#### STATE OF PRACTICE FOR MEDICAL IMAGING SOFTWARE

## ASSESSING THE CURRENT STATE OF THE PRACTICE FOR MEDICAL IMAGING DOMAIN WITHIN SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING SOFTWARE

By AO DONG.

A Thesis
Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the degree
Master of Engineering in Computing and Software

McMaster University © Copyright by Ao Dong, August 2021 MASTER OF ENGINEERING (2021) (Computing and Software)

McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE: Assessing the Current State of the Practice for Medical Imaging Domain within

Scientific Computing Software

**AUTHOR:** Ao Dong

**SUPERVISOR:** Dr. Spencer Smith **NUMBER OF PAGES:** vi, ??

#### **Abstract**

Abstract here

## Acknowledgments

acknowledgements here

## **Contents**

Abstract					
Acknowledgments					
1	Intr	oduction	2		
2	Background				
	2.1	Categories and Status	3		
	2.2	Software Quality Definitions	3		
	2.3	Analytic Hierarchy Process	3		
3	Measurement Methods				
	3.1	Overall Process	4		
	3.2	Domain Selection	4		
	3.3	Software Product Selection	4		
	3.4	Grading Template	4		
	3.5	Measuring Qualities	4		
	3.6	Interviewee Selection	5		
	3.7	Interview Question Selection	5		
	3.8	Interview Methods	5		
4	Mea	surement Results	6		
	4.1	Selected Software List	6		
	4.2	Installability	6		
	4.3	Correctness & Verifiability	6		
	4.4	Reliability	6		
	4.5	Robustness	6		
	4.6	Usability	6		
	4.7	Maintainability	6		
	4.8	Reusability	6		

	4.9 Understandability		
5	,	<b>7</b> 7 7	
6	Recommendations	8	
7	Conclusions	9	
Bibliography			
A	Full Grading Template	11	
В	Summary of Measurements	12	
C	Interview Answers	13	
D	Ethics Approval	14	

MEng Thesis - Ao Dong- McMaster - Computing and Software

## Introduction

introduction

## **Background**

## 2.1 Categories and Status

Briefly discuss open source, freeware and commercial software.

### 2.2 Software Quality Definitions

Refer to the Quality Definitions of Qualities created by us

## 2.3 Analytic Hierarchy Process

## **Measurement Methods**

Where to include Domain experts, in Software Product Selection?

It might be a good idea to make the methods general and independent to domains.

#### 3.1 Overall Process

Briefly introduce the following subsections.

#### 3.2 Domain Selection

How do we choose SCS domains, and point out again that the whole section should be able to apply to most domains.

#### 3.3 Software Product Selection

My process of collecting and screening the packages step by step.

### 3.4 Grading Template

Manual measurements and empirical tools.

### 3.5 Measuring Qualities

e.g. virtual machines, time spent per software, where to look for docs, etc.

#### 3.6 Interviewee Selection

Generally speaking, ask all the teams which we can find contacts, and continue with the ones who are willing to participate.

### 3.7 Interview Question Selection

The aspects we focused on.

#### 3.8 Interview Methods

The way to ask questions, the way to transcript answers and the technologies used.

## **Measurement Results**

For some qualities, it might be a good idea to cross-compare with the empirical scores.

- 4.1 Selected Software List
- 4.2 Installability
- 4.3 Correctness & Verifiability
- 4.4 Reliability
- 4.5 Robustness
- 4.6 Usability
- 4.7 Maintainability
- 4.8 Reusability
- 4.9 Understandability
- 4.10 Visibility & Transparency

## **Interviews with Developers**

## **5.1** Summary of Answers

- Start with one by one, with commonalities and interesting special cases.
- Shorten and summarize later.

### 5.2 Discussions

Any conclusions?

## Recommendations

I think the recommendations can originate from both parts - measurements and interviews.

## **Conclusions**

No clues yet. Should be started at a later stage.

# **Bibliography**

# **Appendix A Full Grading Template**

# **Appendix B Summary of Measurements**

# **Appendix C Interview Answers**

# Appendix D Ethics Approval