Experiments

Spencer Smith

McMaster University, Canada smiths@mcmaster.ca

Jacques Carette

McMaster University, Canada carette@mcmaster.ca

Olu Owojaiye

McMaster University, Canada owojaiyo@mcmaster.ca

Peter Michalski

 $\begin{array}{c} {\bf McMaster~University,~Canada}\\ {\bf michap@mcmaster.ca} \end{array}$

Ao Dong

McMaster University, Canada donga9@mcmaster.ca

2 Experiments

1 User Experiments

1.1 Usability Experimental Procedure

1.2 Procedure

- 1. Survey participants to collect pre-experiment data
- 2. Participants perform tasks
- **3.** Observe the study subjects (take notes, record sessions(OBS screen recorder), watch out for body languages and verbal cues)
- 4. Survey the study subjects to collect feedback (post experiment interview)
- **5.** Prepare experiment report
- 6. Perform pairwise comparison analysis
- 7. Prepare analysis report

1.3 Task selection criteria

- **The task selection will be determined with the aid of the domain experts attached to any of the selected projects.
- **The domain experts will be asked to consider the below criteria when defining a task.
- **Domain experts will also be asked to identify what background knowledge is necessary for the suggested tasks Novice, Intermediate, Advanced
- 1. Collectively all tasks should not take no more than 2 hours.
- 2. Selected tasks should reflect common use cases of the software.
- 3. Include tasks that require a set of sequential or hierarchical steps to be completed

1.4 Usability Questionnaire

Two sources of standardized usability questionnaire we could use.

- https://www.usabilitest.com/sus-pdf-generator- 20-29 SUS.
- -https://uiuxtrend.com/pssuq-post-study-system-usability-questionnaire/-PSSUQ

Smith et al. 3

2 Modifiability Experiments

[add preamble here describing some of the notes below —PM]

What can be done to measure modifiability?

Idea: measure sensible changes

Can we measure sensible changes? How?

We could gather qualitative data about the process of attempting to make sensible changes. Perceived difficulty, available documentation, prerequisite knowledge, time/effort required, tools required, errors and issues encountered and ability to overcome those issues with the available resources, is there dev support? How to interpret results?

What does a small change mean? What are likely changes - See Parnas

Parnas identifies likely changes as:

Likely Changes: if the system is required to be easy to change, the requirements should contain a definition of the areas that are considered likely to change. You cannot design a system so that everything is equally easy to change. Programmers should not have to decide which changes are most likely Parnas and Clements [1986]

We want to be explicit about what we want to modify.

2.1 Procedure

- 1. Identify likely changes using procedure x
- 2. Select from likely change list (using what criteria)
- **3.** Gather relevant documentation?
- 4. Ask the domain expert to make the (selected) likely change(s)
- 5. Have the domain expert answer a questionnaire about their attempt to make the likely change

4 REFERENCES

References

David Lorge Parnas and Paul C Clements. A rational design process: How and why to fake it. *IEEE transactions on software engineering*, (2):251–257, 1986.