Secureum CARE Report: Tracer

December 2021

CARE: Why

CARE stands for "Comprehensive Audit Readiness Evaluation." CARE is *not* a replacement for a security audit, but is intended to happen before an audit so that protocol code becomes ready for future audit(s) to get a better security outcome from the process.

CARE reviews protocol code mainly for common security pitfalls and best-practices as related to smart contracts written in Solidity specifically for Ethereum blockchain or associated <u>Layer-2 protocols</u>. The pitfalls & best-practices are evaluated from (but not limited to) Secureum's Security Pitfalls & Best Practices <u>101</u> and <u>201</u>.

CARE aims to help identify such common pitfalls & best-practices so that they can be fixed before audit(s). This improves protocol's risk posture earlier in the design & development lifecycle and enables future audit(s) to focus more on deeper/harder application-specific and economic vulnerabilities. CARE helps smart contract security "shift-left" which is widely regarded as significantly improving security posture and outcome.

CARE reviews are performed by "CAREtakers" which includes a Secureum representative (who has a proven track-record of smart contract security expertise/experience) along with invited participants who are top-performing members of the Secureum community and aspiring smart contract security experts. They are invited based on their performance in Secureum's RACEs (i.e. security challenges/quizzes).

CARE: When, Who & What

Dates: December 6th - December 17th, 2021

CAREtakers (Discord handles): Rhynorater#6461, jonah#9279, asgeir.eth#2021, robertistok.eth#3743, luked#8675, Hatchet#3793, StErMi.eth#0277, Kalzak#3920, saian#0610, tqts#0820, RustyRabbit#9674, JM#4592, Hsiu-Ping(Nicholas) Lin#1066, Tadashi#0789, Mai-Hsuan(Kevin) Chia#1071, ospwner#2746, charlesjhongc#4725, PrivacyGeorge#9699, tomasfrancisco#8147, teddav#2297, PlayWith.eth#9252, sarang#5598, Maddiaa#1372, 0xloic#6774, broccolirob#8828, special_m1#8669, lolol#7917 & Rajeev | Secureum#761

<u>Scope</u>: Review focused on this private repository/commit of Tracer protocol: https://github.com/mycelium-ethereum/perpetual-pools-contracts-v2-care/tree/6668af7fd713 926065c08ea3a4b3609be3a151f1 <u>Note</u>: Commit hash used is as of December 6th. If there were changes/commits after that across repositories, some of them may have been used in Github Permalinks below but not necessarily reviewed.

CARE Security Pitfalls & Best-Practices: Checklist

1. Single-step ownership change is risky

- a. Summary: Single-step ownership change for contracts is risky.
- b. Details: When privileged roles are being changed, it is recommended to follow a two-step approach: 1) The current privileged role proposes a new address for the change 2) The newly proposed address then claims the privileged role in a separate transaction. This two-step change allows accidental proposals to be corrected instead of leaving the system operationally with no/malicious privileged role. For e.g., in a single-step change, if the current admin accidentally changes the new admin to a zero-address or an incorrect address (where the private keys are not available), the system is left without an operational admin and will have to be redeployed.

PoolFactory, PoolKeeper and PriceObserver contracts inherit from Ownable while PoolToken inherits from ERC20_Cloneable which implements transferOwnership similar to Ownable. If the new owner is set to a wrong address this could lead to loss of funds.

- c. Github Permalinks: <u>PoolFactory</u>, <u>PoolKeeper</u>, <u>PoolToken</u>, <u>PriceObserver</u>
- d. **Mitigation**: Consider replacing single-step ownership change by a two-step change which involves separate propose/grant+claim steps. Also consider overriding the renounceOwnership() function to prevent it from ever being called.

2. Time-delayed change of critical parameters is absent

- a. **Summary**: Change of critical parameters should be emitted via events and enforced only after a time delay.
- b. Details: When critical parameters of systems need to be changed, it is required to broadcast the change via event emission and recommended to enforce the changes after a time-delay. This is to allow system users to be aware of such critical changes and give them an opportunity to exit or adjust their engagement with the system accordingly. For e.g. reducing the rewards or increasing the fees in a system might not be acceptable to some users who may wish to withdraw their funds and exit. This allows a malicious or compromised owner account to change all these critical parameters without giving users time to react.

PoolFactory, PoolKeeper and PriceObserver contracts inherit from Ownable while PoolToken inherits from ERC20_Cloneable which implements transferOwnership similar to Ownable. All onlyOwner functions that set/change critical addresses/parameters in these contracts should apply a timelock.

- c. Github Permalinks: setPoolKeeper, setAutoClaim, setMaxLeverage, setFeeReceiver, setSecondaryFeeSplitPercent, setFee, setMintAndBurnFee, setPriceObserver, setWriter
- d. **Mitigation**: Critical address/parameter updates should happen only after an adequate time delay so users have time to react. If not, this should be clearly documented and communicated to all users as a potential risk.

3. Unnecessary constructor

- a. Summary: Proxied contracts with initialisers do not need constructors.
- b. **Details:** Implementation contracts that are deployed by a proxy and initialised via initialisers do not need constructors. PoolCommitter contracts are deployed by PoolFactory as clones (minimal proxies) with an initialize function. But they also have a constructor which is unnecessary.
 - Such unnecessary constructors are also present in PoolToken and ERC20_Cloneable.
- c. Github Permalinks: <u>Cloning & Initialising</u>, <u>PoolCommitter</u> <u>constructor</u>, <u>PoolCommitter initialize</u>, <u>PoolToken</u>, <u>ERC20 Cloneable</u>
- d. Mitigation: Remove the constructor.

4. Proxy templates not initialised

- a. **Summary:** Proxied contract templates should also be initialised.
- b. **Details:** PoolFactory deployment creates template of PoolCommitter contract to be cloned later. The clones will be initialised upon cloning but the original template's initialize function is never called and thus left in a state where it can still be called by anyone.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolFactory
- d. **Mitigation:** In the PoolFactory constructor, initialise the template of poolCommitterBase.

5. Loop may run out of gas

- a. **Summary**: PoolCommiter executeCommitments function has a while (true) loop that could run out of gas during execution.
- b. **Details**: PoolCommiter executeCommitments function has a while (true) loop that calls an gas-intensive function executeGivenCommitments. There is a risk that this function fails with an out of gas error if it gets too far behind. The developers note in a comment that "In reality, this should never iterate more than once," but it is not clear that this property has been verified to hold true under different protocol/network conditions.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolCommitter
- d. **Mitigation**: Consider modifying executeCommitments to a fixed number of iterations.

