Overall Objective

What knowledge needs to be captured and what principles, processes and methodologies followed to produce sustainable {software + artifacts} with a reasonable amount of time and energy?

In the above definition, software is considered to be an application (app) and/or a library. The outcome of the development process is not just software. It is {software + artifacts}. From this point onward, we will use the term softifacts when we are referencing the combination of software and the associated artifacts. The artifacts consist of such work products as documentation, build scripts, code, test cases, contributor's guides, etc. The quality of sustainability cannot be assessed by looking at the software alone, or the code alone; it depends on everything, including the processes and methodologies used during the development. Further information on the relevant definitions and context is given in the next section.

The notion of "reasonable amount of time and energy" is part of the objective, but reasonable is not defined in the objective. What is reasonable is going to depend on the context. Problems that are large, complex and/or critical are going to have a greater "budget" of time and energy. When comparing different alternatives for processes and methodologies, preference would be given to the alternative that costs less time and energy, assuming that this alternative achieves the same (or a higher) level of sustainability as its competition. The assumptions listed in the next section outline the typical space of problems where it makes sense to aim for sustainable softifacts.

In the statement of the objective, principles, processes and methodologies are listed, but not tools. Tools are needed to support methodologies, but tools change rapidly. Our goal is to be more general. If the right principles, processes and methodologies are found, the tools will adapt to match. However, understanding the current state of the practice will involve looking at tools, as shown in the later list of research questions.

The objective is not phrased to target a specific software domain, but one of the research assumptions is that we will focus on Scientific Computing Software (SCS) examples and applications. This focus is because creating sustainable softifacts is more feasible when the software domain is well understood, which is the case for SCS. Moreover, SCS is an important, often neglected, domain of software.

Context, Definitions and Assumptions

The overall objective is too ambiguous as given. It requires additional information/interpretation. Therefore this section provides definitions of the relevant terms and a list of the assumptions that define the potential contexts where sustainable softifacts development is reasonable.

Definitions

- **Knowledge** Facts, definitions, theories, assumptions, etc. [needs a proper definition, with citation(s) —SS]
 - "Awareness or familiarity gained by experience of a fact or situation."
 (?) [Definition from Lexico.com (Oxford) dictionary —AD]
- **Process** Organization of the software development activities. [needs a proper definition, with citation(s) —SS]
 - "A series of actions or steps taken in order to achieve a particular end."(?) [Definition from Lexico.com (Oxford) dictionary —AD]
- **Principles** Principles are "general and abstract statements describing desirable properties of software processes and products." (Ghezzi et al., 2003, p. 41)
- Methods "Methods are general guidelines that govern the execution of some activity; they are rigorous, systematic, and disciplined approaches." (Ghezzi et al., 2003, p. 41)
- **Techniques** "Techniques are more technical and mechanical than methods." (Ghezzi et al., 2003, p. 41)
- Methodology Combination of methods and techniques. (Ghezzi et al., 2003, p. 41)
- **Tools** "Tools ... are developed to support the application of techniques, method and methodologies." (Ghezzi et al., 2003, p. 41)
- Scientific Computing the use of computational tools to analyze or simulate (continuous) mathematical models of real world systems of engineering or scientific importance so that we can better understand and (potentially) predict the system's behaviour. (Smith and Lai, 2005)

Sustainable We start with the general definition:

"Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." (Brundtland, 1987)

This definition is general; it is not specific to software and it is silent on the specific needs of the present and the future. The definition can be made less abstract by introducing the context of softifacts and thinking separately about the needs of the present and the future. For the needs of the present, the software should be correct, reliable and usable. For the needs of the future, given uncertainty, this means supporting change from relevant concerns (political, economic, social, technical, legal and environmental) should be possible with a reasonable level of resources. Supporting the future then means producing softifacts that are maintainable, portable, reusable and reproducible.

Summarizing the above, the proposed definition for sustainability is:

Sustainable software means softifacts that are correct, reliable, and usable (for the present) and maintainable, portable, reusable and reproducible (for the future).

This definition has brought in several other qualities. These qualities are defined in the "Quality Definitions of Qualities" document. [Reproducibility above might be changed to replicability, or possibly both terms should be included? —SS] [Should performance be included in the list of qualities? —SS] [Almost every SCS needs to be correct, reliable and usable, but many of them may not need to provide edge performance. How do we choose which qualities to be included here? —AD]

Software Application and/or library.

Application (App) "Software designed to fulfill specific needs of a user." (IEEE, 1991)

"Application software is a program or group of programs designed for end users. These programs are divided into two classes: system software and application software. While system software consists of low-level programs that interact with computers at a basic level, application software resides above system software and includes applications such as database programs, word processors and spreadsheets. Application software may be bundled with system software or published alone."(?)
[Definition from Techopedia.com —AD]

"A program or piece of software designed and written to fulfill a particular purpose of the user." (?) [Definition from Lexico.com (Oxford) dictionary —AD]

Library Services that are available to other programs, but not an executable program itself. [needs a proper definition, with citation(s) —SS]

"A software library is a suite of data and programming code that is used to develop software programs and applications. It is designed to assist both the programmer and the programming language compiler in building and executing software." (?) [Definition from Techopedia.com—AD]

"A collection of programs and software packages made generally available, often loaded and stored on disk for immediate use." (?) [Definition from Lexico.com (Oxford) dictionary —AD]

Artifacts Work products generated during the process of creating software. The work products include requirements documentation, design documentation, verification and validation plans, verification and validation reports, contributor's guides, user guides, build scripts, code, test cases, etc.

