



Smart Contract Security Audit Report

Table Of Contents

1 Executive Summary	_____
2 Audit Methodology	_____
3 Project Overview	_____
3.1 Project Introduction	_____
3.2 Vulnerability Information	_____
4 Code Overview	_____
4.1 Contracts Description	_____
4.2 Visibility Description	_____
4.3 Vulnerability Summary	_____
5 Audit Result	_____
6 Statement	_____

1 Executive Summary

On 2025.10.29, the SlowMist security team received the Sigma Money team's security audit application for Sigma DAO round 3&4&5, developed the audit plan according to the agreement of both parties and the characteristics of the project, and finally issued the security audit report.

The SlowMist security team adopts the strategy of "white box lead, black, grey box assists" to conduct a complete security test on the project in the way closest to the real attack.

The test method information:

Test method	Description
Black box testing	Conduct security tests from an attacker's perspective externally.
Grey box testing	Conduct security testing on code modules through the scripting tool, observing the internal running status, mining weaknesses.
White box testing	Based on the open source code, non-open source code, to detect whether there are vulnerabilities in programs such as nodes, SDK, etc.

The vulnerability severity level information:

Level	Description
Critical	Critical severity vulnerabilities will have a significant impact on the security of the DeFi project, and it is strongly recommended to fix the critical vulnerabilities.
High	High severity vulnerabilities will affect the normal operation of the DeFi project. It is strongly recommended to fix high-risk vulnerabilities.
Medium	Medium severity vulnerability will affect the operation of the DeFi project. It is recommended to fix medium-risk vulnerabilities.
Low	Low severity vulnerabilities may affect the operation of the DeFi project in certain scenarios. It is suggested that the project team should evaluate and consider whether these vulnerabilities need to be fixed.
Weakness	There are safety risks theoretically, but it is extremely difficult to reproduce in engineering.
Suggestion	There are better practices for coding or architecture.

2 Audit Methodology

The security audit process of SlowMist security team for smart contract includes two steps:

- Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using automated analysis tools.
- Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

Serial Number	Audit Class	Audit Subclass
1	Overflow Audit	-
2	Reentrancy Attack Audit	-
3	Replay Attack Audit	-
4	Flashloan Attack Audit	-
5	Race Conditions Audit	Reordering Attack Audit
6	Permission Vulnerability Audit	Access Control Audit
		Excessive Authority Audit
7	Security Design Audit	External Module Safe Use Audit
		Compiler Version Security Audit
		Hard-coded Address Security Audit
		Fallback Function Safe Use Audit
		Show Coding Security Audit
		Function Return Value Security Audit
		External Call Function Security Audit

Serial Number	Audit Class	Audit Subclass
7	Security Design Audit	Block data Dependence Security Audit
		tx.origin Authentication Security Audit
8	Denial of Service Audit	-
9	Gas Optimization Audit	-
10	Design Logic Audit	-
11	Variable Coverage Vulnerability Audit	-
12	"False Top-up" Vulnerability Audit	-
13	Scoping and Declarations Audit	-
14	Malicious Event Log Audit	-
15	Arithmetic Accuracy Deviation Audit	-
16	Uninitialized Storage Pointer Audit	-

3 Project Overview

3.1 Project Introduction

Sigma DAO protocol is forked from Shadow Protocol.

3.2 Vulnerability Information

The following is the status of the vulnerabilities found in this audit:

NO	Title	Category	Level	Status
N1	Cross-chain replay attacks	Replay Vulnerability	Suggestion	Acknowledged
N2	Risk of excessive authority	Authority Control Vulnerability Audit	Medium	Acknowledged

NO	Title	Category	Level	Status
N3	Risk of excess preallocation amount	Design Logic Audit	Medium	Fixed
N4	Risk of hash collision	Others	Suggestion	Fixed

4 Code Overview

4.1 Contracts Description

<https://github.com/SigmaMoney/dao/tree/feat/bsc>

Round 3&4:

Initial audit version: ffb097a69b5338d9ffe19adc09aa798803d59b5d

Final audit version: 98590ecf15d6ace36bb88e8943097ef8a73c732e

Audit Scope:

- contracts/Voter.sol
- contracts/AccessHub.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IAccessHub.sol
- contracts/interfaces/IVoter.sol
- contracts/SigmaAirdrop.sol

Round 5:

Initial audit version: 11907f131ba65a50bb49ff5b24bf1d5aef54f640

Final audit version: 98590ecf15d6ace36bb88e8943097ef8a73c732e

Audit Scope:

- contracts/xShadow/x33.sol

The main network address of the contract is as follows:

The code was not deployed to the mainnet.

