Documentation of MiniPL Interpreter

Siiri Kuoppala

March 17, 2023

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Architecture	2
3	Scanning 3.1 Token patterns	3
4	Parsing 4.1 LL(1) grammar	3
5	Abstract Syntax Trees 5.1 Traversal	
6	Error handling 6.1 Error types	7 7 8
7	Testing	8

1 Introduction

This project is an interpreter for a small toy language called MiniPL. The interpreter was implemented in C++. It performs all the main phases of interpretation: scanning, parsing, semantic analysis (including type checking), and execution. The project is built with CMake and tested with GoogleTest.

Dependencies: Building the project requires CMake, which can be installed on Ubuntu with:

```
sudo apt install cmake
```

GoogleTest should be included in the folder libraries to enable testing.

Usage: Build the project as shown below.

```
# create build directory
mkdir build
cd build
cmake ..

# build and run source target
make MiniPL_interpreter_run
bin/MiniPL_interpreter_run <filename>
```

make MiniPL_interpreter_tst
bin/MiniPL_interpreter_tst

build and run test target

The samples directory contains some example programs that can be run with the interpreter. Some of them are malformed and produce errors to demonstrate the error recovery strategy of the interpreter. Here are some examples of running the sample files from the build directory:

2 Architecture

The codebase has the following main components:

- MiniPL: The overall interpreter. Has methods runFile(filename) and run(program) for interpreting a given program.
- Scanner: Scans the input program and produces tokens
- Parser: Parses the tokens and produces an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST).
- SemanticAnalyzer: Finds semantic errors, excluding type errors.
- TypeChecker: Finds type errors.
- Interpreter: Executes the program.
- ErrorHandler: Keeps track of errors. Prints them with printErrors().

The class MiniPL is the heart of the interpreter. When interpreting a program, it initializes and runs all the other components in order. It can be constructed with references to specific input and output streams as parameters, but the default values are standard input and output. The main function in main.cpp just takes a filename as a command line parameter and calls MiniPL.runFile(filename).

Most of the data is stored in structs of the following types, which only depend on each other:

- Position: A position in the program code (row and column).
- Span: The start and end positions of a token, node or other object.
- Token: One token. Contains a Span and a TokenValue.
- AST-nodes: Many types of nodes (see Section 5).
- Error: Different error types all inherit from ErrorBase.

The main components (other than MiniPL) do not directly communicate with each other, but they all depend on some of these structs. The Scanner does not depend on the AST-nodes, and the other components (apart from Parser) can not access tokens. Each component has their own error type that they can create. ErrorHandler can access the error base class ErrorBase but not the specific kinds of errors.

The code heavily utilizes C++ variants to store values with multiple possible types. For example, a TokenValue is a variant that may contain any type of token, and StatementNode and ExprNode are variants that may contain different types of AstNodes. The evaluated values of expressions are also stored in variants, which are of type ExprValue. Using variants is useful because they make it possible to store and handle different types of objects in the same way, and still recover the underlying object whenever needed.

3 Scanning

The Scanner is an ad-hoc scanner with one-character look-ahead. It has one public method: getToken(), which scans the next token in the program. It iterates through the program using a small helper-class ProgramIterator, which has methods currentChar(), peekChar(), and move() for iterating. The iterator additionally keeps track of the current program Position, which the scanner uses to add a Span to the tokens.

The scanner always returns a viable token. After reaching the end of the program, it just keeps returning an Eof token with the same span. When encountering an error, it skips the wrong character and returns the current token or scans the next token, depending on where the error happened. For information about error messages, see Section 6.

3.1 Token patterns

There are four five of tokens: identifiers, Keywords, literals (integers or strings), operators, and delimiters. Below is a regular definition of the possible tokens.

```
\label{eq:VarIdent} $$ VarIdent \to [A-Za-z][A-Za-z0-9_]*$$ Literal \to [0-9]+|"([^n"]|\n|t|\n|.))*"$$ Operator \to +|-|*|/|<|=|\&|!$$ Delimiter \to :=|;|:|..|(|)|$$ TokenValue \to VarIdent|Literal|Operator|Delimiter
```

The Keyword tokens form an exception, as they are not scanned based on a regular definition. Whenever an identifier is scanned, the scanner checks whether it is actually a keyword, and returns a Keyword if necessary. The possible keywords are "var", "for", "end", "in", "do", "read", "print", "int", "string", "bool", "assert", "if", and "else".

