Plot	Corn Yield	Corn Pixels
10	149.94	316
11	64.75	145
12	127.07	355
13	133.55	295
14	77.70	223
15	206.39	459
16	108.33	290
17	118.17	307

- **C.5** Let Y denote a Bernoulli( $\theta$ ) random variable with  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Suppose we are interested in estimating the *odds ratio*,  $\gamma = \theta/(1-\theta)$ , which is the probability of success over the probability of failure. Given a random sample  $\{Y_1, ..., Y_n\}$ , we know that an unbiased and consistent estimator of  $\theta$  is  $\overline{Y}$ , the proportion of successes in n trials. A natural estimator of  $\gamma$  is  $G = \{\overline{Y}/(1-\overline{Y})\}$ , the proportion of successes over the proportion of failures in the sample.
  - (i) Why is G not an unbiased estimator of  $\gamma$ ?
  - (ii) Use PLIM.2(iii) to show that G is a consistent estimator of  $\gamma$ .
- **C.6** You are hired by the governor to study whether a tax on liquor has decreased average liquor consumption in your state. You are able to obtain, for a sample of individuals selected at random, the difference in liquor consumption (in ounces) for the years before and after the tax. For person i who is sampled randomly from the population,  $Y_i$  denotes the change in liquor consumption. Treat these as a random sample from a Normal( $\mu$ , $\sigma^2$ ) distribution.
  - (i) The null hypothesis is that there was no change in average liquor consumption. State this formally in terms of  $\mu$ .
  - (ii) The alternative is that there was a decline in liquor consumption; state the alternative in terms of  $\mu$ .
  - (iii) Now, suppose your sample size is n = 900 and you obtain the estimates  $\bar{y} = -32.8$  and s = 466.4. Calculate the t statistic for testing  $H_0$  against  $H_1$ ; obtain the p-value for the test. (Because of the large sample size, just use the standard normal distribution tabulated in Table G.1.) Do you reject  $H_0$  at the 5% level? at the 1% level?
  - (iv) Would you say that the estimated fall in consumption is large in magnitude? Comment on the practical versus statistical significance of this estimate.

- (v) What has been implicitly assumed in your analysis about other determinants of liquor consumption over the two-year period in order to infer causality from the tax change to liquor consumption?
- C.7 The new management at a bakery claims that workers are now more productive than they were under old management, which is why wages have "generally increased." Let  $W_i^b$  be Worker i's wage under the old management and let  $W_i^a$  be Worker i's wage after the change. The difference is  $D_i \equiv W_i^a W_i^b$ . Assume that the  $D_i$  are a random sample from a Normal $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution.
  - (i) Using the following data on 15 workers, construct an exact 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$ .
  - (ii) Formally state the null hypothesis that there has been no change in average wages. In particular, what is  $E(D_i)$  under  $H_0$ ? If you are hired to examine the validity of the new management's claim, what is the relevant alternative hypothesis in terms of  $\mu = E(D_i)$ ?
  - (iii) Test the null hypothesis from part (ii) against the stated alternative at the 5% and 1% levels.
  - (iv) Obtain the *p*-value for the test in part (iii).

Worker	Wage Before	Wage After
1	8.30	9.25
2	9.40	9.00
3	9.00	9.25
4	10.50	10.00
5	11.40	12.00
6	8.75	9.50
7	10.00	10.25
8	9.50	9.50
9	10.80	11.50
10	12.55	13.10
11	12.00	11.50
12	8.65	9.00

continued