6. Name shadowing is misleading

a. **Summary**: Name shadowing where two or more variables/functions share the same name could be confusing to developers and/or reviewers.

b. **Details**:

- i. Function keeperTip uses a variable named keeperTip. The variable is of type uint256, which is the same as the return type of the function.
- ii. Function deployPairToken takes the parameter owner. This variable shadows an owner variable that is inherited from the Ownable contract.
- c. Github Permalinks: keeperTip, owner
- d. **Mitigation**: Rename the variables to avoid name shadowing.

7. Return value of functions ignored

a. Summary: The return value of functions need to be checked for appropriate values/conditions to determine error conditions appropriately. If they are deemed unnecessary, such functions should be declared to not return those values.

b. Details:

- i. The IOracleWrapper interface defines a function called poll which has a return value of int256. poll is called once in PoolKeeper but its return value is not assigned/used. It appears that poll is called solely to modify state. This interface has two implementations, both of which return these values.
- ii. The IPriceObserver interface defines a function called add which has a return value of bool. add is called once in SMAOracle but its return value is not assigned/used. It appears that add is called solely to modify state. This interface has an implementation that returns a boolean value.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolKeeper, SMAOracle
- d. **Mitigation:** Change the interface and implementations to return nothing.

8. Missing input-validation may lead to infinite loop

- a. **Summary**: LeveragedPool.initialize does not check that updateInterval is not zero.
- b. Details: LeveragedPool.initialize does not check that updateInterval is not zero which could cause the loop in PoolCommitter.executeCommitments to run unbounded and out of gas if this is accidentally set to 0.
- c. **Github Permalinks**: <u>updateInterval</u>, <u>executeCommitments</u>
- d. **Mitigation**: Add a require in LeveragedPool.initialize to check that initialization. updateInterval != 0.
- 9. Missing sanity/threshold checks in input validation

a. **Summary**: Sanity/threshold checks (depending on their types) on input parameters are missing.

b. **Details**:

- i. poolCommitterAddress is not validated to be a valid pool committer address in AutoClaim.paidClaim.
- ii. frontRunningInterval and updateInterval may be too short (even 0) or too long. If the variable is incorrectly set during a new pool deployment, it can leave the pool unprotected against front running attacks or the pool may be unusable due to an unreasonably long interval.
- iii. No sanity lower/upper bound check on price in setGasPrice.
- iv. No sanity check on updateIntervals in SMAOracle constructor.
- v. No sanity check that new governance != governance (current).
- c. **Github Permalinks**: <u>AutoClaim.paidClaim</u>, <u>frontRunningInterval</u>, <u>setGasPrice</u>, <u>SMAOracle</u>, <u>transferGovernance</u>
- d. **Mitigation**: Perform appropriate sanity/threshold checks (depending on their types) on input parameters.

10. Incorrect order of input validation

- a. **Summary:** Input validation on parameters is performed *after* their values are used in function.
- b. Details: The input validation of deployParameters, leverageAmount and quoteToken is done after the values are used in the creating clone contracts. Similarly, the threshold validation of secondaryFeeSplitPercent is done in feeTransfer instead of initialize. Gas used will unnecessarily be more when input validation fails.
- c. Github Permalinks: deployPool, feetTransfer
- d. **Mitigation:** Perform input validation before values are used in function.

11. Unnecessary initialize can help bypass access control

- a. **Summary**: Unnecessary initialize function in AutoClaim can help bypass access control.
- b. **Details**: There will be (at most) one instance of AutoClaim which means that this is not meant to be behind a proxy which requires (atomic) initialization via deployment script or factory. However, there is an initialize function implemented which has external visibility and that sets the poolFactory address.
 - Given that this initialize function is never called by the protocol's typical flows, it may be called once (because of the initializer modifier) by an attacker to set the poolFactory address to their controlled malicious contract. If that malicious contract implements a dummy version of poolFactory.isValidPoolCommitter used in the onlyPoolCommitter modifier, it can be used to bypass access control on functions makePaidClaimRequest and withdrawUserClaimRequest.

- c. Github Permalinks: <u>initialize</u>, <u>onlyPoolCommitter</u>, <u>makePaidClaimRequest</u>, <u>withdrawUserClaimRequest</u>
- d. **Mitigation**: Remove initialize function.

12. Gas optimizations

- a. **Summary:** There are various best-practices to make code more gas efficient.
- b. Details:
 - Variables set only once in constructor/initialize can be made immutable.
 - ii. PriceObserver.leftRotateWithPad O(n) algorithm could be optimised to O(1). Instead of moving every element in the array, simply maintain a pointer to which index is the oldest in the array. When a new item is added, replace the oldest index and update: pointer = (pointer + 1) % length. Note that this does not affect the SMA algorithm which uses getAll. Although the elements will be in a different order, addition is commutative. Additionally, the SMA algorithm can also be reduced to O(1) by having the PriceObserver keep a running total of items (subtract items that get evicted, add new ones) and return that sum instead of O(n) summing all of the items every time an average is calculated.
 - iii. Inherit from InvariantCheck in LeveragedPool and PoolCommitter instead of making external calls.
 - iv. Pass owner from PoolFactory to the PoolCommitter instead of having it call back in initialize.
 - v. poolBase and poolCommitterBase are unnecessary in the presence of poolBaseAddress and poolCommitterBaseAddress.
 - vi. Consider optimising multiPaidClaimMultiplePoolCommitters by batching all the payments in a single transfer.
 - vii. Replace two external calls to
 ILeveragedPool(_poolAddress).oracleWrapper and
 IOracleWrapper(oracleWrapper).getPrice with a single one
 ILeveragedPool(_poolAddress).getOraclePrice in
 PoolKeeper.newPool.
 - viii. State variables read multiple times can be cached in local variables.
 - ix. Variables whose initial values are expected to be the same as default values of their types can skip explicit initialisation to save gas.
 - x. Expressions where the written value is guaranteed to not overflow/underflow can use unchecked{} blocks to skip inbuilt compiler bounds check to save gas.

c. Github Permalinks:

- i. <u>updateInterval</u>, <u>oracle</u>, <u>scaler</u>
- ii. PriceObserver.leftRotateWithPad
- iii. <u>InvariantCheck</u>, <u>LeveragedPool</u>, <u>PoolCommitter</u>
- iv. initialize

- V. poolBaseAddress and poolCommitterBaseAddress
- Vi. multiPaidClaimMultiplePoolCommitters
- VII. PoolKeeper.newPool
- Viii. provisionalGovernance and governance, autoClaim, updateIntervalId, paused, quoteToken, feeAddress, keeper
- ix. <u>lastUpdate, updateInterval, S, observer, loop index i, numElems, writer</u>
- X. <u>updateIntervalId</u>, <u>counter</u>, <u>numPools</u>, <u>remainder</u>
- d. Mitigation: As noted in the respective details.