The names above correspond to the type names in the code. In the code, identifiers are stored as strings, and literals in a struct of type Literal, containing a value which is an integer/string variant, while values of type Operator, Delimiter, and Keyword are stored as enum classes. The values are stored in the std::variant TokenValue, which may hold any of the above types.

In addition to the tokens, two types of comments are allowed in the language. Single-line comments have the format //.*, and end at the end of the line. Multi-line comments have the format /*...*/, and can be nested. Nested comments do not allow a regular definition, so they form yet another exception. The scanner skips all comments and whitespace between tokens.

4 Parsing

The parser is a recursive-descent parser with one-token look-ahead. It iterates through the tokens with a small helper class TokenIterator, which provides the methods currentToken() and nextToken() (which moves to the next token and returns that).

$4.1 \quad LL(1) \text{ grammar}$

The parser implements the LL(1) grammar shown below. The terminals are literal>, <op>, <ident>, <unary_op>, the end-of-file symbol \$\$, punctuation and the bolded Keywords.

The grammar is modified from the specification to allow for expression with more than two operands. Operator precedence is represented with the precedence index i in variables $\langle \exp(i) \rangle$, $\langle \exp(i) \rangle$, $\langle \exp(i) \rangle$, and $\langle \exp(i) \rangle$. The index goes from 0 (lowest) to max_i (highest), and the 'operands' of expression with level i are expressions of level i+1. There are 6 different precedence classes (copied from C++):

```
5: logical not (!)
4: div and mul (/, *)
3: add, subtract (+, -)
2: less than (<)</li>
1: equal (=)
0: logical and (&)
```

The structure of the Parser differs slightly from this grammar because the parsing of the variables <decl> and <decl_assign>, as well as <expr> and <expr_tail> are merged into single methods declaration() and expression(). While parsing, the parser constructs an Abstract Syntax Tree, whose structure is described in the next section.

5 Abstract Syntax Trees

All AST-nodes inherit the AstNodeBase class, which contains a Span for error messages. AST-nodes are split into statements and expressions, which are mostly handled differently. They are also stored in different variants.

The type StatementNode is a std::variant which may contain an AST-node of one of the following types:

• DeclAstNode: a declaration.

VarIdent varId

Type type

optional<ExprAstNode> expr

• AssignAstNode: assignment

VarIdent varId

ExprAstNode expr

• ForAstNode: for-statement

VarIdent varId: loop variable

ExprAstNode startExpr, endExpr: start and end of the range

StatementsAstNode stmts: the statements inside the loop

• IfAstNode: if-statement

ExprAstNode expr

 ${\tt StatementsAstNode\ ifStatements}$

StatementsAstNode elseStatements

• ReadAstNode: read-statement

VarIdent varId

• PrintAstNode: print-statement

ExprAstNode expr

• StatementsAstNode: a list of statements

vector<StatementNode> stmts: list of statements

The variant ExprNode holds nodes of the types:

- LiteralNode: contains a single literal.
- VarNode: contains a variable identifier.
- UnaryOp: contains a unary Operator and a pointer to an ExprNode.
- BinaryOp: contains a binary Operator and pointers to two expressions.

5.1 Traversal

The components SemanticAnalyzer, TypeChecker and Interpreter all traverse through the AST in the same way. They implement visit functions for all types of AST nodes and recursively visit the children. Instead of using the usual visitor design pattern, they use std::visit to visit the actual objects inside the variants StatementNode and ExprNode. This way the AST nodes do not need to know anything about the visitors, and do not need any methods to accept visitors.

The traversing components do not use inheritance because their visit-functions to expression nodes need different types of return values and so can not inherit from the same base class. This is not a large problem in the current use case, because each component has some special behavior for most node types. However, using inheritance would probably be better because it would make implementing changes easier and less likely to cause bugs. One would just have to circumvent the return-value problem in some way.

