# Problem Set 2 Solutions ECON 210 Econometrics A

Evan Zuofu Liao\*

October 8, 2015

### Question 1

(a) Let  $\{Y_1, ..., Y_n\}$  be a random sample drawn from a distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .  $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum Y_i$  is the sample mean.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W_1\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{n-1}{n}\bar{Y}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{n-1}{n}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right] = \frac{n-1}{n}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[Y_i\right] = \frac{n-1}{n}\mu$$

$$\text{bias}(W_1) = \frac{n-1}{n}\mu - \mu = -\frac{1}{n}\mu$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W_2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\bar{Y}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\mu$$

$$\text{bias}(W_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu$$

So bias $(W_1) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  but bias $(W_2)$  remains the same. Thus as sample size goes to infinity  $W_1$  is unbiased whereas  $W_2$  is not.

(b) Using the Continuous Mapping Theorem we have

$$\operatorname{plim}(W_1) = \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = 1 \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[Y_i\right] = \mu$$
$$\operatorname{plim}(W_2) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{2}\mu$$

So  $W_1$  is consistent whereas  $W_2$  is not.

<sup>\*</sup>Comments and questions to evanliao@uchicago.edu. This solution draws from answers provided by previous TAs.

(c) We know  $Y_i$ 's are i.i.d. so

$$\operatorname{Var}(W_1) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(Y_i)\right)$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^3} \sigma^2$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(W_2) = \frac{1}{4n^2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4n^2} n \sigma^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{4n} \sigma^2$$

(d) We can compare the MSE of the two estimators.

$$MSE(\bar{Y}) = Var(\bar{Y}) = \frac{1}{n}\sigma^{2}$$

$$MSE(W_{1}) = Var(W_{1}) + bias(W_{1})^{2} = \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{n^{3}}\sigma^{2} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}\mu^{2}$$

When  $\mu$  is arbitrarily small,  $W_1$  has a smaller MSE and thus is a better estimator. However, note that as  $n \to \infty$  there is almost no difference in the two estimators.

#### Question 2

(a) We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[Z|X\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Y}{X}\middle|X\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{X}\mathbb{E}\left[Y|X\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{X}\theta X\right] = \theta$$

(b) Using the results in part (a) we have

$$\mathbb{E}[W] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Y_i}{X_i}\right] = \mathbb{E}[Z] = \theta$$

(c) Computing the estimator you will find that  $W \approx 0.418$ 

### Question 3

(a) Suppose the random variable X has a symmetric distribution about zero with a support [-a, a], and suppose for any odd number j the jth moment exists. Then we know

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{j}] = \int_{-a}^{a} x^{j} f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-a}^{0} x^{j} f_{X}(x) dx + \int_{0}^{a} x^{j} f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= -\int_{a}^{0} x^{j} f_{X}(x) (-dx) + \int_{0}^{a} x^{j} f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{a} x^{j} f_{X}(x) dx + \int_{0}^{a} x^{j} f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= 0$$

Notice that in the third equality I used u-substitution. The result also applies for  $a \to \infty$ .

- (b) Since  $X_i \mu \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  has a symmetric distribution around 0, so we can directly apply result in part (a) to find  $\mathbb{E}[(X_i \mu)^3] = 0$
- (c) Writing out the terms we find

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mu)^3] = \mathbb{E}[(X_i^3 - 3X_i^2\mu + 3X_i\mu^2 - \mu^3)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X_i^3] - 3\mu\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] + 2\mu^3$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X_i^3] - 3\mu(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) + 2\mu^3$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X_i^3] - 3\mu\sigma^2 - \mu^3 = 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i^3] = 3\mu\sigma^2 + \mu^3$$

(d) Let's first check whether  $V_n^1$  is unbiased

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}^{3}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{3}\right] = 3\mu\sigma^{2} + \mu^{3} > \mu^{3}$$

So  $V_n^1$  is positively biased with  $\mathrm{bias}(V_n^1) = 3\mu\sigma^2$ .

