Little Theories for Projectile

Spencer Smith

March 14, 2024

The inspiration for the following "little theories" version of projectile motion is Farmer's little theories

formalization in simple type theory. An example of this can be found in the paper "Monoid Theory in

Alonzo" by Farmer and Zvigelsky (2023).

The intention of this document is to start a discussion of the best way to envision projectile motion using

the little theories approach. This is an informal document where the notation is not rigorous. For instance,

given that the author doesn't understand exactly how one theory is transported to another, this issue is

glossed over by adding a field called "Extends" to informally communicate this notion.

1D Kinematic Theory 1

Name: Kin1D

Extends: Theory of real numbers with functions and differentiation

Constants: $p_{\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}}$ (position)

Axioms:

1. $\forall c: \mathbb{R}$. $(\forall \epsilon: \mathbb{R} | \epsilon > 0$. $(\exists \delta: \mathbb{R} | \delta > 0$. $(\forall x: \mathbb{R} . |x-c| < \delta \rightarrow |p| x - p| c| < \epsilon)))$ (p is continuous over all

of \mathbb{R})

2. $\forall x : \mathbb{R}$. $\frac{dp}{dt}$ exists (first derivative of p exists over all of \mathbb{R})

3. $\forall x : \mathbb{R} \cdot \frac{d^2p}{dt^2}$ exists (second derivative of p exists over all of \mathbb{R})

Definitions and theorems:

Def1: $v_{\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}} = \frac{dp}{dt}$ (velocity)

Def2: $a_{\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}} = \frac{dv}{dt}$ (acceleration)

2 1D Kinematic Constant Acceleration Theory

Name: Kin1DConstAccel

1

Extends: Kin1D

Constants: $t_{\mathbb{R}}$ (time), $a^c_{\mathbb{R}}$ (constant accel.), $p^i_{\mathbb{R}}$ (initial pos.), $v^i_{\mathbb{R}}$ (initial velo.)

Axioms:

- 1. $t \ge 0$ (A:timeStartZero)
- 2. $p 0 = p^i$ (initial position)
- 3. $v = v^i$ (initial velocity)
- 4. $\forall t : \mathbb{R} | t \geq 0$. $a \ t = a^c$ (A:constAccel)

Definitions and theorems:

Thm1:
$$v t = v^i + a^c t$$

Thm2:
$$p t = p^i + v^i t + \frac{a^c t^2}{2}$$

3 nD Kinematic Constant Acceleration Theory

Name: KinnDConstAccel (This section is not complete; it is currently a mix of nD and 2D.)

Extends: Kin1DConstAccel, n Dimensional Euclidean space. Somehow p, v and a have to be mapped to both x and y components of each.

Constants: $a_{x\mathbb{R}}^c$ (constant accel. x direction), $a_{y\mathbb{R}}^c$ (constant accel. y direction), $p_{x\mathbb{R}}^i$ (initial pos. x direction), $p_{y\mathbb{R}}^i$ (initial pos. y direction), $p_{x\mathbb{R}}^i$ (initial velo. x direction), $p_{y\mathbb{R}}^i$ (initial velo. y direction)

Axioms:

1.
$$\forall k: \mathbb{N} | 0 \leq k \leq (n-1)$$
 . p_k $0 = p_k^i$ (initial position vector)

2.
$$\forall k : \mathbb{N} | 0 \le k \le (n-1)$$
. $v_k = v_k^i$ (initial velocity vector)

3.
$$\forall k: \mathbb{N} | 0 \le k \le (n-1)$$
 . $(\forall t: \mathbb{R} | t \ge 0$. $a_k \ t = a_k^c)$ (constant acceleration vector)

Definitions and theorems:

Thm1:

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_{\mathbf{x}} \\ v_{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{\mathbf{x}}{}^{\mathbf{i}} + a_{\mathbf{x}}{}^{\mathbf{c}}t \\ v_{\mathbf{y}}{}^{\mathbf{i}} + a_{\mathbf{y}}{}^{\mathbf{c}}t \end{bmatrix}$$

Thm2:

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} p_{x} \\ p_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{x}^{i} + v_{x}^{i}t + \frac{a_{x}^{c}t^{2}}{2} \\ p_{y}^{i} + v_{y}^{i}t + \frac{a_{y}^{c}t^{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

4 Next Steps

- 1. Projectile motion specific via $p_x=0,\,p_y=0,\,v_x=v^{\rm launch}\cos\theta,\,v_y=v^{\rm launch}\sin\theta,\,a_x^c=0,\,a_y^c=-g^{\rm launch}\sin\theta$
- 2. Add constraint axioms $v^{\text{launch}} > 0$, $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$
- 3. Add theorem for calculation of landing time
- 4. Add theorem for calculation of landing distance

5 Questions

- How should units be handled? For instance, position has units of length (m), velocity has units of length per unit time (m/s) and acceleration has units of length² per unit time (m²/2).
- How to capture context information? The typical values for projectiles used in games are much different than the values for projectiles in ballistics.
- How to document that context-specific rationale information? For instance, the assumption that the Earth is flat leads to only a tiny error for sports-related projectiles.