13. Missing explicit visibility for state variables

- a. **Summary:** Visibility of important protocol parameters can be made public.
- b. Details: Certain protocol parameters captured in state variables have the default internal visibility without any getter functions. It will be helpful to either change their visibility to public or add public getters to allow users to read their values easily.
 - i. lastUpdate and updateInterval in SMAOracle
 - ii. mintingFee and burningFee in PoolCommiter
- c. **Github Permalinks:** mintingFee and burningFee, lastUpdate and updateInterval
- d. Mitigation: Evaluate visibility of protocol parameters.

14. Modifier with side-effects

- a. **Summary:** Modifiers with side-effects are risky.
- b. Details: Modifiers should only implement checks and not make state changes and external calls which violates the checks-effects-interactions pattern. These side-effects may go unnoticed by developers/reviewers because the modifier code is typically far from the function implementation.
 Modifier updateBalance calls updateAggregateBalance before the modifier's function executes, which adds the user's most recent commit to their aggregated balance. This modifier is used only once by the PoolCommitter commit function.
- c. Github Permalinks: updateBalance, updateAggregateBalance, commit
- d. **Mitigation:** Replace modifier by an internal function which gets called at the beginning of the function.

15. Public function visibility can be made external

a. Summary: Functions should have the strictest visibility possible. Public functions may lead to more gas usage by forcing the copy of their parameters to memory from calldata.

- b. **Details**: If a public function is never called from the contract it should be marked as external. This will save gas.
- c. Github Permalinks: withdrawUserClaimRequest, checkUserClaim, getAggregateBalance, keeperTip, get, getAll, add, setWriter, getWriter, clear, getLastUpdate
- d. **Mitigation**: Change visibility from public to external.

16. Avoid transfer as reentrancy mitigation

- a. **Summary:** transfer as reentrancy mitigation may break with gas repricing of opcodes.
- b. Details: Although transfer has been recommended in the past as a security best-practice to prevent reentrancy attacks because it only forwards 2300 gas, gas repricing of opcodes may break deployed contracts. There are four places where transfer is used where checks-effects-interactions pattern is not followed.
- c. Github Permalinks: makePaidClaimRequest, paidClaim, withdrawClaimRequest, withdrawUserClaimRequest
- d. **Mitigation:** Consider using OpenZeppelin's sendValue or use call without hardcoded gas limits along with checks-effects-interactions pattern and/or reentrancy guards for reentrancy protection.

17. Costly operations inside a loop

- a. **Summary:** Operations such as state variable updates and external calls cost a lot of gas, are expensive and may lead to out-of-gas errors when performed inside a loop especially if the loop index can be user-controlled.
- b. **Details:** Programming patterns such as looping over arrays of unknown size may lead to out-of-gas errors when the gas cost of execution exceeds the provided transaction gas or the block gas limit.
- c. **Github Permalinks:** multiPaidClaimMultiplePoolCommitters, multiPaidClaimSinglePoolCommitter
- d. **Mitigation:** Avoid expensive operations within loops and check that the loop index cannot be user-controlled or is bounded.

18. Modifiers checkInvariantsBeforeFunction and

checkInvariantsAfterFunction undermine contract pausing

- a. Summary: Modifiers checkInvariantsBeforeFunction and checkInvariantsAfterFunction check for invariants and set a boolean paused if any invariant does not hold. However, the same modifiers trigger a revert if boolean paused is set after checking for invariants.
- b. Details: Modifiers checkInvariantsBeforeFunction and checkInvariantsAfterFunction in LeveragedPool and PoolCommitter check for invariants in

InvariantCheck.checkInvariants, set a boolean paused and emit a Paused event if any invariant does not hold. However, the same modifiers trigger a revert if boolean paused is set after checking for invariants. This effectively reverts any transaction that violates invariants instead of enabling pausing of contracts.

- c. **Github Permalinks:** <u>LeveragedPool modifiers</u>, <u>PoolCommitter</u> modifiers, <u>InvariantCheck.checkInvariants</u>
- d. **Mitigation:** Specify the intended pause/unpause behaviour on invariant failure and implement accordingly. Also, modifiers should not change the state of contracts.

19. Ether can get locked in contract

- a. Summary: Ether can get locked in the PoolCommitter contract when a user mistakenly sets the payForClaim parameter to false while sending Ether to the commit function.
- b. Details: The PoolCommitter commit function is declared payable. However, a user failing to set payForClaim to true while sending Ether to the commit function will bypass the call to forward msg.value to autoClaim.makePaidClaimRequest. That Ether deposit is locked in the PoolCommitter contract with no way to get it out.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolCommitter.commit
- d. Mitigation: Add a check that enforces msg.value > 0 && payForClaim or an access controlled function to sweep any such locked Ether from the PoolCommitter contract.

20. Missing events for critical functions

- a. **Summary**: Critical functions do not emit events.
- b. **Details**: Events should be emitted for critical functions that are access-controlled, setters of protocol parameters or those affecting protocol in significant ways:
 - i. ERC20 Cloneable.transferOwnership.
 - ii. Paused and Unpaused in PoolCommiter.pause and PoolCommiter.unpause.
 - iii. RequestWithdrawn in withdrawUserClaimRequest.
 - iv. withdrawQuote which is used by onlyGov to withdraw all quote assets when paused.
 - V. Privileged setters: setQuoteAndPool, setWriter, setFactory, setPriceObserver, setGasPrice, setNewPoolBalances, payKeeperFromBalances, setPoolKeeper, setMaxLeverage, setFeeReceiver, setSecondaryFeeSplitPercent, setFee, setMintAndBurnFee.

- vi. When the user self-claims in makePaidClaimRequest, emission of PaidRequestExecution is missing.
- c. Github Permalinks: ERC20 Cloneable.transferOwnership,

PoolCommiter.pause, PoolCommiter.unpause,

withdrawUserClaimRequest, LeveragedPool.withdrawQuote,

PoolCommitter.setQuoteAndPool, PriceObserver.setWriter,

PoolKeeper.setFactory, PoolKeeper.setPriceObserver

PoolKeeper.setGasPrice,

LeveragedPool.setNewPoolBalances,

LeveragedPool.payKeeperFromBalances,

PoolFactory.setPoolKeeper, PoolFactory.setMaxLeverage,

PoolFactory.setFeeReceiver,

PoolFactory.setSecondaryFeeSplitPercent,

PoolFactory.setFee, PoolFactory.setMintAndBurnFee, PaidRequestExecution

d. **Mitigation**: Emit appropriate events with necessary parameters.