5.2 Semantic analysis and behavior

The specification states that MiniPL uses a single global scope for all names. This makes it unclear what should happen when a variable is declared inside an if- or for-statement, especially since variables can only be declared once. For clarity, I have decided that variables can not be declared in inner 'scopes', i.e. inside if or for.

The semantic analysis is split into two components: SemanticAnalyzer, and TypeChecker. The semantic analyzer checks that all variables are declared exactly once, before they are used, that variables are not declared inside if- or for-statements, and that the loop variable of a for-statement can not be assigned inside the loop. The TypeChecker checks that all expressions and variables have the correct types. If the analysis produces no errors, the code can be executed by the Interpreter.

The Interpreter visits the AST and executes the program based on the information in the nodes. The variable identifiers are stored in an std::map. The read statement is implemented using std::cin, with the fail bits on. If an incorrect value is given as input, cin throws an exception which is caught by the interpreter and converted into a normal MiniPL runtime error. Integers are stored as the usual 32-bit int, and integer overflow is undefined behavior (same as C++, no additional checks). In a division operation the interpreter checks that the divisor is not zero and causes a runtime error if necessary.

The language specification mentions that print and read statements can handle integers and strings, but I decided to allow boolean values in the print and read statements as well. I feel that this is more convenient for a programmer who wants to use e.g. debug prints in their program. This also means the there are no type checks for read and print. In the input and output, booleans are always printed as '0' if false and '1' if true. Likewise, when reading a boolean from input, the input has to be either '0' or '1'. Inputting any other value produces a runtime error.

6 Error handling

All components are given a reference to the ErrorHandler, which they use to raise errors when something unexpected happens. The ErrorHandler prints out error messages and keeps track of whether errors have been encountered. The components then do something component-specific to recover and continue processing the input program. If the ErrorHandler has errors after the scanning and parsing passes, the interpreter ends the process. Otherwise, it continues with semantic analysis and, if no errors are encountered, finally runs the program.

6.1 Error types

Errors are stored as structs, that all inherit from the base struct ErrorBase. The base struct has members context, contextScope, and scope, and a public method description(), which uses virtual functions of the derived classes to construct an error message. The derived classes and the errors they can represent are listed below:

- ScanningError: unexpected character, newline or Eof
- ParsingError: unexpected token
- SemanticError: one of the following
 - variable not declared
 - redeclaration
 - declaration in inner scope
 - assignment to a constant variable
- TypeError: wrong type
- RuntimeError: division by zero or IO failure (could not read input)

6.2 Error recovery

The Scanner always returns a token when asked, whether or not it runs into errors while processing. When a problem is encountered and the current token can not be scanned, the scanner skips it and scans the next token (after raising an error to the handler). In some cases, e.g. when encountering a newline while scanning a string, the Scanner can return the token it was scanning while the error happened. When the end of the program has been reached, the Scanner returns an end-of-file token.

The Parser has an exception-based recovery approach. When the match-function can not match the current token, an error is raised and an exception thrown. The exception is caught in the statements function, and tokens are skipped until the current token is a semicolon or one of the Keywords var, for, read, print, or if. The parser uses these to find the start of a new statements and resumes parsing from that point.

The TypeChecker can return a Broken type from e.g. a malformed expression or an undeclared variable. Type checks for broken types are automatically skipped to avoid cascading errors. Thus, a long expression with a type error in inner parenthesis only produces one error. In case of multiple declarations of the same variable, the first declaration is assumed to be correct.

When Interpreter produces a runtime error, it raises an error to the ErrorHandler and throws an exception. The exception is caught by MiniPL which asks the ErrorHandler to print the error message and stops running the program.

7 Testing

The GoogleTest framework was used to write automated tests for the project. All tests and sample programs were written by hand, based on whatever things I thought were important. When encountering a bug, I would fix the bug and write a test for it.

The scanner is well tested with unit tests covering all different token types, while other components have only a few limited unit tests. The whole system is tested with integration tests that check whether running the test programs produces the expected behavior. Additionally, there is one test that runs all programs in the samples directory and (hopefully) checks that the interpreter doesn't crash.

There are no automatic tests for the error handling and recovery functionalities. These were tested by hand, by running the incorrect sample programs and looking at the output.