Methodology for Assessing the State of the Practice for Domain X

Practice for Dor Spencer Smith McMaster University, Canada smiths@mcmaster.ca Jacques Carette McMaster University, Canada carette@mcmaster.ca Olu Owojaiye McMaster University, Canada owojaiyo@mcmaster.ca Peter Michalski McMaster University, Canada michap@mcmaster.ca

McMaster University, Canada

— Abstract –

Ao Dong

...

2012 ACM Subject Classification Author: Please fill in 1 or more \ccsdesc macro

Keywords and phrases Author: Please fill in \keywords macro

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Overview of Steps in Assessing Quality of the Domain Software	2
3	Domain Analysis	
4	Empirical Measures	
5	User Experiments	2
6	Analytic Hierarchy Process	2
7	Quality Specific Measures	3
	7.1 Installability [owner —OO]	3
	7.2 Correctness [owner —OO]	3
	7.3 Verifiability/Testability [owner —OO]	3
	7.4 Validatability [owner —OO]	3
	7.5 Reliability [owner —OO]	3
	7.6 Robustness [owner —PM]	3
	7.7 Performance [owner —PM]	3
	7.8 Usability [owner —JC]	3
	7.9 Maintainability [owner —PM]	3
	7.10 Reusability [owner —PM]	3
	7.11 Portability [owner —PM]	3

2 Methodology for Assessing the State of the Practice for Domain X

	7.12 Understandability [owner —JC]	3
	7.13 Interoperability [owner —AD]	3
	7.14 Visibility/Transparency [owner —AD]	3
	7.15 Reproducibility [owner —SS]	3
	7.16 Productivity [owner —AD]	3
	7.17 Sustainability [owner —SS]	3
	7.18 Completeness [owner — AD]	3
	7.19 Consistency [owner — AD]	3
	7.20 Modifiability [owner —JC]	3
	7.21 Traceability [owner —JC]	3
	7.22 Unambiguity [owner —SS]	3
	7.23 Verifiability [owner —SS]	3
	7.24 Abstract [owner —SS]	3
8	Using Data to Rank Family Members	3

1 Introduction

Purpose and scope of the document. [Needs to be filled in. Should reference the overall research proposal, and the "state of the practice" exercise in particular. —SS]

2 Overview of Steps in Assessing Quality of the Domain Software

- 1. Identify domain. (Provide criteria on a candidate domain.)
- 2.

3 Identify Candidate Software

4 Domain Analysis

Commonality analysis. Follow as for mesh generator (likely with less detail).

5 Empirical Measures

Measures that can be extracted from on-line repos.

6 User Experiments

Describe experiments with users to assess usability, performance etc.

7 Analytic Hierarchy Process

Describe process. Domain expert review.

Smith et al. 3

8	Quality Specific Measures
8.1	Installability [owner —00]
8.2	Correctness [owner —00]
8.3	Verifiability/Testability [owner —OO]
8.4	Validatability [owner —OO]
8.5	Reliability [owner —00]
8.6	Robustness [owner —PM]
8.7	Performance [owner —PM]
8.8	Usability [owner —JC]
8.9	Maintainability [owner —PM]
8.10	Reusability [owner —PM]
8.11	Portability [owner —PM]
8.12	Understandability [owner —JC]
8.13	Interoperability [owner —AD]
8.14	Visibility/Transparency [owner —AD]
8.15	Reproducibility [owner —SS]
8.16	Productivity [owner —AD]
8.17	Sustainability [owner —SS]
8.18	Completeness [owner —AD]
8.19	Consistency [owner —AD]
8.20	Modifiability [owner —JC]
8.21	Traceability [owner —JC]
8.22	Unambiguity [owner —SS]
8.23	Verifiability [owner —SS]
8.24	Abstract [owner —SS]
9	Using Data to Rank Family Members

Describe AHP process (or similar). $\,$