21. Divide before multiply may lead to loss of precision

- a. Summary: _tipPercent is divided by 100 before being multiplied by keeperGas.
- b. **Details**: Performing multiplication before division is generally better to avoid loss of precision because Solidity integer division may truncate.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolKeeper
- d. **Mitigation**: Consider performing multiplication before division.
- 22. block.timestamp used as time proxy
 - a. **Summary**: Risk of using block.timestamp for time should be considered.
 - b. **Details**: block.timestamp is not an ideal proxy for time because of issues with synchronisation, miner manipulation and changing block times.
 - c. Github Permalinks: <u>LeveragedPool</u>, <u>PoolCommitter</u>, <u>PoolKeeper</u>, SMAOracle
 - d. **Mitigation**: Consider the risk of using block.timestamp as time proxy and evaluate if block numbers can be used as an approximation for the application logic. Both have risks that need to be factored in.

23. Token approval may be unnecessary and fail

- a. **Summary**: Token approval may be unnecessary and also not compatible with some ERC20 tokens.
- b. **Details**: setQuoteAndPool grants LeveragedPool unlimited approval to the quote tokens it owns but it is not clear that it needs to own any quote tokens. Also, some ERC20 tokens may require allowance to be zero before calling approve with a non-zero value.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolCommitter
- d. **Mitigation**: Evaluate the need for this approval. Consider setting allowance to 0 before approving to a different value or use safeIncreaseAllowance which is not susceptible to the approve race condition.

24. Block time may not be a constant

a. **Summary**: Block time may not be a constant value of 13 as used.

- b. **Details**: The keeper tip is increased by TIP_DELTA_PER_BLOCK every block. For this, the block time is assumed to be a constant value of 13 seconds. While this value fluctuates every block, it is expected to become 12 seconds after the anticipated Merge of the Ethereum protocol. Under Proof-of-Stake which comes into effect after the Merge, blocks will be created exactly 12 seconds apart.
 - Keeper's tip is calculated as BASE_TIP + (TIP_PER_BLOCK * elapsedBlocks) / BLOCK_TIME. If average block time increases, this would result in keepers getting overpaid because they now do upkeep less frequently than before. If average block time decreases (e.g. Merge), this would result in reduced keeper incentive which may make them do upkeep less frequently.
- c. Github Permalinks: BLOCK TIME, keeperTip
- d. **Mitigation**: Consider using block.number or factor in the anticipated change in block times in other ways e.g. change BLOCK_TIME variable from constant to a state variable with a setter to change it after Merge.

25. Use of magic numbers is confusing and risky

a. **Summary**: Magic numbers are hardcoded numbers used in the code which are ambiguous to their intended purpose. These should be replaced with constants to make code more readable and maintainable.

b. Details:

- 365: Annual fee is divided by 365 days to calculate fee per update. Leap years have 366 days. 365 is not guaranteed to be the number of days every year as assumed. Depending on the precision required, 365.25 or 365.2425 days per year is more accurate.
- ii. Long and Short tokens are accessed in PoolCommitter code using indices 0 and 1 instead of using the constants LONG_INDEX and SHORT INDEX defined for them.

c. Github Permalinks:

- i. 365 days, PoolCommiter
- d. **Mitigation**: Replace magic hardcoded numbers with declared constants.

26. Constant not used consistently everywhere

- a. **Summary:** Constant MAX_NUM_ELEMS set to 24 is not used consistently everywhere.
- b. **Details:** The last MAX_NUM_ELEMS oracle prices are stored in an array but this constant is not used in some places. For example, functions SMA and getAll use a hard-coded value of 24 for the size of their array parameters.
- c. Github Permalinks: MAX NUM ELEMS, SMA, getAll
- d. **Mitigation:** Use the constant MAX_NUM_ELEMS consistently in all places instead of the hard-coded value 24.

27. Unnecessary inheritance

- a. Summary: Context is inherited twice.
- b. **Details:** Context is inherited from ERC20 as well as directly in ERC20_Cloneable. This is unnecessary and can be removed.

- c. Github Permalinks: ERC20 Cloneable
- d. Mitigation: Remove the direct inheritance of Context.

28. Code, comments and documentation

a. **Summary**: Discrepancies in/between or inaccuracies/deficiencies in code, comment and documentation can be misleading and could indicate the presence of inaccurate implementation or documentation.

b. Details:

- Specification and documentation have not been updated for V2 of the protocol which is significantly complex. No descriptive changelog from V1 to V2 is available.
- ii. Natspec of PoolToken.mint @param refers to burn.
- iii. Missing Natspec for ChainlinkOracleWrapper.poll.
- iv. Document that only ERC20 tokens that implement the optional decimals function will be accepted in the protocol.
- v. Natspec comment for LeveragedPool.poolTokenTransfer says long tokens but function handles both short and long tokens depending on boolean parameter isLongToken.
- vi. Natspec comments for LeveragedPool.mintTokens and
 LeveragedPool.burnTokens say isLongToken should be true
 if minting/burning short token but it should really be long token.
- vii. Natspec for applyCommitment incorrectly mentions @param userCommit twice. The second one should really be @param totalCommit.
- viii. Duplicated comments in deployPool.
- ix. Incomplete @notice comment in withdrawUserClaimRequest.
- x. Address or remove @dev comment in the AutoClaim contract comment block.
- xi. Missing comment above the SMAOracle contract.
- xii. Unused comment title "Global state" in PoolToken.
- xiii. The param poolCommitterAddress is of address type while @dev comment treats it as a list of addresses.
- xiv. The param owner is not documented in deployPairToken.
- xv. The param payForClaim is not documented in commit.
- xvi. Tokens mentioned in @amount and @return should be pool tokens and settlement tokens respectively, not the other way around. The function should be getBurn not getMint.
- xvii. Comment of PoolToken.mint should say mint, not burn.

- xviii. @dev note of withdrawQuote states "Pool must not be paused" while in the function it has require (paused).
- xix. Code and comment mismatch when k is equal to xs length because SMA @dev says "Throws if `k` is greater than or equal to the length of `xs` (due to buffer overrun potential)" while the code implements require (... && $k \le n$ &&...).
- xx. Code and comment mismatch when k is equal to
 uint256(type(int256).max) because SMA @dev says "Throws
 if `k` is the maximum *signed* 256-bit integer (due to necessary
 division)" while the code implements k <=
 uint256(type(int256).max).</pre>
- xxi. Comment for modifier in

LeveragedPool.checkInvariantsBeforeFunction refers incorrectly to PoolCommitter.