Assumptions

Producing sustainable softifacts is not a trivial undertaking. Not every project needs to aim for sustainability. Our position is that the time and energy is justified when one or more of the following assumptions applies.

- The software project is going to be long lived, where long life means at least 10 years.
- The softifacts should interest multiple stakeholders (not just the original developer), with different interests and backgrounds.
- The software is safety relevant, such as software for nuclear safety, medical imaging or computational medicine.

- The software explicitly, or implicitly, is part of a program family of related software. When the program family assumption means that there are related programs that are less effort to design and build together than to design and build as separate projects. Program family development depends on satisfying three hypotheses (Weiss, 1997):
 - Redevelopment hypothesis most software development involved in producing an individual family members should be redevelopment because the family members have so much in common.
 - Oracle Hypothesis the changes that are likely to occur during the soft- ware's [software's —AD] lifetime are predictable.
 - Organizational Hypothesis designers can organize the software and the development effort so that predicted changes can be made independently.
- The project recognizes that software artifacts other than the code are relevant.
- The domain of the software project is well understood. This assumption is related to the oracle hypothesis.

Although this study is not specifically restricted to open-source software, for practical reasons (especially the lack of access to the code), commercial software will not be strongly emphasized.

Current State of the Practice Research Questions

A good starting point for understanding how to develop sustainable SCS softifacts is to look at how SCS is currently developed. By measuring relevant qualities and relating them to development practices, we can form an idea of what is currently working well.

Following the key points of the overall objective, the research questions are based on investigating the following: i) knowledge, ii) principles, processes and methodologies, iii) software qualities and iv) the necessary investment of time and energy. The scope of the research questions are not trying to cover everything, but rather to focus on the most important aspects for SCS.

Define what is meant by meaningful (what criteria need to be satisfied), since this term is used several times in the research questions.

- 1. What knowledge is currently captured in the artifacts generated by existing open source SCS projects?
- 2. What principles, processes, methodologies and tools are used by existing open source SCS projects?
- 3. What are the "pain points" for developers working on SCS projects? What aspects of the existing processes, methodologies and tools do they consider could potentially be improved?
- 4. For a given software product (softifacts), how can we produce a reasonable measure of the software qualities (correctness, reliability, usability etc.) with a few hours of effort?
- 5. For a given software product (softifacts), how can we produce a meaningful measure of usability with a few days worth of effort?
- 6. For a given software product (softifacts), how can we produce a meaningful measure of maintainability (with respect to likely changes) with a few days worth of effort?
- 7. For a given software product (softifacts), how can we produce a meaningful measure of reproducibility/replicability with a few days worth of effort?
- 8. With a reasonable effort and time, how can we compare the productivity between different processes, methodologies and tools?
- 9. Can a correlation be identified between software projects that use best practices for the development process and methodologies and improvement in the qualities of usability, performance and reproducibility/replicability?

Ideal Process

Some empirical research studies of SCS stop at the previous step. They aim to identify what is currently working well, and then implicitly assume that the identified process/methodologies/tools provide the best guidelines for others to follow. However, identifying the best current approach to developing SCS does not imply that the best possible approach has been found. Our instinct

is that there is plenty of room for improvement with SCS development. The following research questions explore this possibility.

- 1. Ignoring the time and effort required, what knowledge is necessary to capture for sustainable SCS? How can this knowledge best be documented in software artifacts?
- 2. How can Model Driven Engineering and Code Generation be fit in the development process for SCS to remove as many repetitive, tedious and error prone tasks as possible? We will call this the "ideal process."
- 3. How well do existing modelling and code generation tools do at implementing the "ideal process"? Candidates for comparison include Drasil and Epsilon.
- 4. How well does a model driven approach to compared to a traditional approach for usability, productivity and sustainability?
- 5. What are the attitudes and preferences of typical users towards an implementation of the "ideal process"?

References

- G. H. Brundtland. *Our Common Future*. Oxford University Press, 1987. URL https://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:oxp:obooks:9780192820808.
- Carlo Ghezzi, Mehdi Jazayeri, and Dino Mandrioli. Fundamentals of Software Engineering. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 2003.
- IEEE. Ieee standard glossary of software engineering terminology. Standard, IEEE, 1991.
- W. Spencer Smith and Lei Lai. A new requirements template for scientific computing. In J. Ralyté, P. Agerfalk, and N. Kraiem, editors, *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Situational Requirements Engineering Processes Methods, Techniques and Tools to Support Situation-Specific Requirements Engineering Processes, SREP'05*, pages 107–121, Paris, France, 2005. In conjunction with 13th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference.

David M. Weiss. Defining families: The commonality analysis. Submitted to IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 1997. URL http://www.research.avayalabs.com/user/weiss/Publications.html.