4.2 Visibility Description

The SlowMist Security team analyzed the visibility of major contracts during the audit, the result as follows:

SigmaAirdrop			
Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
<Constructor>	Public	Can Modify State	-
claim	External	Can Modify State	nonReentrant
batchClaim	External	Can Modify State	nonReentrant
_processClaim	Internal	Can Modify State	-
emergencyWithdraw	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
isSaltUsed	External	-	-
getMessageHash	External	-	-
getEthSignedMessageHash	External	-	-

Voter			
Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
constructor	Public	Can Modify State	-
initialize	External	Can Modify State	initializer
setGlobalRatio	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
reset	External	Can Modify State	-
_reset	Internal	Can Modify State	-
poke	External	Can Modify State	-
vote	External	Can Modify State	-
_vote	Internal	Can Modify State	-
_distribute	Internal	Can Modify State	-
getVotes	External	-	-

Voter			
setGovernor	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
whitelist	Public	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
revokeWhitelist	Public	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
killGauge	Public	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
reviveGauge	Public	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
stuckEmissionsRecovery	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
whitelistGaugeRewards	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
removeGaugeRewardWhitelist	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
removeFeeDistributorReward	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
getPeriod	Public	-	-
createSigmaGauge	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
createVeFunderGauge	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
setSigmaGaugePreallocation	External	Can Modify State	onlyGovernance
claimIncentives	External	Can Modify State	-
claimRewards	External	Can Modify State	-
claimLegacyRewardsAndExit	External	Can Modify State	-
notifyRewardAmount	External	Can Modify State	-
distribute	Public	Can Modify State	nonReentrant
distributeForPeriod	Public	Can Modify State	nonReentrant
distributeAll	External	Can Modify State	-
batchDistributeByIndex	External	Can Modify State	-
getAllGauges	External	-	-

Voter			
getAllFeeDistributors	External	-	-
isGauge	External	-	-
isFeeDistributor	External	-	-
_claimablePerPeriod	Internal	-	-
_getTotalPreallocationForPeriod	Internal	-	-
_getCurrentTotalGaugePreallocation	Internal	-	-

AccessHub			
Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
<Constructor>	Public	Can Modify State	-
initialize	External	Can Modify State	initializer
reinit	External	Can Modify State	timelocked
initializeVoter	External	Can Modify State	timelocked
addVestingSchedule	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
removeVestingSchedule	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
startRebase	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
setNewGovernorInVoter	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
createSigmaGauge	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
createVeFunderGauge	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
governanceWhitelist	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
killGauge	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
reviveGauge	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
setEmissionsRatioInVoter	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole

AccessHub			
retrieveStuckEmissionsToGovernance	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
setGaugePreallocation	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
createCLGaugeOveridden	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
transferWhitelistInXShadow	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
toggleXShadowGovernance	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
operatorRedeemXShadow	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
migrateOperator	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
rescueTrappedTokens	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
setExemptionToInXShadow	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
setEmissionsMultiplierInMinter	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
setGaugeActiveInMinter	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
augmentGaugeRewardsForPair	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
removeFeeDistributorRewards	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
setCooldownExemption	External	Can Modify State	timelocked
setNewRebaseStreamingDuration	External	Can Modify State	timelocked
setNewVoteModuleCooldown	External	Can Modify State	timelocked
kickInactive	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
execute	External	Can Modify State	timelocked
setNewTimelock	External	Can Modify State	timelocked

x33

Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
<Constructor>	Public	Can Modify State	ERC20 ERC4626