- xxii. Documentation says that pool deployer gets to specify the pool owner but the implementation sets this to the PoolFactory owner which is the governance.
- xxiii. Incorrect comment specifying an initialiser address that does not exist in: "@dev Only callable by the associated initialiser address" in PoolCommitter.initialize.
- xxiv. Missing Natspec @param's for

ChainlinkOracleWrapper.constructor,
LeveragedPool.initialize, PoolFactory.constructor,
PoolKeeper.constructor, SMAOracle.constructor,
PoolSwapLibrary.mulFraction.

- xxv. ERC20_Cloneable constructor @dev comments incorrectly specify a deprecated _setupDecimals function and that decimals has 18 as default value.
- xxvii. Comment for poolName says "pool code".
- xxviii. Missing Natspec for IPoolCommitter functions and structs.
- xxix. Missing Natspec for IPoolFactory and IPoolKeeper.
- xxx. Out of order @params compared to parameters in function declaration in LeveragedPool.poolTokenTransfer.

- xxxi. The comment: "// Since lastPriceTimestamp <= block.timestamp, the below also confirms that timestamp >= block.timestamp" is incorrect because lastPriceTimestamp <= block.timestamp and lastPriceTimestamp <= timestamp does not always imply that block.timestamp <= timestamp because this can also be satisfied when lastPriceTimestamp <= timestamp <= block.timestamp.
- xxxii. Comment says: "Assumes shortBalance + longBalance >= reward" but code implements reward shortBalance + _longBalance > amount while it should be '>='.
- xxxiii. Comment says: "@return Quantities of pool tokens for this pool (long and short, respectively)" but it should be "short and long".
- xxxiv. Comment "// Modifiers" should be above modifier checkInvariantsBeforeFunction.
- xxxv. Comment in keeperGas says: "safe due to explicit bounds check above" before making an explicit downcast from uint256 to bytes16 but it is not clear which bounds check is being referred to.

c. Github Permalinks: PoolToken.mint,

ChainlinkOracleWrapper.poll, LeveragedPool.poolTokenTransfer, LeveragedPool.mintTokens, LeveragedPool.burnTokens, PoolCommitter.applyCommitment, PoolFactory.deployPool, withdrawUserClaimRequest, AutoClaim, SMAOracle, PoolToken, poolCommitterAddress, deployPairToken, commit, PoolSwapLibrary.getBurn, PoolToken.mint, withdrawOuote, SMA k and xs length, SMA and max integer, LeveragedPool.checkInvariantsBeforeFunction, PoolFactory.owner, PoolCommitter.initialize, ChainlinkOracleWrapper.constructor, LeveragedPool.initial ize, PoolFactory.constructor, PoolKeeper.constructor, SMAOracle.constructor, PoolSwapLibrary.mulFraction, ERC20 Cloneable.constructor, ILeveragedPool. invariantCheckContract, ILeveragedPool.poolName, IPoolCommitter functions, IPoolCommitter structs, IPoolFactory, IPoolKeeper, <u>LeveragedPool.poolTokenTransfer, lastPriceTimestamp <=</u>

timestamp <= block.timestamp, shortBalance, longBalance,

reward Comment, shortBalance, longBalance, reward Code,

short and long, modifier checkInvariantsBeforeFunction,

keeperGas

d. **Mitigation:** Code, comments and documentation should all be accurate and consistent.

29. Ordering of parameters

- a. **Summary:** PoolToken mint and burn parameters are switched from the typical order.
- b. **Details:** Token mint and burn functions typically take two parameters: address and amount in that order. However, the PoolToken mint and burn functions have this reversed which could be confusing and lead to errors.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolToken.mint
- d. **Mitigation:** Correct the order to what is typically used.

30. Missing zero-address validation

- **a. Summary**: Missing zero-address validation for address parameters may lead to ETH/tokens getting burned or cause reverts.
- b. **Details**: The following address parameters are missing zero-address checks:
 - i. secondaryFeeAddress: Missing zero address check for this in initialize seems to be to allow primary fee address DAO to collect all fees. However, given that this can only be updated by the previous secondaryFeeAddress and not onlyGov, a zero address used for initialization accidentally cannot be changed later, requiring contract redeployment.
 - ii. deployer in ChainlinkOracleWrapper constructor.
 - iii. factory in setFactory.
 - iv. oracle, observer and deployer in SMAOracle constructor.
- c. Github Permalinks: $\underline{\texttt{secondaryFeeAddress}},$

ChainlinkOracleWrapper, PoolKeeper.setFactory, SMAOracle

d. **Mitigation**: Consider adding zero-address validation with a require statement or custom errors.

31. Missing indexed event parameters

- a. **Summary**: Events without indexed event parameters make it harder and inefficient for off-chain tools to analyse them.
- b. **Details**: Indexed parameters ("topics") are searchable event parameters. They are stored separately from unindexed event parameters in an efficient manner to allow for faster access. This is useful for efficient off-chain-analysis, but it is also more costly gas-wise.
 - PaidRequestExecution and RequestWithdrawn events do not use indexed parameters.
- c. Github Permalinks: PaidRequestExecution, RequestWithdrawn
- d. **Mitigation**: Consider which event parameters could be particularly useful to off-chain tools and should be indexed.

32. Unnecessary modifiers

- a. **Summary:** Unnecessary modifier checkInvariantsAfterFunction on functions.
- b. **Details:** checkInvariantsAfterFunction is used to check invariants on pool balance and pending mints. None of the functions: updateFeeAddress, setKeeper, transferGovernance or claimGovernance affect any of those invariants but have the modifier applied which leads to unnecessary computation and wasted gas.
- c. Github Permalinks: updateFeeAddress, setKeeper, transferGovernance, claimGovernance
- d. **Mitigation:** Consider if these modifiers can be removed safely.

33. Potential lock of funds

- a. **Summary**: Funds may be locked in the protocol if contracts that cannot receive Ether interact with it.
- b. **Details**: If interacting contracts have no payable fallback/receive functions, the transferred Ether will be locked because the transaction will revert.
- c. Github Permalinks: makePaidClaimRequest, paidClaimRequest, withdrawUserClaimRequest, withdrawUserClaimRequest,
- d. Mitigation: Consider changing to a pull payments model.