For  $V_n^2$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)^{3}\right] = \frac{1}{n^{3}}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)^{3}\right] = \frac{1}{n^{3}}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i} - n\mu + n\mu\right)^{3}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{3}}\mathbb{E}\left[A^{3} + 3A^{2}n\mu + 3A(n\mu)^{2} + (n\mu)^{3}\right]$$

Since  $A \sim N(0, n\sigma^2)$ , so using the results in part (a), we know that  $\mathbb{E}[A^3] = \mathbb{E}[A] = 0$ . Notice also that  $E[A^2] = n\sigma^2$ . Then we can compute

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_n^2\right] = \frac{1}{n^3} (3n^2\mu\sigma^2 + n^3\mu^3) = \frac{3\mu\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^3 > \mu^3$$

Thus  $V_n^2$  is biased in the positive direction with  $\operatorname{bias}(V_n^2) = \frac{3\mu\sigma^2}{n}$ .

Another way to compute this is to realize that the third moment of a normal distribution is given by  $\mu^3 + 3\mu\sigma^2$ . Now we know that  $\overline{X}_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$  thus plugging in will also give us the answer.

The third way to compute this is to do a brute force polynomial expansion. Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)^{3}\right] = \frac{1}{n^{3}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{3}\right] + \sum_{i\neq j}3\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{2}X_{j}\right] + \sum_{i\neq j\neq k}6\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}X_{j}X_{k}\right]\right)$$

Simplify this will give us the answer.

- (e) Assuming n is sufficiently large we see that  $V_n^1$  is more biased in that  $\operatorname{bias}(V_n^1)$  is larger. As  $n \to \infty$  we see that  $V_n^2$  is unbiased since the bias term goes to 0.
- (f) Using the "analog principle", we can construct the following estimator to correct the bias

$$\widetilde{V}_n^1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3 - 3\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2\right)$$

Now let's check if this estimator is unbiased

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\widetilde{V}_{n}^{1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}^{3}\right] - 3\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n})^{2}\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}^{3}\right] - 3\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n})^{2}\right)\right]$$

$$= \mu^{3} + 3\mu\sigma^{2} - 3\mu\sigma^{2}$$

$$= \mu^{3}$$

so this is unbiased! Note that the second equality holds because the sample mean and the sample variance are actually independent. I leave it to you to prove this independence property.

### Question 4

(a) Using the Weak Law of Large Numbers we have

$$\operatorname{plim}(\hat{\mu}_n) = \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[y_i\right] = \mu$$

so  $\hat{\mu}_n$  is consistent.

(b) Using the Central Limit Theorem we know

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_n - \mu \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \to \mu \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Thus the asymptotic distribution collapses to a single point  $\mu$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

(c) We first show that  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  is (asymptotically) unbiased.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{\mu}_n\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mathbb{E}\left[y_i\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[y_i\right] = \mu$$

We also know from part (d) that the asymptotic variance of  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  is equal to 0. Thus it must

be consistent.

(d) The variance of  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  is given by

$$\operatorname{Var}(\tilde{\mu}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}(w_i y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \operatorname{Var}(y_i) = \sigma^2 \frac{2(2n+1)}{3n(n+1)} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

(e) Code will be posted on Chalk

## Question 5

(a) Given  $\mu = .49$  we have

$$Pr(y_1 < .5 | \mu = .49) = Pr(\varepsilon_1 < .01) = 60\%$$

$$\Pr(y_1 > .5 | \mu = .49) = \Pr(\varepsilon_1 > .01) = 40\%$$

So Gore will be declared the winner with probability .6 and thus Bush will be declared the winner with probability .4.

(b) An obvious estimator is  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2)$ 

(c) 
$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}] = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{E}[y_1] + \mathbb{E}[y_2]) = \mu$$

(d) 
$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{1}{4}(\operatorname{Var}(y_1) + \operatorname{Var}(y_2)) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon_i) < \operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon_2) = \operatorname{Var}(y_2)$$