x33			
submitVotes	External	Can Modify State	onlyOperator
compound	External	Can Modify State	onlyOperator
claimRebase	External	Can Modify State	onlyOperator
claimIncentives	External	Can Modify State	onlyOperator
swapIncentiveViaAggregator	External	Can Modify State	nonReentrant onlyOperator
rescue	External	Can Modify State	nonReentrant onlyAccessHub
unlock	External	Can Modify State	onlyOperator
transferOperator	External	Can Modify State	onlyAccessHub
whitelistAggregator	External	Can Modify State	onlyAccessHub
totalAssets	Public	-	-
ratio	Public	-	-
getPeriod	Public	-	-
isUnlocked	Public	-	-
isCooldownActive	Public	-	-
_deposit	Internal	Can Modify State	whileNotLocked
_withdraw	Internal	Can Modify State	-

4.3 Vulnerability Summary

[N1] [Suggestion] Cross-chain replay attacks

Category: Replay Vulnerability

Content

In the SigmaAirdrop contract, the `claim` and `_processClaim` functions do not include `block.chainid` in the hash construction of the signed message. This means that if the contract is deployed on multiple blockchains, an

attacker can obtain a valid signature generated on one chain and then repeatedly submit the signature to the same contract instance on other chains to claim the airdrop.

- contracts/SigmaAirdrop.sol#L77-L106, L137-L166

```
function claim(
    bytes calldata _signature,
    uint256 _amount,
    address _recipient,
    string calldata _salt
) external nonReentrant {
    if (_amount == 0) revert ZeroAmount();
    if (_recipient == address(0)) revert ZeroAddress();

    bytes32 saltHash = keccak256(bytes(_salt));
    if (usedSalts[saltHash]) revert SaltAlreadyUsed();

    // Construct the message hash (order: address, uint256, string)
    bytes32 messageHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_recipient, _amount, _salt));
    bytes32 ethSignedMessageHash = messageHash.toEthSignedMessageHash();

    // Verify signature
    address recoveredSigner = ethSignedMessageHash.recover(_signature);
    if (recoveredSigner != signer) {
        revert InvalidSignature();
    }

    // Mark salt as used
    usedSalts[saltHash] = true;

    // Transfer tokens
    IERC20(sigma).safeTransfer(_recipient, _amount);

    emit AirdropClaimed(_recipient, _amount, saltHash);
}

function _processClaim(
    bytes calldata _signature,
    uint256 _amount,
    address _recipient,
    string calldata _salt
) internal {
    if (_amount == 0) revert ZeroAmount();
    if (_recipient == address(0)) revert ZeroAddress();

    bytes32 saltHash = keccak256(bytes(_salt));
    if (usedSalts[saltHash]) revert SaltAlreadyUsed();
```

```
// Construct the message hash (order: address, uint256, string)
bytes32 messageHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_recipient, _amount, _salt));
bytes32 ethSignedMessageHash = messageHash.toEthSignedMessageHash();

// Verify signature
address recoveredSigner = ethSignedMessageHash.recover(_signature);
if (recoveredSigner != signer) {
    revert InvalidSignature();
}

// Mark salt as used
usedSalts[saltHash] = true;

// Transfer tokens
IERC20(sigma).safeTransfer(_recipient, _amount);

emit AirdropClaimed(_recipient, _amount, saltHash);
}
```

Solution

It is recommended to modify the message hash construction to include the chainid.

Status

Acknowledged; The project team stated that each deployed SigmaAirdrop contract will use a unique signer and will not be deployed on multiple chains.

[N2] [Medium] Risk of excessive authority

Category: Authority Control Vulnerability Audit

Content

1.In the AccessHub contract, the `DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE` role can grant and revoke all other roles and has the `kickInactive` function permission to kick out inactive users who have not voted and reset their voting status.

- [dao/contracts/AccessHub.sol#L382-L404](#)

```
function kickInactive(
    address[] calldata _nonparticipants
) external onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) {}
```

2.In the AccessHub contract, the PROTOCOL_OPERATOR role has operational management permissions, including:
managing the addition and removal of SigmaVesting, setting the governor of the Voter contract, creating and
managing SigmaGauge and VeFunderGauge, whitelist management (token whitelist, reward whitelist),
pause/unpause Gauge, setting emission ratios and pre-allocation, controlling xShadow's transfer whitelist and
pause/unpause functions, operator redemption and migration, adjusting Minter's emission multiples, and switching
Gauge token emission status, among other core functions.