34. Unused named returns

- a. **Summary:** Mixing of named and explicit returns is confusing especially when named returns are unused.
- b. **Details:** _latestRoundData and getPriceAndMetadata functions have named returns that they do not use in favour of returning different variables explicitly. This decreases code readability and may be confusing for developers/reviewers.
- c. Github Permalinks: <u>latestRoundData</u>, <u>getPriceAndMetadata</u>
- d. **Mitigation:** Reconsider the use of named returns and instead explicitly use return statements with values to increase readability.

35. Missing support/documentation for use of deflationary tokens

- a. **Summary:** Deflationary tokens can break the accounting model of the system.
- b. Details: Deflationary tokens deduct a fee in transfers which causes their amount transferred to be less than that specified. It is not clear that the contracts plan to support such tokens (via a whitelist for e.g.) as quote tokens because the implemented logic does not calculate the before-and-after balances to account for such tokens. For e.g., USDT has the ability to collect fees that is not activated now, but may do so in the future. Allowed use of such deflationary tokens in the protocol may lead to under-collateralized pools because of incorrect accounting.
- c. Github Permalinks: pool.quoteTokenTransferFrom
- d. **Mitigation:** Do not allow deflationary quote tokens (e.g. maintain an allow list as part of guarded launch). Alternatively, add logic to support such tokens or

document the non-support warning.

36. Rebasing quote token can result in failed invariant check

- a. **Summary**: If the quote token of the pool is a token that is routinely rebased, it can result in failed invariant checks and contracts getting paused.
- b. **Details**: In InvariantCheck.checkInvariants, it checks that the quote token balance of the pool is greater than the sum of pool tokens and pending mints. If quote token is rebased, it can result in its balance being decreased and hence result in failed invariant check and contracts getting paused. Allowed rebasing tokens in the protocol may also lead to under-collateralized pools because of incorrect accounting.
- c. Github Permalinks: InvariantCheck.checkInvariants
- d. **Mitigation**: Do not allow quote tokens that do rebasing (e.g. maintain an allow list as part of guarded launch). Alternatively, add logic to support such tokens or document the non-support warning.

37. Unused constructs

a. **Summary**: Unused constructs (interfaces, variables, functions, modifiers, events etc.) indicate missing or unnecessary functionality.

b. **Details**:

- i. Interface IHistoricalOracleWrapper is not implemented or used by any contract.
- ii. Constant MAX DECIMALS in PoolKeeper is unused.
- iii. Event definitions in IInvariantCheck: InvariantsHold and InvariantsFail are not used for any emit.
- iv. Modifier onlyGov in PoolFactory is declared but unused with any function.
- v. The deployer variable in ChainlinkOracleWrapper contract is not used.
- c. Github Permalinks: IHISTORICALORACLEWrapper, MAX_DECIMALS, InvariantsFail, PoolFactory.onlyGov, ChainlinkOracleWrapper.deployer
- d. Mitigation: Evaluate if unused constructs indicate missing functionality that needs to be implemented or remove them to improve readability and auditability.

38. Checks-Effects-Interactions (CEI) pattern is not followed

- a. **Summary**: Not following CEI pattern is risky in the reentrancy context.
- b. **Details**: Following CEI pattern is a best-practice even if external interactions with contracts are trusted. The protocol interacts with arbitrary tokens that may not be within the trust model. Such tokens or pool creators may be malicious, forcing reentancies where sufficient mitigations are not in place.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolCommitter.commit, PoolCommitter.claim, AutoClaim.makePaidClaimRequest, AutoClaim.paidClaim, AutoClaim.withdrawClaimRequest, AutoClaim.withdrawUserClaimRequest, PoolFactory.deployPool
- d. **Mitigation**: Follow best-practice to adhere to the CEI pattern wherever possible and use reentrancy guard wherever required.

39. Incorrect data deleted leading to logic error

- a. **Summary:** Cleaning up incorrectly/insufficiently will lead to reuse of stale state and/or removal of valid state, both of which may lead to security issues.
- b. Details: PoolCommitter.updateAggregateBalance is incorrectly using the loop index i instead of the interval id at that index in currentIntervalIds while deleting userCommitments and unAggregatedCommitments for an user.
- c. **Github Permalinks:** PoolCommitter.updateAggregateBalance
- d. **Mitigation:** Replace loop index i with interval id.

40. Return value of functions ignored

- a. **Summary**: The return value of functions need to be checked for appropriate values/conditions to determine error conditions appropriately.
- b. Details: The return values of priceObserverWriter.poll and priceObserver.add(latestPrice) are ignored to only affect state change.
- c. Github Permalinks: <u>priceObserverWriter.poll</u>, <u>priceObserver.add</u>
- d. **Mitigation**: Consider checking return values of the functions to detect error codes/conditions and verify expected values.

41. Redundant variable assignment

- a. Summary: PoolCommitter governance address is initialised twice.
- b. **Details:** In the PoolCommitter initialize function, governance address is initialised twice: once with getOwner on the function parameter _factory and again with getOwner on the state variable factory which was previously initialised with function parameter _factory. The second assignment is redundant.
- c. Github Permalinks: governance
- d. **Mitigation:** Remove the second redundant assignment.

42. Use of uninitialised variables

- a. Summary: Using uninitialised variables may result in undefined behaviour.
- b. **Details:** PoolKeeper.observer address is not initialised in the constructor. However, it is used in performUpkeepSinglePool directly without a zero address check with the assumption that its setter setPriceObserver will be called by the owner before that. Without that initialisation, it will lead to reverts.
- c. Github Permalinks: <u>observer</u>, <u>constructor</u>, performUpkeepSinglePool, <u>setPriceObserver</u>
- d. **Mitigation:** Initialise observer in constructor or add zero address check in performUpkeepSinglePool.

43. Redundant modifiers

- a. **Summary**: The poolUpKeep flow has repeated checkInvariantsBeforeFunction modifiers which increases gas cost and decreases code readability and maintainability.
- b. Details: LeveragedPool.executePriceChange has a checkInvariantsBeforeFunction and PoolCommitter.executeCommitments also has a checkInvariantsBeforeFunction. They are executed in sequence in LeveragedPool.poolUpkeep.
- c. Github Permalinks: checkInvariantsBeforeFunction in executeCommitments, poolUpkeep
- d. **Mitigation**: Remove one of the checkInvariantsBeforeFunction to reduce gas cost and improve code readability and maintainability.

44. Missing equality check in setter functions

- a. **Summary:** Setter functions should check if the new value is different from the old value.
- b. **Details:** LeveragedPool.updateSecondaryFeeAddress does not check if the new address is different from the old address. This will allow accidental setting of the same address to potentially cause a mismatch between on-chain state and external bookkeeping, and also cause event emission spam/confusion.
- c. **Github Permalinks:** LeveragedPool.updateSecondaryFeeAddress
- d. **Mitigation:** For all setters, check if the new value is different from the old value and revert otherwise.

