ECE 350 Real-time Operating Systems

Lecture 2: OS Concepts

Prof. Seyed Majid Zahedi

https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~smzahedi

Outline

- Brief history of OS's
- Four fundamental OS concepts
  - Thread
  - Address space
  - Process
  - Dual-mode operation/protection

Serial Processing

- Machines did not have operating systems
- Run from console with display lights, toggle switches, input device, and printer
- Machine is used by a single user (users had to reserve time to use machines)
- Running programs had long lead time (users had to load compiler and source program, save compiled program, and then load and link it)
- Debugging programs was extremely hard



wikimedia.org

columbia.edu

Evolution of OSes

- Simple batch OS
  - Jobs with same requirement and grouped into batches
  - Special program, called monitor, monitors and manages each program
  - Erroneous or misbehaving jobs could corrupt entire system
  - Automatic job sequencing improves throughput, but I/O is still slow
- Multiprogramming batch OS
  - When running job requires I/O, OS switches to another job
  - While this maximizes CPU utilization, response time could still suffer
- Time-sharing OS
  - Multiple users simultaneously access system through terminals
  - Processor's time is shared among multiple users
  - Primary focus is to minimize response time

Very Brief History of OS

- Several distinct phases:
  - Hardware expensive, humans cheap
    - Eniac, … Multics

"I think there is a world market for maybe five computers." – *Thomas Watson, chairman of IBM, 1943*

Thomas Watson was often called "the worlds greatest salesman" by the time of his death in 1956

Very Brief History of OS (cont.)

- Several distinct phases:
  - Hardware expensive, humans cheap
    - Eniac, … Multics
  - Hardware cheaper, humans expensive
    - PCs, workstations, rise of GUIs
  - Hardware very cheap, humans very expensive
    - Ubiquitous devices, widespread networking





Very Brief History of OS (cont.)

- Several distinct phases:
  - Hardware expensive, humans cheap
    - Eniac, … Multics
  - Hardware cheaper, humans expensive
    - PCs, workstations, rise of GUIs
  - Hardware very cheap, humans very expensive
    - Ubiquitous devices, widespread networking
- Rapid change in hardware leads to changing OS
  - Batch ⇒ multiprogramming ⇒ timesharing ⇒ GUI ⇒ ubiquitous devices
  - Gradual migration of features into smaller machines
- Today
  - Small OS: 100K lines / Large: 20M lines (10M browser!)
  - 100-1000 people-years

OS Archaeology

- Due to high cost of building OS from scratch, most modern OS's have long lineage
- Multics ⇒ AT&T Unix ⇒ BSD Unix ⇒ Ultrix, SunOS, NetBSD,…
- Mach (micro-kernel) + BSD ⇒ NextStep ⇒ XNU ⇒ Apple OS X, iPhone iOS
- MINIX ⇒ Linux ⇒ Android, Chrome OS, RedHat, Ubuntu, Fedora, Debian, Suse,…
- CP/M ⇒ QDOS ⇒ MS-DOS ⇒ Windows 3.1 ⇒ NT ⇒ 95 ⇒ 98 ⇒ 2000 ⇒ XP ⇒ Vista ⇒ 7 ⇒ 8 ⇒ 10 ⇒ …

Today: Four Fundamental OS Concepts

- Thread
  - Single unique execution context which fully describes program state
  - Program counter, registers, execution flags, stack
- Address space (with translation)
  - Address space which is distinct from machine's physical memory addresses
- Process
  - Instance of executing program consisting of address space and 1+ threads
- Dual-mode operation/protection
  - Only "system" can access certain resources
  - OS and hardware are protected from user programs
  - User programs are isolated from one another by controlling translation from program virtual addresses to machine physical addresses

Booting OS



- In most x86 systems, BIOS is stored on Boot ROM
  - Expensive and writing to it is slow
- Why not storing kernel on Boot ROM?
  - Hard to update (OS updates are frequent)
- Why does BIOS load bootloader not OS?
  - Might have multiple OSes installed
  - BIOS needs to read raw bytes from disk, whereas bootloader needs to know how to read from filesystem

OS Bottom Line: Run Programs



- Load instruction and data segments of executable file into memory
- Create stack and heap
- "Transfer control to program"
- Provide services to program
- While protecting OS and program

Instruction Cycle: Fetch, Decode, Execute

- Execution sequence
  - Fetch instruction at PC
  - Decode
  - Execute (possibly using registers)
  - Write results to registers/memory
  - PC ← *Next*(PC)
  - Repeat

Next instruction or jump to new address …



Thread (1st OS Concept)