- dao/contracts/AccessHub.sol##L127-L134, L137-L139, L141-L143, L148-L153, L155-L160, L162-L169,
L172-L189, L192-L202, L205-L213, L216-L220, L223-L228, L231-L236, L238-L244, L249-L256, L259-
L264, L267-L271, L274-L278, L281-L286, L289-L296, L301-L305, L307-L311, L316-L340, L342-L353

```
function addVestingSchedule(
    address _beneficiary,
    address _tokenAddress,
    uint8 _category,
    ISigmaVesting.UnlockEntry[] calldata _entries
) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function removeVestingSchedule(address _beneficiary, address _tokenAddress)
external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function startRebase(address _voteModule, address _voter) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function setNewGovernorInVoter(address _newGovernor) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function createSigmaGauge(address _pool, uint256 _preallocationBps) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function createVeFunderGauge(
    address _receiver,
    uint256 _maxEmission,
    address _pool,
    uint256 _preallocationBps
) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) { }

function governanceWhitelist(address[] calldata _token, bool[] calldata
_whitelisted) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function killGauge(address[] calldata _pairs) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}
```

```
function reviveGauge(address[] calldata _pairs) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function setEmissionsRatioInVoter(uint256 _pct) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function retrieveStuckEmissionsToGovernance(address _gauge, uint256 _period)
external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function setSigmaGaugePreallocation(address _gauge, uint256 _preallocationBps)
external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function createCLGaugeOveridden(address tokenA, address tokenB, int24
tickSpacing) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function transferWhitelistInXShadow(address[] calldata _who, bool[] calldata
_whitelisted) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function toggleXShadowGovernance(bool enable) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function operatorRedeemXShadow(uint256 _amount) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function migrateOperator(address _operator) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR)
{}

function rescueTrappedTokens(address[] calldata _tokens, uint256[] calldata
_amounts) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function setExemptionToInXShadow(address[] calldata _who, bool[] calldata
_whitelisted) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function setEmissionsMultiplierInMinter(uint256 _multiplier) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function setGaugeActiveInMinter(bool _isGaugeActive) external
onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function augmentGaugeRewardsForPair(address[] calldata _pools, address[] calldata
_rewards, bool[] calldata _addReward) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}

function removeFeeDistributorRewards(address[] calldata _pools, address[]
calldata _rewards) external onlyRole(PROTOCOL_OPERATOR) {}
```

Solution

In the short term, transferring owner ownership to multisig contracts is an effective solution to avoid single-point risk. But in the long run, it is a more reasonable solution to implement a privilege separation strategy and set up multiple privileged roles to manage each privileged function separately. And the authority involving user funds should be managed by the community, and the EOA address can manage the authority involving emergency contract suspension. This ensures both a quick response to threats and the safety of user funds.

Status

Acknowledged

[N3] [Medium] Risk of excess preallocation amount

Category: Design Logic Audit

Content

In the Voter contract, when the `killGauge` function kills the Gauge, the `preallocationBps` in its `gaugePreallocation` mapping is not cleared. When the `reviveGauge` function revives the Gauge, it does not check whether the recovered `preallocationBps` exceeds the total limit. This may cause the actual effective preallocation amount to exceed the limit of `maxTotalSigmaGaugePreallocation`.