45. Malicious/Flawed InvariantCheck contract can lock funds

- a. **Summary:** A malicious pool creator can lock user funds via a malicious InvariantCheck **contract**.
- b. Details: Tracer protocol allows anyone to deploy a pool and specify their own invariantCheckContract contract (as part of the deployment parameters) which is used to check for the violation of invariants within the pool. This contract is called at the end of almost every function via checkInvariantsBeforeFunction and checkInvariantsAfterFunction modifiers and is expected to pause LeveragedPool and PoolCommitter contracts on invariant failure (although this incorrectly reverts for now). This gives pool creator the ability to pause the pool (requiring an unpause by governance) at will depending on any triggers in the pool or msg.sender. Note that this may also happen accidentally due to a benign user accidentally using a flawed InvariantCheck contract.

Pausing the pool arbitrarily will not allow users to adjust their position as

- intended, which may result in loss for users either via overstaying their position or via volatility delay.
- c. **Github Permalinks:** PoolFactory.deployPool
- d. **Mitigation:** Do not allow users to specify their own invariant contracts. Alternatively, factor in the risk from such malicious/misbehaving contracts.

46. getOwner duplicates existing functionality

- a. **Summary**: PoolFactory getOwner function duplicates OpenZeppelin's owner function.
- b. **Details**: The getOwner function is explicitly defined in the PoolFactory contract. OpenZeppelin's Ownable which is inherited in PoolFactory already contains this getter in the form of owner.
- c. Github Permalinks: getOwner
- d. **Mitigation**: Remove getOwner function and replace its usage by owner.

47. Contract addresses as parameters

- a. **Summary:** Contract addresses are not checked for existence or against expected interfaces.
- b. Details: Contract addresses passed as parameters during construction/initialisation are checked for zero addresses. However they are not checked for existence or to support the required interface.
- c. Github Permalinks: LeveragedPool
- d. **Mitigation:** Consider checking the existence of contracts and using ERC165 style supportsInterface functions in those contracts to check whether the passed addresses implement those interfaces.

48. A claim can be invoked needlessly

- a. **Summary:** A claim can be invoked without successfully claiming or getting rewards but wasting gas in the process.
- b. **Detail:** There is no easy way for users and auto-claimers to check if a claim indeed can be claimed and if there is a reward associated with it. Without that, a needlessly attempted claim can return without claiming or getting associated rewards but only wasting the caller's gas.
- c. Github Permalinks: PoolCommitter.claim
- d. **Mitigation**: Implement an external view method to allow users to check for claims and associated rewards.

49. Pay for claim without rewards

- a. **Summary:** Users can call commit with payForClaim enabled but without sending any Ether.
- b. Details: Users can call commit with payForClaim enabled but without sending any Ether i.e. no reward. This is possible because there is no check for msg.value > 0 when payForClaim is true.
- c. Github Permalinks: commit

d. **Mitigation:** Add check for msg.value > 0 when payForClaim is true.

50. newPool could revert

- a. **Summary:** newPool could revert if pool oracle is a SMAOracle without enough historical data to calculate SMA.
- b. **Details:** When a new pool is deployed by the PoolFactory, if the oracle used by the pool is a SMAOracle that has not yet accumulated the required historical data to cover the SMA periods, it will revert on getPrice and revert pool deployment.
- c. Github Permalinks: newPool
- d. **Mitigation:** During deployment, check if oracle is a SMAOracle that has accumulated the required historical data to cover SMA periods.

51. Pools and PoolKeeper may use different oracles

- a. **Summary:** There is no enforcement that pools and PoolKeeper use the same oracles and same type of oracles: SMAOracle vs SpotOracle.
- b. **Details:** Pools and PoolKeeper using different oracles or different types of oracles (SMAOracle vs SpotOracle) may lead to mismatched assumptions on prices and updates leading to incorrect bookkeeping and effects.
- c. Github Permalinks: setPriceObserver, LeveragePool Init
- d. **Mitigation:** Enforce the use of the same oracle by not passing the oracleWrapper as a parameter for LeveragedPool but directly using the oracle used by the keeper.

52. performUpkeepSinglePool may revert

- a. Summary: performUpkeepSinglePool may revert on the second call if it is not called after at least SMAOracle.updateInterval from the last SMAOracle.lastUpdate.
- b. Details: PoolKeeper can keep different pools. All pools kept by the same keeper share the same observer defined on the PoolKeeper contract. If the keeper is using a SMAOracle as the observer writer then performUpkeepSinglePool may revert the second time it is called because SMAOrcale.poll will revert with "SMA: Too early to update."
- c. Github Permalinks: observer.poll
- d. **Mitigation:** Ensure that if the keeper has more than one pool to keep updated and it is using a SMAOracle as the observer writer, then the poll method will be called only after at least SMAOracle.updateInterval from the last SMAOracle.lastUpdate.

53. PoolToken mint and burn functions always return true

a. Summary: PoolToken mint and burn functions always returning true

- deviates from standard ERC20 behaviour.
- b. **Details:** Standard ERC20 _mint and _burn functions do not return boolean but just revert on failure. However, PoolToken mint and burn always return true which is confusing and not expected even by the callers of those functions. LeveragedPool.mintTokens and LeveragedPool.burnTokens have a require on calls to these functions expecting them to sometimes return false.
- c. **Github Permalinks:** mint, burn, mintTokens, burnTokens
- d. Mitigation: Update both functions removing the return true statements and update LeveragedPool.mintTokens and LeveragedPool.burnTokens to remove the require statements.

54. Follow best-practices for smart contract layout

- a. **Summary**: The recommended layout order for a smart contract is state variables, events, modifiers, constructor and functions.
- b. **Details**: In AutoClaim, LeveragedPool and PoolCommitter, the modifier is placed at the end. This deviates from best-practice and affects readability.
- c. Github Permalinks: AutoClaim, LeveragedPool, PoolCommitter
- d. Mitigation: Update contract layout to recommended best-practice.

55. Incorrect value emitted in event

- a. **Summary:** PaidClaimRequestUpdate **event's** newReward **is not** accurate when reward is updated.
- b. Details: When reward is updated, the event PaidClaimRequestUpdate emits the increment value msg.value instead of the total updated reward value request.reward.
- c. Github Permalinks: PaidClaimRequestUpdate
- d. Mitigation: Use request.reward instead of msg.value.