- Thread is single unique execution context
  - Program counter (PC), registers, execution flags, stack
- Thread is executing on processor when it resides in processor's registers
- Registers hold root state of thread (the rest is "in memory")
- Registers are defined by instruction set architecture (ISA) or by compiler
  - Stack pointer (SP) holds address of top of stack
    - Other conventions: frame pointer, heap pointer, data
  - PC register holds the address of executing instruction in the thread

Address Space (2nd OS Concept)

- Address space: set of accessible addresses and their state
- Physical memory: data storage medium
- Physical addresses: addresses available on physical memory
  - For 4GB of memory: 2^{32}B ~ 4 billion addresses
- Virtual addresses: addresses generated by program
  - For 64-bit processor: 2^{64} > 18 quintillion (10^{18}) addresses

Virtual Address Space Layout of C Programs




```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

int x;
int y = 15;

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {

    int *values;
    int I;

    values = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int)*5);

    for (i = 0; i < 5; i++)
        values[i] = i;

    return 0;
}
```

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```



- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```



- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```



- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```



- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```



- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```



- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```




```
> 2
```

- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```




```
> 2
```

- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```




```
> 2
```

- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```




```
> 2
```

- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```




```
> 21
```

- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```




```
> 21
```

- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Stack Example

```
A0:  A(int tmp) {
A1:       if (tmp<2)
A2:            B();
A3:       printf(tmp);
A4:  }
B0:  B() {
B1:       C();
B2:  }
C0:  C() {
C1:       A(2);
C2:  }
     A(1);
ext:
```

```
> 21
```

- Stack holds temporary results
- Permits recursive execution
- Crucial to modern languages

Process (3rd OS Concept)



- Process: execution environment with restricted rights
  - Address space with one or more threads
  - Owns memory (address space)
  - Owns file descriptors, file system context, …
- Fundamental tradeoff between protection and efficiency
  - Communication easier within a process
  - Communication harder between processes

What Does it Take to Create Process?

- Must construct new process control block (PCB)
  - Inexpensive
- Must set up new *page tables* for address space (more on this later)
  - More expensive
- Copy data from parent process? (Unix `fork()`)
  - With Unix `fork()`, child process gets copy of parent's memory and I/O state
  - Originally very expensive
  - Much less expensive with "copy on write" (more on this later)
- Copy I/O state (file handles, etc.)
  - Medium expense

Multithreaded Processes

- Threads encapsulate concurrency and are active components
- Address spaces encapsulate protection and are passive part
  - Keeps buggy program from trashing system
- Why have multiple threads per address space?
  - Processes are expensive to start, switch between, and communicate between

Memory Footprint of Multiple Threads

- How do we position stacks relative to each other?
- What maximum size should we choose for stacks?
  - 8KB for kernel-level stacks in Linux on x86
  - Less need for tight space constraint for user-level stacks
- What happens if threads violate this?
  - "… program termination and/or corrupted data"
- How might you catch violations?
  - Place guard values at top and bottom of each stack
  - Check values on every context switch



Multiprogramming: Running Multiple Processes



Time Sharing





- Illusion: infinite number of processors
  - Each thread runs on dedicated virtual processor
- Reality: few processors, multiple threads running at variable speed
- How can we give illusion of infinite number of processors?
  - Multiplex in time!
- How do we switch from one process to next?
  - Save PC, SP, and registers in current PCB
  - Load PC, SP, and registers from new PCB
- What triggers switch?
  - Timer, voluntary yield, I/O interrupts, …

How Do We Multiplex Processes?

- Scheduling: OS decides which process uses CPU time
  - Only one process is "running" on each CPU at any time
  - Scheduler could give more time to *important* processes

- Protection: OS divides non-CPU resources among processes
  - E.g., give each process their own address space
  - E.g., multiplex I/O through system calls

Scheduling

- Kernel scheduler decides which processes/threads receive CPU
- There are variety of scheduling policies for …
  - Fairness or
  - Realtime guarantees or
  - Latency optimization or …
- Kernel scheduler maintains data structure containing PCBs


```
if (readyProcesses(PCBs)) {
      nextPCB = selectProcess(PCBs);
      run(nextPCB);
} else {
      run_idle_process();
}
```

Ready Queue

- PCBs move from queue to queue as they change state
  - Decisions about which order to remove from queues are scheduling decisions
  - Many algorithms possible (more on this in a few weeks)



Ready Queue And I/O Device Queues

- Process not running ⇒ PCB is in some scheduler queue
  - Separate queue for each device/signal/condition
  - Each queue can have different scheduler policy



Multithreaded Processes

- PCBs could point to multiple TCBs



- Switching threads within one block is simple thread switch
- Switching threads across blocks requires changes to memory and I/O address tables

Protection

- OS must protect itself from user programs
  - Reliability: prevent OS from crashing
  - Security: limit scope of what processes can do
  - Privacy: limit data each process can access
  - Fairness: enforce appropriate share of HW
- It must protect user programs from one another
- Main method is to limit translation from virtual to physical address space

How to Protect Processes from One Another?

