inequalities hold.

$$||Ax||_p \le ||A||_p ||x||_p$$
 (compatibility of matrix and vector norm)

and

$$||AB||_p \le ||A||_p ||B||_p$$
 (submultiplicativity of matrix norms)

Note that for the identity matrix I,

$$||I||_p = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ix||_p}{||x||_p} = 1.$$

Compare this with the first approach in which we view I as a vector of length n^2 . For example the Frobenius norm (2-vector norm) is

$$||I||_F = \sqrt{n}.$$

Error Analysis

▶ Let

$$Ax = b \tag{2}$$

be the original system, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Error Analysis

▶ Let

$$Ax = b \tag{2}$$

be the original system, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

▶ Let

$$(A + \Delta A)\tilde{x} = b + \Delta b \tag{3}$$

be the perturbed system, where $\Delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\Delta b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represent the perturbations in A and b, respectively.

Error Analysis

Let

$$Ax = b (2)$$

be the original system, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let

$$(A + \Delta A)\tilde{x} = b + \Delta b \tag{3}$$

be the perturbed system, where $\Delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\Delta b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represent the perturbations in A and b, respectively.

- Mhat is the error $\Delta x = \tilde{x} x$ between the solution x of the exact linear system (7) and the solution ex perturbed linear system (8).
- Use a representation

$$\tilde{x} = x + \Delta x.$$

Error Analysis. Perturbation in b only

The original linear system,

$$Ax = b$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The perturbed linear system

$$A(x + \Delta x) = b + \Delta b,$$

where $\Delta b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represents the perturbations in b. Subtracting we get

$$A\Delta x = \Delta b$$
, or $\Delta x = A^{-1}\Delta b$.

Error Analysis. Perturbation in b only

The original linear system,

$$Ax = b$$
,

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The perturbed linear system

$$A(x + \Delta x) = b + \Delta b,$$

where $\Delta b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represents the perturbations in b. Subtracting we get

$$A\Delta x = \Delta b$$
, or $\Delta x = A^{-1}\Delta b$.

Take norms:

$$\|\Delta x\| = \|A^{-1}\Delta b\| \le \|A^{-1}\| \|\Delta b\|. \tag{4}$$

To estimate relative error, note that Ax = b and as a result

$$||b|| = ||Ax|| \le ||A|| ||x|| \implies \frac{1}{||x||} \le ||A|| \frac{1}{||b||}.$$
 (5)

Combining (4) and (5) we get

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \le \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}.$$
 (6)

Error Analysis. Perturbation in b only

Definition

The (p-) condition number $\kappa_p(A)$ of a matrix A (with respect to inversion) is defined by

$$\kappa_p(A) = ||A||_p ||A^{-1}||_p.$$

Set $\kappa_p(A) = \infty$ is A is not invertible. MATLAB's build in function cond(A).

lf

$$Ax = b$$

and

$$A(x + \Delta x) = b + \Delta b,$$

then the relative error between the solutions obeys

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \le \kappa_p(A) \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}.$$

Error Analysis. General Case.

Let

$$Ax = b \tag{7}$$

be the original system, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let

$$(A + \Delta A)(\Delta x + x) = b + \Delta b \tag{8}$$

be the perturbed system, where $\Delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\Delta b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ represent the perturbations in A and b, respectively.

▶ If $||A^{-1}||_p ||\Delta A||_p < 1$, then

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|_p}{\|x\|_p} \le \frac{\kappa_p(A)}{1 - \kappa_p(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|_p}{\|A\|_p}} \left(\frac{\|\Delta A\|_p}{\|A\|_p} + \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} \right). \tag{9}$$

If $\kappa_p(A)$ is small, we say that the linear system is **well conditioned**. Otherwise, we say that the linear system is **ill conditioned**.

Error Analysis. Example. Hilbert Matrix

Example

Hilbert Matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with entries

$$h_{ij} = \int_0^1 x^{i+j-2} dx = \frac{1}{i+j-1}.$$

For n=4,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{7} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$H^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 16 & -120 & 240 & -140 \\ -120 & 1200 & -2700 & 1680 \\ 240 & -2700 & 6480 & -4200 \\ -140 & 1680 & -4200 & 2800 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Error Analysis. Example. Hilbert Matrix.

Example

We compute that the condition number of a Hilbert matrix grows very fast with $n. \ \mbox{For} \ n=4$

$$||H||_1 = \frac{25}{12} \quad ||H^{-1}||_1 = 13620, \quad \kappa_1(H) = 28375,$$

$$||H||_{\infty} = \frac{25}{12} \quad ||H^{-1}||_{\infty} = 13620, \quad \kappa_{\infty}(H) = 28375,$$

$$||H||_2 \approx 1.5 \quad ||H^{-1}||_2 \approx 1.03 * 10^4, \quad \kappa_2(H) \approx 1.55 * 10^4.$$

Error Analysis. Example. Hilbert Matrix.

Example

We consider the linear systems

$$Hx = b$$
.

For given n we set $x_{ex}=(1,\ldots,1)^T\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and compute $b=Hx_{ex}$. Then we compute the solution of the linear system Hx=b using the LU-decomposition and compute the relative error between exact solution x_{ex} and computed solution x.

$\mid n \mid$	$\kappa_{\infty}(H)$	$\frac{\ x_{ex}-x\ _{\infty}}{\ x_{ex}\ _{\infty}}$
4	2.837500e + 004	2.958744e - 013
5	9.436560e + 005	5.129452e - 012
6	2.907028e + 007	5.096734e - 011
7	9.851949e + 008	2.214796e - 008
8	3.387279e + 010	1.973904e - 007
9	1.099651e + 012	4.215144e - 005
10	3.535372e + 013	5.382182e - 004

Error Analysis.

▶ If we use finite precision arithmetic, then rounding causes errors in the input data. Using m-digit floating point arithmetic it holds that

$$\frac{|x - fl(x)|}{|x|} \le 0.5 * 10^{-m+1}.$$

▶ Thus, if we solve the linear system in m-digit floating point arithmetic, then, as rule of thumb, we may approximate the the input errors due to rounding by

$$\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} \approx 0.5 * 10^{-m+1}, \quad \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} \approx 0.5 * 10^{-m+1}$$

▶ If the condition number of A is $\kappa(A) = 10^{\alpha}$, then

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \le \frac{10^{\alpha}}{1 - 10^{\alpha - m + 1}} (0.5 * 10^{-m} + 0.5 * 10^{-m}) \approx 10^{\alpha - m}.$$

Provided $10^{\alpha-m+1} < 1$.

Rule of thumb: If the linear system is solved in m-digit floating point arithmetic and if the condition number of A is of the order 10^{α} , then only $m-\alpha-1$ digits in the solution can be trusted.

Summary.

- ightharpoonup If the condition number of a matrix A is large, then small errors in the data may lead to large errors in the solution.
- ▶ Rule of thumb: If the linear system is solved in m-digit floating point arithmetic and if the condition number of A is of the order 10^{α} , then only $m-\alpha-1$ digits in the solution can be trusted.