- dao/contracts/Voter.sol#L471-L507, L509-L520

```
function killGauge(address _gauge) public onlyGovernance {
    /// @dev ensure the gauge is alive already, and exists
    require(
        isAlive[_gauge] && gauges.contains(_gauge),
        GAUGE_INACTIVE(_gauge)
    );
    /// @dev set the gauge to dead
    isAlive[_gauge] = false;
    address pool = poolForGauge[_gauge];
    /// @dev fetch the last distribution
    uint256 _lastDistro = lastDistro[_gauge];
    /// @dev fetch the current period
    uint256 currentPeriod = getPeriod();
    /// @dev placeholder
    uint256 _claimable;
    /// @dev loop through the last distribution period up to and including the
    current period
    for (uint256 period = _lastDistro; period <= currentPeriod; ++period) {
```

```
    /// @dev if the gauge isn't distributed for the period
    if (!gaugePeriodDistributed[_gauge][period]) {
        uint256 additionalClaimable = _claimablePerPeriod(pool, period);
        _claimable += additionalClaimable;

        /// @dev prevent gaugePeriodDistributed being marked true when the
        minter hasn't updated yet
        if (additionalClaimable > 0) {
            gaugePeriodDistributed[_gauge][period] = true;
        }
    }
}

/// @dev if there is anything claimable left
if (_claimable > 0) {
    /// @dev send to the governor contract
    IERC20(shadow).transfer(governor, _claimable);
}

/// @dev update last distribution to the current period
lastDistro[_gauge] = currentPeriod;
emit GaugeKilled(_gauge);
}

function reviveGauge(address _gauge) public onlyGovernance {
    /// @dev ensure the gauge is dead and exists
    require(
        !isAlive[_gauge] && gauges.contains(_gauge),
        ACTIVE_GAUGE(_gauge)
    );
    /// @dev set the gauge to alive
    isAlive[_gauge] = true;
    /// @dev update last distribution to the current period
    lastDistro[_gauge] = getPeriod();
    emit GaugeRevived(_gauge);
}
```

Solution

It is recommended to clear the preallocation amount of SigmaGauge in the killGauge function and reallocate the preallocation amount of SigmaGauge in the reviveGauge function.

Status

Fixed

[N4] [Suggestion] Risk of hash collision

Category: Others

Content

In the SigmaAirdrop contract, the functions `claim`, `_processClaim`, `getMessageHash`, and `getEthSignedMessageHash` use `abi.encodePacked(_recipient, _amount, _salt)` when constructing the signed message. Since the parameter contains a dynamically long string type (`_salt`), it may cause hash collisions in some extreme cases.

- contracts/SigmaAirdrop.sol#L77-L106, L137-L166, L207-L213, L222-L229

```
function claim(
    bytes calldata _signature,
    uint256 _amount,
    address _recipient,
    string calldata _salt
) external nonReentrant {
    //...
    bytes32 messageHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_recipient, _amount, _salt));
    //...
}

function _processClaim(
    bytes calldata _signature,
    uint256 _amount,
    address _recipient,
    string calldata _salt
) internal {
    //...
    bytes32 messageHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_recipient, _amount, _salt));
    //...
}

function getMessageHash(
    address _recipient,
    uint256 _amount,
    string calldata _salt
) external pure returns (bytes32) {
    return keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_recipient, _amount, _salt));
}

function getEthSignedMessageHash(
    address _recipient,
    uint256 _amount,
    string calldata _salt
) external pure returns (bytes32) {
```

```
    bytes32 messageHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_recipient, _amount, _salt));
    return messageHash.toEthSignedMessageHash();
}
```

Solution

It is recommended to use abi.encode instead of abi.encodePacked.

Status

Fixed

5 Audit Result

Audit Number	Audit Team	Audit Date	Audit Result
0X002510290001	SlowMist Security Team	2025.10.29 - 2025.10.29	Medium Risk

Summary conclusion: The SlowMist security team use a manual and SlowMist team's analysis tool to audit the project, during the audit work we found 3 medium risk, 1 suggestion.

6 Statement

SlowMist issues this report with reference to the facts that have occurred or existed before the issuance of this report, and only assumes corresponding responsibility based on these.

For the facts that occurred or existed after the issuance, SlowMist is not able to judge the security status of this project, and is not responsible for them. The security audit analysis and other contents of this report are based on the documents and materials provided to SlowMist by the information provider till the date of the insurance report (referred to as "provided information"). SlowMist assumes: The information provided is not missing, tampered with, deleted or concealed. If the information provided is missing, tampered with, deleted, concealed, or inconsistent with the actual situation, the SlowMist shall not be liable for any loss or adverse effect resulting therefrom. SlowMist only conducts the agreed security audit on the security situation of the project and issues this report. SlowMist is not responsible for the background and other conditions of the project.



Official Website
www.slowmist.com



E-mail
team@slowmist.com



Twitter
@SlowMist_Team



Github
<https://github.com/slowmist>