56. Inconsistent function return patterns affects readability

- a. **Summary**: Most functions explicitly return a value while few others assign a value to a named return variable.
- b. **Details**: If many functions explicitly return a value, one may incorrectly infer that functions assigning a value to a named return variable are not actually returning anything.
- c. **Github Permalinks**: <u>getPrice</u>, <u>getPriceAndMetadata</u>
- d. **Mitigation**: Consider refactoring functions to standardise on one return pattern preferably the explicit return.

57. Functions with duplicated logic

- a. **Summary:** Functions getPrice and poll implement the same logic in ChainlinkOracleWrapper.
- b. **Details:** Both functions getPrice and poll implement a call to latestRoundData and return the price.

- c. Github Permalinks: getPrice, poll
- d. **Mitigation:** One of them can be removed to simplify logic and save gas.

58. Storage packing optimisation

- a. **Summary:** Optimise storage packing by reorganising variables declarations.
- b. Details: State variable declaration order and their sizes are used to determine their storage slots. Reorganising declaration order can lead to more efficient packing and usage of fewer storage slots leading to gas savings. Note that packing may lead to some additional overhead due to masking/unmasking of shared slot variables during their SLOAD/SSTORE depending on their access patterns, which has to be factored in.
- c. Github Permalinks: LeveragedPool
- d. **Mitigation:** Evaluate types and declaration order of state variables in the context of storage packing, number of storage slots and gas efficiency.

59. Potential transfer of 0 tokens

- a. **Summary**: A secondaryFeeSplitPercent of 100 would cause a transfer of 0 tokens to feeAddress.
- b. **Details**: It is possible for secondaryFeeSplitPercent to be 100 which would cause a transfer of 0 tokens to feeAddress. This can be checked to avoid an unnecessary transfer.
- c. Github Permalinks: feeAddress
- d. Mitigation: Add a 0 check before transfer to feeAddress.

60. Naming and description of functions and variables

a. Summary: Names and descriptions of functions and variables should be distinct and clearly indicate their purpose and effects to improve code readability, development and maintenance. They should also follow best-practices and be consistent when it comes to naming convention.

b. Details:

- i. Unexpected side-effect in AutoClaim.makePaidClaimRequest:
 - AutoClaim.makePaidClaimRequest will claim a previously pending and claimable request before creating the requested claim-request which is not indicated by the function name or description.
- ii. updateInterval and updateIntervalId variable names are very similar.
- iii. PoolKeeper.checkUpkeepSinglePool (and its multiple counterpart) may be renamed to PoolKeeper.isUpkeepRequiredSinglePool to better indicate implemented functionality.
- iv. PoolKeeper.fixedPoint constant is not declared under the Constants heading, is not uppercased, and does not explicitly specify

its visibility.

- v. updateBalanceSingleCommitment is a view function that cannot update anything.
- c. Github Permalinks: AutoClaim.makePaidClaimRequest, updateInterval and updateIntervalId, PoolKeeper.checkUpkeepSinglePool, PoolKeeper.fixedPoint, updateBalanceSingleCommitment
- d. **Mitigation:** Use distinct/clear names and descriptions for functions and variables. Consider following best-practices and be consistent when it comes to naming convention.

61. Error messages

- a. **Summary**: Custom errors may reduce gas costs.
- b. **Details**: Throughout the project, require conditional checks use a custom error message. To save gas, this can be replaced by custom errors which were introduced in Solidity 0.8.4.
- c. Github Permalinks: LeveragedPool
- d. Mitigation: Consider using custom errors instead of require checks.

62. Deployment of malicious pools

- a. **Summary:** Given that deployment of pools is permissionless, one can deploy a malicious pool with arbitrary tokens/functionality that steal users' collateral.
- b. Details: When deploying a new pool, the deployer has control over parameters such as quoteToken, oracleWrapper, settlementEthOracle, invariantCheckContract, poolKeeper, frontRunningInterval, updateInterval, fee and leverageAmount to different extents. Deployers can therefore deploy pools with functionality enabled to steal the collateral deposited in obvious or hidden ways. For e.g., an oracleWrapper where they control the price, an ERC20 token with incorrect accounting/logic, a token with hooks/callbacks (ERC777/ERC1155) which allows reentrancy or an invariant contract with unexpected functionality that can lead to loss/lock of user collateral.
- c. Github Permalinks: deployPool
- d. **Mitigation:** Evaluate appropriate checks and measures to mitigate risks from malicious pools.

63. DoS of pool upkeep

- a. **Summary:** Gas price computation for keeper rewards may not ensure upkeeping of the pool.
- b. **Details:** Reward for the keeper is computed by compensating for gas along with additional tip for incentives. The gasPrice however is set to a default value of 10 gwei with the PoolKeeper owner expected to change this using

setGasPrice depending on fluctuating gas prices. As noted in its @dev comment: "This function is only necessary due to the L2 deployment of Pools -- in reality, it should be `BASEFEE.`" It is however not clear that this gas price computation process is effective at maintaining correct gas prices at all times and may lead to fluctuating keeper incentives that prevent expected real-time upkeeping of pools. This could lead to a DoS of pool upkeep.

- c. Github Permalinks: gasPrice, payKeeper, setGasPrice
- d. **Mitigation:** Reevaluate gas price computation to account for EIP-1559 with BASEFEE and priority fee considered to maintain a dynamic peg to real-time gas prices.

64. Accounting for only two update intervals may pause protocol

- a. **Summary:** InvariantCheck accounting for only two update intervals may lead to protocol pause because of miscalculations.
- b. **Details:** Protocol allows one to create pools with frontRunning intervals longer than the updateInterval period. Without any threshold checks on the two intervals, commits may be scheduled further out than 2 periods.

 InvariantCheck calls getPendingCommits which only returns commits for the next 2 update intervals instead of returning all future intervals. This mismatch between what is allowed and checked may lead to incorrect pool accounting that triggers a pause.
- c. Github Permalinks: <u>deployPool</u>, <u>checkInvariants</u>, getPendingCommits
- d. **Mitigation:** getPendingCommits needs to retrieve all future commits and not just the next 2.

CARE: Disclaimer

CARE is *not* an audit as is typically performed by smart contract security audit firms. CARE participants aim primarily to identify commonly known security pitfalls & best-practices but *not* necessarily application-specific or economic vulnerabilities which are expected to be the focus of future security audits. CARE assumes (as notified and agreed upon earlier in the CARE SoW) that the project will get one or more security audits *after* CARE to cover those aspects. Furthermore, CARE is a best-effort endeavour. Secureum will not be held responsible for any loss/lock of funds/services resulting from vulnerabilities/exploits in projects after they have gone through CARE review.