- Protection of memory
  - Every process does not have access to all memory
- Protection of I/O devices
  - Every process does not have access to every device
- Protection of access to processor
  - Preemptive switching from process to process
  - Use of timer
  - Must not be possible to disable timer from user code

Address Translation Maps: Illusion of Separate Address Space



Putting it Together: Process



Putting it Together: Processes



- Switch overhead: high
  - CPU state: low
  - Memory/IO state: high
- Process creation: high
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: yes
- Sharing overhead: high (involves at least one context switch)

Putting it Together: Threads



- Switch overhead: medium
  - CPU state: low
- Thread creation: medium
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: no
- Sharing overhead: low(ish) (thread switch overhead low)

Putting it Together: Multi-cores



- Switch overhead: low (only CPU state)
- Thread creation: low
- Protection
  - CPU: yes
  - Memory/IO: no
- Sharing overhead: low (thread switch overhead low, may not need to switch at all!)

Hyperthreading



- Superscalar processors can execute multiple instructions that are independent
- Multiprocessors can execute multiple independent threads
- Fine-grained multithreading executes two independent threads by switches between them
- Hyperthreading duplicates register state to make second (hardware) "thread" (virtual core)
  - From OS's point of view, virtual cores are separate CPUs
  - OS can schedule as many threads at a time as there are virtual cores (but, sub-linear speedup!)
  - See: http://www.cs.washington.edu/research/smt/index.html

Putting it Together: Hyperthreading



- Switch overhead between hardware-threads: very-low (done in hardware)
- Contention for ALUs/FPUs may hurt performance

Dual-mode Operation (4th OS Concept)

- Hardware provides at least two modes
  - Kernel mode (or "supervisor" or "protected")
  - User mode, which is how normal programs are executed

- How can hardware support dual-mode operation?
  - Single bit of state (user/system mode bit)
  - Certain operations/actions only permitted in system/kernel mode
    - In user mode they fail or trap
  - User to kernel transition sets system mode AND saves user PC
    - OS code carefully puts aside user state then performs necessary actions
  - Kernel to user transition clears system mode AND restores user PC
    - E.g., `rfi`: return-from-interrupt

Three Types of Mode Transfer

- System call: request for kernel services
  - E.g., **`open`**, **`close`**, **`read`**, **`write`**, **`lseek`**
  - Usually implemented by calling *trap* or *syscall* instruction
    - Special instruction is not strictly required; on some systems, processes trigger system calls by executing some instruction with specific invalid opcode

- Processor exception: internal, synchronous, hardware event
  - E.g., divide by zero, illegal instruction, segmentation fault, page fault
  - Caused by software behavior

- Interrupt: external asynchronous event
  - E.g., timer, disk ready, network
  - Interrupts can be disabled, exceptions and traps cannot!

Interrupt Masking

- Interrupt handler runs with interrupts off
  - Re-enabled when interrupt completes
- kernel can also turn interrupts off
  - E.g., when determining next process/thread to run
  - On x86, **`cli`** disables interrupts and **`sti`** enables interrupts
    - Only applies to current CPU (on a multicore)
- We will need this to implement synchronization (more on this later)

Interrupt Vector Table



- Table set up by OS pointing to code to run on system calls, processor exceptions, and interrupts,

Implementing Safe Mode Transfers

- Controlled transfer into kernel
  - Buggy/malicious program should not be able to corrupt kernel
  - Entry point should be well defined (e.g., interrupt vector table)

- Two-stack model
  - User process state should be saved and set aside
  - Kernel should not save anything on user stack (Why?)
    - Reliability: what if user program's SP is not valid?
    - Security: what if other threads in process change kernel's return address?
  - Kernel keeps separate stack for each thread in kernel memory (in addition to user stack in user memory)

Mode Transfer Steps

- On system call, exception, or interrupt
  - Hardware enters kernel mode with interrupts disabled
  - Saves PC, then jumps to appropriate handler in kernel
  - Some processors (e.g., x86) also save registers, changes stack, etc.

- Actual handler typically saves registers, other CPU state, and switches on kernel stack

Two-Stack Model



Example: x86 Atomic Mode Transfer



Example: x86 Atomic Mode Transfer (cont.)

- Single instruction to
  - Save some registers (e.g., SP, PC)
  - Change PC and SP
  - Switch Kernel/user mode



Example: x86 Atomic Mode Transfer (cont.)

- Single instruction to save all registers
- Why is stack pointer saved twice?
  - Hint: are they the same?



Example: System Call Handler

- Vector through well-defined system call entry points!
  - Table mapping system call number to handler
- Locate arguments
  - In registers or on user (!) stack
- Copy arguments (copy before check)
  - From user memory into kernel memory
  - Protect kernel from malicious code evading checks
- Validate arguments
  - Protect kernel from errors in user code
- Copy results back
  - Into user memory

Basic Cost of System Calls



- Min syscall has ~ 25x cost of function call
- Linux vDSO (virtual dynamic shared object) runs some system calls in user space
  - E.g., gettimeofday or getpid

Aside: Monolithic vs Microkernel OS



en.wikipedia.org

Aside: Influence of Microkernels

- Microkernels provide better modularity, security, and fault tolerance, but they introduce higher communication overhead
  - Too many context switches
- Many OSes provide some services externally, like microkernels
  - OS X and Linux: windowing (graphics and UI)
- Some currently monolithic OSes started as microkernels
  - Windows family originally had microkernel design
  - OS X is hybrid of Mach microkernel and FreeBSD monolithic kernel

Kernel to User Mode Switch Examples

- New process/new thread start
  - Jump to first instruction in program/thread
- Return from interrupt, exception, system call
  - Resume suspended execution
- Process/thread context switch
  - Resume some other process
- User-level *upcall* (UNIX *signal*)
  - Asynchronous notification to user program
    - Preemptive user-level threads
    - Asynchronous I/O notification
    - Interprocess communication
    - User-level excepting handling
    - User-level resource allocation

Example: User/Kernel Mode Transfers



System Call Interface: Access Point to Hardware Resources



Device Drivers

- Device-specific code in kernel that interacts directly with device hardware
  - Supports standard, internal interface
  - Same kernel I/O system can interact easily with different device drivers
  - Special device-specific configuration supported with `ioctl()` syscall

- Device drivers are typically divided into two pieces
  - Top half: accessed in call path from system calls
    - implements a set of standard, cross-device calls like `open()`, `close()`, `read()`, `write()`, `ioctl()`, etc.
    - This is kernel's interface to device driver
    - Top half will start I/O to device, may put thread to sleep until finished
  - Bottom half: run as interrupt routine
    - Gets input or transfers next block of output
    - May wake sleeping threads if I/O now complete

Life Cycle of an I/O Request



I/O Data Transfer

- Programmed I/O
  - Each byte transferred via processor in/out or load/store
  - + Simple hardware, easy to program
  - − Consumes processor cycles proportional to data size
- Direct memory access (DMA)
  - Give controller access to memory bus
  - Ask it to transfer data blocks to/from memory directly

DMA Transfer



1. Device driver is told to transfer disk data to buffer at address x
2. Device driver tells disk controller to transfer C bytes from disk to buffer at address x
3. Disk controller initiates DMA transfer
4. Disk controller send each byte to DMA controller
5. DMA controller transfers bytes to buffer x, increasing address and decreasing C
6. When C = 0, DMA interrupts CPU to signal transfer completion

DMA Example: Network Stack in Linux Kernels before 2.6



García-Dorado, José Luis, et al. "High-performance network traffic processing systems using commodity hardware." Data traffic monitoring and analysis. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. 3-27.

How Does Kernel Provide Services?



- You said that applications request services from OS via syscall, but …
  - I've been writing all sorts of applications, and I never ever saw a "syscall" !!!
- That's right!
- It was buried in the programming language runtime library (e.g., libc.a)
  - … Layering

OS Run-time Library







Putting it Together: Web Server



Putting it Together: Web Server (cont.)



Summery

- Thread
  - Single unique execution context which fully describes program state
  - Program counter, registers, execution flags, stack
- Address space (with translation)
  - Address space which is distinct from machine's physical memory addresses
- Process
  - Instance of executing program consisting of address space and 1+ threads
- Dual-mode operation/protection
  - Only "system" can access certain resources
  - OS and hardware are protected from user programs
  - User programs are isolated from one another by controlling translation from program virtual addresses to machine physical addresses

Questions?



Acknowledgment

- Slides by courtesy of Anderson, Culler, Stoica, Silberschatz, Joseph, and Canny